On Two problems of Defective Choosability of Graphs

Jie Ma^{*} Rongxing Xu[†] Xuding Zhu[‡]

June 26, 2023

Abstract

Given positive integers $p \geq k$, and a non-negative integer d, we say a graph G is (k, d, p)-choosable if for every list assignment L with $|L(v)| \geq k$ for each $v \in V(G)$ and $|\bigcup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)| \leq p$, there exists an L-coloring of G such that each monochromatic subgraph has maximum degree at most d. In particular, (k, 0, k)-choosable means k-colorable, $(k, 0, +\infty)$ -choosable means k-choosable and $(k, d, +\infty)$ -choosable means d-defective k-choosable. This paper proves that there are 1-defective 3-choosable planar graphs that are not 4-choosable, and for any positive integers $\ell \geq k \geq 3$, and nonnegative integer d, there are (k, d, ℓ) -choosable graphs that are not $(k, d, \ell+1)$ -choosable. These results answer questions asked by Wang and Xu [SIAM J. Discrete Math. 27, 4(2013), 2020-2037], and Kang [J. Graph Theory 73, 3(2013), 342-353], respectively. Our construction of (k, d, ℓ) -choosable but not $(k, d, \ell + 1)$ -choosable graphs generalizes the construction of Král' and Sgall in [J. Graph Theory 49, 3(2005), 177-186] for the case d = 0.

1 Introduction

A coloring of a graph G is a mapping ϕ which assigns to each vertex v a color. The *defect* of a vertex v, denoted by $\lambda_G(v, \phi)$, is the number of neighbors of v which have the same color as v. A coloring ϕ is *d*-defective if $\lambda_G(v, \phi) \leq d$ for each vertex $v \in V(G)$. A 0-defective coloring is also called a proper coloring.

Assume G is a graph and $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}^+$ is a mapping. An *f*-list assignment of G is a list assignment L of G which assigns to each vertex v a set L(v) of f(v) colors. Given a

^{*}School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China. E-mail: jiema@ustc.edu.cn. Supported in part by the National Key R and D Program of China 2020YFA0713100, National Natural Science Foundation of China grants 12125106, Innovation Program for Quantum Science and Technology 2021ZD0302904, and Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies grant AHY150200.

[†]School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China and School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321000, China. E-mail: xurongxing@ustc.edu.cn. Supported by Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies grant AHY150200. Supported also by NSFC grant 11871439.

[‡]School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321000, China. E-mail: xdzhu@zjnu.edu.cn. Grant Number: NSFC 11971438, U20A2068.

list assignment L and nonnegative integer d, a d-defective L-coloring ϕ of G is a d-defective coloring ϕ of G such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex v. We say G is d-defective f-choosable if G has a d-defective L-coloring for any f-list assignment L.

Given a mapping $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}^+$ and two nonnegative integers d, p, we say G is (f, d, p)choosable if for any f-list assignment L with $|\bigcup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)| \leq p$, there exists a d-defective L-coloring of G. In particular, (k, 0, k)-choosable is called k-colorable, $(k, 0, +\infty)$ -choosable is called k-colorable, $(k, d, +\infty)$ -choosable is called d-defective k-colorable, $(k, d, +\infty)$ -choosable is called d-defective k-colorable.

Defective coloring of planar graphs was first studied by Cowen, Cowen and Woodall [1]. They proved that every outerplanar graph is 2-defective 2-colorable and that every planar graph is 2-defective 3-colorable. These results were strengthened to defective list coloring by Eaton and Hull [2] and Škrekovski [12] independently. They proved that every planar graph is 2-defective 3-choosable and every outerplanar graph is 2-defective 2-choosable.

These results motivated some problems on the relation among defective colorability, defective choosability and choosability of planar graphs. It is known that there are 4-choosable planar graphs that are not 1-defective 3-colorable [13] (hence not 1-defective 3-choosable). Wang and Xu [13] asked the following.

Question 1 Is every 1-defective 3-choosable graph 4-choosable?

This paper gives a negative answer to this question in a stronger form as following.

Theorem 2 There are 1-defective 3-choosable planar graphs that are 4-choosable.

By definition, for any $d \ge 0$, if G is $(k, d, +\infty)$ -choosable, then it is (k, d, p)-choosable for any $p \ge k$. Král' and Sgall [7] showed that for each $\ell \ge k \ge 3$, there exists a $(k, 0, \ell)$ -choosable graph which is not $(k, 0, \ell + 1)$ -choosable. Kang [6] asked the following question.

Question 3 Given positive integers k, d, does there exist an integer $\ell_{k,d}$ such that every $(k, d, \ell_{k,d})$ -choosable graph is $(k, d, +\infty)$ -choosable?

