Iron and Zinc isotope fractionation during magmatism in the continental crust: Evidence from bimodal volcanic rocks from Hailar basin, NE China
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Abstract

This study presents Fe–Zn isotope data for a suite of well-characterized bimodal volcanic rocks from Hailar Basin, northeast China to understand the mechanism of Fe isotope fractionation in highly differentiated igneous rocks. The samples range from basaltic trachyandesites to trachytes–rhyodacites, and rhyolites. The $\delta^{56}$Fe values increase with increasing SiO$_2$ contents with the rhyolites having the highest $\delta^{56}$Fe (up to 0.64 ± 0.02‰) among the previously reported data for igneous rocks at a similar SiO$_2$. The lack of correlation between $\delta^{56}$Fe and Rb/La argues against the effect of fluid exsolution on Fe isotopes. The $\delta^{56}$Fe do not show a clear correlation with $\delta^{66}$Zn and radiogenic isotopes, suggesting that thermal diffusion or crustal contamination cannot produce the high $\delta^{56}$Fe in Hailar volcanic rocks.

Fe isotopic variation in Hailar volcanic rocks can be explained by two steps of magmatism. During the first step, partial melting of basaltic trachyandesites with an average $\delta^{56}$Fe of 0.09 ± 0.14‰ produced trachytes–rhyodacites with an average $\delta^{56}$Fe of 0.24 ± 0.27‰. Modelling using rhyolite–MELTS shows that Fe isotopes can be fractionated by preferential partitioning of isotopically different Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ between the solid residue and partial melt. The second step involves formation of rhyolites with significantly high $\delta^{56}$Fe through partial melting or extensive crystallization of crust materials, during which isotopically heavy Fe preferentially partition into the rhyolitic melt. Therefore, fractionation of Fe isotopes between melts and minerals can result in high $\delta^{56}$Fe in SiO$_2$-rich igneous rocks and apparent Fe isotope heterogeneity within the continental crust.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Iron is the most abundant redox-sensitive element in the terrestrial planets, as well as the Moon in the inner Solar System. It has three oxidation states (Fe$^{0}$, Fe$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{3+}$) that are partitioned between coexisting phases during redox-related geochemical processes, generating detectable Fe isotopic fractionation even at high temperature in the Earth’s mantle. In the last decade, Fe isotopes have become a useful tool in exploring a number of fundamental high temperature geochemical processes, including core–mantle segregation (Poitrasson et al., 2009; Hin et al., 2012; Shahar et al., 2014), mantle melting and metasomatism (Williams et al., 2012), magma differentiation (Williams and Bizimis, 2014; Nebel et al., 2015), and ore–deposit formation (Wawryk and Foden, 2015).

One aspect of Fe isotopes that remains to be better understood is that high silica igneous rocks (>71 wt.% SiO$_2$) show dramatically higher $\delta^{56}$Fe than those with mafic- to intermediate-compositions (e.g. Poitrasson and Freyder, 2005; Heimann et al., 2008; Foden et al., 2015; Schoen and von Blanckenburg, 2006; Schuessler...
et al., 2009; Sossi et al., 2012; Telus et al., 2012; Zambardi et al., 2014). Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain such signature, including fractional crystallization of isotopically light silicate minerals (Dauphas et al., 2014; Schoenberg and von Blanckenburg, 2006; Schuessler et al., 2007; Teng et al., 2008; Sossi et al., 2012), temperature gradient–driven diffusive differentiation (Lundstrom, 2009; Zambardi et al., 2014), and exsolution of Fe²⁺–-rich fluids (Poitrasson and Freydier, 2005; Heimann et al., 2008; Telus et al., 2012). Open–system mixing with an isotopically heavy exogenic assimilant was also proposed by Schoenberg and von Blanckenburg (2006), but such isotopically heavy material has not been identified. Therefore, there are various processes that have differing influences on the formation of silicic rocks. However, no single petrogenetic mechanism that can give rise to previously reported high δ⁵⁶Fe in Si–rich igneous rocks, hampering our understanding of Fe isotope fractionation mechanism in evolved igneous rocks and thus, application in magmatic differentiation processes of the continental crust.

Late Mesozoic bimodal volcanic rocks are widely exposed in the Hailar basin, NE China, which provides an excellent opportunity to study the effect of intra-crust magmatism on Fe isotope compositions of igneous rocks. These rocks have a wide range in chemical compositions from mafic (basaltic trachyandesites) to felsic (trachytes–rhyodacites and rhyolites) (Li et al., 2014). Systematic petro–geochemical studies suggest that mafic rocks were derived from melting of a subduction-modified mantle. Trachytes–rhyodacites originated from melting of juvenile crust with compositions similar to basaltic trachyandesite, due to heat released by underplating of mantle-derived magmas during lithospheric extension (Li et al., 2014), while rhyolites resulted from magmatic differentiation of juvenile crust materials with intermediate compositions.

