

Combinatorics 2017 Fall

week14 note

Teaching by: Professor Xiande Zhang

2017.12.19

Monochromatic

Thm: If k is sufficiently large, then there exists a k -uniform family \mathcal{F} s.t. $|\mathcal{F}| \leq k^2 2^k$ and \mathcal{F} is not 2-colorable

proof: $r = \lfloor \frac{k^2}{2} \rfloor$, Let $\mathcal{F} = \{A_1, \dots, A_b\}$ be a random k -uniform family over $[r]$, i.e. each A_i is chosen with probability $1/\binom{r}{k}$, independently of other choice. Let B be the event that \mathcal{F} is 2-colorable. For each 2-coloring $\chi : [r] \rightarrow \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$, let B_χ be the event that no subset $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ is monochromatic under χ , then $B = \cup_{\chi \in 2^{[r]}} B_\chi$. Suppose χ colors a red points and $r - a$ blue points, Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Pr[A_i \in \mathcal{F} \text{ is monochromatic under } \chi] \\
 &= Pr[A_i \text{ is red}] + Pr[A_i \text{ is blue}] \\
 &= \frac{\binom{a}{k} + \binom{r-a}{k}}{\binom{r}{k}} \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Jensen's Inequality}}{\geq} \frac{2 \binom{r/2}{k}}{\binom{r}{k}} := p \\
 &\approx e^{-1} 2^{1-k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

since A_i are chosen independently, Then

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr[B_\chi] &= Pr[\cap_{i=1}^b (A_i \text{ is not monochromatic})] \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^b (1 - Pr(A_i \text{ is monochromatic})) \leq (1-p)^b.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } Pr[B] \leq \sum_{\chi \in 2^{[r]}} Pr[B_\chi] \leq 2^r (1-p)^b < e^{r \ln 2 - pb}.$$

when $b = (r \ln 2)/p = (1 + o(1))k^2 2^{k-2} e \ln 2$, $Pr[B] < 1$.
 $\Rightarrow Pr[\mathcal{F} \text{ is not 2-colorable}] = 1 - Pr[B] > 0$. $b \leq k^2 2^k$. \square

Let $B(k)$ be the minimum possible number of sets in a k -uniform family which is not 2-colorable. Then $2^{k-1} \leq B(k) \leq k^2 2^k$.

The linearity of expectation

- $E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y], \forall X, Y$
- $Pr[X \geq E[X]] > 0, Pr[X \leq E[X]] > 0$

Def: subset A of an additive group is **sum-free**, if $\forall x, y \in A, x+y \notin A$ (allow $x = y$). E.g.

- $A = \{n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is **sum-free**.
- $A = \{\text{odd integers}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is **sum-free**.

Thm: For any set A of non-zero integers, there is a **sum-free** set $B \subset A$ with $|B| \geq |A|/3$.

proof: Let $p = 3k + 2$ be a prime, s.t. $p > 2 \max_{a \in A} |a|$

[such a prime exists by Dirichlet's prime number theorem: $\forall a, b$ s.t. $(a, b) = 1, \exists$ infinity many primes of the form $a + nb$]

Let $S = \{k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k+1\}, |S| = k+1$. and S is **sum-free** in \mathbb{Z}_p , we proceed by reducing the original problem to \mathbb{Z}_p , For $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Let $A_x = \{a \in A : (ax \bmod p) \in S\} \subset A$.

Note that A_x is **sum-free** in A , because $\forall a, b \in A_x, (ax \bmod p) \in S, (bx \bmod p) \in S$, then $(ax + bx \bmod p) \notin S$ by **sum-free** of S . Then we want to find some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ s.t. $|A_x| \geq |A|/3$. Choose

$x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ uniformly at random, We compute $E[|A_x|]$.

$\forall a \in A$, Let $|A_{a,x}|$ be a random variable $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, where $|A_{a,x}|$ maps x to 1 if $(ax \bmod p) \in S$, and 0 otherwise.

Note that $|A_x| = \sum_{a \in A} |A_{a,x}|$, by linearity of expectation, $E[|A_x|] = \sum_{a \in A} E[|A_{a,x}|] = \sum_{a \in A} Pr[(ax \bmod p) \in S]$.

observe that for fixed $a \in A$, running over all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, then $(ax \bmod p)$ also run over all \mathbb{Z}_p^* , so $Pr[(ax \bmod p) \in S] = \frac{|S|}{p-1} > \frac{1}{3}$.

Then $E[|A_x|] = \sum_{a \in A} Pr[(ax \bmod p) \in S] > \frac{|A|}{3}$.

Then, there must exists some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, s.t. $|A_x| \geq E[|A_x|] > \frac{|A|}{3}$.
where A_x is **sum-free**. \square

Def: A dominating set of vertices in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a subset $A \subset V(G)$ such that every $v \in V \setminus A$ has a neighbor in A .

