

2-Distance Problems

Theorem 1. (Frankl-Wilson, 1981) If \mathcal{F} is an L -intersecting family in $2^{[n]}$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{|L|} \binom{n}{k}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ where $|A_1| \leq |A_2| \leq \dots \leq |A_m|$. For $i \in [m]$, let $f_i(\mathbf{x})$ in \mathbb{R}^n by

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{l \in L, l < |A_i|} (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A_i} - l).$$

So $f_i(\mathbf{x})$ is a polynomial with n variables and with degree $\leq |L|$.

Claim 1: f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m are linearly independent.

Pf of Claim 1: Take $\mathbf{1}_{A_1}, \mathbf{1}_{A_2}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{A_m}$, we have

- $f_i(\mathbf{1}_{A_i}) = \prod_{l \in L, l < |A_i|} (|A_i| - l) > 0$
- $f_i(\mathbf{1}_{A_j}) = \prod_{l \in L, l < |A_i|} (|A_i \cap A_j| - l) = 0$

Because \mathcal{F} is L -intersecting $\Rightarrow \exists l \in L$ with $l = |A_j \cap A_i|$ and $l < |A_i|$.

Observation: All vector $\mathbf{1}_{A_j}$ are 0/1- vectors. Thus, we can define a new polynomial $\tilde{f}_i(\mathbf{x})$ from $f_i(\mathbf{x})$ by replacing all term x_i^k by x_i .

So for all 0/1- vectors \mathbf{v} we still have $\tilde{f}_i(\mathbf{v}) = f_i(\mathbf{v})$. This also shows that $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2, \dots, \tilde{f}_m$ are linearly independent. We see each $\tilde{f}_i(\mathbf{x})$ is a linear combination of the monomials $\prod_{i \in I} x_i$ where $I \in [n]$ and $|I| \leq |L|$. And clearly the

number of each monomials is $\sum_{k=0}^{|L|} \binom{n}{k}$ which is also is the dimension of the space containing $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2, \dots, \tilde{f}_m$. So

$$|\mathcal{F}| = |m| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{|L|} \binom{n}{k}$$

■

Theorem 2. Let p be a prime and $L \leq Z_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in 2^{[n]}$ be s.t.

- $|A| \notin L \pmod{p}$
- $|A \cap B| \in L \pmod{p}$ for $\forall A \neq B \in \mathcal{F}$

Then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{|L|} \binom{n}{k}$

Proof. All operations are mod p . Define $f_i(\mathbf{x})$ over Z_p^n for each set in $\mathcal{F} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ by

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{l \in L} (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A_i} - l).$$

Then

- $f_i(\mathbf{1}_{A_i}) = \prod_{l \in L} (|A_i| - l) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$
- $f_i(\mathbf{1}_{A_j}) = \prod_{l \in L} (|A_i \cap A_j| - l) = 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i \neq j$

So f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m are linearly independent over Z_p^n .

The remaining proof is identical to the proof of Thm 1

$$\Rightarrow |\mathcal{F}| = m \leq \sum_{k=0}^{|L|} \binom{n}{k}$$

■

Theorem 3. (Frankl-Wilson) For any prime p , there is a graph G on $n = \binom{p^3}{p^2-1}$ vertices s.t. the size of minimum clique or maximum independent set is $\leq \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p^3}{i}$

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be as follows:

- $V = \binom{[p^3]}{p^2-1}$
- for $A, B \in V$, $A \sim_G B$ iff $|A \cap B| = p - 1 \pmod{p}$

Consider the max clique with vertices sat $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m \in \binom{[p^3]}{p^2-1}$ ■

Thus we have

- $|A_i \cap A_j| \neq p - 1 \pmod{p}$, for $i \neq j$
- $|A_i| = p^2 - 1 = p - 1 \pmod{p}$

By Thm 2 with $L = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p - 2\} \subseteq Z_p$ we have $m \leq \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p^3}{i}$

Consider the maximum independent set, say B_1, B_2, \dots, B_s , then $|B_i \cap B_j| = p - 1 \pmod{p}$ for $i \neq j$. So $|B_i \cap B_j| \in \{p - 1, 2p - 1, \dots, p(p - 1) - 1\} = L^*$ with $|L^*| = p - 1$.

