1. Two QSOs at different redshift are beside each other on the sky. Remarkable! Calculate probability: it is conditional on having noticed this at the start. Thus prob(A|A) = 1, consistent with our measure of belief in something we know.

2. Now calculate probability of finding a galaxy and a quasar within *r* of each other. We search the solid angle Ω and have already found surface densities ζ_G and ζ_Q . On finding a galaxy, we search around it for a quasar. We need

 $\operatorname{prob}(G \text{ in field and } Q \text{ within } r) = \operatorname{prob}(Q \text{ within } r \mid G \text{ in field})\operatorname{prob}(G \text{ in field})$

Assumes probabilities are independent – and this is what we want to test. Without resorting to models:

prob(G in field) = $\varsigma_G \Omega$ prob(Q within r) = $\pi r^2 \varsigma_Q$.

Thus

prob(G in field and Q within
$$r$$
) = $\varsigma_G \varsigma_Q \Omega \pi r^2$.

.....symmetrical in quasar and galaxy surface densities: we could search first for a galaxy or for a quasar. Note strong dependence on search area – specify this before the experiment!

We want to know, given the data, what is the probability/belief state of our model. Priors can change anticipated results in violent and dramatic ways.

Before 1987, 4 naked-eye SNe had been recorded in ten centuries. What, before 1987, was the probability ρ of a bright SN happening in the 20th century?

God's-eye viewpoint: Meaningless! Events are either certain or forbidden. *God does not play dice...*

Data: 4 SNe in 10 centuries. Prior on ρ : total ignorance, uniform on [0, 1]. Model: Binomial, in any century we either get a SN or not (neglecting here the possibility of 2 or more SNe). Posterior probability is then

$$\operatorname{prob}(\rho \mid \operatorname{data}) \propto \left(\frac{10}{4}\right) \rho^4 (1-\rho)^6 \times \operatorname{prior on } \rho.$$

Normalize it,

if,
$$\int_0^1 \operatorname{prob}(\rho \mid \operatorname{data}) \, \mathrm{d}\rho = 1, \qquad \int_0^1 \left(\frac{10}{4}\right) \rho^4 (1-\rho)^6 \, \mathrm{d}\rho,$$

Using Gamma and Beta function,

$$\frac{\Gamma(10)\Gamma(4)}{\Gamma(14)} = B[5,7],$$





But... That was before 1987, say, at the end of 20th century... What about now?





0

But... That was before 1987, say, at the end of 20th century... What about now?

Prior: $\rho^4 (1-\rho)^6 / B[5,7]$

New data: exactly one event of prob. ρ .

Updated posterior:

prob(
$$\rho \mid \text{data}$$
) = $\frac{\rho^{5}(1-\rho)^{6}}{B[6,7]}$

For a long time, objection to Bayesian focused on Bayes/Laplace uniform prior.

Jeffreys (1961), Jaynes (1968): in many cases that's far too agnostic. Intricate arguments led them to other possibilities:

$$prob(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho(1-\rho)}$$
$$prob(\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho(1-\rho)}}.$$
 'Haldane prior'

For a long time, objection to Bayesian focused on Bayes/Laplace uniform prior.

Jeffreys (1961), Jaynes (1968): in many cases that's far too agnostic. Intricate arguments led them to other possibilities:

$$prob(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho(1-\rho)}$$
$$prob(\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho(1-\rho)}}.$$
 'Haldane prior'

We have focused on the peak – many other ways to characterize by a single number. **Posterior mean**: c^1

$$<
ho> = \int_0^1
ho \operatorname{prob}(
ho \mid \operatorname{data}) \mathrm{d}
ho.$$

For a long time, objection to Bayesian focused on Bayes/Laplace uniform prior.