Thm: Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph on n vertices and with minimum degree $\delta > 1$. Then G contains a dominating set of at most $\frac{1 + \ln(1 + \delta)}{1 + \delta} n$ vertices.

proof: For $p \in (0, 1)$, which will be determined later, We pick each vertex in $V(G)$ with probability p uniformly at random. Let X be the random set of vertices picked. Let Y be the random set of vertices $y \in V \setminus X$, which has no neighbors in X . That is, $y \in Y$ iff y is not picked and all neighbors of y are not picked. So $P(y \in Y) = (1 - p)^{1 + \deg(y)} \leq (1 - p)^{1 + \delta} \leq e^{-p(1 + \delta)}$.

for any fixed $y \in V$ Let $I_{\{y \in Y\}}$ be a random variable, $2^{[v]} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, which maps Y to 1 if $y \in Y$, and 0 otherwise. i.e.

$$I_{\{y \in Y\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{event: } y \in Y. \text{ occur} \\ 0 & \text{event: } y \notin Y. \text{ occur} \end{cases}$$

Then $|Y| = \sum_{y \in V} I_{\{y \in Y\}}$, by linearity of expectation.

$$E[|Y|] = \sum_{y \in Y} E[I_{\{y \in Y\}}] = \sum_{y \in Y} \Pr[y \in Y] \leq n \cdot e^{-p(1+\delta)}.$$

Claim: $X \cup Y$ is a dominating set of G . Why? (Exercise)

$$\text{Since } E[|X \cup Y|] = E[|X|] + E[|Y|] \leq np + e^{-p(1+\delta)} = n(p + e^{-p(1+\delta)}).$$

$$\text{check: when } p = \frac{\ln(1+\delta)}{1+\delta} \text{ to get } E[|X \cup Y|] \leq \frac{1 + \ln(1+\delta)}{1+\delta} n.$$

$$\text{Then there exists a dominating set of size at most } \frac{1 + \ln(1+\delta)}{1+\delta} n. \square$$

2017.12.22

Recall: $\alpha(G) = \max |I|$ over all independent set $I \subset V$.

Thm: For any graph G , $\alpha(G) \geq \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{1 + \deg(v)}$.

Thm: Let $V(G) = [n]$. For $i \in [n]$, Let N_i be the neighborhoods of i in G , i.e. $N_i = \{j \in [n] : j \sim i\}$, let S_n be the symmetric group over $[n]$.

For given $\pi \in S_n$ we say a vertex $i \in [n]$ is π -dominating, if $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$ for all $j \in N_i$. let $M_\pi = \{\text{all } \pi\text{-dominating vertices}\}$.

Claim: $\forall \pi \in S_n, M_\pi$ is a independent set.

proof of claim: Suppose not, then $\exists i, j \in M_\pi$ with $i \sim j$. let $\pi(i) < \pi(j) \Rightarrow j$ is not π -dominating, i.e. $j \notin M_\pi$, a contradiction.

Pick an $\pi \in S_n$ uniformly at random, compute $E[|M_\pi|]$.

For any fixed $i \in [n]$, let $I_{\{i \text{ is } \pi\text{-dominating}\}}$ be a random variable, $S_n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, which maps π to 1 if i is π -dominating. and 0 otherwise. i.e.

$$I_{\{i \text{ is } \pi\text{-dominating}\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{event: } i \text{ is } \pi\text{-dominating. occur} \\ 0 & \text{event: } i \text{ is not } \pi\text{-dominating. occur} \end{cases}$$

Then $|M_\pi| = \sum_{i \in [n]} I_{\{i \text{ is } \pi\text{-dominating}\}}$, By linearity of expectation.

$$\begin{aligned} E[|M_\pi|] &= \sum_{i \in [n]} E[I_{\{i \text{ is } \pi\text{-dominating}\}}] \\ &= \sum_{i \in [n]} Pr[i \text{ is } \pi\text{-dominating}] \end{aligned}$$

By definition, i is π -dominating iff $\pi(i)$ is minimum over $\pi(\{i\} \cup N_i)$
Since π is random, every vertex in $\{i\} \cup N_i$ has the equal probability

to achieve the minimum in π , which is $\frac{1}{1 + \deg(i)}$. Thus

$$E[|M_\pi|] = \sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{1 + \deg(i)} = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{1 + \deg(v)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proof of } Pr[i \text{ is } \pi - \text{dominating}] &= \frac{\#\{\pi : i \text{ is } \pi - \text{dominating}\}}{|S_n|} = \\ &= \frac{\binom{n}{\deg(i)+1} \cdot \deg(i)! \cdot (n - \deg(i) - 1)!}{n!} = \frac{1}{\deg(i) + 1}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Def: A biclique covering of a graph G is a set H_1, \dots, H_t of its biclique subgraphs such that each edge of G belongs to at least one of those subgraphs. The weight of such a covering is $\sum_{i=1}^t |V(H_i)|$. Let $bc(G)$ be the smallest weight of a biclique covering of G .

