Isotopic composition of Mg and Fe in garnet peridotites from the Kaapvaal and Siberian cratons
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Abstract

We present Mg and Fe isotopic data for whole rocks and separated minerals (olivine, clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene, garnet, and phlogopite) of garnet peridotites that equilibrated at depths of 134–186 km beneath the Kaapvaal and Siberian cratons. There is no clear difference in $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ and $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ of garnet peridotites from these two cratons. $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of whole rocks varies from $-0.243 \pm 0.001\%$ to $-0.204 \pm 0.001\%$ with an average of $-0.225 \pm 0.037\%$ (2σ, n = 19), and $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ from $-0.038 \pm 0.001\%$ to 0.060% with an average of $-0.003 \pm 0.068\%$ (2σ, n = 19). Both values are indistinguishable from the fertile upper mantle, indicating that there is no significant Mg-Fe isotopic difference between the shallow and deep upper mantle. The garnet peridotites from ancient cratons show $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ similar to komatiites and basalts, further suggesting that there is no obvious Mg isotopic fractionation during different degrees of partial melting of deep mantle peridotites and komatiite formation.

The precision of the Mg and Fe isotope data ($\pm 0.05\%$ for $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ and $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$, 2σ) allows us to distinguish inter-mineral isotopic fractionations. Olivines are in equilibrium with opx in terms of Mg and Fe isotopes. Garnets have the lowest $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ and $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ among the coexisting mantle minerals, suggesting the dominant control of crystal structure on the Mg-Fe isotopic compositions of garnets. Elemental compositions and mineralogy suggest that clinopyroxene and garnet were produced by later metasomatic processes as they are not in chemical equilibrium with olivine or orthopyroxene. This is consistent with the isotopic disequilibrium of Mg and Fe isotopes between orthopyroxene/olivine and garnet/clinopyroxene. Combined with one sample showing slightly heavy $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ and much lighter $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$, these disequilibrium features in the garnet peridotites reveal kinetic isotopic fractionation due to Fe-Mg inter-diffusion during reaction between peridotites and percolating melts in the Kaapvaal craton.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mg and Fe are the major elements of common upper mantle minerals. Magnesium has one valence state (2+), while Fe has two (2+ and 3+) in the mantle, depending on redox conditions. The Mg-isotope compositions of upper mantle rocks have provided information on the formation and differentiation of planetary bodies, origin and evolution of the Earth, and the global Mg cycle (e.g., Galy et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2010; Teng et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011). The multiple valence states of iron enhance its potential for fractionation during mantle metasomatism, partial melting, fractional crystallization, and fluid
exsolution (Heimann et al., 2008; Dauphas et al., 2010; Teng et al., 2011; Poitrasson et al., 2013). Combined analyses of Mg and Fe isotopic systems could provide new constraints on the formation and evolution of the upper mantle.

The oxygen fugacity in the lithospheric mantle generally decreases with depth (Woodland and Koch, 2003; Frost and McCammon, 2008; Stagno et al., 2013). Iron isotopes are sensitive to redox conditions (Polyakov and Mineev, 2000; Shahrar et al., 2008; Nebel et al., 2015; Young et al., 2015); therefore, investigation of the Fe-isotope compositions of mantle rocks from different depths may provide further information on the redox effect. The cratonic lithospheric mantle has been documented to be stabilized in the Archean (Carlson et al., 2005; Lee, 2005). Due to secular cooling of the Earth, there may be systematic differences between modern peridotites from oceanic lithosphere and ancient peridotites from cratonic lithosphere, related to different degrees of melting under various P-T conditions. This suggests the question of whether Mg and Fe isotopic compositions change with degree of depletion (e.g., Su et al., 2015). The lithospheric mantle beneath Archean cratons has undergone time-integrated metasomatism (e.g., Hawkesworth et al., 1990; Pearson et al., 1995b; Kobussen et al., 2009). Metasomatised by recycled carbonate materials could potentially produce mantle rocks enriched with light Mg isotopes (Brenot et al., 2008; Pogge von Brandt, 2008; Hippel et al., 2009; Higgins and Schrag, 2010c; Yang et al., 2012). The considerable variability of $\delta^{56}$Fe (0.87‰) in mantle rocks and minerals can result either from equilibrium isotopic fractionation or from diffusion-driven kinetic isotope effects during metasomatism in the mantle (Beard and Johnson, 2004; Weyer and Ionov, 2007; Poitrasson et al., 2013). Therefore, the isotopic signatures of Fe and Mg have the potential to trace different types of metasomatic activity in the lithospheric mantle.

Peridotite xenoliths brought up to the Earth’s surface by kimberlites and/or continental basalts provide important probes to study the vertical sections of the subcontinental lithospheric mantle (SCLM). Large amounts of Fe isotope data for igneous rocks and mantle minerals have shown that Fe in the terrestrial upper mantle is isotopically heterogeneous at the bulk-rock scale (Weyer and Ionov, 2007; Dauphas et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2009; Poitrasson et al., 2013). However, it is not clear whether Mg is isotopically homogeneous through the upper mantle scale because Mg-isotope studies have mainly focused on shallow spinel peridotites (<60 km) (Teng et al., 2010a; Xiao et al., 2013) and only a few data are available on garnet peridotites from the deeper portions of the upper mantle (Teng et al., 2010). There are also no studies on the intermineral Mg isotopic fractionations between coexisting minerals in these garnet peridotites. The Mg and Fe isotopic compositions of the bulk silicate Earth (BSE) and the mechanisms governing isotopic fractionation of Mg and Fe in the mantle thus are not fully resolved.