To better understand the mechanism for Fe isotope fractionation in evolved igneous rocks, we present systematic Fe isotope data for the well-characterized bimodal volcanic rocks from the Hailar basin. Additionally, we measured Zn isotope ratios for the volcanic rocks to scrutinize other processes responsible for Fe isotope fractionation. Combining with major and trace element compositions, Fe and Zn isotope data and rhyolite–MELTs modelling help us decipher the processes that produce the high δ⁵⁶Fe in the SiO₂-rich igneous rocks.

2. GEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND AND SAMPLE SELECTION

After the accretion of the late Mesoproterozoic to Carboniferous Central Asian Orogenic Belt (CAOB) between the Siberian and North China cratons (NCC), late Mesozoic extension of the lithosphere in NE China produced a large basin–and–range setting in the CAOB and NCC (Ren et al., 2002). Crustal extension was accompanied by exhumation of metamorphic core complexes (Daoudene et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011), formation of volcano–sedimentary basins (Ren et al., 2002; Li et al., 2012), and emplacement of voluminous mafic and felsic igneous rocks (Zhang et al., 2008a; Wu et al., 2011). The Hailar basin is in the northern part of the CAOB (Fig. 1a). Drill core samples (Fig. 1b) show that the basement of the Hailar basin comprises late metavolcano–sedimentary assemblages (ca. 360 to 295 Ma old) and intrusive granitoids (ca. 282 to 295 Ma old). The overlying strata consist of a volcanic sequence (~1 km thick) with mainly mafic rocks at the base and felsic rocks, minor intercalated basalts and non–marine sedimentary rocks (~3 km thick) on the top. Field observations and geochemical data of the extension–related igneous rocks illustrate the predominantly bimodal composition, consistent with observations from drilling core samples (Fan et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2008b; Xu et al., 2013).

Thirteen basaltic trachyandesites (ca. 160 to 140 Ma), 7 trachytes–rhyodacites (ca. 132 Ma), and 9 rhyolites (ca. 125 Ma) were selected for Fe and Zn isotope analyses. The samples collected here represent the variety of lithologies described by drilling core (Fig. 1b) and field observation. U–Pb zircon ages, major and trace elements, Nd, Sr, and Pb isotope data of these samples have been reported in Li et al. (2014), providing evidence for involvement of a sedimentary component in the mantle source of mafic rocks. Trachytes–rhyodacites and rhyolites display overlapping radiogenic Sr, Nd, and Pb isotopes, but systematically distinct element geochemical features compared to basaltic trachyandesites. Two separate differentiation processes have been proposed to explain the geochemical variability in felsic rocks (Li et al., 2014):

i. The offset of elemental compositions (e.g. SiO₂, Fe₂O₃ total, P, and Th concentrations) between trachytes–rhyodacites and the mafic rocks argue against continuous crystallization from mafic rocks to trachytes–rhyodacites. Instead, trachytes–rhyodacites are proposed to originate from partial melting of the juvenile mafic crust resembling the basaltic trachyandesites, triggered by heat from underplating of mantle-derived magmas.

ii. The most differentiated lithology in the Hailar basin, i.e. the rhyolites, have similar radiogenic Sr, Nd, and Pb isotopes, but younger zircon ages, higher Nb and Th contents, lower LREE/HREE relative to the trachytes–rhyodacites. These features suggest that the less differentiated juvenile crustal materials evolve to rhyolite with accessory minerals (e.g. apatite, titanite) controlling the LREE budgets.

3. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Fe and Zn isotope compositions of 29 samples were measured in the CAS Key Laboratory of Crust–Mantle Materials and Environments at the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC), Hefei, China. Sample powders containing ~50 µg Fe were digested in a 3:1 mixture of double–distilled concentrated HF/HNO₃ in Savillex beakers on a hotplate at ~100 °C. Samples were evaporated to dryness and repeatedly dissolved in aqua regia until complete dissolution was achieved. The purification procedures and analytical methods for Fe isotopes were adapted from those described in Huang et al. (2011). Briefly, anion
exchange chromatography (AG1-X8, 200–400 mesh) using HCl were used to remove matrix elements from Fe. The recovery of Fe was >99.5%. Procedural Fe blanks were always <20 ng, negligible relative to the amount of Fe processed from the samples.

Purified Fe was analyzed using Thermo Scientific Neptune Plus MC–ICP–MS. Standard bracketing with IRMM-014 was used to correct instrumental mass bias. 53Cr, 54Fe, 56Fe, 57Fe, 58Fe, and 60Ni were measured in a static mode on the L3, L1, C, H1, H2, and H4 Faraday cups, respectively. Isotope ratios were analyzed at a high resolution mode with a resolving power of \( \Delta m/\Delta m \approx 8000 \) (m/Dm with a 95% peak edge definition), allowing 56Fe to be resolved from isobaric interferences. Isotopic data are reported using the delta (\( \delta \)) notation,

\[
\delta i = \left( \frac{i_{\text{sample}}}{i_{\text{IRMM-014}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \text{ (‰)}
\]

where \( i \) refers to mass 56 or 57.