Our second result answers this question in negative for $k \ge 3$, which generalizes the construction of Král' and Sgall [7] to the cases of $d \ge 0$.

Theorem 4 For any integers $d \ge 0$ and $\ell \ge k \ge 3$, there exists a (k, d, ℓ) -choosable graph which is not $(k, d, \ell + 1)$ -choosable.

2 Proof of Theorem 2

The gadget graph T depicted in Figure 1 was constructed by Gutner [4] (see Fig. 1) and used in the construction of many counterexamples for several topics related to list coloring of planar graphs [9, 10, 11, 14].



Fig. 1. The gadget graph T in [4].

For a positive integer k, let T(k) be the graph obtained from the disjoint union of k copies of T by identifying all the copies of top vertex, denoted still by u, and identifying all the copies of the bottom vertex, denoted still by v. It is known [4, 11] that for any $k \ge 16$, T(k)is not 4-choosable.

To prove Theorem 2, it suffices to show that if $k \leq 26$, then T(k) is 1-defective 3-choosable.

We first construct some 1-defective 3-colorings for some special list assignments of T. We assume T are labeled as in Fig.1.

Lemma 5 Let $H = T - \{u, v\}$, and f(w) = 2 for $w \in V(H) \setminus \{x, y, z\}$ and $f(x), f(y), f(z) \ge 1$. If one of f(x), f(y), f(z) = 2, then H is 1-defective f-choosable.

Proof. Assume f(y) = f(z) = 1 and f(x) = 2 and L is an f-list assignment of H. Let $\phi(y), \phi(z)$ be the unique color in L(y), L(z), respectively. Let $\phi(u_2) \in L(u_2) - \{\phi(y)\}, \phi(v_2) \in L(v_2) - \{\phi(z)\}, \phi(u_1) \in L(u_1) - \{\phi(z)\}, \phi(v_1) \in L(v_1) - \{\phi(z)\}$ and $\phi(x) \in L(x) - \{\phi(u_1)\}$. It is straightforward to verify that ϕ is a 1-defective L-coloring of H.

Assume f(z) = 2 and f(x) = f(y) = 1 and L is an f-list assignment of H. Let $\phi(x), \phi(y)$ be the unique color in L(x), L(y), respectively. Let $\phi(u_1) \in L(u_1) - \{\phi(x)\}, \phi(z) \in L(z) - \{\phi(u_1)\}, \phi(v_1) \in L(v_1) - \{\phi(z)\}, \phi(u_2) \in L(u_2) - \{\phi(z)\}$ and $\phi(v_2) \in L(v_1) - \{\phi(y)\}$. Again it is straightforward to verify that ϕ is a 1-defective L-coloring of H.

Corollary 6 Let L be a list assignment of T with $L(u) = \{\alpha\}$, $L(v) = \{\beta\}$ and $|L(w)| \ge 3$ for $w \in V(T) \setminus \{u, v\}$. If $\alpha = \beta$, or $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\} \not\subseteq L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$, or $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z) - \{\alpha, \beta\} \neq \emptyset$, then T has a 1-defective L-coloring ϕ such that $\lambda_T(u, \phi) = \lambda_T(v, \phi) = 0$.

Proof. Let L' be the list assignment of $H = T - \{u, v\}$ defined as $L'(w) = L(w) - \{\alpha, \beta\}$ if $w \in \{x, y, z\}$, and $L'(w) = L(w) - \{\alpha\}$ for $w \in \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $L'(w) = L(w) - \{\beta\}$ for $w \in \{v_1, v_2\}$. If $\alpha = \beta$ or $\{\alpha, \beta\} \not\subseteq L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$, then it follows from Lemma 5 that Hhas a 1-defective L'-coloring ϕ . Extend ϕ to T by letting $\phi(u) = \alpha$ and $\phi(v) = \beta$, then ϕ is a 1-defective L-coloring ϕ such that $\lambda_T(u, \phi) = \lambda_T(v, \phi) = 0$.

If $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z) - \{\alpha, \beta\} \neq \emptyset$, say $c \in L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z) - \{\alpha, \beta\}$, then let $\phi(x) = \phi(y) = \phi(z) = c$, $\phi(w) \in L(w) - \{\alpha, c\}$ for $w \in \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\phi(w) \in L(w) - \{\beta, c\}$ for $w \in \{v_1, v_2\}$. It is straightforward to verify that ϕ is a 1-defective *L*-coloring ϕ such that $\lambda_T(u, \phi) = \lambda_T(v, \phi) = 0$. **Lemma 7** Let L be a list assignment of T with $L(u) = \{\alpha\}$, $L(v) = \{\beta\}$ and $|L(w)| \ge 3$ for $w \in V(T) \setminus \{u, v\}$. Then T has a 1-defective L-coloring ϕ such that $\lambda_T(u, \phi) = 0$, and a 1-defective L-coloring ϕ such that $\lambda_T(v, \phi) = 0$.