Archean continental blocks (cratons) are the oldest and thickest domains of the Earth’s lithosphere (Jordan, 1975; Boyd et al., 1985; Pearson et al., 1995a; Jaupart and Mareschal, 1999). Speculation on the composition of the mantle roots of continental cratons has been fueled by abundant chemical and petrographic data for peridotite xenoliths from the Kaapvaal craton in southern Africa, which extends approximately to the depth of 220–250 km and has been isolated from upper mantle convection since its formation (Rudnick and Nyblade, 1999; Eaton et al., 2009). Highly depleted and anhydrous compositions have enabled it to survive major tectonic processes (Poudjom Djomani et al., 2001), but a strong metasomatic imprint has made it significantly distinct from the asthenospheric mantle (Kobussen et al., 2008b; O’Reilly and Griffin, 2010). In this study, most peridotite xenoliths extracted from Kaapvaal craton kimberlites give Archean Re-depletion model ages without clear trend between the age and the depth of origin (Carlson et al., 2000; Griffin et al., 2004b; Walker et al., 1989; Pearson et al., 1995a). In order to address the regional differences and better constrain the Mg-Fe isotopic compositions of the deep lithospheric mantle, we also have analyzed garnet lherzolites from the Udachnaya kimberlite in the central Siberian craton; this kimberlite hosts abundant peridotite xenoliths (Boyd et al., 1997; Ionov et al., 2010; Agashev et al., 2013). Garnet peridotites from the Udachnaya kimberlite have many similarities to the peridotites from the Kaapvaal craton in terms of bulk compositions, mineralogy, ages, and metasomatic histories (Boyd et al., 1997).

Here, we present combined Mg and Fe isotopic data for whole-rocks and mineral separates, including olivine, clinopyroxene (cpx), orthopyroxene (opx), garnet and phlogopite, in well-characterized garnet lherzolites and harzburgites from the Kaapvaal and Siberian cratons. The major objective of this study is to outline the Mg and Fe isotopic compositions of mantle rocks equilibrated at greater depths (134–186 km) and to broaden our understanding of compositions and evolution in the deep upper mantle represented by peridotites from the garnet stability field.

2. GEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND AND SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

2.1. Geological background

The Kaapvaal craton in southern Africa is composed of four distinct geological terrains, which were assembled between 3.7 and 2.6 Ga (de Wit et al., 1992; Carlson et al., 2000). Previous studies on peridotitic garnet xenocrysts and xenoliths have demonstrated that the SCLM under the Kaapvaal craton has experienced a series of modification processes including heating, refertilization, and thinning (Kobussen et al., 2008a; Sleep, 2003).

Previous studies on the lateral and vertical variations of the mantle structure of Siberian platform have shown that a layering exists in the SCLM beneath Udachnaya and other Siberian kimberlite pipes (Ashchepkov et al., 2008; Ashchepkov et al., 2010; Boyd et al., 1997; Griffin et al., 1999b, 2005; Nimis et al., 2009). For example, the garnets from kimberlite heavy mineral separates show an increasing depletion of the lower part of the mantle lithosphere northward (Griffin et al., 1999b). A wide variety of metasomatic
modification within mantle columns can be detected at the macro and micro scales (e.g., zonation in minor and major components of cratonic mantle xenoliths) (Misra et al., 2004; Shatsky et al., 2008).

2.2. Sample description

8 of 20 peridotites in this study were sampled from the Kaapvaal craton. Seven of the eight Kaapvaal samples are from the Letseng-le-Terai (samples LT98/-) kimberlite pipe in northern Lesotho, which erupted at ~90 Ma (Woolley et al., 1996). One sample (JGF-98/6) is from the Jagersfontein kimberlite pipe, Orange Free State, South Africa, which erupted 85.6 ± 1 m.y. ago (Smith et al., 1985).

Photomicrographs of representative xenoliths from the Kaapvaal craton are shown in Fig. 1. Peridotites are mainly cpx-poor garnet-facies lherzolites and harzburgites grouped into coarse (Fig. 1a–f) and porphyroclastic (Fig. 1g and h) textural types. They mainly consist of olivine, cpx, cpx, garnet and phlogopite. A detailed description can be found in the Appendix. Grain boundaries between olivine and opx are smoothly curved (Fig. 1a and b), indicating textural equilibrium among these two phases, whereas the microstructural habit of cpx (interstitial or spatially associated with garnet) suggests that it crystallized from infiltrating metasomatic melts. The garnets occur in polygranular clots with abundant inclusions of olivine and pyroxene; this texture is not necessarily primary and might reflect recrystallization (Fig. 1d). The deformed peridotite (LT98/13) is very fresh, containing small amounts of anhedral olivine, opx neoblasts, and sub-grains around coarse prophyroblasts. Sample JGF-98/6 (Jagersfontein) contains abundant phlogopite (~5%), representing an extreme example of the metasomatic process. In these garnet peridotites, textural relationships, including veins of cpx or spatial associations with phlogopite in microfractures and pockets, highlight the obvious metasomatic overprinting.

12 fresh garnet peridotites are from Udachnaya-East kimberlite pipe in Siberian platform. The kimberlites in this pipe erupted at ~360 Ma through the Daldyn block of the Siberian craton (Rosen et al., 1994). The xenoliths in this study are mainly fresh garnet lherzolites from the Udachnaya kimberlite. The Udachnaya xenoliths represent a complete range in terms of equilibration P-T (2.6–6.8 GPa, 860–1340 °C), textures (granular vs. deformed), and the modal and chemical compositions (e.g., cpx-free/cpx-bearing harzburgites to low-cpx (5–6%) lherzolites) of the lithospheric mantle in the central Siberian craton (Boyd et al., 1997; Ionov et al., 2010, 2013, 2015). They are also similar to rocks described by previous studies (Ionov et al., 2010; Bascou et al., 2011; Goncharov et al., 2012; Yaxley et al., 2012; Doucet et al., 2014).