Jeffreys (1961), Jaynes (1968): in many cases that's far too agnostic. Intricate arguments led them to other possibilities:

$$prob(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho(1-\rho)}$$
$$prob(\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho(1-\rho)}}.$$
 'Haldane prior'

We have focused on the peak – many other ways to characterize by a single number. **Posterior mean**: c^1

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \int_0^1 \rho \operatorname{prob}(\rho \mid \operatorname{data}) \mathrm{d}\rho.$$

If we have had N successes and M failures, the posterior mean is given by the famous Laplace's rule of succession: N+1

$$<\rho>=\frac{N+1}{N+M+2}.$$

e.g. *M*=0: "The Sun Also Rises"

e.g. SNe: In the year of 1899, N=4, N+M=10, predicts 5/12 rather than 4/10 (peak).

Characterization by a single number can be often misleading... unless the posterior distribution is very narrow (large samples).

Functions of jointly distributed random variables

PROPOSITION B

Let *X* be a continuous random variable with density f(x) and let Y = g(X) where *g* is a differentiable, strictly monotonic function on some interval *I*. Suppose that f(x) = 0 if *x* is not in *I*. Then *Y* has the density function

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{d}{dy} g^{-1}(y) \right|$$

for *y* such that y = g(x) for some *x*, and $f_Y(y) = 0$ if $y \neq g(x)$ for any *x* in *I*. Here g^{-1} is the inverse function of *g*; that is, $g^{-1}(y) = x$ if y = g(x).

Special case A: sums

X, *Y* are discrete random variables, taking integer values and having joint frequency function p(x, y). What is the frequency function of Z=X+Y?

Whenever X=x and Y=z-x, Z=z, so the probability that Z=z is the sum over all x of these joint probabilities,

$$p_Z(z) = \sum_{x = -\infty}^{\infty} p(x, z - x)$$

If X and Y are independent,

$$p_Z(z) = \sum_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} p_X(x) p_Y(z-x)$$

- convolution of the sequences p_X and p_Y .

Special case A: sums

Continuous case

Find cdf of *Z* first!

$$F_Z(z) = \iint_{R_z} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{z-x} f(x, y) \, dy \, dx$$



Differentiating it, using the rule of chains,

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, z - x) \, dx$$

If X and Y are independent,

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(z - x) \, dx$$

- convolution of the functions f_X and f_Y .

Convolution is everywhere in astronomy:

- Smooth an image with a PSF
- Deriving the star formation history
- combination of multiple effects
- ...

Special case A: sums

Continuous case
$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(z-x) dx$$

Example: The lifetime of a component is exponentially distributed. We have an identical and independent backup component.

Lifetime of the system $S=T_1+T_2$, its pdf is

$$f_S(s) = \int_0^s \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \lambda e^{-\lambda(s-t)} dt$$

Beyond the limits of integration, both components have 0 density.

$$f_{S}(s) = \lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{s} e^{-\lambda s} dt$$
$$= \lambda^{2} s e^{-\lambda s}$$
$$g(t) = \frac{\lambda^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\lambda t}, \qquad t \ge 0$$

Gamma distribution with parameters 2, λ .

Special case B: quotients

Find cdf of Z=Y/X first, again.

 $F_Z(z) = P(Z \le z)$ is the probability of the set of (x, y) such that $y/x \le z$. If x > 0, it is the set $y \le xz$; if x < 0, it is the set $y \ge xz$.

$$F_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{x_z}^\infty f(x, y) \, dy \, dx + \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{x_z} f(x, y) \, dy \, dx$$

Differentiating it, using the rule of chains, insert y=xv (instead of xz),

$$F_{Z}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \int_{z}^{-\infty} xf(x, xv) \, dv \, dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{z} xf(x, xv) \, dv \, dx$$

= $\int_{-\infty}^{0} \int_{-\infty}^{z} (-x)f(x, xv) \, dv \, dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{z} xf(x, xv) \, dv \, dx$
= $\int_{-\infty}^{z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| f(x, xv) \, dx \, dv$

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| f(x, xz) \, dx$$

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| f_X(x) f_Y(xz) \, dx$$

If *X*, *Y* are independent.

Special case B: quotients

Example. How is the ratio of two independent Gaussians distributed?