Sample powders containing \( \approx 2 \mu g \) Zn were digested for isotope measurement. The analytical method was adapted from Chen et al. (2016). After full digestion using a procedure similar to that of Fe isotope analysis described above, the samples were loaded in 6 M HCl on a column with 2 mL anion exchange resin (AG MP-1M, 100–200 mesh) to purify Zn from matrices. A second separation step with 0.5 mL AG MP–1M (100–200 mesh) resin was used for further purification. The yield of Zn was 99%. Total procedural blanks were <10 ng, also negligible relative to the total amount of Zn in the samples. Zinc isotopes were analyzed on the Neptune Plus MC–ICP–MS at low resolution. Solutions were introduced using a PFA microflow nebuliser with an uptake rate of 50 \( \mu L \text{ min}^{-1} \). Isotope ratios are expressed in \( \delta \)–notation, relative to the Zn JMC–Lyon standard:

\[
\delta j = \left( \frac{j_{\text{sample}}}{j_{\text{JMC-Lyon}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \text{ (‰)}
\]

where \( j \) refers to mass 66 or 68.

Accuracy and precision of isotopic measurements were assessed by analyzing international whole rock standards, including BCR–1, AGV–2, RGM–1, and BHVO–2. The long-term external precisions of \( \delta ^{56}\text{Fe} \) and \( \delta ^{66}\text{Zn} \) are better than 0.05‰ (2SD). The measured standard values (Table 1) agree well with previously published data within error for both Fe isotopes (Rouxel et al., 2003) and Zn isotopes (Sossi et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016). \( \delta ^{56}\text{Fe} \) and \( \delta ^{66}\text{Zn} \) of replicates are also consistent within error, demonstrating the reliability of our data.

### 4. RESULTS

Iron isotopic data are reported in Table 1 and plotted in Fig. 2. The \( \delta ^{56}\text{Fe} \) of the Hailar basaltic trachyandesites ranges from 0 ± 0.02‰ to 0.24 ± 0.04‰, with an average of 0.09 ± 0.14 (2SD, \( n = 13 \)), while \( \delta ^{56}\text{Fe} \) for trachytes–rhyodacites range from 0.06 ± 0.05‰ to 0.41 ± 0.04‰ with an average of 0.24 ± 0.27‰ (2SD, \( n = 7 \)). The rhyolites have \( \delta ^{56}\text{Fe} \) ranging from 0.20 ± 0.03‰ to 0.64 ± 0.02‰ (average of 0.40 ± 0.28‰, \( n = 9 \)), the highest among the reported data for igneous rocks. Overall, Fe isotope data of basaltic trachyandesites vary in a limited range and overlap the value of average oceanic basalts (Dauphas et al., 2009). \( \delta ^{56}\text{Fe} \) in trachytes–rhyodacites and rhyolites show a striking variability, which increases with increasing SiO\textsubscript{2} and decreasing Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} total contents, consistent with previous observations.