By Thm 1 with L^* we have $s \leq \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p^3}{i}$

Corollary 4.

$$R(k + 1, k + 1) \geq k^{\Theta\left(\frac{\log(k)}{\log(\log(k))}\right)}$$

Proof. Let $k = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p^3}{i}$, $n = \binom{p^3}{p^2-1}$.

$$\Rightarrow k \simeq \binom{p^3}{p} \simeq (p^2)^p \simeq p^{2p}, \quad n \simeq \left(\frac{p^3}{p^2}\right)^{p^2} \simeq p^{p^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \log(k) \simeq \Theta(p \log(p))$$

$$\Rightarrow \log(\log(p)) \simeq \log(p)$$

$$\Rightarrow p = \Theta\left(\frac{\log(k)}{\log(\log(k))}\right), \quad n \simeq (p^{2p})^{p/2} \simeq k^{\Theta\left(\frac{\log(k)}{\log(\log(k))}\right)}$$

■

Definition 5. Given a set $S \subseteq R^n$ (bounded), the diameter of S is defined as $Diam(S) = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in S\}$ (Euclidean distance between x and y in R^n)

Borswk's Conjecture: Every bounded $S \subseteq R^n$ can be partitioned into $d + 1$ sets of strictly smaller diameter.

This was verified for all $S \subseteq R^n$ with $d \leq 3$ and for all $S = sphere$. However, using Thm 1 and 2 one show this is false!

Lemma 6. For prime p , there is a set of $\frac{1}{2} \binom{4p}{2p}$ vectors in $\{-1, 1\}^{4p}$ s.t. every subset of size $2 \binom{4p}{p-1}$ vectors contains an orthogonal pair of vectors.

Proof. Let $Q = \{I \in \binom{[4p]}{2p} : 1 \in I\}$, then $|Q| = \frac{1}{2} \binom{4p}{2p}$.

For $\forall I \in Q$, define $\mathbf{v}^I \in \{-1, 1\}^{4p}$ by

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in I \\ -1, & i \notin I \end{cases}$$

Claim: $\mathbf{v}^I \perp \mathbf{v}^J$ iff $|I \cap J| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathbf{v}^I : I \in Q\}$ with $|\mathcal{F}| = |Q| = \frac{1}{2} \binom{4p}{2p}$.

Proof. $\mathbf{v}^I \cdot \mathbf{v}^J = |I \cap J| - |I^C \cap J| - |I \cap J^C| + |I^C \cap J^C| = 4p - 2|I \Delta J|$

So $\mathbf{v}^I \perp \mathbf{v}^J$ iff $|I \Delta J| = 2p = 4p - 2|I \cap J|$ iff $|I \cap J| = p$ ■

Claim: For any subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ without orthogonal pairs, then $|\mathcal{G}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{4p}{k} < 2\binom{4p}{p-1}$.

Proof. Consider the corresponding subset $Q' \subset Q$ of \mathcal{G} , i.e. $Q' = \{I \in Q : \mathbf{v}^I \in \mathcal{G}\}$. By claim 1, Q' is a subfamily of $\binom{[4p]}{2p}$ such that

- $|A| = 2p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \forall A \in Q'$
- $|A \cap B| \neq 0 \pmod{p}, \forall A \neq B \in Q'$

By thm 2, $|\mathcal{G}| = |Q'| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{4p}{k}$. ■

\implies maximal subset without orthogonal pairs $\leq \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{4p}{k} < 2\binom{4p}{p-1}$. ■

Theorem 7. For sufficiently large d , there exists a bounded set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (a finite set) such that any partition of S into $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor^{\sqrt{d}}$ subsets contains a subset of the same diameter.

Remark. As $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor^{\sqrt{d}} \gg d + 1$ for large d , this disproves Borsuk's conj.