Proof. By Corollary 6, it suffices to consider the case that $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$. Let $\phi(u) = \alpha, \phi(v) = \beta, \phi(x), \phi(z)$ be the unique color in $L(x) - \{\alpha, \beta\}, L(z) - \{\alpha, \beta\}, respectively.$ Let $\phi(u_1) \in L(u_1) - \{\alpha, \phi(x)\}, \phi(v_1) \in L(v_1) - \{\beta, \phi(z)\}, \phi(u_2) \in L(u_2) - \{\alpha, \phi(z)\}, \phi(v_2) \in L(v_2) - \{\beta, \phi(z)\}.$ If $\phi(u_2) \neq \phi(v_2)$, then let $\phi(y) \in L(y) - \{\phi(u_2), \phi(v_2)\}.$ Otherwise, let $\phi(y)$ be any color in $\{\alpha, \beta\}$. It is easy to verify that ϕ is a 1-defective coloring of T. In most cases, $\lambda_T(u, \phi) = \lambda_T(v, \phi) = 0$, except that in the last case, if $\phi(y) = \alpha$, then $\lambda_T(v, \phi) = 0$, if $\phi(y) = \beta$, then $\lambda_T(u, \phi) = 0.$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let L be any 3-list assignment of T(k), we shall give a 1-defective 3-coloring ϕ of T(k). Without loss of generality, we assume that |L(w)| = 3 for each vertex w. If $L(u) \cap L(v) \neq \emptyset$, say $\alpha \in L(u) \cap L(v)$, then let $\phi(u) = \phi(v) = \alpha$. By Corollary 6, ϕ can be extended to a 1-defective coloring of T(k) so that $\lambda_T(u, \phi) = \lambda_T(v, \phi) = 0$.

Assume $L(u) \cap L(v) = \emptyset$. For each pair of colors (α, β) with $\alpha \in L(u), \beta \in L(v)$, a copy of T is called (α, β) -tight if $\{\alpha, \beta\} = L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$ (here x, y, z refer to the corresponding vertices in that copy of T). Note that if a copy of T is (α, β) -tight, then it is not (α', β') -tight for any $(\alpha', \beta') \neq (\alpha, \beta)$. So by pigeonhole principle, there is a pair (α, β) with $\alpha \in L(u), \beta \in L(v)$ such that there are at most two copies of T, say T^1 and T^2 , that are (α, β) -tight. Let $\phi(u) = \alpha, \phi(v) = \beta$. By Corollary 6, for each other copy T' of T, ϕ can be extended to a 1-defective L-coloring of T^1 so that $\lambda_{T'}(u, \phi) = \lambda_{T'}(v, \phi) = 0$. By Lemma 7, ϕ can be extended to a 1-defective L-coloring of T^2 so that $\lambda_{T^2}(v, \phi) = 0$. Thus ϕ can be extended to a 1-defective L-coloring of T^2 so that $\lambda_{T^2}(v, \phi) = 0$. Thus ϕ can be extended to a 1-defective L-coloring of T^2 so that $\lambda_{T^2}(v, \phi) = 0$.

3 Proof of Theorem 4

Let $\ell \ge k \ge 3$ and $d \ge 0$ be any fixed integers. In this section we prove Theorem 4 by constructing graphs that are (k, d, ℓ) -choosable but not $(k, d, \ell + 1)$ -choosable.

Lovász [8] proved that every graph G with $\Delta(G) + 1 \leq k(d+1)$ is d-defective k-colorable. This was generalized to list-version by Hendrey and Wood (see Corollary 3.2 in [5]).

Lemma 8 ([5]) Every graph G with $\Delta(G) + 1 \leq k(d+1)$ is d-defective k-choosable.

Lemma 8 implies that every complete graph with k(d+1) vertices is d-defective k-choosable. In this paper, we need the following slightly stronger statement.

Lemma 9 Suppose G is a complete graph of order k(d+1), and v is any vertex in G. Assume L is a list assignment with $|L(u)| \ge k$ for any $u \in V(G) \setminus \{v\}$ and |L(v)| = 1. Then G has a d-defective L-coloring.