\( \delta ^{66}\text{Zn} \) values in basaltic trachyandesites show a limited variation (~0.1‰), whereas those in more differentiated lithologies, i.e. trachytes–rhyodacites, and rhyolites span a wide range of 0.24‰ (Table 1, Fig. 2b). \( \delta ^{66}\text{Zn} \) of the basaltic trachyandesites range from 0.19 ± 0.02‰ to 0.30 ± 0.01‰, with an average value of 0.27 ± 0.06‰ (2SD, \( n = 13 \)), similar to the average oceanic basalts (e.g. 0.28 ± 0.05‰ in Chen et al. (2013)). \( \delta ^{66}\text{Zn} \) in trachytes–rhyodacites ranges from 0.24 ± 0.03‰ to 0.48 ± 0.02‰, with a mean of
Table 1
Iron and zinc isotopic compositions (‰) of the whole rock samples and geostandards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>δ^56Fe 2SD</th>
<th>δ^57Fe 2SD</th>
<th>δ^66Zn 2SD</th>
<th>δ^68Zn 2SD</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Replicated analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basaltic trachyandesites (average δ^56Fe: 0.09 ± 0.14‰, δ^66Zn: 0.27 ± 0.06‰)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–98</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.30 0.03 0.59 0.04 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–99</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.30 0.06 0.60 0.14 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–96</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.24 0.04 0.33 0.09 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–97</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27 0.03 0.55 0.08 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–100</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.28 0.02 0.56 0.07 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–101</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.30 0.03 0.61 0.03 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–78</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27 0.02 0.56 0.05 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–79</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.14 0.01 0.22 0.10 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–77</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.29 0.03 0.58 0.08 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–116</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.26 0.05 0.53 0.11 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–111</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.24 0.03 0.50 0.02 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–112</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.25 0.01 0.49 0.01 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–113</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.31 0.01 0.59 0.05 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trachytes–rhyodacites (average δ^56Fe: 0.24 ± 0.27‰, δ^66Zn: 0.35 ± 0.14‰)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–80</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.32 0.04 0.63 0.07 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–81</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.36 0.02 0.72 0.07 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–102</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.24 0.03 0.49 0.01 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–104</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.48 0.02 0.95 0.04 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–105</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.33 0.03 0.69 0.03 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–107</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.23 0.02 0.47 0.07 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–108</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.34 0.13 0.72 0.26 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhyolites (average δ^56Fe: 0.40 ± 0.28‰, δ^66Zn: 0.31 ± 0.13‰)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–83</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.60 0.02 0.92 0.07 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–87</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.46 0.05 0.88 0.12 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–86</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.26 0.01 0.52 0.01 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–88</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.48 0.04 0.68 0.04 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–89</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.29 0.01 0.60 0.04 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–90</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27 0.02 0.63 0.02 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–91</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.34 0.01 0.67 0.05 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–84</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27 0.01 0.55 0.02 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK10–85</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27 0.01 0.55 0.02 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geostandards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHVO–2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.12 0.02 0.16 0.05 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR–1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27 0.03 0.55 0.07 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGV–2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.27 0.04 0.60 0.02 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGM–1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.35 0.05 0.72 0.09 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Replicated analyses are for newly digested samples.

Error bars on isotopic compositions are 95% confidence intervals.
0.35 ± 0.14‰ (2SD, n = 7). δ66Zn in rhyolites span a wide range from 0.26 ± 0.01‰ to 0.46 ± 0.05‰, with an average of 0.31 ± 0.13‰ (2SD, n = 8), identical to the averages of both basaltic trachyandesites and trachytes–rhyodacites within error. Collectively, there is no clear correlation between δ66Zn and magma differentiation indices such as SiO2 content (Fig. 2b).

5. MECHANISMS FOR Fe ISOTOPE FRACTIONATION IN BIMODAL VOLCANIC ROCKS

In agreement with previous studies, the most evolved igneous rocks (i.e. rhyolites) in Hailar are enriched in heavy Fe isotopes. Notably, rhyolite samples have the highest δ56Fe (up to 0.64 ± 0.02‰ at 77 wt.% SiO2) among the previously reported data for igneous rocks at a given silica content (Fig. 3) (e.g. Poitrasson and Freydier, 2005; Heimann et al., 2008; Foden et al., 2015; Schoenberg and von Blanckenburg, 2006; Teng et al., 2008; Schuessler et al., 2009; Sossi et al., 2012; Telus et al., 2012; Zambardi et al., 2014). Interpretations of the origin of high δ56Fe in differentiated igneous rocks include fluid exsolution (Heimann et al., 2008; Poitrasson et al., 2009; Telus et al., 2012), thermal diffusion (Huang et al., 2010; Zambardi et al., 2014), open–system mixing (Schoenberg and von Blanckenburg, 2006), fractional crystallization (Teng et al., 2008; Sossi et al., 2012; Foden et al., 2015), and partial melting (Telus et al., 2012). The following section attempts to examine the processes fractionating Fe isotopes in Hailar volcanic rocks.

5.1. Fluid exsolution

Exsolution of isotopically light fluids during melt ascent and evolution was suggested to explain the high δ56Fe observed in some evolved magmas (Poitrasson and Freydier, 2005; Heimann et al., 2008; Telus et al., 2012). Fluid exsolution may occur in SiO2–rich magmas, because H2O solubility in magmas decreases with decreasing pressure or increasing polymerization of silicate melt (Wyllie, 1977; Holtz et al., 2001). This process can be assessed by Zn isotopes because they may be fractionated by aqueous fluid exsolution (Telus et al., 2012), but show only limited fractionation during crystallization (Chen et al., 2013). The δ66Zn of Hailar rhyolites vary within a range of 0.2‰ (Fig. 2b), whereas δ66Zn values in basaltic trachyandesites are rather homogenous. If fluids preferentially remove isotopically light Zn from the residual magma (Telus et al., 2012), rhyolite (FK10–87) with the highest δ66Zn (0.46‰) would be more likely affected by fluid exsolution. However, FK10–87 has δ56Fe of 0.2‰, a low value in the range of the measured δ56Fe in rhyolites (Table 1).