Definition 8. A tensor product of vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ by $w_{ij} = v_i \cdot v_j$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$

Proof. Take the family \mathcal{F} from the lemma, so $\mathcal{F} \subset \{-1, 1\}^n$ (where $n=4p$) $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $X = \{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{F}\}$ s.t. $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$. For any $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} w_{ij}^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} v_i^2 v_j^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n v_j^2 \right) = n^2 \\ &\implies \|\mathbf{w}\| = n \end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{v}' \otimes \mathbf{v}' \in X$, we have

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}' = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} w_{ij} w'_{ij} = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (v_i v'_i)(v_j v'_j) = \left(\sum v_i v'_i \right)^2 = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}')^2.$$

This implies that

$$\mathbf{w} \perp \mathbf{w}' \iff \mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{v}'$$

$$\text{Also, } \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}'\|^2 = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}'\|^2 - 2\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}' = 2n^2 - 2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}')^2 \leq 2n^2$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} \text{Diam}(X) = \sqrt{2}n \\ |X| = |\mathcal{F}| = \frac{1}{2} \binom{[4p]}{2p} \end{cases}$$

By the lemma, any subset of $2 \binom{4p}{p-1}$ vectors in \mathcal{F} contains an orthogonal pair of vector \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{v}' . Thus, any subset of $2 \binom{4p}{p-1}$ vectors in X must contain a pair $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{v}' \otimes \mathbf{v}'$ with $\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{v}'$ and thus of the maximum distance $\|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}'\| = \sqrt{2}n$. Thus, if we want to decrease the diameter, we must partition X into subsets, each of which has less than $2 \binom{4p}{p-1}$ vectors, so the number of subsets is at least

$$\frac{|X|}{2 \binom{4p}{p-1}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \binom{4p}{2p}}{2 \binom{4p}{p-1}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(3p+1) \cdots 92p+1}{(2p) \cdots (p)} \geq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{p+1} \geq C \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{\sqrt{d}}{4}} \geq 1 \cdot 1^{\sqrt{d}}.$$

where $d = n^2 = 16p^2$ is the dimension of X. ■

Bollobás' Thm

Recall: (Sperner's Thm)

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$ be: $\forall A \neq B \in \mathcal{F}, A \not\subseteq B, B \not\subseteq A$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

LYM-Inequality: For such \mathcal{F} , $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|A|}} \leq 1$.

Theorem 9. (*Bollobás' Thm*) Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m and B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m be the sequences of sets in $[n]$ s.t.

- $A_i \cap B_j \neq \phi, \forall i \neq j$
- $A_i \cap B_i = \phi, \forall i.$

Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}} \leq 1$$

where $a_i = |A_i|, b_i = |B_i|.$

Remark. Condition: $A_i \cap B_j \neq \phi, \forall i \neq j$ can't be weakened to $i < j$, or the base case doesn't hold any more. Counter example:

- $A_1 = \{1\} = B_2, A_2 = B_1 = \phi.$
- $A_1 = \{1\} = B_2, A_2 = \{3\} = B_1, A_3 = \{3\}, B_3 = \{1, 2\}$

Remark. $Bollobás \implies LYM \implies Sperner's$

Proof. Let $X = \cup_{i=1}^m (A_i \cup B_i).$ We prove by induction on $n = |X|.$

Base case: $n = 1 \leftrightarrow A_1 = \{1\}; B_1 = \phi,$ OK.

Assume this holds for $|X| \leq n - 1.$ For $\forall x \in X,$ define $I_x = \{1 \leq i \leq m : x \notin A_i\}.$

Define $\mathcal{F}_x = \{A_i : i \in I_x\} \cup \{B_i - \{x\} : i \in I_x\}.$ Note that any set of $_x$ doesn't contain $x,$ so \mathcal{F}_x has less than n elements. Hence we apply induction hypothesis for each \mathcal{F}_x to get:

$$\sum_{i \in I_x} \frac{1}{\binom{|A_i|+|B_i-\{x\}|}{|A_i|}} \leq 1 \tag{1}$$

We summing up the above inequalities for all $x \in X$ to get:

$$\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i \in I_x} \frac{1}{\binom{|A_i| + |B_i - \{x\}|}{|A_i|}} \leq n \quad (2)$$

For each i , it contributes either 0, or $\frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}}$ or $\frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i-1}{a_i}}$ to each x . The term $\frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}}$ corresponds to points $x \notin A_i \cup B_i$, thus this term appears exactly $(n - a_i - b_i)$ times.