Consider standard normal distribution, Z=Y/X, then

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|x|}{2\pi} e^{-x^2/2} e^{-x^2 z^2/2} dx \quad f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| f(x, xz) dx$$

Integrand is even,

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

$$f_{Z}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} x e^{-x^{2}((z^{2}+1)/2)} dx \quad u = x^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u((z^{2}+1)/2)} du \quad \lambda = (z^{2}+1)/2$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda \exp(-\lambda x) dx = 1$$

$$f_{Z}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi(z^{2}+1)}, \quad -\infty < z < \infty$$

Cauchy density decreases slower than Gaussians.

The general case





The general case

 R, Θ have joint distribution

$$f_{R\Theta}(r,\theta) dr d\theta = P(r \le R \le r + dr, \theta \le \Theta \le \theta + d\theta)$$

= $f_{XY}(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)r dr d\theta$
 $f_{R\Theta}(r,\theta) = rf_{XY}(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$
 $f_{R\Theta}(r,\theta) = \frac{r}{2\pi}e^{[-(r^2\cos^2\theta)/2 - (r^2\sin^2\theta)/2]} \qquad f_{XY}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-(x^2/2) - (y^2/2)}$
= $\frac{1}{2\pi}re^{-r^2/2}$

Joint density implies that R and Θ are independent variables, Θ is uniform on $[0, 2\pi]$, R has the density

$$f_R(r) = re^{-r^2/2}, \qquad r \ge 0$$

Rayleigh density!



The general case: propositions

Two variables:

Under the assumptions just stated, the joint density of U and V is

$$f_{UV}(u, v) = f_{XY}(h_1(u, v), h_2(u, v)) |J^{-1}(h_1(u, v), h_2(u, v))|$$

for (u, v) such that $u = g_1(x, y)$ and $v = g_2(x, y)$ for some (x, y) and 0 elsewhere.

The general case:

If X_1, \ldots, X_n have the joint density function $f_{X_1 \cdots X_n}$ and $Y_i = g_i(X_1, \ldots, X_n), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$ $X_i = h_i(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$

and if $J(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is the determinant of the matrix with the *ij* entry $\partial g_i / \partial x_j$, then the joint density of $Y_1, ..., Y_n$ is

$$f_{Y_1\cdots Y_n}(y_1,\ldots,y_n) = f_{X_1\cdots X_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)|J^{-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)|$$

wherein each x_i is expressed in terms of the y's; $x_i = h_i(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$.

Redo the previous example

X, Y are independent standard normal random variables, joint pdf is

$$f_{XY}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-(x^2/2) - (y^2/2)}$$

The roles of u, v are played by r, θ :

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow x = r \cos \theta$$

$$y = r \sin \theta$$

Partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \qquad \implies \qquad J(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} = \frac{1}{r}$$
$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}$$

Proposition says:

$$f_{R\Theta}(r,\theta) = r f_{XY}(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$$

for $r \ge 0, 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$, and 0 elsewhere

Lecture 4

Expected values

The expected value is a weighted average: the possible values are weighted by their probabilities. <u>Discrete case</u>:

DEFINITION

If *X* is a discrete random variable with frequency function p(x), the expected value of *X*, denoted by E(X), is

$$E(X) = \sum_{i} x_{i} p(x_{i})$$

The expectation may be undefined!

E(X) is also referred to as the **mean** of X and is often denoted by μ or μ_X .

Mean = Center of mass of the frequency function





Suppose that items produced in a plant are independently defective with probability *p*. Items are inspected one by one until a defective item is found. On the average, how many items must be inspected?

Make a guess!

Suppose that items produced in a plant are independently defective with probability *p*. Items are inspected one by one until a defective item is found. On the average, how many items must be inspected?

X, # of items expected, is a geometric random variable. $P(X=k)=q^{k-1}p, q=1-p$.

$$E(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kpq^{k-1} = p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kq^{k-1} \qquad kq^{k-1} = \frac{d}{dq}q^{k}$$
$$= p\frac{d}{dq} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{k} = p\frac{d}{dq} \frac{q}{1-q}$$
$$= \frac{p}{(1-q)^{2}} = \frac{1}{p}$$

Suppose that items produced in a plant are independently defective with probability *p*. Items are inspected one by one until a defective item is found. On the average, how many items must be inspected?