3. ANALYTICAL METHODS

3.1. Major and trace elements

Mineral separates in peridotite xenoliths from the Kaapvaal craton were analyzed for major and trace elements by electron probe microanalyzer (EPMA) and laser-ablation (LA) ICP-MS at the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC) in grain mounts and polished sections, respectively. The detailed operation information is given in He et al. (2016). The Agilent 7700a ICP-MS instrument is coupled with an Excimer 193 nm Resonetics M-50E ATL operated at 7 Hz, 6 mJ cm⁻² pulse energy, and 70–100 μm beam size. Helium was used as the carrier gas. Acquisition time was 90 s for background and 60 s for each signal. The sum of all measured metal oxides was normalized to 100% m/m after subtracting the loss on ignition (Halicz and Gunther, 2004; Guillong et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2013; He et al., 2016). Reference sample SRM NIST 612 was used as an external standard. Three USGS basalt glass reference materials (BHVO-2G, BCR-2G, and BIR-1G) were used for calibration, which can provide more precise and accurate elemental concentrations than only using NIST SRM 610. The sequence of analysis began with four analyses of reference glasses (NIST SRM 610, BHVO-2G, BCR-2G, and BIR-1G), followed by analyses of samples and then four analyses of reference glasses again. NIST SRM 610 was repetitively analyzed every 8 samples for time-drift correction. ICPMS-DataCalc software was used to perform the offline selection and integration of background and ablation signals, time-drift correction, and quantitative calibration (Liu et al., 2008). Obviously altered regions and any small secondary minerals were avoided during picking of mineral separates. An average of six separate grains per sample was used to determine the major- and trace-element compositions of the constituent minerals.

3.2. Mg and Fe isotope analyses

The mineral separates of olivine, opx, cpx, garnet, phlogopite, and whole rock powders of samples from the Kaapvaal craton were prepared for Mg- and Fe-isotope analyses. In order to exclude effects of pervasive alteration (such as serpenitization and kelyphitization), individual grains used for isotope analysis were hand-picked under a binocular microscope. To avoid kelyphitization, garnet grains without any visible discoloration or surface pitting were used. The separates were subjected to successive ultrasonic cleaning in ultrapure water to eliminate any surface contamination. Additionally, the rock powders of samples from the Siberian craton were analyzed for Mg and Fe isotopic compositions.

Isotopic ratios of Mg and Fe were measured at the USTC, following the procedure described in previous studies (Huang et al., 2011; An et al., 2014). A detailed description of the analytical procedures used for Mg and Fe separation is given in the Appendix. Total procedural blanks for Mg and Fe were less than 10 ng, which is insignificant relative to the amount of Mg and Fe put through chemical purification (~20 μg). Repeated analyses of whole-rock powders and mineral grains show excellent consistency of Mg and Fe isotope data. Seven USGS whole-rock standards (RGM-2, GA, GS-N, AGV-2, BCR-2, DTS-1, and FCC-1) were measured for δ²⁶Mg and δ⁵⁶Fe, respectively. Three in-house pure Mg solution
Fig. 1. Garnet peridotites from the Kaapvaal craton under transmitted cross-polarized (a, b, e, and h) and plane-polarized light (c, d, f, and g) show granular (a–f) and mosaic-porphyroclastic (g and h) textures. Ol, olivine; Opx, orthopyroxene; Cpx, clinopyroxene; Spl, spinel. Garnets (Gnt) are mainly round or ellipsoidal, often with rounded inclusions of olivine and opx (d). The dark rim around garnet porphyroclasts is ‘kelyphite’ (c, d, and g). Coarse, texturally equilibrated olivine and opx commonly show triple junctions at 120° in a microstructure transitional to mosaic equigranular (a, b). Cpx occurs as smaller subhedral or irregularly shaped crystals, often on garnet rims (c). Note that spinel in sample JGF-98/6 is usually inter-grown with opx (f) and probably exsolved from high-T opx on cooling, or formed during breakdown of pre-existing garnet due to a decrease in pressure. Opx also occurs as lobate grains that may embay olivine, showing thin exsolution lamellae of garnet and diopside (e). In the left side of Fig. 1g, a large opx porphyroclast is recrystallized into a band of fine-grained neoblasts, while garnets are slightly elongated, parallel to the opx lineation. Recrystallized opx porphyroclasts and coarser-grained polygonal strain-free olivine neoblasts can be seen in the fluidal mosaic peridotite LT98/13 (h).
standards (CAM-1, SRM980, and IGGMg1), and two in-house pure Fe solution standards (UIFe and GSB-1) were routinely analyzed (Table S1). These data show excellent agreement with literature values, highlighting the reliability of the Mg and Fe isotope data.

4. RESULTS

Major- and trace-element compositions of minerals from Kaapvaal peridotites are reported in the Appendix (Table S2). Magnesium and iron isotopic compositions of bulk peridotites from both Kaapvaal and Siberian cratons and minerals separates from Kaapvaal craton (olivine, cpx, opx, garnet, and phlogopite) are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

4.1. Major elements

The minerals have homogeneous major and trace element compositions and no compositional zoning has been found. The average compositions of multiple analyses are given in the Appendix (Table S2). The major and trace element data obtained by EMPA and LA-ICP-MS for individual minerals are similar within the respective uncertainties, indicating the homogeneity of the mineral separates and the robustness of our data (Table S2). The temperatures and pressures of the last equilibration of peridotite xenoliths from the Kaapvaal craton are 990–1372 °C and 4.2–5.34 GPa, respectively (Fig. 2). At a given pressure, most thermobarometers provide identical temperatures within the uncertainty (Table 3) (Ashchepkov, 2006; Brey et al., 2008; Brey and Köhler, 1990; Canil, 1994, 1999; Carswell, 1991; Finnerty and Boyd, 1987; Nickel and Green, 1985; Nimis and Grütter, 2010; Putirka, 2008; Ryan et al., 1996; Wu and Zhao, 2007), except for a few discrepancies which may be attributed to the effect of Fe3+ in the garnets for Fe-Mg exchange thermometers (Harley, 1984; Griffin et al., 1989b; O’Neill and Wood, 1979; Krogh, 1988).