Proof. Assume $L(v) = \{c\}$. Let $V_c = \{u \in V(G) : c \in L(u)\}$. If $|V_c| \ge d$, then we choose arbitrary d-subset $D \subset V_c$, and color all the vertices in D and v with color c, also we delete the color c from $V_c - D$. Note that G - v - D is a complete graph of order (k - 1)(d + 1),

and each vertex has at least (k-1) colors available. By Lemma 8, we can color G - v - D without using c and with defect d by colors from the lists. So assume that $|V_c| \leq d - 1$. Then we color all the vertices in V_c with color c. Note that $G' = G - V_c$ still satisfies that $\Delta(G') + 1 \leq k(d+1)$ and each vertex has k colors available. Then we can extend the coloring to whole G by Lemma 8.

The following lemma follows from Lemma 3.3 in [5].

Lemma 10 ([5]) Let L be a k-list assignment of a graph G. Let A, B be a partition of V(G), where G[A] is d-defective L-colorable. If for every vertex $v \in B$,

$$(d+1)|N_G(v) \cap A| + \deg_B(v) + 1 \le (d+1)k,$$

then G is d-defective L-colorable.

Given a graph G and an non-negative integer d, we denote by G * d the graph obtained from the disjoint union of G and |V(G)| copies of the complete graph K_d , denoted as $\{B_v : v \in V(G)\}$, by identifying v with one vertex of B_v . Fig. 2 shows the graph $C_9 * 4$.



Fig. 2. $C_9 * 4$

Lemma 11 $H = C_{2k+1} * (2d+2)$ is not d-defective 2-colorable.

Proof. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2k+1}$ be the vertices of C_{2k+1} in order, and $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{2k+1}$ be the corresponding vertex-set of the 2k+1 copies of K_{2d+2} . Assume there is a *d*-defective 2-coloring ϕ of H. Since B_i is a clique of order 2(d+1), each of the two colors is used d+1 times by ϕ in B_i . Therefore, $\phi(v_i) \neq \phi(v_j)$ whenever $v_i v_j \in E(C_{2k+1})$. This is a contradiction, as C_{2k+1} is not 2-colorable.

Lemma 12 Let $H = C_{2k+1} * (2d+2)$, with $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2k+1}$ be the vertices of C_{2k+1} in this cyclic order. Let $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{2k+1}$ be the corresponding vertex-set of the 2k + 1 copies of K_{2d+2} . Assume L is a list assignment of H with $|L(u)| \ge 2$ for $u \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k} B_i$ and $|L(u)| \ge 3$ for $u \in B_{2k+1}$. Then for any color c, H has a d-defective L-coloring ϕ such that at most one vertex in B_{2k+1} is colored with c in ϕ .

Proof. As $|L(v_{2k+1})| \ge 3$, there is a proper L-coloring ϕ of C_{2k+1} . We then extend ϕ from each v_i to B_i by Lemma 9 so that color c is not used on other vertices of B_{2k+1} . This is possible since each vertex in B_{2k+1} still has at least two colors available.

Lemma 13 Assume $k \ge 3$, $t \ge 2$, $d \ge 0$ and $\ell = k - 2 + t$. There exists a graph H(t, d, k) = (V, E) with a precolored independent set $T = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t\}$ for which the following hold:

- Assume the precoloring ϕ of T uses t distinct colors in $[\ell + 1]$. Then there is a k-list assignment L of H(t, d, k) with $L(v) \subseteq [\ell + 1]$ for each vertex v such that ϕ cannot be extended to a d-defective coloring ψ of H(t, d, k) with $\lambda_{H(t,d,k)}(u_i, \psi) = 0$ for each $u_i \in T$. On the other hand, if $d \ge 1$, then for any k-list assignment L of H(t, d, k) T, ϕ can be extended to a d-defective L-coloring ψ of H(t, d, k) such that $\lambda_{H(t,d,k)}(u_i, \psi) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t 1$ and $\lambda_{H(t,d,k)}(u_t, \psi) \le 1$.
- Assume the precoloring ϕ of T uses with at most t-1 colors. Then for any k-list assignment L of H(t, d, k) T, ϕ can be extended to a d-defective L-coloring ψ of H(t, d, k) such that $\lambda_{H(t,d,k)}(u_i, \psi) = 0$ for each $u_i \in T$.

Proof. We consider three cases according to the values of ℓ and k.

Case 1. $t \le k - 1$ (hence $3 \le k \le \ell \le 2k - 3$).

Let H(t, d, k) be the join of a complete graph $K_{(d+1)(k-t)+1}$ and an independent set $T = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t\}$. Assume ϕ is a precoloring of T.

Assume ϕ uses t distinct colors in $[\ell + 1]$. Let S be k colors in $[\ell + 1]$ that contains $\phi(T)$, and let L(v) = S for all $v \in V(H(t, d, k) - T)$.

