

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

System-Size Induced Coherence Resonance in Coupled Minimal Cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> Oscillation Models

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2006 Chinese Phys. Lett. 23 508 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0256-307X/23/2/065) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 150.135.239.97 This content was downloaded on 09/09/2015 at 15:24

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## System-Size Induced Coherence Resonance in Coupled Minimal Cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> Oscillation Models \*

WANG Mao-Sheng(汪茂胜)<sup>1,2</sup>, HOU Zhong-Huai(侯中怀)<sup>1\*\*</sup>, XIN Hou-Wen(辛厚文)<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026 <sup>2</sup>College of Physics and Electronic Information, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241000

(Received 9 November 2005)

We have investigated the collective behaviour of an array of coupled minimal cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillation models by numerical methods, taking into account the external noise resulting from the random extracellular stimulation. It is found that the system size, i.e. the number of minimal cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillation models, has an optimal value, at which the system collective dynamics shows the best performance. The effect of the coupling strength has also been studied. Such a phenomenon of system-size resonance has been found for different coupling strengths, but the optimal system size increases when the coupling strength increases.

PACS: 87.16. Ac, 05.40. - a, 87.10. + e

It is well known that intercellular calcium  $(Ca^{2+})$ often acts as a second messenger in the cytosol of living cells. The oscillations of the intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$ have been reported in a variety of non-excitable cells,<sup>[1]</sup> and appear to be important in the control of many cellular processes.<sup>[2]</sup> For example,  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillations increase the efficiency and specificity of gene expression,<sup>[3]</sup> Ca<sup>2+</sup> regulates the phosphorylationdephosphorylation cycle process involved in glycogen degradation,<sup>[4]</sup> etc. Thus great attention has been paid to the nonlinear dynamics of  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillation models,<sup>[5,6]</sup> especially to the constructive effects of noise in the stochastic  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillation models. A lot of interesting phenomena have been found, such as noise-induced bursting and coherence resonance,<sup>[5]</sup> which shows that a type of complex oscillation (bursting-like) can occur in the cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$ concentration and coherence resonance phenomenon can be produced through the histogram of interspike intervals and the characteristic time of  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration when the extracellular stimulation is random. Internal noise stochastic resonance,<sup>[6]</sup> where stochastic calcium oscillations appear when the internal noise is considered and there is an optimal internal noise intensity at which such oscillations show best performance. In Ref. [6], the internal noise intensity is proportional to  $1/\sqrt{\Omega}$ , where  $\Omega$  is the total cell volume, hence this reported phenomenon is in fact a type of system-size resonance (SSR) where the system size (i.e., the total cell volume  $\Omega$ ) plays an constructive role.

Very recently, a new and quite interesting SSR phenomenon has gained much attention.<sup>[7,8]</sup> For instance, Pikovsky *et al.* showed that in an array of coupled noise-driven bistable systems subjected to an external periodic forcing, an optimal response is obtained when the number of elements in the system has an opti-

mal value.<sup>[7]</sup> Similar effects have also been reported in Ref. [8]. This effect was explained by reduction to the usual phenomena of stochastic resonance and coherence resonance with effective noise intensity depending on the number of coupled elements, that is to say, the system size (the number of coupled elements) plays a role in changing the effective noise intensity that is subjected to the mean field. In fact, it is a cooperate effect of the noise and the element number, which is an problem of optimization.<sup>[9]</sup> Motivated by the biological applications suggested in Ref. [7], we expect that this kind of SSR can also be observed in coupled  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillation models. Thus in this Letter, we consider the collective dynamic behaviour of an array of coupled minimal calcium models,<sup>[10]</sup> each subjected to uncorrelated random extracellular stimulations. We show that for an optimal system-size, the number of calcium models, the collective behaviour of the system has a maximal order. The effect of coupling strength has also been investigated.

The model discussed in the present study is based on the minimal model for intracellular calcium oscillations with the mechanism of calcium induced calcium release (CICR).<sup>[10]</sup> Although there are many different models of intracellular calcium oscillations, this minimal model has been frequently studied even in recent years.<sup>[5,6,11]</sup> Here, we choose such a minimal model to simply illustrate how the system-size would influence the calcium oscillations. The dynamics of a single minimal cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillation model can be described by the following equations:<sup>[10]</sup>

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = v_0 + v_1\beta - v_2 + v_3 + k_f Y - kZ, \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = v_2 - v_3 - k_f Y, \tag{2}$$

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos 20203017 and 20433050, and the Foundation for the Author of National Excellent Doctoral Dissertation of China (FANEDD).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast\ast}$  To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: hzhlj@ustc.edu.cn