Most samples from the Kaapvaal craton in this study have highly depleted major-element compositions with FeO of 5.83–6.84 wt.%, CaO of 0.39–0.89 wt.%, Al2O3 of 0.83–1.55 wt.%, TiO2 of 0.02–0.08 wt.%, Na2O <0.07 wt.%, and K2O of 0.02–0.07 wt.% (Griffin et al., 2004b). Mg# of olivine is high, 92.4 ± 2.4, indicative of large degrees (>5 GPa). The phlogopite-bearing sample JGF-98/6 from the North Limpopo peridotite xenoliths (Pearson et al., 2003) shows deep negative Ti and slight negative Zr anomalies (Fig. S2).

4.2. Trace elements

Garnets can be divided into two groups based on their REE patterns (Fig. S2). The first group has sinuous REE patterns, a usual feature of harzburgitic (low CaO) garnets included in diamonds (Stachel and Harris, 2008). The second group has a flat REE pattern from MREE to HREE, and a sharp decrease from Nd to La, which is common for garnet megacrysts and high-T lherzolites (Burgess and Harte, 2004). Shimizu (1975) observed that the sinuous garnet REE patterns are typical for coarse (low-T) peridotites, whereas normal REE patterns characterize the sheared (high-T) peridotites. The sinuous REE patterns of the garnet cores are regarded as “primary” features reflecting an ancient metasomatic event superimposed on a depleted protolith (Griffin et al., 1999d). This is consistent with the ancient (>1 Ga) enrichment event that was identified by Stievenhofer (1993) using Sm-Nd isotope systematics.

All cpx grains from coarse garnet peridotites have similar LREE-enriched chondrite-normalized REE patterns with a maximum defined by Nd (Fig. S2). The cpx in sample LT98/13 is enriched in LREE with a maxima at Ce-Nd (Table 4). The δ26Mg of olivine from the Northern Lebombo peridotite xenoliths varies from −0.269‰ to −0.211‰, cpx from −0.247‰ to −0.209‰, opx from −0.292‰ to −0.225‰, and garnet from −0.718‰ to −0.517‰ (Table 2). The average of δ26Mg of olivine is −0.236 ± 0.042‰ (2σ, n = 7), cpx is −0.230 ± 0.024‰ (2σ, n = 7), opx is −0.255 ± 0.057‰ (2σ, n = 4), and garnet is −0.649 ± 0.138‰ (2σ, n = 7). The phlogopite from sample LT98/5 has slightly higher δ26Mg (−0.118 ± 0.037‰) than the coexisting olivine. Olivine and opx separates from the Jagersfontein sample (JGF-98/6) are characterized by slightly heavier δ26Mg (−0.142 ± 0.030‰ and −0.094 ± 0.054‰, respectively).

Δ26Mg_{olivine-opx} of samples from Kaapvaal craton varies from 0.022‰ to −0.072‰ with an average of −0.019 ± 0.065‰ (2σ), while Δ26Mg_{olivine-cpx} of samples varies from 0.052‰ to −0.027‰ with an average of 0.022 ± 0.070‰ (2σ) (Table 4). Δ26Mg_{olivine-garnet} of 7 samples varies from 0.497‰ to 0.299‰ with an average of 0.411 ± 0.158‰ (2σ), while Δ26Mg_{cpx-garnet} varies from 0.282‰ to 0.487‰ with an average of 0.418 ± 0.144‰ (2σ) (Table 4). The δ26Mg of bulk peridotites from the Kaapvaal craton varies from −0.236‰ to −0.210‰ with an average of −0.221 ± 0.034‰ (2σ, n = 7), and that of garnet peridotites from Siberian craton varies from −0.243‰ to −0.204‰, with an average of −0.230 ± 0.039‰ (2σ, n = 12), showing no resolvable discrepancy between the δ26Mg of garnet peridotites from these two areas (Fig. 3).
Table 1
Mg-Fe isotopic compositions of garnet peridotites from the Kaapvaal and Siberian cratons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>δ²⁶Mg 2SD</th>
<th>δ²⁵Mg 2SD</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>δ⁵⁶Fe 2SD</th>
<th>δ⁵⁷Fe 2SD</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>δ²⁶Mg 2SD</th>
<th>δ²⁵Mg 2SD</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>δ⁵⁶Fe 2SD</th>
<th>δ⁵⁷Fe 2SD</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaapvaal Craton</td>
<td>LT98/3 Coarse garnet lherzolite</td>
<td>41.33</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>−0.236</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>−0.119</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LT98/5 Coarse garnet lherzolite</td>
<td>42.39</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>−0.210</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>−0.108</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replicate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.214</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>−0.114</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LT98/10 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>43.44</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>−0.234</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>−0.133</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−0.038</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>−0.038</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LT98/12 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>42.25</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>−0.216</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>−0.112</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LT98-14 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>43.12</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>−0.228</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>−0.119</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LT98/15 Depl coarse garnet lherzolite</td>
<td>43.40</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>−0.226</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>−0.123</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−0.034</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>−0.041</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JGF98/6 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>42.48</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>−0.168</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>−0.080</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−0.309</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>−0.449</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replicate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.162</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>−0.078</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−0.300</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>−0.415</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberian Craton</td>
<td>UD01-248 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>41.92</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>−0.242</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>−0.135</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−0.099</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>−0.029</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD01-287 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>43.45</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>−0.234</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>−0.120</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−0.037</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD01-288 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>38.09</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>−0.242</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>−0.130</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD01-299 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>44.05</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>−0.228</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>−0.116</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD01-338 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>42.15</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>−0.228</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>−0.115</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−0.021</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>−0.038</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD02-01 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>43.69</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>−0.218</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>−0.122</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−0.062</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>−0.120</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD03-57 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>44.57</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>−0.232</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>−0.132</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−0.019</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>−0.037</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD04-01 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>45.23</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>−0.233</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>−0.105</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−0.027</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>−0.016</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD04-56 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>40.35</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>−0.243</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>−0.129</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD04-59 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>43.66</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>−0.237</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>−0.121</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD04-108 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>43.70</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>−0.211</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>−0.097</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−0.069</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>−0.111</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UD04-113 Coarse garnet harzburgite</td>
<td>42.68</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>−0.204</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>−0.100</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−0.067</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>−0.070</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberian av.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.230</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>−0.119</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>−0.010</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>−0.008</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WR Av.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.225</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>−0.118</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>−0.003</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold values represent the average values of whole rocks for garnet peridotites from the Kaapvaal craton, Siberian craton and both of these two cratons, respectively.
4.4. Fe isotopes