We then show that ϕ cannot be extended to a *d*-defective coloring ψ of H(t, d, k) with $\lambda_{H(t,d,k)}(u_i, \psi) = 0$. Assume to the contrary that ϕ is extended to a *d*-defective coloring ψ of H(t, d, k) with $\lambda_{H(t,d,k)}(u_i, \psi) = 0$. Then vertices in the complete graph $K_{(d+1)(k-t)+1}$ are colored by k - t colors. So one color class contains at least d + 2 vertices, which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if $d \ge 1$, then for any k-list assignment L of H(t, d, k) - T, let w be an arbitrary vertex in $V(H(t, d, k) - T, L'(v) = L(v) - \phi(T)$ for $v \in V(H(t, d, k) - T - \{w\},$ and $L'(w) = L(w) - \phi(T - \{u_t\})$. We have $|L'(v)| \ge k - t$ for any $v \in V(K_{(d+1)(k-t)+1}) - \{w\}$, and $|L'(w)| \ge k - t + 1$. By Lemma 8, $K_{(d+1)(k-t)+1}$ has a d-defective L'-coloring, which is an extension of ϕ to a d-defective L-coloring of H(t, d, k) with $\lambda_{H(t,d,k)}(u_i, \psi) = 0$ for each $u_i \in T - \{u_t\}$, and $\lambda_{H(t,d,k)}(u_t, \psi) \le 1$.

If ϕ use at most t-1 colors, then for any k-list assignment L of V(H(t,d,k)) - T, let $L'(v) = L(v) - \phi(T)$ for $v \in V(H(t,d,k)) - T$. We have $|L'(v)| \ge k - t + 1$ for any $v \in V(K_{(d+1)(k-t)+1})$. By Lemma 8, $K_{(d+1)(k-t)+1}$ has a d-defective L'-coloring, which is an extension of ϕ to a d-defective L-coloring of H(t,d,k) with $\lambda_{H(t,d,k)}(u_i,\psi) = 0$ for each $u_i \in T$.

Case 2. $t \ge k = 3$ (hence $\ell \ge 2k - 2 = 4$).

Let $T = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t\}$ be an independent set. Let $s \in \{\binom{t}{2}, \binom{t}{2}+1\}$ be an odd integer, and let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_a : 1 \le a \le s\}$ be a set disjoint copies of K_{d+1} . Let $\pi : [s] \to \{(i, j) : 1 \le i < j \le t\}$ be a surjective map, and for each $a \in [s]$ with $\pi(a) = (i, j)$, connect each vertex of X_a to u_i and u_j . Add the graph $H = C_s * 2(d+1)$, with $\{B_a : 1 \le a \le s\}$ be the corresponding vertex-set of the s copies of K_{2d+2} . For each $a \in [s]$, choose one vertex $x_a \in X_a$ and connect x_a to all the vertices in B_a . This completes the construction of H(t, d, 3). Fig. 3 is an example with t = 4 and d = 3.

Assume ϕ is a precoloring of T.

Assume ϕ uses t distinct colors in $[\ell + 1]$. Let L be a 3-list assignment of H(t, d, 3) - T defined as follows: For $x \in X_a$ with $a \in [s]$ and $\pi(a) = (i, j)$, let $L(x) = \{\phi(u_i), \phi(u_j), \beta\}$ and



Fig. 3. H(4,3,3); The green vertices are x_i s and red vertices are v_i s in the copy of $C_7 * 8$. We used the pair (u_3, u_4) twice as $\binom{4}{2}$ is even.

 $L(y) = \{\phi(u_1), \phi(u_2), \beta\}$ for $y \in V(H)$, where $\beta \in [\ell+1] \setminus \phi(T)$ is an fixed color. We shall show that ϕ cannot be extended to a *d*-defective coloring ψ of H(t, d, 3) with $\lambda_{H(t,d,3)}(u_i, \psi) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. Otherwise, assume ϕ is extended to a *d*-defective coloring ψ of H(t, d, 3)with $\lambda_{H(t,d,3)}(u_i, \psi) = 0$. Then vertices in X_a are all colored by β for each $a \in [s]$, and hence vertices in H are colored with $\phi(u_1)$ and $\phi(u_2)$. This is in contrary to Lemma 11.

On the other hand, for any k-list assignment L of H(t, d, 3) - T, let L' be the list assignment of H obtained from L by deleting all the colors used in ϕ on $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{s-1}\}$. It is clear that

$$|L'(w)| \ge \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } w \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{s-1} B_i, \\ 3, & \text{if } w \in B_s. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 12, we can extend the ϕ to a *d*-defective coloring of *H*, such that at most one vertex in B_s uses $\phi(x_s)$, which yields a *d*-defective *L*-coloring as desired.

