

Extremal and Probabilistic Graph Theory
Lecture 7
April 18th, Tuesday

§1 Moore Graphs

- **Definition:** A walk $v_1 - v_2 - \dots - v_k$ is *non-backtracking* if $v_{i+2} \neq v_i$ for $\forall i$.
- **Theorem 1 (Alon-Hoory-Linial)** For a graph G with average degree $d \geq 2$ and n vertices, there are at least $nd(d-1)^{i-1}$ non-backtracking (oriented) walks of length $i \geq 1$ in G , with the equality if and only if G is d -regular and d is an integer.
- **Theorem 2 (Moore bound)** Let G have n vertices, girth $\geq g$ and average degree $d \geq 2$. Then

$$n \geq n_0(g, d),$$

where

$$n_0(g, d) = \begin{cases} 1 + d \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (d-1)^i, & g=2r+1 \\ 2 \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (d-1)^i, & g=2r \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if G is d -regular and of diameter $(\frac{g}{2}) + 1$.

Remark: For these proofs, see the notes on April 21th, 2016.

- **Definition:** The graph which achieve this Moore bound are called *Moore graph*.
- **Theorem 3 (Hoffman-Singleton)** If a d -regular Moore graph of girth 5 exists, the $d \in \{2, 3, 7, 57\}$.
- **Theorem (Damerell)** For $d \geq 3$, if a d -regular Moore graph of girth $2g + 1$ exists, then $g \leq 2$.
- **Theorem (Feit-Higman)** For $g, d \geq 3$, if a d -regular Moore graph of girth $2g$ exists, then $g \in \{3, 4, 6\}$.

We will show the existence of Moore graphs of girth $2g$ for $g \in \{3, 4, 6\}$.

- **Definition:** Given a hypergraph $H = (V, E)$, a *bigraph* G of H is a bipartite graph with parts V and E , where $v \in V$ and $e \in E$ are adjacent in G if and only if $v \in e$ in H .
- **Definition:** A *generalized k -gon of order q* is a $(q+1)$ -uniform, $(q+1)$ -regular hypergraph with $q^{k-1} + q^{k-2} + \dots + q + 1$ vertices and No cycle of length at most $k - 1$.
- **Definition:** A *cycle* in hypergraphs of length k means a collection of k distinct hyperedges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k and k distinct vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k such that $v_i \in e_i \cap e_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ and $v_k \in e_k \cap e_1$, we also call it a *Berge cycle*.

- **Proposition 1:** The bigraph of a generalized k -gon of order q is a $(q+1)$ -regular Moore graph of girth $2k$.

Proof: It follows by Theorem 2.

So, next, we look for generalized k -gons for $k \in \{3, 4, 6\}$.

§2 Projective graph

- **Definition:** For a n -dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{F}_q , let $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ denote the set of k -dimensional subspaces of V .

Define

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [n-k+1]_q}{[k]_q [k-1]_q \cdots [1]_q},$$

where $[i]_q = \frac{q^i - 1}{q - 1}$, called Gaussian binomial coefficient.

- **Proposition 2**

$$\left| \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \right| = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-k+1} - 1)}{(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}$$

Proof: Note that

$$\left| \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \right| = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{k-1})}{(q^k - 1)(q^k - q) \cdots (q^k - q^{k-1})}$$

- **Definition:** We call the element of $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$, $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q$, $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}_q$ as *point*, *lines*, *planes*, respectively.

- **Definition:** For $n \geq k \geq l$, let $H_q[n, k, l]$ be the hypergraph with vertex set $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q$ and with edge set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} W \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q, W \text{ is a } k\text{-dim subgraph of } V \right\}$. That is, each k -dim subgraph W defines a hyperedge $\begin{bmatrix} W \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q$.

- **Proposition 3:** $H_q[n, k, l]$ is a $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q$ -uniform, $\begin{bmatrix} n-l \\ k-l \end{bmatrix}_q$ -regular hypergraph on $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q$ vertices.

- **Definition:** The n -dimensional projective space of order q , denoted as $PG(n, q)$, is just $H_q[n+1, 2, 1]$.

