

Extremal and Probabilistic Graph Theory
Lecture 8
April 20th, Thursday

Recall that:

- **Theorem 6(Benson)** Let V be a 5-dim space over \mathbb{F}_q . Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and

$\mathcal{S} = \{P \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q ; P = \text{span}\{\vec{x}\}, \vec{x}A\vec{x}^T = 0\}$, Let $\mathcal{L} = \{L \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q ; L \subseteq \mathcal{S}\}$. Let G be the bipartite graph with parts \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{L} , where $P \in \mathcal{S}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ are adjacent in G if and only if $P \subseteq L$. Then G is a $(q+1)$ -regular Moore graph of girth 8.

Remark: Replace A by any non-degenerated 5×5 matrix. Then the same construction will also give a $(q+1)$ -regular Moore graph of girth 8.

- **Theorem 7** Let $k \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ and q be a prime power. Then there exists $(q+1)$ -regular Moore graph of girth $2k+2$.
- **Definition:** Let $\mathcal{C}_k = \{C_3, C_4, \dots, C_k\}$. Let the *Zarankiewicz number* $Z(m, n, F)$ be the maximum number of edges in an $m \times n$ -bipartite F -free graph.
- **Theorem 8** Let $k \in \{2, 3, 5\}$. If $n = q^k + q^{k-1} + \dots + q + 1$ for some prime power q , then $Z(n, n, \mathcal{C}_{2k}) = (q+1)n$. For general n , $Z(n, n, \mathcal{C}_{2k}) = (1 + o(1))n^{1+\frac{1}{k}}$.

Proof: Let x be the unique real such that $n = x^k + x^{k-1} + \dots + x + 1$.

Upper bound: Let G be an $n \times n$ -bipartite graph of girth $\geq 2k+2$, and average degree $d+1 \geq 2$.

By Theorem 1, G has $\geq 2n(d+1)d^{i-1}$ non-backtracking (oriented) walks of length $i \geq 1$. So G has $\geq 2n(d+1) \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d^{i-1}$ non-backtracking oriented walks of length at most $k+1$.

Note that $e(G) = n(d+1)$. Thus, by averaging there is an edge $uv \in E(G)$ such that the number of non-backtracking walks of length $\leq k+1$ with uv as the leading edge is at least $\frac{2n(d+1) \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d^{i-1}}{e(G)} \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d^{i-1} = 2(1 + d + \dots + d^k)$. Since girth $\geq 2k+2$, the ends of all those walks with leading edge uv are distinct implying that

$$2n = |V(G)| \geq \#\text{walks of length at most } k+1 \text{ with leading edge } uv \geq 2(1 + d + \dots + d^k).$$

So,

$$1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^k = n \geq 1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^k.$$

So, $x \geq d$, and thus $e(G) = n(d+1) \leq (1+x)n$, where $n = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^k$.

For $n = 1 + q + \dots + q^k$, then $e(G) \leq (1+q)n$.

For general n , we have $x = (1 + o(1))n^{\frac{1}{k}}$, so $e(G) \leq (1 + o(1))n^{1+\frac{1}{k}}$.

Lower bound: By the Theorem 7, $\exists (1+q)$ -regular Moore graph of girth $2k+2$, which are bipartite and have exactly $2(1 + q + \dots + q^k)$ vertices.

So, this shows that for $n = 1 + q + \dots + q^k$, then $Z(n, n, \mathcal{C}_{2k}) = (q + 1)n$.

For general n , let q be the maximal prime such that $n_q \triangleq 1 + q + \dots + q^k \leq n$. Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{p_r}{p_{r+1}} = 1$, where $p_r = r^{\text{th}}$ prime, we have that $q \sim n^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

So,

$$Z(n, n, \mathcal{C}_{2k}) \geq Z(n_q, n_q, \mathcal{C}_{2k}) = (1 + q)n_q = (1 + o(1))n^{1 + \frac{1}{k}}.$$

Theorem 8 is done.