X, # of items expected, is a geometric random variable. $P(X=k)=q^{k-1}p, q=1-p$.

$$E(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kpq^{k-1} = p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kq^{k-1} \qquad kq^{k-1} = \frac{d}{dq}q^k$$
$$= p \frac{d}{dq} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^k = p \frac{d}{dq} \frac{q}{1-q}$$
$$= \frac{p}{(1-q)^2} = \frac{1}{p}$$
$$E(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k\lambda^k}{k!}e^{-\lambda}$$
Poisson distribution has $E(X) = \lambda$
$$= \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$$
$$= \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!}$$

An expected values=possible values weighted by probabilities. <u>Continuous case</u>:

DEFINITION

If X is a continuous random variable with density f(x), then

$$E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) \, dx$$

provided that $\int |x| f(x) dx < \infty$. If the integral diverges, the expectation is undefined.

Example: Cauchy density has no expectation.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1+x^2} \right), \qquad -\infty < x < \infty \qquad \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|x|}{1+x^2} = \infty$$

An expected values=possible values weighted by probabilities. <u>Continuous case</u>:

DEFINITION

If X is a continuous random variable with density f(x), then

$$E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) \, dx$$

provided that $\int |x| f(x) dx < \infty$. If the integral diverges, the expectation is undefined.

Example: Cauchy density has no expectation.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1+x^2} \right), \qquad -\infty < x < \infty \qquad \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|x|}{1+x^2} = \infty$$

Example: St. Petersburg Paradox

只赚不赔的赌博策略:加倍下注法。假设输赢概率各一半,第0次,下注1元; 输了下2元,再输下4元,再输下8元.....直到第k次赢了为止。最终输掉 1+2+4+...+2^{k-1}=2^k-1元,赢回2^k元,净得至少1元(实际赌博赢时不只回本)。

 ∞

X=最后获胜一注数额,

$$E(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nP(X = n)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = \infty$$

Daniel Bernoulli (1700-1782)

Markov's Inequality

If X is a random variable with $P(X \ge 0) = 1$ and for which E(X) exists, then $P(X \ge t) \le E(X)/t$.

 $E(X) = \sum_{x} xp(x) = \sum_{x < t} xp(x) + \sum_{x \ge t} xp(x)$

Discrete case:

All terms are nonnegative

$$\geqslant \sum_{x \geqslant t} x p(x) \geqslant \sum_{x \geqslant t} t p(x) = t P(X \geqslant t)$$

Probability that X is much bigger than E(X) is small.

Let t = k E(X), then $P(X > k E(X)) \le 1/k$

对任意非负概率分布,大于几倍均值的概率至多是几分之一。

Expectations of functions of random variables

- If
$$Y=g(X)$$
, then $E(Y) = \sum_{x} g(x)p(x)$ $E(Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f(x) dx$

- If $X_1, ..., X_n$ are jointly distributed random variables, $Y=g(X_1, ..., X_n)$, then

$$E(Y) = \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n} g(x_1,\dots,x_n) p(x_1,\dots,x_n)$$

$$E(Y) = \int \int \cdots \int g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n$$

Question: E[g(X)] = g[E(X)]?

Example 1: The mean velocity is v_0 , mean kinetic energy is $mv_0^2/2$?

Example 2: Constant voltage *V*=*IR*, measure *I* many times, average value is ~*E*(*I*), *R*=*V*/*E*(*I*)?

Expectations of functions of random variables

- If
$$Y=g(X)$$
, then $E(Y) = \sum_{x} g(x)p(x)$ $E(Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f(x) dx$

- If $X_1, ..., X_n$ are jointly distributed random variables, $Y=g(X_1, ..., X_n)$, then

$$E(Y) = \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n} g(x_1,\dots,x_n) p(x_1,\dots,x_n)$$

$$E(Y) = \int \int \cdots \int g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n$$

Question: E[g(X)] = g[E(X)]? -- No!