In addition, as fluids are supposed to simultaneously modify Fe and Zn isotope compositions, a negative or positive correlation between δ66Zn and δ56Fe could be expected if fluid exsolution played a significant role, which is not observed in the Hailar rhyolites (Fig. 4b). Rb/La is further utilized to evaluate the role of fluid. Rb and La are highly incompatible in major minerals of rhyolite, including feldspar, biotite, quartz, and amphibole (https://earthref.org/
KDD/J, despite that Rb is slightly more incompatible than La. Thus Rb/La will not be apparently fractionated during crystallization and partial melting. Furthermore, because Rb is moderately fluid-mobile while La is not (Green (1994) and references therein), fluid exsolution may reduce Rb/La in the residual melt and thus produce a correlation between Rb/La and Fe isotope data, which is not observed in this study. (b) Variation of δ56Fe with δ66Zn demonstrates that thermal diffusion cannot explain the δ56Fe in our samples.

5.2. Thermal diffusion

Stable isotopes can be fractionated by thermal diffusion (e.g. Huang et al., 2009; Lundstrom, 2009; Richter et al., 2009a; Richter et al., 2009b; Huang et al., 2010; Lacks et al., 2012; Zambardi et al., 2014). Heavy isotopes are enriched at the cold end of a magmatic system along a temperature gradient, producing a positive correlation among different isotope systematics. According to Lacks et al. (2012), network formers are less fractionated than network modifiers during thermal diffusion. Given that Zn is a network modifier with similar relative mass differences of isotopes close to Fe isotopes, Zn isotopes are expected to be fractionated to a similar extent as Fe isotopes in thermal gradients, and thus result in a clear positive correlation between δ56Fe and δ66Zn. However, no such a correlation between δ66Zn and δ56Fe is observed in Hailar samples (Fig. 4b), suggesting that volcanic rocks investigated here were not significantly influenced by thermal diffusion.

5.3. Crustal contamination

Exogenous crustal assimilation could also modify stable isotope signatures during magma differentiation. Schoenberg and von Blanckenburg (2006) attributed the positive correlation between δ56Fe and SiO2 content of the rocks from the Bergell intrusion in the Swiss Alps to open-system mixing of an isotopically heavy exogenic assimilant. For the Hailar samples investigated here, no apparent correlation between εNd and Fe isotopes is observed. Furthermore, the average εNd in rhyolites (+2.9) is slightly higher than basaltic trachydendites (+1.3) and trachytes–rhyodacites (+2.2). If the εNd reflects contamination of crustal materials (CAOB basement) which contain metavolcano–sedimentary assemblages with low εNd (−6 to 0) (Li et al., 2014), then basaltic trachyandesites and trachyte–rhyodacites would have higher proportions of assimilants than rhyolites. However, basaltic trachyandesite and trachyte–rhyodacite samples generally exhibit consistent δ56Fe with the global trend (Fig. 3). Furthermore, as Fe content of rhyolite is lower than basaltic trachydendite and trachyte–rhyodacite, Fe isotope composition of rhyolite will be more sensitive to an isotopically distinct crustal contaminant. However, a correlation between δ56Fe and εNd in rhyolite is not observed. Therefore, evidences from Fe isotopes and radiogenic Nd isotopes argue against significant crustal contamination. One trachyte–rhyodacite (FK10-105) particularly has low εNd (+0.1) and high δ56Fe (0.41‰), which is potentially due to continental crust assimilation. However, assimilation of crustal components cannot explain the generally high δ56Fe in Hailar rhyolites.

5.4. Iron isotopic fractionation between minerals and melts

After ruling out the possible processes discussed above, the variation of δ56Fe in Hailar volcanic rocks is most likely explained by Fe isotope fractionation between melt and minerals during crystallization and partial melting. Crystalizing minerals with Fe isotope compositions distinguishable from the host magmas has been called on to explain Fe isotope compositions of igneous rocks (Teng et al., 2008; Sossi et al., 2012; Telus et al., 2012; Foden et al., 2015). Meanwhile, partial melting is generally recognized to be responsible for the ~0.1‰ shift of δ56Fe in terrestrial basalts relative to peridotites and chondrites, due to equilibrium fractionation between Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ during redox melting (Dauphas et al., 2009, 2014). Accordingly, crustal melting which occurs at lower temperature and likely higher
Table 2
Rhyolite-MELTS modelling parameters adopted in this study.

| Starting composition | Model | fO₂ | Buffer | Open/closed | Pressure (kbar) | Initial T (°C) | Melt fraction at Initial T (wt.%)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>51.41</td>
<td>①</td>
<td>–11.7</td>
<td>FMQ+3</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>②</td>
<td>–15.1</td>
<td>FMQ+0</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>16.61</td>
<td>③</td>
<td>–16.1</td>
<td>FMQ–1</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃total</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>④</td>
<td>–11.1</td>
<td>FMQ+2</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>⑤</td>
<td>–12.1</td>
<td>FMQ+1</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>⑥</td>
<td>–16.7</td>
<td>FMQ–3</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI (H₂O)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial δ⁵⁶Fe</td>
<td>0.15‰</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Major elements data (wt.%) are from Li et al. (2014).