Thm:

$$bc(K_n) \geq n \log_2 n$$

proof: $K_{A_i, B_i}, i \in [t]$ be a covering of K_n , For $v \in V(K_n)$, Let m_v be the number of these cliques containing v . By double-counting, $\sum_{i=1}^t (|A_i| +$

$$|B_i|) = \sum_{i=1}^t m_v$$

So, it is enough to show that $\sum_{i=1}^t m_v \geq n \log_2 n$.

To do this, throw a fair 0 – 1 coin for each $i \in [t]$, if outcome is 0 remove all vertices in A_i from K_n , else remove all vertices in B_i from K_n .

Let X_v be the indicator variable for the event “vertex survives”. and $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, which is the number of vertices survive at the end. since any two vertices are adjacent in K_n , and each edge occurs in at least one biclique, we can have at most one vertex survive at

the end, i.e. $E[X] \leq 1$.

Further $Pr[v \text{ survives}] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m_v}$, By linearity expectation $1 \geq E[X] = \sum_{v=1}^n E[X_v] = \sum_{v=1}^n Pr[v \text{ survives}] = \sum_{v=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m_v}$.

By inequality $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^n a_v \geq \left(\prod_{v=1}^n a_v\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, let $a_v = 2^{-m_v}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^n 2^{-m_v} \geq \left(\prod_{v=1}^n 2^{-m_v}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{-\sum_{v=1}^n m_v/n}$$

Then $2^{\sum_{v=1}^n m_v/n} \geq n$, hence $\sum_{v=1}^n m_v \geq n \log_2 n$. □

Ex: Show $bc(K_n) = n \log_2 n$. if n is a power of two.

The deleting method

idea: A random structure doesn't always have the directed property, and may have some very few "blemishes". After deleting all blemishes, we will obtain the wanted structure.

Recall: For any G , $\alpha(G) \geq \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{1 + \deg(v)}$.

Corollary: $\forall G$ with m edges and n vertices, then $\alpha(G) \geq \frac{n^2}{2m + n}$.

If $m = nd/2$ where d is average degree, then $\alpha(G) \geq \frac{n}{1 + d}$.

proof: By double-counting $\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2m$, then $\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) + 1 = 2m + n$.

By the fact that harmonic mean is less than arithmetic mean, i.e.

$$\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n 1/x_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i/n. \text{ we have}$$

$$\alpha(G) \geq \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{1 + \deg(v)} \geq \frac{n^2}{\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) + 1} = \frac{n^2}{2m + n}. \quad \square$$

Next, we show the half-way of the previous result by a short argument.

Thm: Let G be a graph on n vertices and with average degree d . Then $\alpha(G) \geq \frac{n}{2d}$.

proof: Let $S \subset V(G)$ be a random set, where for $\forall v \in V, \Pr(v \in S) = p$ and value of p will be determined later. Let $X = |S|$ and $Y = \# \text{edges in } S$. Then $E[X] = np, E[Y] = |E(G)| \cdot p^2 = \frac{nd}{2} p^2$. Then $E[X - Y] = np - \frac{nd}{2} p^2 = n(p - \frac{d}{2} p^2)$.

By choosing $p = \frac{1}{d}$, we have $E[X - Y] = \frac{n}{2d}$ which is maximum.

So there is a particular set S such that $|S| - |Y| \geq E[X - Y] = \frac{n}{2d}$.

Now, deleting one vertex from each edge of S . leaving a set S' . This set S' is independent and has at least $\frac{n}{2d}$ vertices. \square

Remark: If let $E[Y] < 1$, i.e. $p < \sqrt{\frac{2}{nd}}$ we can get another bound. $E[X] < n \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{nd}} \Rightarrow \alpha(G) \geq n \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{nd + 1}}$.

Recall: If $\binom{n}{k} 2^{1 - \binom{k}{2}} < 1$, then $R(k, k) > \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}} k 2^{k/2}$.

Thm: $\forall n, R(k, k) > n - \binom{n}{k} 2^{1 - \binom{k}{2}}$

proof: Consider a random 2-edge-coloring of K_n , where each edge is colored by red or blue with probability $\frac{1}{2}$, independent of other choices. For $A \in \binom{[n]}{k}$, Let X_A be the indicator random variable

of the event that A is monochromatic.

$$\text{Let } X = \sum_{A \in \binom{[n]}{k}} E[X_A] = \binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}.$$

Then there exists a 2-edge-coloring of K_n , s.t. $X \leq E[X] = \binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$. Fix such a coloring, remove one vertex from each monochromatic k -subset. This will delete $X \leq \binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$ vertices, which has No monochromatic K_k . So $R(k, k) > n - \binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$. \square