$\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ of bulk peridotites is listed in Table 1 and the data for mineral separates from Kaapvaal peridotites are listed in Table 2. $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ in olivine from the Northern Lesotho peridotites varies from $-0.015\%$ to $+0.072\%$ with an average of $+0.019\%$ $\pm 0.069\%$ ($2\sigma$, $n = 7$), opx from $-0.024\%$ to $+0.045\%$ with an average of $+0.010\%$ $\pm 0.047\%$ ($2\sigma$, $n = 7$), and cpx from $-0.063\%$ to $+0.020\%$ with an average of $-0.013\%$ $\pm 0.061\%$ ($2\sigma$, $n = 4$). $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ of garnet ranges from $-0.092\%$ to $-0.019\%$, with an average of $-0.060\%$ $\pm 0.053\%$ ($2\sigma$, $n = 7$). The phlogopite from sample LT98/5 has a $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ of 0.022 $\pm 0.068\%$ ($2\sigma$, $n = 1$). Usually, olivine and opx of the Jagersfontein sample (JGF98/6) have low $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ ($-0.386\%$ $\pm 0.025\%$ and $-0.385\%$ $\pm 0.030\%$, respectively) relative to the other samples.

$\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{olivine-opx}}$ of all samples varies from $0.047\%$ to $-0.015\%$ with an average of $0.009\%$ $\pm 0.038\%$ ($2\sigma$), and $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{olivine-cpx}}$ of 4 samples varies from $0.084\%$ to $0.004\%$ with an average of $0.052\%$ $\pm 0.066\%$ ($2\sigma$) (Table 4). $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{olivine-garnet}}$ of 7 samples varies from $0.150\%$ to $0.024\%$ with an average of $0.075\%$ $\pm 0.080\%$ ($2\sigma$). $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{opx-garnet}}$ varies from $0.037\%$ to $0.132\%$ with an average of $0.066\%$ $\pm 0.068\%$ ($2\sigma$).

The Kaapvaal peridotites display a range of $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ from $-0.038\%$ $\pm 0.038\%$ to $0.060\%$ $\pm 0.034\%$ with an average of $0.007\%$ $\pm 0.062\%$ ($2\sigma$, $n = 7$) (Fig. 4), and the garnet lherzolites from the Siberian craton vary from $-0.069\%$ $\pm 0.036\%$ to $0.032\%$ $\pm 0.042\%$ with an average of $-0.010\%$ $\pm 0.065\%$ ($2\sigma$, $n = 12$). This is consistent with the published $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values (from $-0.54\%$ to $+0.19\%$) of other mantle peridotite xenoliths (Craddock et al., 2013; Poitrasson et al., 2013; Schoenben and Blanckenburg, 2006; Weyer et al., 2005; Williams et al., 2005; Weyer and Ionov, 2007; Zhao et al., 2015). The calculated $\delta^{56}\text{Mg}$ and $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ of the bulk peridotites (based on the modes of olivine, opx, cpx, and garnet) are consistent with the measured values within uncertainties (Table S3 in the Appendix).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Metasomatism in the SCLM of the Kaapvaal craton

The whole-rock analyses of peridotite xenoliths from the Kaapvaal craton in this study show enriched trace-element
compositions (e.g., LREE enrichment); these features, together with the occurrence of phlogopite and vein ed cpx, suggest that the SCLM was affected by multistage distinct metasomatic episodes, consistent with previous studies (Kelemen et al., 1998; Simon et al., 2007; Wasch et al., 2009). The increasing extent of melt-related metasomatism with depth (Griffin et al., 2003a) is also shown in the geochemical characteristics of the deformed peridotites (e.g., higher FeO) (O’Reilly and Griffin, 2010).

The investigated Lesotho garnet peridotites fall within the Type 1 mantle lherzolites defined by the trace-element compositions of cpx (Grégoire et al., 2003), which display characteristics almost identical to those of the modally metasomatized PIC (Phlogopite-Ilmenite-Cpx rocks (Grégoire et al., 2002) (Fig. S3). Combined with the petrographic characteristics, this indicates that all cpx analyzed in this study is probably secondary. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns of garnets range from sinuousoid to LREE depleted-HREE enriched shapes (Fig. S3), likely reflecting garnet-melt equilibrium during the interaction of peridotites with differentiated melts in the mantle (Burgess and Harte, 2004; Stachel et al., 2004). Garnets from shallow harzburgites have highly fractionated REE compositions, whereas high-pressure lherzolites close to the base of the lithosphere tend to have garnets with normal LREE depleted-HREE enriched patterns.

We have compared REE distribution coefficients for cpx/garnet ($^{\text{cpx}}/\text{garnet}D$) (Fig. 5) with the experimentally-determined values in the literature (Shannon, 1976; Green et al., 2000; Van Achterbergh et al., 2001). This comparison shows that cpx and garnets from the coarse peridotites are definitely not in HREE equilibrium, while the REE partitioning relationships for the high-T peridotite are consistent with equilibrium (Fig. 5). It is possibly due to that metasomatising melts can easily modify the more incompatible LREE of primary minerals, but the HREEs were not completely re-equilibrated due to their high abundances.