Assume ϕ uses at most t-1 distinct colors. Then at least two vertices u_i, u_j in T received the same color. Without loss of generality, assume $\pi(s) = (i, j)$. Then we extend ϕ to $V(H(t, d, 3)) \setminus V(H)$ by assigning $\phi(w) \in L(w) \setminus \phi(N_{H(t,d,3)}(w)) \cap T)$ for each vertex $w \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} V(X_i)$ such that w has defect at most d if $w \neq x_s$ and at most d-1 if $w = x_s$, this is possible as each X_i has exactly d+1 vertices and x_s has two colors available.

Finally, we need to extend ϕ to H. Let L' be the list assignment of H defined as

$$L'(v) = \begin{cases} L(v) - \{\phi(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}, & \text{if } v \in V(H) \setminus B_s, \\ L(v) - \{\phi(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}, & \text{if } v \in B_s. \end{cases}$$

Then $|L'(u)| \ge 2$ for $u \in V(H) \setminus B_s$, and $|L'(u)| \ge 3$ if $u \in B_s$. Since $d \ge 1$, then by Lemma 12, we can extend ϕ to a *d*-defective *L'*-coloring of *H* such that at most one vertex in B_s is colored with $\phi(x_s)$, which yields a desired coloring.

Case 3. $t \ge k > 3$ (hence $\ell \ge 2k - 2 \ge 6$).

Let $T = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t\}$ be an independent set. Let $s \in \{\binom{t}{k-2}, \binom{t}{k-2} + 1\}$ be an odd integer. Denote by $\binom{[t]}{k-2}$ the set of (k-2)-subsets of $[t] = \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$. Let H be a copy of $C_s * (2d+2), B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_s$ be the corresponding vertex-set of the s copies of K_{2d+2} . Let

 $\pi : [s] \to {\binom{[t]}{k-2}}$ be a surjective map. For $a \in [s]$, connect each vertex in B_a to $\{u_i : i \in \pi(a)\}$. This completes the construction of H(t, d, k).

Suppose ϕ is a precoloring of T with t distinct colors in $[\ell + 1]$. For each B_i , let $T_i \subset T$ be the neighbors of vertices of B_i . Let L be a k-list assignment for vertices in H, such that for any $w \in B_i$, $L(w) = \bigcup_{v \in T_i} \{\phi(v)\} \cup \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$, where $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [\ell + 1] \setminus \phi(T)$ (note that $\ell + 1 - t \geq 2$). If ϕ can be extended to a d-defective coloring ψ of H(t, d, k) with $\lambda(u_i, \psi) = 0$, then vertices in H can be only colored with two colors $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$. But this is not possible by Lemma 11.

On the other hand, if $d \ge 1$, for any k-list assignment L of H(t, d, 3) - T, let L' be the list assignment of H obtained from L as follows (without loss of generality, we assume that $t \in \pi(s)$):

$$L'(w) = \begin{cases} L(w) \setminus \phi(T), & \text{if } w \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{s-1} B_i \\ L(w) \setminus \phi(T - u_i), & \text{if } w \in B_s. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $|L'(w)| \ge 2$ if $w \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{s-1} B_i$ and $|L'(w)| \ge 3$ if $w \in B_s$. Therefore, by Lemma 12, we can extend the ϕ to a *d*-defective coloring of *H*, such that at most one vertex in B_s uses $\phi(x_s)$, which yields a *d*-defective *L*-coloring as desired.

Assume that ϕ is a precoloring of T with t-1 distinct colors, and L is a k-list assignment of H. It follows that at least two vertices in T received same color, say u_1 and u_2 . Without loss of generality, assume that $\{1,2\} \subset \pi(s)$. Let L' be the list assignment of H obtained from L by deleting all the colors used in ϕ on T. It is clear that $|L(w)| \geq 2$ and moreover, $|L(w)| \geq 3$ if $w \in B_s$. So we can extend the precoloring to H by Lemma 12 as desired.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Assume $\ell \ge k \ge 3$ and $d \ge 0$. We shall construct a graph which is (k, d, ℓ) -choosable but not $(k, d, \ell + 1)$ -choosable.

Let $t = \ell + 2 - k \ge 2$. Let $G_1 = K_{k(d+1)}$, and $z \in V(G_1)$. Take k disjoint copies of $K_{(k-1)(d+1)}$, which are denoted as $C_1, C_2, \ldots C_k$. Connect all the vertices of $C_1 \cup \ldots \cup C_k$ to the vertex z. The resulting graph is G_2 .