An intermediate δ⁵⁶Fe value of basaltic trachyandesite was chosen as initial δ⁵⁶Fe.

Fig. 5. Modelling major element and Fe isotope compositions of melts produced by partial melting of basaltic trachyandesite materials using the Rhyolite–MELTS software. The curves are the predicted correlations between (a) SiO₂ and MgO, (b) SiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ total, (c) δ⁵⁶Fe and Fe₂O₃ total, and (d) δ⁵⁶Fe and MgO under variable oxidation states. Diamond symbols on the curves represent melt produced at each step (8°/C step) in the fractional melting modelling, and detailed information relevant to each step can be seen in Table S2. Parameters used in the model are shown in Table 2. The arrows indicate the order of melt extraction with increasing melting degree. At each step, isotopic fractionation factor between fractional melt and residual solid is calculated using the relevant force constant of Fe bond. As a first-order estimate, force constant data for silicate glasses (Dauphas et al., 2014) are taken to represent the melt. For melts with SiO₂ < 70 wt.%, force constants in less silicic glasses (i.e. 199 N/m for Fe²⁺ and 351 N/m for Fe³⁺) are adopted. Force constants in solids are calculated using the weighted average value of the residual minerals (Jackson et al., 2009; Dauphas et al., 2014; Roskosz et al., 2015; Sossi and O’Neill, 2017).
oxygen fugacity (i.e. higher Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe) may fractionate Fe isotopes more than mantle melting. Telus et al. (2012) interpreted the systematically higher $\delta^{56}$Fe (from 0.038‰ to 0.196‰) in leucosome relative to associated melanosome as crustal melting. Therefore, we scrutinize the role of crystallization and partial melting in fractionating Fe isotopes in the Hailar volcanic rocks.

5.4.1. Fe isotopes in basaltic trachyandesites

In the less differentiated samples, mafic minerals (e.g. olivine, pyroxene) are the major host of Fe, crystallization of which could be important in fractionating Fe isotopes. We evaluate the effect of this process based on major and trace element data. The low Mg-numbers (23 to 52), low Ni, Cr and Sc concentrations as well as small Eu–anomalies, suggest that the basaltic trachyandesites might have undergone extensive crystallization of olivine and clinopyroxene (Li et al., 2014). Therefore, crystallization is likely to be responsible for the variation of $\delta^{56}$Fe (0‰ to 0.24‰) in basaltic trachyandesite samples (Fig. 2a). The obviously higher $\delta^{56}$Fe in individual basaltic trachyandesite sample (e.g. FK10–96, 0.24 ± 0.02‰) than the mean oceanic basalts (−0.1‰) can be explained by early fractionation of isotopically light minerals (e.g. olivine) during mafic magmatic differentiation.

5.4.2. $\delta^{56}$Fe of trachytes–rhyodacites and modelling using Rhyolite–MELTS

Trachytes–rhyodacites were produced by melting of the juvenile lower crustal lithologies with compositions similar to the basaltic trachyandesite samples (Li et al., 2014). To simulate the generation of trachytes–rhyodacites by fractional melting of basaltic trachyandesite material, the software Rhyolite–MELTS based on minimization of thermodynamic free energy was utilized (Gualda et al., 2012). We focused on the evolution of major elements and Fe isotope compositions of the partial melts, to reproduce the trend defined by trachyte–rhyodacite samples through modelling under varying conditions (Table 2). At each melting step, the program calculated the composition and mass of fractional melt and residual minerals. The melt was then instantaneously removed from the system. The least evolved basaltic trachyandesite (FK10–101) was chosen to represent the source of the trachytes–rhyodacites. The temperature increased from 760 °C to 1000 °C in an increment of 8 °C (Table 2), and pressure was fixed at 2 kbar. The evolution paths of the melt produced by fractional melting were modelled under varying f$_{O2}$ to +3 in both closed and open systems to investigate how redox conditions affect the elemental and Fe isotopic compositions of the resulting melts.