Example 1: The mean velocity is v_0 , mean kinetic energy is $mv_0^2/2$? -- Roughly

Example 2: Constant voltage *V*=*IR*, measure *I* many times, average value is ~*E*(*I*), *R*=*V*/*E*(*I*)? -- Roughly

Example: According to the kinetic theory of gases, the magnitude of the velocity of a gas molecule is random, following the Maxwell's distribution

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{\sigma^3} x^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

What is the mean kinetic energy $Y=mX^2/2?$

- 1. Find the pdf of Y, $f_{\rm Y}$; then calculate E(Y).
- $E(Y) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}mx^2 f_X(x) \, dx$ Use our theorem! 2. $= \frac{m}{2} \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{\sigma^3} \int_0^\infty x^4 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}} dx$

Change variable $u = x^2/2\sigma^2$

$$\frac{2m\sigma^2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty u^{3/2} e^{-u} \, du = \frac{2m\sigma^2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \, \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)$$

 $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$ and $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)$ $E(Y) = \frac{3}{2}m\sigma^2$ Example: A stick of unit length is broken randomly in two places. What is the average length of the middle piece?

Make a guess!!

Example: A stick of unit length is broken randomly in two places. What is the average length of the middle piece?

Make a guess!!

The two break points are independent uniform random variables U_1 and U_2 , find $E |U_1 - U_2|$, Now that $f(u_1, u_2) = 1$, $0 \le u_1 \le 1$, $0 \le u_2 \le 1$),

$$E|U_1 - U_2| = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |u_1 - u_2| \, du_1 \, du_2$$

=
$$\int_0^1 \int_0^{u_1} (u_1 - u_2) \, du_2 \, du_1 + \int_0^1 \int_{u_1}^1 (u_2 - u_1) \, du_2 \, du_1$$

$$u_2 \le u_1$$

= 1/3.

Independence:

COROLLARY A

If X and Y are independent random variables and g and h are fixed functions, then $E[g(X)h(Y)] = \{E[g(X)]\}\{E[h(Y)]\}$, provided that the expectations on the right-hand side exist.

In particular, **E(X,Y) = E(X) E(Y) for independent X, Y**.

Linearity:

If X_1, \ldots, X_n are jointly distributed random variables with expectations $E(X_i)$ and *Y* is a linear function of the $X_i, Y = a + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i X_i$, then

$$E(Y) = a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i E(X_i)$$

Example:

Expectation of binomial distribution is hard to evaluate directly.

$$E(Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} k p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k}$$

Alternatively, consider *Y* as the sum of Bernoulli random variables, $X_i=1$ or 0 (success or failure on the *i*th trial).

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

$$E(X_i) = 0 \times (1-p) + 1 \times p = p \implies E(Y) = np.$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = E\{[X - E(X)]^2\}$$

Discrete $\operatorname{Var}(X) = \sum_{i} (x_{i} - \mu)^{2} p(x_{i})$ $\operatorname{Var}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^{2} f(x) dx$ $\overline{\sigma_{Y} = |b| \sigma_{X}}$

If Var(X) exists and Y = a + bX, then $Var(Y) = b^2 Var(X)$.

Proof

Since E(Y) = a + bE(X), $E[(Y - E(Y))^2] = E\{[a + bX - a - bE(X)]^2\}$ $= E\{b^2[X - E(X)]^2\}$ $= b^2 E\{[X - E(X)]^2\}$ $= b^2 Var(X)$

Bernoulli distribution

X takes on values 0 and 1 with probability 1-p and p, respectively.

$$E(X_i) = 0 \times (1 - p) + 1 \times p = p$$

Var(X) = $(0 - p)^2 \times (1 - p) + (1 - p)^2 \times p$
= $p^2 - p^3 + p - 2p^2 + p^3$
= $p(1 - p)$

Jacob Bernoulli (1654–1705)



Bernoulli distribution

X takes on values 0 and 1 with probability 1-p and p, respectively.