Let $q = \binom{(k-1)(d+1)}{k-1}$. For i = 1, 2, ..., k, let $X_{i,1}, X_{i,2}, ..., X_{i,q}$ be the family of all the (k-1)-subsets of $V(C_i)$. For $1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le q$, take t copies of disjoint complete graphs K_{d+1} , which are denoted by $D_{i,j,1}, D_{i,j,2}, ..., D_{i,j,t}$. For s = 1, 2, ..., t, connect all the vertices in $D_{i,j,s}$ to all vertices in $X_{i,j}$. The resulting graph is G_3 .

For $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq q$, take a *t*-set $T_{i,j} = \{u_{i,j,1}, u_{i,j,2}, \ldots, u_{i,j,t}\}$ with $u_{i,j,s} \in V(D_{i,j,s})$, and build a copy $H_{i,j}(t, d, k)$ of H(t, d, k) described in Lemma 13 with $T = T_{i,j}$. This completes the construction of the graph G. See Fig. 4 for illustration.

We first show that G is not $(k, d, \ell + 1)$ -choosable. We shall construct a k-list assignment L of G, with $L(v) \subseteq [\ell + 1]$ for each vertex v, such that G is not d-defective L-colorable.

For each vertex v in the subgraph G_2 , let $L(v) = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k, 1 \leq j \leq q, 1 \leq s \leq t$, let $\theta_{i,j} : [t] \to \{i, k+1, k+2, \ldots, \ell+1\}$ be a bijection. For $v \in D_{i,j,s}$, let $L(v) = ([k] \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{\theta_{i,j}(s)\}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq q$, for $v \in V(H_{i,j}(t,d,k)) - T_{i,j}$, let $L(v) = L_{i,j}(v)$, where $L_{i,j}$ is a list assignment defined as follows:

Let $\phi_{i,j}$ be the coloring of $T_{i,j}$ defined as $\phi_{i,j}(u_{i,j,s}) = \theta_{i,j}(s)$. By Lemma 13, there is a k-list assignment $L_{i,j}$ of $H_{i,j}(t,d,k)$ with $L_{i,j}(v) \subseteq [\ell+1]$ such that $\phi_{i,j}$ cannot be extended to a *d*-defective coloring $\psi_{i,j}$ of $H_{i,j}(t,d,k)$ with $\lambda_{H_{i,j}(t,d,k)}(u_{i,j,s},\psi_{i,j}) = 0$ for each $u_{i,j,s} \in T_{i,j}$.



Fig. 4. Illustration of the main construction

Now we prove that G is not d-defective L-colorable. Assume to the contrary that G has an L-coloring ψ with defect d. Assume $\pi(z) = r$. Since G_1 is a complete graph with k(d+1)vertices, there must be d neighbors of z in G_1 colored with r. Then the (k-1)(d+1)vertices of C_r are colored with $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \setminus \{r\}$. Hence each of the k-1 colors is used d+1 times in ψ . Assume $X_{r,p}$ is a (k-1)-subset of C_r that are colored by distinct colors from $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \setminus \{r\}$. Note that each vertex $x \in X_{r,p}$ has d neighbors in C_r that are colored the same color as x. As all vertices in $D_{r,p,1}, D_{r,p,2}, \ldots, D_{r,p,t}$ are adjacent to $X_{r,p}$. For each $1 \leq s \leq t$, we have $\psi(v) = \theta_{r,p}(s)$ for each vertex $v \in V(D_{r,p,s})$. In particular, $\psi(u_{r,p,s}) = \theta_{r,p}(s)$ and $u_{r,p,s}$ has d neighbors in $D_{r,p,s}$ that are colored the same color as $u_{r,s,p}$. This implies that $\lambda_{H_{r,p}(t,d,k)}(u_{r,p,s},\psi) = 0$ for $s = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. This is a contradiction, as $\psi(u_{r,p,s}) = \theta_{r,p}(s) = \phi_{r,p}(u_{r,p,s})$, and by Lemma 13, $\phi_{r,p}$ cannot be extended to a d-defective L-coloring of $H_{r,p}(t,d,k)$ with $\lambda_{H_{r,p}(t,d,k)}(u_{r,p,s},\psi) = 0$ for $s = 1, 2, \ldots, t$.

Lastly, we show that G is (k, d, ℓ) -choosable. Assume L is any k-list assignment of G with $L(v) \subseteq [\ell]$. We shall show that G is d-defective L-colorable.

By Lemma 8, there is a *d*-defective *L*-coloring ϕ of G_1 . By Lemma 10, ϕ can be extended to a *d*-defective *L*-coloring of G_2 (by setting $A = V(G_1)$ and $B = V(G_2) \setminus V(G_1)$). Similarly, by Lemma 10, we can extend ϕ to a *d*-defective *L*-coloring of G_3 . Note that each vertex in $D_{i,j,s}$ has (k-1) neighbors in C_i and *d* neighbors in $D_{i,j,s}$, so it also satisfies the inequality in Lemma 10 by setting $A = V(G_2)$ and $B = V(G_3) \setminus V(G_2)$.