<sup>©2005</sup> Chinese Physical Society and IOP Publishing Ltd

with

$$v_{2} = V_{M2} \frac{Z^{n}}{K_{2}^{n} + Z^{n}},$$
  

$$v_{3} = V_{M3} \left(\frac{Y^{m}}{K_{R}^{m} + Y^{m}}\right) \left(\frac{Z^{p}}{K_{A}^{p} + Z^{p}}\right).$$
 (3)

In these equations, Z and Y denote the concentration of free  $Ca^{2+}$  in the cytosol and in the IP3insensitive pool, respectively;  $v_0$  refers to a constant influx of  $Ca^{2+}$  from the extracellular medium;  $v_1$  denotes the IP<sub>3</sub>-modulated influx from the IP<sub>3</sub>-sensitive store. The rates  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  refer to the pumping of  $Ca^{2+}$  into the IP<sub>3</sub>-insensitive store and the release of  $Ca^{2+}$  from this store into the cytosol in a process activated by cytosolic  $\operatorname{Ca}^{2+}$ ;  $V_{M2}$  and  $V_{M3}$  denote the maximum values of these rates;  $k_f$  is a rate constant measuring the passive linear leak of Y to Z; k relates to the assumed linear transport of cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  into the extracellular medium. Parameter  $K_2$ ,  $K_R$  and  $K_A$  are the threshold constants for pumping, release, and activation; n, m and p denote the Hill coefficients characterizing these processes. Especially, the parameter  $\beta$  measures the saturation of IP<sub>3</sub> receptor and is selected as the control parameter, which rises with the level of the stimulus and varies from 0 to 1. The detailed meanings of these parameters can be found in Ref. [10]. The parameter values employed are as follows:  $k_f = 0.7 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ,  $k = 10 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ,  $v_0 =$  $1 \,\mu \text{Mmin}^{-1}, c_1 = 5.7 \,\mu \text{Mmin}^{-1}, V_{M2} = 30 \,\mu \text{Mmin}^{-1},$  $V_{M3} = 325 \,\mu \text{Mmin}^{-1}, \ K_2 = 0.5 \,\mu \text{M}, \ K_R = 1.7 \,\mu \text{M},$  $K_A = 0.46 \,\mu\text{M}, \, n = 2, \, m = 2 \text{ and } p = 4.$ 

With the above parameter values and setting the control parameter  $\beta = 0.1$ , a low steady-state level of cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> is established.<sup>[5]</sup> If considering the effects of random extracellular stimulation, we add additive noise terms  $\xi_Z(t)$  and  $\xi_Y(t)$  on the right of Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively. Here  $\xi_Z(t)$  and  $\xi_Y(t)$  is the Gaussian white noise with zero mean, and the correlation function is  $\langle \xi_a(t)\xi_b(t')\rangle = 2D\delta_{ab}\delta(t-t')$  (a, b = Z, Y). D denotes the intensity of noise.

Now the dynamics of an array coupled minimal cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillation models can be described by the following equations:

$$\frac{dZ_i}{dt} = v_0 + v_1\beta - v_{2i} + v_{3i} + k_f Y_i - kZ_i + \varepsilon (Z_{i-1} + Z_{i+1} - 2Z_i) + \xi_{Zi}(t), \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{dY_i}{dt} = v_{2i} - v_{3i} - k_f Y_i + \xi_{Yi}(t), \tag{5}$$

where

$$v_{2i} = V_{M2} \frac{Z_i^n}{K_2^n + Z_i^n}, v_{3i} = V_{M3} \left(\frac{Y_i^m}{K_R^m + Y_i^m}\right) \left(\frac{Z_i^p}{K_A^p + Z_i^p}\right), \quad (6)$$

with  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Here N is the number of minimal

cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> oscillation models; periodic boundary conditions are used;  $\varepsilon$  is the coupling strength. To study the collective behaviour of the coupled system, we introduce the average values of  $Z_i$ ,

$$Z'(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_i(t)$$

Numerical integration of Eqs. (4)-(6) is carried out by explicit Euler with a time step 0.001 min. In each calculation, the time evolution of the system lasted 4000 min after transient was discarded.



Fig. 1. The spike trains of Z' of a single minimal cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> oscillation model for different noise strengths: (a)  $\log D = -2.82$ , (b)  $\log D = -1.42$ , (c)  $\log D = -0.72$ .