$$E(X_i) = 0 \times (1 - p) + 1 \times p = p$$

Var(X) = $(0 - p)^2 \times (1 - p) + (1 - p)^2 \times p$
= $p^2 - p^3 + p - 2p^2 + p^3$
= $p(1 - p)$

Jacob Bernoulli (1654–1705)



• Jacob Bernoulli (1654 - 1705; also known as James or Jacques) mathematician after whom Bernoulli numbers are named, and author of the early probability text • Nicolaus Bernoulli (1662 - 1716) painter and alderman of Basel

- Johann Bernoulli (1667 1748; also known as Jean) mathematician and early adopter of infinitesimal calculus
- Nicolaus I Bernoulli (1687 1759) mathematician;
- Nicolaus II Bernoulli (1695 1726) mathematician; worked on curves, differential equations, and probability, and originator of the St. Petersburg paradox
- Daniel Bernoulli (1700 1782) developer of Bernoulli's principle and originator of the concept of expected utility for resolving the St. Petersburg paradox
- Johann II Bernoulli (1710 1790; also known as Jean) mathematician and physicist
- Johann III Bernoulli (1744-1807; also known as Jean) astronomer, geographer, and mathematician
- Jacob II Bernoulli (1759 1789; also known as Jacques) physicist and mathematician
- Hans Bernoulli, (1876 1959) architect, designer of the Bernoullihäuser in Zurich and Grenchen SO

$$Var(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2$$

Proof: Var
$$(X) = E[(X - \mu)^2] = (X^2 - 2\mu X + \mu^2)$$

= $E(X^2) - 2\mu E(X) + \mu^2 = E(X^2) - 2\mu^2 + \mu^2 = E(X^2) - \mu^2$

Example: Uniform distribution on [0, 1], E(X)=1/2,

$$E(X^2) = \int_0^1 x^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{3} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{12}$$

Chebyshev's Inequality

Let X be a random variable with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Then, for any t > 0, $P(|X - \mu| > t) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2}$

Proof: Let $Y=(X-\mu)^2$, then $E(Y)=\sigma^2$. Change t to t^2 , apply Markov's inequality to Y. $P(X \ge t) \le E(X)/t$

Probability that X deviates much from E(X) is small.

Let $t = k\sigma$, then $P(|X - \mu| \ge k\sigma) \le 1/k^2$

对任意概率分布,偏离均值几σ的概率至多是几的平方分之一。

Example: Gaussian distribution, 2^o corresponds to how many %?

Here $P(|X-\mu| \ge 2\sigma) \le 1/2^2 = 25\%$

Random error: a sequence of repeated independent measurements made with no deliberate change in the apparatus or experimental procedure still yield uncontrollable fluctuations, which are often modeled as random.

Systematic error: same effect on every measurement, e.g. equipment may be out of calibration, there may be errors associated with the measurement method.



Acceleration due to gravity: Youden (1972), a NIST statistician. Measured at Ottawa, 32 times with each of two methods. Method #2 has smaller scatter.



Speed of light: McNish (1962), Youden (1972). 24 independent determinations of *c*. Methods, e.g. G=geodimeter (光电测距仪)



What does it mean by, e.g. 10.2 ± 1.6 ?

It is often not clear what precisely is meant by such notation. 10.2 is the experimentally determined value and 1.6 is *some measure* of the error.

It is often claimed or hoped that β is negligible relative to σ , and in that case 1.6 represents σ or some multiple of σ .



FIRAS instrument on COBE satellite, Nobel prize 2006



What does it mean by, e.g. 10.2 ± 1.6 ?

It is often not clear what precisely is meant by such notation. 10.2 is the experimentally determined value and 1.6 is *some measure* of the error.

It is often claimed or hoped that β is negligible relative to σ , and in that case 1.6 represents σ or some multiple of σ .