- **Note:** $PG(n, q)$ has $1 + q + \cdots + q^n$ vertices. When $n = 2$, we call $PG(2, q)$ as *projective planes*

- **Theorem 5** The bigraph of $PG(2, q)$ is a $(q + 1)$ -regular Moore graph of girth 6.

Proof: Exercise.

Next, we consider Moore graph of girth 8, and we work in $PG(4, q)$.

- **Theorem 6 (Benson)** Let V be 5-dim space over \mathbb{F}_q . Let $\mathcal{S} = \{P \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q ; P = \text{span}\{\vec{x}\}, \vec{x}\vec{x}^T = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{L \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q ; L \subseteq \mathcal{S}\}$. Let G be the bipartite graph with parts \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{L} , where $P \in \mathcal{S}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ are adjacent in G if and only if $P \subseteq L$. Then G is a $(q + 1)$ -regular Moore graph of girth 8.

Proof: It is easy to see that for $\forall L \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, $d_G(L) = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q = q + 1$.

Claim 1: $|\mathcal{S}| = \frac{q^4-1}{q-1} = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$.

Proof of claim 1: For $P = \text{span}\{(x_1, \dots, x_5)\} \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 = 0$. One can show: there are exactly $q^4 - 1$ non-zero vectors (x_1, \dots, x_5) satisfying this equation. Therefore, $|\mathcal{S}| = \frac{q^4-1}{q-1} = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$.

Claim 2: $\forall P \in \mathcal{S}$, $d_G(P) = 1 + q$.

Proof of claim 2: Let $P = \text{span}\{\vec{x}\}$ for $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_5)$, so we want how many $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P \subseteq L$.

Let us consider the properties on L .

Suppose $L = \text{span}\{\vec{x}, \vec{y}\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. So,

$$\lambda\vec{x} + \mu\vec{y} \in \mathcal{S} \iff (\lambda\vec{x} + \mu\vec{y})(\lambda\vec{x} + \mu\vec{y})^T = 0 \ \& \ \vec{x}\vec{x}^T = 0 = \vec{y}\vec{y}^T \Rightarrow \vec{x}\vec{y}^T = 0.$$

Therefore, \vec{y} should satisfy: $\vec{y}\vec{y}^T = 0$ and $\vec{x}\vec{y}^T = 0$.

There are exactly $q^3 - q$ non-zero solutions $\vec{y} \notin \text{span}\{\vec{x}\}$. So there are exactly $\frac{q^3-q}{q-1}$ 1-dim subspaces $P' = \text{span}\{\vec{y}\}$. But there are exactly q 1-dim subspaces P' , which plus \vec{x} results in the same 2-dim $L \in \mathcal{L}$.

Thus, there are exactly $1 + q = \frac{q^3-q}{q-1}$ many $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P \subseteq L$.

This proves claim 2.

Claim 1&2 show that G is $(q + 1)$ -regular and

$$|\mathcal{L}| = |\mathcal{S}| = 1 + q + q^2 + q^3.$$

It remains to show G is C_4 -free and C_6 -free.

Claim 3: G is C_4 -free.

Proof of claim 3: For any $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}$, $\dim(L \cap L') \leq 1$, so there is at most 1 common neighbor of L & L' , so G is C_4 -free.

Claim 4: G is C_6 -free.

Proof of claim 4: Suppose that G has a C_6 , say with distinct vertices $P_1, L_1, P_2, L_2, P_3, L_3$, so $P_1 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_3$, $P_2 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ & $P_3 \subseteq L_2 \cap L_3$. Also, P_i is self-orthogonal for $i \in [3]$, and any pair of P_i and P_j is also orthogonal.

Let $W = \text{span}\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$, then $W \subseteq W^\perp$. But $\dim(W) + \dim(W^\perp) \leq \dim(V) = 5$. Also, $\dim(W) \leq \dim(W^\perp)$, so $\dim(W) \leq 2$. So $P_i = P_j$, for some $i \neq j$. A contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.