For a single minimal cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillation model (N = 1), the noise-induced spikes can be observed as shown in Fig. 1. When the noise is weak, the cytosolic calcium concentration only shows smallamplitude oscillations with very few occasional firing spikes, as shown in Fig. 1(a). If the noise intensity is too large, although the spike firing becomes more frequently, the regularity of the spike train is smeared (Fig. 1(c)). Consequently, for intermediate noise intensity, the spike train is more regular (Fig. 1(b)). To characterize the regularity of the spike train, we have calculated R, which is defined as

$$R = \frac{\langle T \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle T^2 \rangle - \langle T \rangle^2}}$$

Here  $\langle T \rangle$  and  $\langle T^2 \rangle$  are the mean and mean-squared interspike intervals, respectively; a spike occurs each

time, and Z' crosses  $0.4 \,\mu$ M. Note that R represents a measure of the spike coherence. The spike train is the more ordered, the larger R is obtained. The dependence of R on the noise intensity for a single model is displayed in Fig. 2. It shows that R goes through a maximum at around  $D \approx 0.038$  (log D = -1.42), corresponding to the best regularity of the spike train. This phenomenon has been termed as coherence resonance.



**Fig. 2.** The spike coherence R versus D of a single minimal cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> oscillation model. The maximal R shows the coherence resonance.



**Fig. 3.** The spike coherence R versus N for a given noise intensity  $(\log D = -1.42)$ . The maximal R shows the system-size resonance. The coupling strength  $\varepsilon = 2.0$ .

We now turn to the collective behaviour of N(>1) coupled models. The coupling strength is  $\varepsilon = 2.0$  unless specially specified. For a given noise intensity, e.g.,  $D \approx 0.038$  (log D = -1.42), we find that R goes through a maximum when array size N changes, as depicted in Fig. 3. Therefore, there is an optimal number of elements at which the spike train is most ordered, demonstrating the existence of system-size resonance.

To obtain a global view, we have scanned the system-size N and noise intensity D simultaneously over a relatively wide parameter range, keeping other parameters unchanged. The results are shown in

Fig. 4(a). We find a clear "optimal island" inside the N-D parameter plane where the value of R reaches the maximum, indicating that the collective behaviour becomes even better ordered when both the system size and the noise intensity have optimal values. In other words, the optimal number of coupled cells subjected to optimal external noise works the best.



**Fig. 4.** The contour plot of the spike coherence R as a function of N and D for different coupling strengths: (a)  $\varepsilon = 2.0$ , (b)  $\varepsilon = 4.0$ , (c)  $\varepsilon = 6.0$ .

In real systems, the coupling strength is also an important parameter, and the collective behaviour of the coupled system may strongly depend on the coupling strength. To further demonstrate this behaviour, we have also investigated the effect of the coupling strength, and the results are also shown in Fig. 4. For Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), the coupling strengths read  $\varepsilon = 4.0$  and  $\varepsilon = 6.0$ , respectively. For different coupling strengths we obtain the similar results, expect that the optimal island position shifts along the direction of the increasing system size N and the coupling strength.

In conclusion, we have studied the collective behaviour dynamics of an array of coupled minimal cytosolic  $\operatorname{Ca}^{2+}$  oscillation models. The Models are tuned in a regime which is sub-threshold for the deterministic oscillatory dynamics. In such a regime, stochastic calcium oscillations are observed, which are induced by the random extracellular stimulation. The collective response of the system, the average cytosolic calcium oscillations, becomes the most regular when the number of cells N and the noise intensity D has optimal values. Namely, the system size resonance and coherence resonance occur. Our findings may find interesting applications for intercellular calcium signaling processes in vivo.

## References

- Berridge M, Lipp P and Bootman M 1999 Curr. Biol. 9 157
- Berridge M 1993 Nature 361 315
   Ying Y J and Li W H 2003 Chin. Phys. Lett. 20 1168
   Goldbeter A 1996 Biochemical Oscillations and Cellular Rhythms (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [3] Dolmetsch R E, Xu K and Lewis R S 1998 Nature 392 933
- [4] Rozi A and Jia Y 2003 Biophys. Chemist. 106 193
- Wu D, Jia Y and Rozi A 2004 Biophys. Chemist. 110 179
  [5] Jia Y, Yang L J, Wu D, Liu Q and Zhan X 2004 Chin. Phys. Lett. 21 1666
- [6] Li H Y, Hou Z H and Xin H W 2005 Phys. Rev. E 71 061196
- [7] Pikovsky A, Zaikin A and de la Casa M A 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 050601
- [8] Toral R, Mirasso C R and Gunton J D 2003 Europhys. Lett.
  61 162
  Hong H, Kim B J and Choi M Y 2003 Phys. Rev. E 67 046101
  Wang M S, Hou Z H and Xin H W 2004 Chem. Phys. Chem. 5 1602

Wang M S, Hou Z H and Xin H W 2005 Phys. Lett. A **334** 93

- [9] Zhang X P and Bao J D 2005 Chin. Phys. Lett. 22 283
- [10] Goldbeter A, Dupont G and Berridge M 1990 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 87 1461
- [11] Gracheva M E and Gunton D 2003 J. Theor. Biol. 221 513