While, the term $\frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i-1}{a_i}}$ corresponds to points $x \notin A_i \& x \in B_i$, thus this term appears exactly b_i times.

$$(2) \implies \sum_{i=1}^m \left[(n - a_i - b_i) \frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}} + b_i \frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i-1}{a_i}} \right] \leq n$$

Since $\frac{\binom{k-1}{l}}{\binom{k}{l}} = \frac{k-l}{k}$, we get $\frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i-1}{a_i}} = \frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}} \cdot \frac{a_i+b_i}{b_i}$, plugging in,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left[(n - a_i - b_i) \frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}} + \frac{a_i + b_i}{\binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}} \right] \leq n$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^m n \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}} \leq n$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}} \leq n$$

■

Definition 10. Let \mathbb{F} be a field, a set $A \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ is general position if any n vectors in A are linearly independant over \mathbb{F} .

Examples. For $a \in \mathbb{F}$, define $\mathbf{m}(a) = (1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ (moment curve). Then $\{\mathbf{m}(a) : a \in \mathbb{F} \text{ is a general position}\}$.

Next, we use the so-called "general position" argument to prove a version of *Bollobás's* Thm, which is weaker than the previous one. But, on the other hand, the condition can be generalized to $A_i \cap B_j \neq \phi$ for $\forall i < j$.

Theorem 11. (*Bollobás' Thm(the skew version)*) *Let A_1, \dots, A_m be sets of size r and B_1, \dots, B_m be the sets of size s , such that :*

- $A_i \cap B_j \neq \phi, \forall i \neq j$
- $A_i \cap B_i = \phi, \forall i.$

Then,

$$m \leq \binom{r+s}{s}$$

.

Proof. (By *Lovász*): Let $X = \cup_i (A_i \cup B_i)$.

Take a set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ of vectors $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_r)$ such that

- V is in general position
- $|V| = |X|$

Identify the elements of X with vectors in V . Hence, we will view A_i as a subset in V containing r vectors and B_j as a subset in V containing s vectors.

For each B_j , define $f_j(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{\mathbf{v} \in B_j} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{v} \in B_j} (v_0 x_0 + \dots + v_r x_r)$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$, note that

$$f_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for some } \mathbf{v} \in B_j. \quad (3)$$

Consider the subspace span A_i , which is spanned by the r vector in A_i , since $A_i \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ and V is in general position, we see that all r vectors in

A_i are linearly independent and thus $\dim(\text{span}A_i) = r$. So, $(\text{span}A_i)^\perp$ has dimension 1. Choose $\mathbf{a}_i \in (\text{span}A_i)^\perp$ for $i=1, \dots, m$. Then for each $\mathbf{v} \in V$,

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a}_i \rangle = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbf{v} \in \text{span}A_i \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbf{v} \in A_i. \quad (4)$$

(O.W. $\mathbf{v} \notin A_i$, $\{\mathbf{v}\} \cup A_i$ has $r+1$ vectors in V , which must be linearly independent, contradicting to $\mathbf{v} \in \text{span}A_i$)

Combing (3)&(4), $f_j(\mathbf{a}_i) = \prod_{\mathbf{v} \in B_j} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a}_i \rangle = 0$ iff $A_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$

$$\implies \begin{cases} f_j(\mathbf{a}_i) = 0, \forall i < j \\ f_j(\mathbf{a}_i) \neq 0, \forall j \end{cases}$$

This shows that f_1, \dots, f_m are linearly independent.

Next, we give an upper bound on the dimension of the space containing f_1, \dots, f_m .

Recall: $f_j(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{\mathbf{v} \in B_j} (v_0x_0 + \dots + v_rx_r)$, it is homogeneous with degree $s = |B_j|$ and $r+1$ variables (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r) . So this polynomial space can be generated by all monomials of follows:

$$x_0^{i_0} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r}, \quad \text{where} \quad i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_r = s, i_j \geq 0$$

There are $\binom{r+s}{r}$ many solutions! So $m \leq$ the dimension $= \binom{r+s}{s}$. ■