To quantitatively examine Fe isotopic variation of the fractional melts when melting progresses, we used the force constant of Fe bond in residual minerals to obtain the equilibrium isotope fractionation factor. The weighted-average force constant of Fe bond in residual minerals was calculated based on the published data for minerals (Dauphas et al., 2009, 2014; Jackson et al., 2009; Roskosz et al., 2015; Sossi and O’Neill, 2017). Force constants of Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ end members in dacitic glass (Dauphas et al., 2014) were adopted to represent that of the trachyte–rhyodacite melt. The equilibrium Fe isotope fractionation factor ($\delta^{56}$Fe$_{melt}$–solid) was then calculated using Eq. (8) in Dauphas et al. (2014):

$$\delta^{56}$Fe_{melt} – $\delta^{56}$Fe_{solid} = \frac{F_{melt} – F_{solid}}{\bar{T}^2},$$

where $F$ is the force constant of Fe bond in either the melt or residual solid. The SiO$_2$ content and $\delta^{56}$Fe of melts are plotted against MgO and Fe$_2$O$_3$ totals as indices of partial melting in Fig. 5. As expected, the magnitude of the fractionation decreases with increasing temperature, but it is still significant at temperatures relevant to hydrous basaltic trachyandesite melting (e.g. ~0.4‰ at 750 °C). Overall, melts are enriched in Fe$^{3+}$ and heavy Fe isotopes relative to their solid residues. $\delta^{56}$Fe of melts produced in closed systems (model 1, 2, and 3 in Fig. 5) show a wider range, and they are systematically higher than those in open systems (model 4, 5, and 6 in Fig. 5). Comparison with open systems shows that closed systems cannot buffer $\Delta$O$_2$ to keep Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe of melts constant. As Fe$^{3+}$ preferentially enters into melt, Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe and the corresponding force constant in melt decreases with increasing melting degree. Thus, $\delta^{56}$Fe of melts decreases with increasing melting degree, as coupled with major element compositions (e.g. Mg and Fe contents) (Fig. 5). In the open system, Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe of melt is slightly higher or identical relative to the paired solid phases, resulting in slight or negligible variation in $\delta^{56}$Fe of melt.

The whole-rock compositional trends of trachyte–rhyodacite can be simulated by fractional melting of basaltic trachyandesite within the model covered conditions. In specific, the models well reproduce the trend defined by major elements of the Hailar trachytes–rhyodacites at initially low $\Delta$O$_2$ (i.e. model 6 in Fig. 5a, b). Most modelled results are low in Fe and Mg contents relative to the sample trend, likely ascribed to the chosen source (FK10-101) with lower Fe and Mg contents than the actual source. Meanwhile, the models well reproduce the trend defined by $\delta^{56}$Fe and major contents at intermediate $\Delta$O$_2$ (model 2 in Fig. 5c, d). Therefore, during partial melting of the mafic rocks, partitioning of Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ between felsic melts and mineral residues can produce higher Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe in melt than the residual solid, producing higher mean Fe force constant in melts and thus higher $\delta^{56}$Fe of trachytes–rhyodacites relative to their mafic sources.

5.4.3. Fe isotopes in rhyolites

Rhyolite samples possess almost similar Sr, Nd, and Pb isotopes to the less differentiated lithologies (i.e. basaltic trachyandesite and trachyte–rhyodacite), supporting an origin of the Hailar juvenile crust (Li et al., 2014). Because granite eutectic minimum yields melt with similar major elements to those produced by extensive crystallization (Ebadi and Johannes, 1991), both partial melting and extensive crystallization of intermediate materials can produce rhyolites (Scaillet et al., 2016). It is thus difficult to assign a certain magmatic process responsible for the major element compositions of Hailar rhyolites. The two processes cannot be clearly distinguished using trace element features either.
For example, La/Nb anomaly in rhyolite can be explained by apatite as a crystallization phase or alternatively a residual phase during melting. Therefore, we examine how equilibrium fractionation between rhyolitic melt and Fe-bearing minerals controls Fe isotope variations in both scenarios.

Identification of particular minerals responsible for the processes is not straightforward because: (i) $\delta^{56}$Fe in Hailar rhyolites is not clearly correlated with crystallization indices such as FeO total, SiO$_2$, and TiO$_2$ contents (Figs. 2a and 3), (ii) fractionation factors between Fe-bearing minerals stable in felsic rocks (e.g. biotite, amphibole, magnetite, ilmenite) and rhyolitic melt are almost not known, except that a fractionation factor between pyrrhotite and peralkaline rhyolite was calibrated by Schuessler et al. (2007). However, Fe isotope fractionation during rhyolitic melt-minerals equilibrium exchange can be assessed by plotting $\delta^{56}$Fe in rhyolite versus degree of differentiation, e.g. $f_{\text{rhyolite}}$ or $f_{\text{minerals}}$ (mass fraction of Fe in either the rhyolitic melt or minerals after differentiation). The rhyolitic melt can be considered as either partial melt during melting, or residual melt during crystallization. $f_{\text{minerals}}$ can be expressed as:

$$f_{\text{minerals}} = 1 - f_{\text{rhyolite}} = 1 - \left(\frac{C_{\text{rhyolite}}}{C_{\text{source}}}\right)^{F_{\text{rhyolite} \rightarrow \text{minerals}}},$$

where $C_{\text{rhyolite}}$ and $C_{\text{source}}$ are Fe content in the partial melt or residual melt and the source, respectively. $f_{\text{rhyolite}}$ is the mass fraction of rhyolitic melt, which can be calculated using a batch melting or equilibrium crystallization model:

$$C_{\text{rhyolite}} = f_{\text{rhyolite}} C_{\text{source}} + (1 - f_{\text{rhyolite}}) C_{\text{element}} D,$$

where $C_{\text{element}}$ is the concentration and $D$ is partition coefficient of the element of interest. We assume that the rhyolite samples are derived from a less-evolved trachyte–rhyodacite material, e.g. FK10-81. Thus, $C_{\text{source}}$ is 1.99 wt%, $C_{\text{source}}^{56}$Fe is 127 ppm, and $C_{\text{source}}^{56}$Th is 16 ppm (element data are from Li et al. (2014)). A minimum $D$ close to zero is adopted for both Rb and Th on account of the extreme incompatibility (https://earthref.org/KDD/), which results in an approximation of $f_{\text{rhyolite}}$ which is equal to $C_{\text{source}}/C_{\text{rhyolite}}$ based on Eq. (3). The estimated $f_{\text{minerals}}$ using Rb and Th are almost identical (Table S3), demonstrating that our approximate calculation is reliable. Substituting the calculated $f_{\text{rhyolite}}$ into Eq. (2), $f_{\text{minerals}}$ corresponding to each rhyolite sample can be obtained, and then $f_{\text{rhyolite}}$ can be calculated (Table S3).

Calculated $f_{\text{rhyolite}}$ shows a negative correlation with $\delta^{56}$Fe in rhyolites (Fig. 6), indicating that the Fe isotopic variation in rhyolites is associated with equilibrium partitioning of Fe between minerals and rhyolitic melt and thus degree of differentiation. In terms of partial melting, heavy Fe isotopes preferentially migrate from the source into melt, which results in rhyolite with low $f_{\text{rhyolite}}$ and high $\delta^{56}$Fe. For the case of crystallization process, isotopically light Fe is preferentially partitioned into minerals, and $\delta^{56}$Fe of the melt increases with decreasing $f_{\text{rhyolite}}$.

Both cases show that isotopically heavy Fe$^{56+}$ prefers melt than minerals, consistent with the behavior of Fe isotopes during mantle melting (Dauphas et al., 2009). Fe isotopic variations in Hailar volcanic rocks further demonstrate that intra-crustal magmatism can produce Fe isotope fractionation larger than the ~0.1‰ shift of basalts from peridotites.

It is clear that the key factor controlling the direction and magnitude of Fe isotope fractionation is the integrated Fe isotope fractionation factor between rhyolitic melt and minerals ($\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{rhyolite-minerals}}$). We have calculated the possible range of $\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{rhyolite-minerals}}$ based on the force constant data (Dauphas et al., 2014) and Fe isotope mass balance relationship (Eq. (S1)). Collectively, $\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{rhyolite-minerals}}$ is a function of $F_{\text{source}}$, $F_{\text{rhyolite}}$, $f_{\text{rhyolite}}$, and T (Appendix and Eq. (S9)). Force constants of Fe in rhyolitic and dacitic glasses are adopted from Dauphas et al. (2014) to represent
those in rhyolitic melt and source material with an intermediate composition, respectively. In each phase, the force constant of Fe is the weighted average force constant of Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$, thus a function of Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$. Force constants of Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ are 350 N/m and 207 N/m in dacite, and 385 N/m and 240 N/m in rhyolite, respectively (Dauphas et al., 2014). If all other parameters are fixed, an increment of Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ by 0.1 in dacite source leads to a decrease of 0.05‰ of $\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{melt-minerals}}$ (Table S4), close to current analytical uncertainties. Assuming a dacite source under $\delta$O$_2$ near FMQ (Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$) is equal to the average of trachyte–rhyodacite samples (i.e. 0.2, Table S3), relationship between $\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{rhyolite-minerals}}$ and Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ in rhyolite at typical magma temperatures (1000–1300 K) can be described in Fig. 7. $\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{rhyolite-minerals}}$ decreases proportionally to 1/T$^2$, and increases linearly with Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ in rhyolite at a given temperature.

Our results predict that equilibrium isotope fractionation between rhyolitic melt and minerals could dramatically elevate $\delta^{56}$Fe of rhyolites. For the rhyolites in this study, application of the $\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{rhyolite-minerals}}$ (Table S4) into batch melting and crystallization models can explain the range of $\delta^{56}$Fe (0.20–0.64‰). For a fractional melting or crystallization process, Fe isotope fractionation between melt and mineral could be even larger. Therefore, the high $\delta^{56}$Fe in Hailar rhyolites are attributed to preferential partitioning of isotopically heavy Fe$^{3+}$ into melt relative to minerals during partial melting or crystallization. Therefore, we conclude that intra-crustal magmatism can produce highly heterogeneous Fe isotopes in the continental crust.
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