5.2. Inter-mineral Mg and Fe isotopic fractionations

5.2.1. Inter-mineral Mg isotopic fractionation

Magnesium-isotope data for mantle minerals in this study are shown in Fig. 6. The small isotopic offsets between olivine and cpx are consistent with the observations from spinel peridotites in other studies (Handler et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2009; Young et al., 2009). They are also broadly consistent with theoretical prediction for inter-mineral equilibrium fractionations at high temperature (Young et al., 2009; Schauble, 2011; Huang et al., 2013) (Fig. 6), indicating that Mg isotopes are in equilibrium between olivine and cpx at a hand sample scale. However, the Mg isotopic fractionations between garnet and other minerals (olivine/cpx/cpx) differ distinctly from the theoretical prediction for equilibrium inter-mineral fractionation (Fig. 6 and Fig. S4). Given the temperature and pressure conditions ($T = 990–1372$ °C, $P =$ 4.2–5.31 GPa), the equilibrium Mg isotopic fractionation between olivine and garnet in this study can be up to 0.5‰, about 10 times of the uncertainty of our Mg isotope analyses. Garnet has lighter $^{26}\text{Mg}$ than other minerals, as observed in eclogites (Li et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2016). In this study, there is a decreasing extent of Mg isotopic fractionation between garnet and olivine/cpx with increasing depth (Fig. 7), probably reflecting the effect of increasing temperature (from 990 °C to 1372 °C) with depth (138 km $\sim$ 180 km $e = P =$ 4.16 GPa–5.34 GPa). The measured $\Delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{olivine-garnet}}$ (0.299 ± 0.051‰ to 0.497 ± 0.046‰) and $\Delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{opx-garnet}}$ (0.282 ± 0.047‰ to 0.487 ± 0.042‰) are not consistent with theoretical calculations, 0.535‰–1.001‰ and 0.600‰–1.18‰, respectively (Huang et al., 2013) (dashed line in Fig. 7), suggesting isotopic disequilibrium between garnet and other minerals, in line with the elemental and mineralogical features of the garnet.

As mentioned above, most of the garnet and cpx in Kaapvaal xenoliths appear to be secondary products of post-melting metasomatism (Shimizu et al., 1997; Simon et al., 2003). The sheared peridotites represent ancient coarsely-granular rocks that underwent extensive dynamic and static recrystallization of cpx and olivine (Skemer and Karato, 2008; Baptiste et al., 2012). The high temperatures recorded by these lherzolites in cratonic settings suggest a thermal perturbation, consistent with the intrusion of mafic melts (Bell et al., 2003; Kobussen et al., 2009; Lazarov et al., 2009b). However, this thermal process was transient as evidenced in the studies on zoned garnets from sheared lherzolites (Griffin et al., 1996), and could not...
provide enough time to achieve Mg isotopic equilibrium between garnet and olivine/opx.

5.2.2. Inter-mineral Fe isotopic fractionation

The isotopic compositions of Fe in minerals from the Kaapvaal garnet peridotites provide further insights into the evolution of the SCLM. Olivine has a slightly higher $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ than garnet, but similar $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ to opx (Fig. S5); this is consistent with observations from spinel peridotites, and reflects the controls of crystal structures on Fe isotopic fractionations (Williams et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2010; Macris et al., 2015). As for the Mg isotopes, the observed $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{olivine-opx}}$ and $1/1$ agree with theoretical predictions of inter-mineral equilibrium fractionation factors (Jackson et al., 2009; Dauphas et al., 2012, 2014) and (0.016‰–0.009‰) (Macris et al., 2015), respectively (Fig. 8), suggesting that Fe isotopes are also in equilibrium between olivine and opx.

Fe isotopic variations in mantle minerals do not vary significantly with depth in this study (Fig. 7). Fe 3+ in garnet and opx are also in equilibrium between olivine and opx. However, this is not observed in our samples. Unlike Mg isotopes, there are no clear correlations between $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{garnet-opx}}$ and $1/T$ (Fig. 8d). Therefore, combined with the petrological systematics, garnet was probably not in Fe-isotope equilibrium with the coexisting minerals (olivine/opx/cpx) when they were captured by the kimberlites.

5.3. Mg- and Fe-isotope compositions of the deep upper mantle

Based on several different thermobarometers (Table 3), all coarse garnet peridotites analyzed here stem from a restricted pressure (depth) range of 4.2–5.3 GPa and a temperature range of 990 °C to 1191 °C (Fig. 2), plotting along the 40 mW/m 2 conductive geotherm of Chapman and Pollack (1977). The only deformed (sheared) garnet harzburgites (LT98/13) range from 1225 °C to 1413 °C at 5.2–5.8 GPa, in agreement with previous data (Finnerty and Boyd, 1987; Kennedy et al., 2002). Both suggest that these xenolith suites from Kaapvaal craton are derived from the thick lithospheric mantle with depths of 134–186 km.