• **Corollary:**

$$ex(n, C_4) \geq ex(n, \mathcal{C}_4) \geq Z\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, \mathcal{C}_4\right) \geq (1 + o(1))\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

and

$$ex(n, C_6) \geq (1 + o(1))\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

• **Theorem 9(Reiman)**

$$ex(n, C_4) \leq \frac{n}{4}(1 + \sqrt{4n - 3}) \approx \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

• **Theorem 10**

$$ex(n, C_4) = \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{3}{2}} + o(n^{\frac{3}{2}}).$$

Proof: We define the *Erdős-Renyi polarity graph* ER_q , that is the graph with vertex-set $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$ (Where V is a 3-dim space over \mathbb{F}_q), where $U, W \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$ are adjacent if and only if $U \perp W$.

In other words, if $U = \text{Span}\{(x, y, z)\}$, and $W = \text{Span}\{(u, v, w)\}$, then $xu + yv + zw = 0$. It is easy to check:

1. $|V(ER_q)| = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q = 1 + q + q^2$.
2. For $\forall U = \text{span}\{(x, y, z)\} \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$, there are exactly $q^2 - 1$ non-zero solutions (u, v, w) to be equation $xu + yv + zw = 0$.

So, there are exactly $\frac{q^2 - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q$ 1-dim subspace $W \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$ perpendicular to U .

1. # 1-dim self-orthogonal subspaces is $1 + q$, so there vertices (self-orthogonal) have degree q , and other vertices have degree $1 + q$.

$$\Rightarrow e(ER_q) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + q)q + \frac{1}{2}q^2(1 + q) = \frac{1}{2}q(1 + q)^2.$$

2. ER_q is C_4 -free, as for fixed (x_1, y_1, z_1) & (x_2, y_2, z_2) , then there is exactly 1 solution to $x_i u + y_i v + z_i w = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Together, ER_q is C_4 -free and has $1 + q + q^2$ vertices and $\frac{1}{2}q(1 + q)^2$ edges.

$$\Rightarrow ex(n, C_4) \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{2} n^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Remark: ER_q contains $\frac{1}{6}q^3 + o(q^2)$ triangles, as each edge is contained in a unique triangle.

- **Theorem 11(Furedi)** Let G be an extremal C_4 -free graph with $n = 1 + q + q^2$ vertices. Then, $e(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}q(1 + q)^2$, with equality if and only if $G = ER_q$.

§3 Polarity graph

Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{L} be distinct sets, the elements of which are called *points* and *lines*, respectively.

A subset $I \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{L}$ is called *incidence relation* on the pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$; and the triple $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$ is called a *rank two geometry*.

- **Definition:** The *incidence graph* G of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$ is a bipartite graph on parts \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{L} , where $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ are adjacent if and only if $(P, L) \in I$.
- **Definition:** A *polarity* of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$ is a bijection $\pi: \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{L}$ such that:

- (1) $\mathcal{P}^\pi = \mathcal{L}$ & $\mathcal{L}^\pi = \mathcal{P}$,
- (2) $\forall P \in \mathcal{P}, L \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $(P, L) \in I \iff (L^\pi, P^\pi) \in I$,
- (3) $\pi^2 = 1$.

- **Definition:** For a polarity π of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$, the *polarity graph* G^π is a graph with vertex-set \mathcal{P} and edge-set $E(G^\pi) = \{P_1P_2 \mid P_1 \neq P_2 \in \mathcal{P}, (P_1, P_2^\pi) \in I\}$.
- **Definition:** We say a point $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is an *absolute point*, if $(P, P^\pi) \in I$. Let $N_\pi = \#\text{absolute points (w.r.t. } \pi)$.
- **Theorem 12** Let π be a polarity of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$. Then,

- (i) $deg_{G^\pi}(P) = deg_G(P) = 1$, if P is an absolute point and $deg_{G^\pi}(P) = deg_G(P)$, otherwise;
- (ii) $|V(G^\pi)| = \frac{1}{2}|V(G)|$ and $|E(G^\pi)| = |E(G)| - N_\pi$;
- (iii) If G^π contains a C_{2k+1} , then G contains a C_{4k+2} ;
- (iv) If G^π contains a C_{2k} , then G contains two vertex-disjoint $2k$ -cycle C and C' such that $C^\pi = C'$. In particular, if G is C_{2k} -free, then G^π is C_{2k} -free.
- (v) $g(G^\pi) \geq \frac{1}{2}g(G)$.