An overall measure of the size of the measurement error that is often used is the **mean squared error** $MSE = E[(X - x_0)^2]$

$$MSE = \beta^2 + \sigma^2$$

$$E[(X - x_0)^2] = \operatorname{Var}(X - x_0) + [E(X - x_0)]^2$$
$$= \operatorname{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2 = \operatorname{Var}(X) + \beta^2$$
$$= \sigma^2 + \beta^2$$

Covariance and correlation

Covariance (协方差)

$$Cov(X, Y) = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)]$$

Positively associated: X > mean, Y > mean, Cov > 0; Negatively associated: X > mean, Y < mean, Cov < 0.

$$Cov(X, Y) = E(XY - X\mu_Y - Y\mu_X + \mu_X\mu_Y)$$

= $E(XY) - E(X)\mu_Y - E(Y)\mu_X + \mu_X\mu_Y$

Cov (X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X) E(Y)

X, Y independent, E(XY)=E(X)E(Y), Cov (X, Y)=0

In parallel,
$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2$$

Bilinear property of covariance

$$U = a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i X_i \text{ and } V = c + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j Y_j.$$
 Then

$$\operatorname{Cov}(U, V) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_i d_j \operatorname{Cov}(X_i, Y_j)$$

Therefore:

$$\operatorname{Var}(a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_i b_j \operatorname{Cov}(X_i, X_j).$$
$$\operatorname{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}(X_i), \text{ if the } X_i \text{ are independent.}$$

Var(X+Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y)

独立与否,均有 $E(\sum X_i) = \sum E(X_i)$ 只有独立,才有 $Var(\sum X_i) = \sum Var(X_i)$

Example: Random Walk

A drunken walker starts out at a point x_0 on the real line. He takes a step on length X_1 , which is a random variable with expected value μ and variance σ , and his position at that time is $S(1) = x_0 + X_1$. He then takes another step of length X_2 , which is independent of X_1 with the same mean and standard deviation. His position after *n* such steps is $S(n) = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$. Then

$$E(S(n)) = x_0 + E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = x_0 + n\mu$$

$$Var(S(n)) = Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = n\sigma^2$$

Brownian motion is a continuous time version of a random walk with the steps being normally distributed random variables.

- Robert Brown: 1827, apparently spontaneous motion of pollen grains suspended in water
- Albert Einstein: 1905, due to collisions with randomly moving water molecules
- Louis Bachelier: 1900, PhD thesis "The theory of speculation" related random walks to the evolution of stock prices

Correlation coefficient (相关系数)

X and Y are jointly distributed random variables,

$$\rho = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)\operatorname{Var}(Y)}} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$

Example.

X, Y follow a bivariate normal distribution, their covariance is $\rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y$



Problem set #2

Suppose that in a numerical simulation, you need to generate some fake noise that follows a **standard normal distribution**. Since the cdf has no closed form, let us do it in two ways:

- 1. Rejection method. (cf. Lec 3, pp. 28). Although a Gaussian is defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$, you can approximate it by a large enough interval (e.g. [-3, 3], [-5, 5]), and choose m(x) to be uniformly distributed. Plot a histogram using your output data.
- 2. *Polar method.* In Lec 4 we find that if *X*, *Y* are Gaussian, Θ is uniform on $[0, 2\pi]$, *R* has a Rayleigh density. Let $T=R^2$, by calculating the cdf (Lec 2, pp. 32), we obtain

$$f_T(t) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-t/2}, \qquad t \ge 0 \qquad \qquad f_{T\Theta}(t,\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)e^{-t/2}$$

where the joint density is due to the fact that T, Θ are also independent. R^2 is exponential!

Therefore, we can the do following: First, you generate independent random variables U_1 and U_2 , both uniformly distributed on [0, 1]. Then $-2 \log U_1$ is exponentially distributed with parameter $\frac{1}{2}$, and $2\pi U_2$ is uniform on $[0, 2\pi]$. Therefore, the following *X*, *Y* are independent standard normal random variables. Again, plot a histogram demonstrating that your output indeed follows N(0, 1).

$$X = \sqrt{-2\log U_1}\cos(2\pi U_2) \qquad \qquad Y = \sqrt{-2\log U_1}\sin(2\pi U_2)$$