5.3.1. Mg-isotope composition of garnet peridotites

$\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of garnet peridotite xenoliths in this study are shown in Fig. 3. JGF-98/6 has been extensively affected.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>LT98/3</th>
<th>LT98/5</th>
<th>LT98/10</th>
<th>LT98/12</th>
<th>LT98/13</th>
<th>LT98/14</th>
<th>LT98/15</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>1191</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>1372</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>±60</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T^{48}\text{Fe}_{\text{garnet}}$</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>1357</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T^{48}\text{Fe}_{\text{garnet}}$</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T^{48}\text{Fe}_{\text{garnet}}$</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>1038</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Ni}}$</td>
<td>1049</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>1531</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>±50</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Ni}}$</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Ni}}$</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>1269</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>1645</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>1071</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Fe-Mg}}$</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>±92</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Ni}}$</td>
<td>1067</td>
<td>1075</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td>1082</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Fe-Mg}}$</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>±60</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Fe-Mg}}$</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Fe-Mg}}$</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>1154</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Fe-Mg}}$</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>1237</td>
<td></td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>±30</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1276</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>±30</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqn 36</td>
<td>1026</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1276</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqn 37</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>974</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{NGGS}}$</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>±5</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{Al}_{\text{garnet}}}$</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{BBG}}$</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{NGGS}}$</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{BBG}}$</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>(19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqn 38</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqn 39</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference sources: (1) Griffin et al. (2004); (2) Nimis and Grütter (2010); (3) Brey and Köhler (1990); (4) Canil (1999); (5) Ryan et al. (1996); (6) Canil (1994); (7) Griffin et al. (1989); (8) Harley (1984); (9) O’Neill and Wood (1979); *P = 30 kb; (10) Wu and Zhao (2007); (11) Ashchepkov (2006); (12–13) Brey and Köhler (1990); (14) Patrika (2008); (15) Finnerty and Boyd (1987); (16) Carswell (1991); (17) Brey et al. (2008); (18) Nickel and Green (1985); (19) Ryan et al. (1996).
by metasomatism, which may have modified its isotopic composition. Therefore, it is not considered as a typical mantle rock here. The average $\delta^{26}$Mg value of the other samples from Kaapvaal craton is $-0.221 \pm 0.034\%$ (2$\sigma$, $n = 7$), consistent with the average $\delta^{26}$Mg of twelve garnet lherzolites from the central Siberian craton, $-0.230 \pm 0.039\%$ (2$\sigma$, $n = 12$). Therefore, we estimate that the lithospheric mantle has a $\delta^{26}$Mg of $-0.225 \pm 0.037\%$ (2$\sigma$, $n = 19$), which is indistinguishable from the published $\delta^{26}$Mg values for spinel-peridotite xenoliths, terrestrial

Table 4
Mg-Fe isotopic fractionations among mantle minerals from the Kaapvaal craton.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>LT98/3</th>
<th>LT98/5</th>
<th>LT98/10</th>
<th>LT98/12</th>
<th>LT98/13</th>
<th>LT98-14</th>
<th>LT98/15</th>
<th>JGF98/6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{26}$Mg$_{olpx-olivine}$</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{26}$Mg$_{olpx-olivine}$</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{26}$Mg$_{garnet-olivine}$</td>
<td>-0.410</td>
<td>-0.497</td>
<td>-0.317</td>
<td>-0.446</td>
<td>-0.299</td>
<td>-0.462</td>
<td>-0.450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{26}$Mg$_{garnet-opx}$</td>
<td>-0.429</td>
<td>-0.487</td>
<td>-0.366</td>
<td>-0.440</td>
<td>-0.282</td>
<td>-0.440</td>
<td>-0.482</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{26}$Mg$_{garnet-cpx}$</td>
<td>-0.437</td>
<td>-0.474</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.398</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{26}$Mg$_{olivine-phlogopite}$</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{26}$Mg$_{olivine-phlogopite}$</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{olpx-olivine}$</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{olp-cpx-olivine}$</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>-0.084</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{garnet-olivine}$</td>
<td>-0.150</td>
<td>-0.101</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>-0.100</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{garnet-opx}$</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{garnet-cpx}$</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{olpx-opx}$</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{olivine-phlogopite}$</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SD</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
komatiites, basalts, andesites, granodiorites, and chondrites in the literature (e.g., Bourdon et al., 2010; Dauphas et al., 2010; Teng et al., 2010a; Tipper et al., 2008a). There is no significant offset in the Mg isotopic composition between the shallow and deep upper mantle, and there has been no Mg isotopic fractionation during partial melting of deep mantle peridotites. Furthermore, neither degrees of partial melting (harzburgite vs primary lherzolite) nor the types of garnet peridotites (high-T deformed vs low-T coarse) in the ancient craton lithosphere can produce measurable Mg-isotopic variations in the deep upper mantle. The garnet peridotites from the SCLM, residues after large degrees (>30–50%) of melt extraction, show Mg isotopic compositions similar to the high-degree partial melts (~50%) from the mantle (e.g., komatiites), suggesting there is no resolvable Mg isotopic fractionation during partial melting of deep mantle peridotites and komatiite formation.

5.3.2. Fe-isotope composition of garnet peridotites
The $\delta^{56}$Fe values of most whole rocks from these ancient cratons range from −0.069‰ to 0.060‰ (Fig. 4) with no clear Fe isotopic difference between the garnet peridotites from Kaapvaal and Siberian cratons. Excluding the obviously metasomatized sample (JGF-98/6), the average $\delta^{56}$Fe of all whole rocks in this study is $0.003 \pm 0.068$‰ ($2\sigma$, $n=19$). This value agrees well with those of previous studies on spinel and garnet peridotites (Williams et al., 2004, 2005; Weyer and Ionov, 2007; Poitrasson et al., 2013).

Fig. 4. $\delta^{56}$Fe vs total FeO (wt%) in garnet peridotites from the Kaapvaal and Siberian cratons. Solid diamond and horizontal grey bar represent the average $\delta^{56}$Fe and 2SD of garnet peridotites analyzed in this study. Small open symbols are $\delta^{56}$Fe of different types of peridotites in the literature (Poitrasson et al., 2013; Schoenberg and Blanckenburg, 2006; Williams et al., 2005; Weyer et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2015).