It remains to show that we can extend ϕ to $H_{i,j}(t, d, k)$ for $1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le q$.

First assume that for any $u, v \in X_{i,j}$, $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$ and for any $x \in X_{i,j}$, $\lambda_{G_3}(x, \phi) = d$. In this case, there are only $\ell - (k - 1) = t - 1$ colors available for vertices in $\bigcup_{s=1}^{t} V(D_{i,j,s})$. So at least two of vertices in $T_{i,j}$ received the same color By Lemma 13, we can extend ϕ to $H_{i,j}(t,d,k)$. In particular, if d = 0, then ϕ is a 0-defective coloring, i.e., a proper coloring of G_3 , implying that for any $u, v \in X_{i,j}$, $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$ and for any $x \in X_{i,j}$, $\lambda_{G_3}(x, \phi) = 0 = d$. Hence ϕ can be extended to $H_{i,j}(t,d,k)$. Next assume that $\phi(u) = \phi(v)$ for some $u, v \in X_{i,j}$ or $\lambda_{G_3}(x, \phi) \leq d-1$ for some $x \in X_{i,j}$. In either case, it is enough to modify ϕ on $D_{i,j,t}$ (if necessary) such that $\lambda_{G_3}(u_{i,j,t}, \phi) \leq d-1$. So it suffices to show that we can extend ϕ from T to $H_{i,j}(t,d,k)$ such that $\lambda_{H_{i,j}(t,d,k)}(u_{i,j,s}) = 0$ for $s = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1$ and $\lambda_{H_{i,j}(t,d,k)}(u_{i,j,t}) \leq 1$. By Lemma 13, this is possible. The proof of Theorem 4 now is completed.

4 Open problems

For $d \ge 0$, a graph is d-defective 1-choosable if and only if $\Delta(G) \le d$, and which is equivalent to be d-defective 1-colorable. So Question 3 has a positive answer for k = 1 and $\ell_{1,d} = 1$. For k = 2 and d = 0, Král' and Sgall [7] showed that every (2, 0, 4)-choosable graph is $(2, 0, +\infty)$ choosable. The proof relies on a characterization of 2-choosable graphs by [3]. For $d \ge 1$, no characterization of d-defective 2-choosable graphs is known, and Question 3 remains open for k = 2 and $d \ge 1$.

The following problem asked by Král and Sgall [7] also remains open.

Problem 14 Is it true that for each $k \ge 3$, there exists a number ℓ such that each $(k, 0, \ell)$ -choosable graph is (k + 1)-choosable? If so, what is the least number ℓ with this property?

References

- [1] L. J. Cowen, R. H. Cowen, and D. R. Woodall. Defective colorings of graphs in surfaces: partitions into subgraphs of bounded valency. J. Graph Theory, 10(2):187–195, 1986.
- [2] N. Eaton and T. Hull. Defective list colorings of planar graphs. Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 25:79–87, 1999.
- [3] P. Erdős, A. L. Rubin, and H. Taylor. Choosability in graphs. In Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1979), Congress. Numer., XXVI, pages 125–157. Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1980.
- [4] S. Gutner. The complexity of planar graph choosability. Discrete Math., 159(1-3):119– 130, 1996.
- [5] K. Hendrey and D. R. Wood. Defective and clustered choosability of sparse graphs. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 28(5):791–810, 2019.
- [6] R. J. Kang. Improper choosability and Property B. J. Graph Theory, 73(3):342–353, 2013.
- [7] D. Král' and J. Sgall. Coloring graphs from lists with bounded size of their union. J. Graph Theory, 49(3):177–186, 2005.
- [8] L. Lovász. On decomposition of graphs. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., 1:237–238, 1966.

- [9] E. Smith-Roberge. On the choosability with separation of planar graphs and its correspondence colouring analogue. *arXiv:2203.13348*, 2022.
- [10] M. Voigt. On list colourings and choosability of graphs. Habilitationsschrift, Tu Ilmenau, 1998.
- [11] M. Voigt and B. Wirth. On 3-colorable non-4-choosable planar graphs. J. Graph Theory, 24(3):233-235, 1997.
- [12] R. Škrekovski. List improper colourings of planar graphs. Combin. Probab. Comput., 8(3):293–299, 1999.
- [13] Y. Wang and L. Xu. Improper choosability of planar graphs without 4-cycles. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 27(4):2029–2037, 2013.
- [14] R. Xu and X. Zhu. The strong fractional choice number and the strong fractional paint number of graphs. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 36(4):2585–2601, 2022.