

Lecture Notes

RECALL

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs, then $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ if and only if \mathcal{F} contains a bipartite graph.

Theorem 2. (Assuming Supersaturation Lemma.) $\pi(K_{t,k}) = 0$ for any k, t .

We shall prove a stronger result than Theorem 2 later.

Supersaturation Lemma. Fix $k \geq 2$ and let F be a k -graph. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for any k -graph H on n vertices, if H has at least $\text{ex}_k(n, F) + \epsilon n^k$ edges, then H contains at least $\delta \binom{n}{v(F)}$ copies of F , where $v(F)$ is the number of vertices of F .

Proof of Supersaturation Lemma. By definition of $\pi(F)$, we can find and fix an integer m , such that $\text{ex}_k(m, F) \leq (\pi(F) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \binom{m}{k}$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{M \in \binom{V(G)}{m} : e(G[M]) > (\pi(F) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \binom{m}{k}\}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{(e, M) : e \in G[M]\} &= \sum_{e \in E(G)} \binom{n-k}{m-k} \\ &\geq (\pi(F) + \epsilon) \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{m-k} \\ &= (\pi(F) + \epsilon) \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{(e, M) : e \in G[M]\} &= \sum_{M \in \binom{V(G)}{m}} e(G[M]) \\ &= \sum_{M \in \mathcal{C}} e(G[M]) + \sum_{M \notin \mathcal{C}} e(G[M]) \\ &\leq |\mathcal{C}| \binom{m}{k} + \left(\binom{n}{m} - |\mathcal{C}| \right) (\pi(F) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \binom{m}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities, we can get

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \binom{n}{m} \leq (1 - \pi(F) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) |\mathcal{C}| \leq |\mathcal{C}|.$$

That is, G has at least $\frac{\epsilon}{2} \binom{n}{m}$ m -sets M with $e(G[M]) > (\pi(F) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \binom{m}{k} \geq \text{ex}_k(m, F)$. So each such M contains a copy of F . Since we have at least $\frac{\epsilon}{2} \binom{n}{m}$ such M 's and each copy is contained at most $\binom{n-v(F)}{m-v(F)}$ such M 's, by Pigeonhole Principle, the number of F -copies in G is at least

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \binom{n}{m} / \binom{n-v(F)}{m-v(F)} = \delta \binom{n}{v(F)}.$$

Here $\delta \triangleq \epsilon \binom{m}{v(F)} / 2$ is a constant independent of n . □

Definition 1. A k -graph H is k -partite if $V(H) = V_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} V_k$ such that $|e \cap V_i| = 1$ for any $e \in E(H)$ and all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Definition 2. A cut of a k -graph H is a k -partite subgraph which contains all vertices of H .

Fact 1. Any k -graph H contains a cut with at least $\frac{k!}{k^k} e(H)$ edges.

Proof. Exercise. □

Definition 3. A cut with partition $V_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} V_k$ is balanced if $||V_i| - |V_j|| \leq 1$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, k$.

Fact 2. Any k -graph H has a balanced cut with at least $\frac{k!}{k^k} e(H)$ edges.

Proof. Exercise. □

Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of k -graphs, then $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ if and only if \mathcal{F} contains a k -partite k -graph.

Proof. Exercise. (Hint: it's generalization of Theorem 1) □

Definition 4. For a k -graph F , the t -blowup $F(t)$ of F is a k -graph obtained from F by replacing each vertex $v \in V(F)$ with t copies, say x_v^1, \dots, x_v^t and by adding all edges $x_{v_1}^{a_1}, \dots, x_{v_k}^{a_k}$ into $F(t)$ for any edge $v_1 \dots v_k \in E(F)$ and for all $1 \leq a_1, \dots, a_k \leq t$.

Blowup Theorem. For any k -graph F and for all integer $t \geq 1$, $\pi(F(t)) = \pi(F)$.

Proof. Note that $F \subset F(t)$, so $\text{ex}_k(n, F) \leq \text{ex}_k(n, F(t))$ for all integer n , and as a consequence, we have $\pi(F) \leq \pi(F(t))$.

It remains to show $\pi(F(t)) \leq \pi(F)$.

Suppose it is not true, then by definition of Turán density, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and an $F(t)$ -free k -graph G on sufficiently large n vertices, with $e(G) > (\pi(F) + \epsilon) \binom{n}{k}$ edges. We will find a copy of $F(t)$ in G to get a contradiction.

By supersaturation lemma, there exists some constant $\delta > 0$ and G contains at least $\delta \binom{n}{v(F)}$ copies of F .

Next we define an auxiliary $v(F)$ -graph H on $V(G)$ as follows. For any $X \in \binom{V(G)}{v(F)}$, X is an edge of H if and only if $G[X]$ contains a copy of F . So H has at least $\delta \binom{n}{v(F)} / v(F)!$ edges. As a consequence, H contains a copy of $K \triangleq K_{T;v(F)}$ since $\pi(K_{T;v(F)}) = 0$. Here T is chosen to be a large constant independent of n .

Let us fix a linear ordering of F , say $x_1, \dots, x_{v(F)}$. Note that each copy of F , say F' , induces one of the $v(F)!$ mappings like $\pi_{F'} : V(F') \rightarrow V(F)$. So we can color the edges of K by $v(F)!$ colors, depending on the mappings induced by edges. Now Ramsey Theorem implies that for large T , K has a monochromatic copy of $K_{t;v(F)}$, say K' . Then $G[V(K')]$ contains a copy of $F(t)$. It's a contradiction. \square

Exercise. Why this type of Ramsey number is finite?