Fig. 5. Onuma diagram showing $^{\text{cpx/garnet}}$D for trivalent cations entering the garnet X-site vs ionic radii of REE. Ionic radii are from Shannon (1976). The grey area indicates the experimentally-determined $^{\text{cpx/garnet}}$D of REE from the literature (Harte and Kirkley, 1997; Green et al., 2000). Only the data for high-T peridotite (LT98/13, blue line) fall into the grey area. Although $^{\text{cpx/garnet}}$D values of the LREE are consistent with equilibrium ones, the deviations of HREE from the theoretical results (dashed ellipse) indicate that the HREE are not in equilibrium between cpx and garnet in low-T coarse peridotites. This comparison shows that minerals crystallized during different episodes of metasomatism are characterized by trace-element disequilibrium.
Fig. 6. Variations in Mg isotopic fractionation between mantle minerals with equilibration temperatures calculated using the Ca-in-Opx thermometer from Brey and Köhler (1990). The equilibrium isotopic-fractionation lines for Mg isotopes are from Schauble (2011) and Huang et al. (2013). Solid lines with numbers for $\Delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{garnet-cpx/olivine}}$ and $\Delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{cpx/opx-olivine}}$ represent equilibrium Mg isotopic fractionations under different pressures (0 GPa, 3 GPa, and 5 GPa). The cpx/opx-olivine data (S-11) are from Schauble (2011). The small open diamonds and squares enclosed by grey areas in (a) and (b) represent Mg isotopic fractionation among these minerals in spinel peridotites from the literature (Yang et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2013).

Fig. 7. Mg-Fe isotopic compositions of whole rocks and minerals as a function of depth (134–186 km) in the Kaapvaal craton. The grey areas represent the average Mg and Fe isotopic compositions of the whole rocks. There is a decrease in the extent of Mg isotopic fractionation between garnet and olivine/opx with increasing depth. However, these measured values are not consistent with equilibrium isotopic fractionations between garnet and olivine at the same depth (red dashed line). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
5.3.3. Variations of $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ and $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ in the deep upper mantle

Significant variation of $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ (from $-0.309\%$ to $+0.060\%$) has been observed for bulk peridotites between Jagersfontein and the other areas (N. Lesotho and Udachnaya) in this study. Sample JGF-98/6 has lower $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ ($-0.309 \pm 0.014\%$) and slightly higher $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ ($-0.168 \pm 0.020\%$) than other samples. Notably, CaO/Al$_2$O$_3$, Mg#, total FeO content, and the equilibration temperature of JGF-98/6 are not clearly different from other samples with normal $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ and $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ (Fig. S6), suggesting that the low $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ and high $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values did not result from partial melting of the fertile mantle. Instead, the higher $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ and La/Y of JGF-98/6 clearly indicate extensive metasomatic overprinting. This is similar to the previous observations on spinel peridotites and olivine minerals (Dauphas et al., 2010) with light Fe and slightly heavy Mg isotopic compositions. Therefore, we propose that the low $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ in JGF-98/6 could result from preferential diffusion of light Fe isotopes out of Fe-rich melt into the peridotite during melt percolation, a process similar to the E-2 type metasomatism (Weyer and Ionov, 2007; Zhao et al., 2010; Poitrasson et al., 2013). The bulk $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ of Kaapvaal peridotites shows a rough negative correlation with $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ (Fig. 9), a feature consistent with the prediction from theoretical modeling of isotopic fractionation in mantle miner-

Fig. 8. Variations in Fe isotopic fractionation between mantle minerals vs equilibration temperatures calculated using the Ca-in-Opx thermometer from Brey and Köhler (1990). (a) and (b) Solid curves are ionic model calculations. All calculations are for $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ only in olivine. Lowermost solid curve (blue) is for all $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ in cpx, uppermost solid curve (red) is for all $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ in cpx, and the solid curve (green) in the middle is for average $\text{Fe}^{3+}/(\text{Fe}^{2+}+\text{Fe}^{3+}) = 0.2$ in cpx as reported by Macris et al. (2015). (b) The solid and dashed curves were calculated using ionic model and NRIXS data for two different opx compositions, respectively. Dashed curves are predictions from the NRIXS data for different force constants of Fe$^{2+}$ in pyroxene (orthoenstatite, 165 N/m (black) to 195 N/m (grey)) (Jackson et al., 2009; Dauphas et al., 2012, 2014). The small open symbols enclosed by grey areas in (a) and (b) represent data of Fe isotopic fractionation among these minerals in spinel peridotites from the literature (Beard and Johnson, 2004; Zhao et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2015). (c) The temperature-dependent fractionation factors inferred from measurements of garnet-cpx from eclogite mantle xenoliths (Williams et al., 2009) are shown as the black dashed line and the ionic model garnet-cpx fractionation shown as the green dashed line. Mantle xenolith garnet-cpx fractionations measured by Beard and Johnson (2004) are shown as small open circles. (d) There is no correlation of the Fe isotopic fractionation between garnet and olivine (dashed line) with equilibration temperature. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
als or rocks due to Fe-Mg inter-diffusion (Dauphas et al., 2010). Finally, the metasomatic events responsible for the LREE enrichment (Grégoire et al., 2003) and phlogopite crystallization (Winterburn et al., 1990) in Jagersfontein should have not significantly modified the major-element compositions of sample JGF-98/6 (FeO = 6.84 wt.%) but produced obvious isotopic fractionation in the whole rock and minerals.

6. CONCLUSIONS

(1) The deep upper mantle (as represented by garnet peridotites) has similar Mg and Fe isotopic compositions (δ²⁶Mg = -0.225 ± 0.037‰, δ⁵⁶Fe = -0.003 ± 0.068‰, 2σ, n = 19) to spinel lherzolites, indicating that there is no significant Mg-Fe isotopic offset between the shallow and deep upper mantle.

(2) The similarity of Mg and Fe isotopic compositions between garnet peridotites and komatiites suggests a lack of significant Mg-Fe isotopic offset due to partial melting of deep mantle peridotites and komatite generation.

(3) Δ³⁶Mg_garnet-olivine and Δ³⁶Mg_garnet-opx decrease with increasing depth, reflecting the control of temperature and crystal structure on Mg isotopic fractionation. Olivine is in equilibrium with opx in terms of Fe and Mg isotopes, while garnet and cpx are not in equilibrium with the coexisting olivine and opx, reflecting melt-peridotite interaction during mantle metasomatism.
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