

On the multicolor Turán conjecture for color-critical graphs

Xihe Li* Jie Ma* Zhiheng Zheng*

Abstract

A *simple k -coloring* of a multigraph G is a decomposition of the edge multiset as a disjoint sum of k simple graphs which are referred as colors. A subgraph H of a multigraph G is called *multicolored* if its edges receive distinct colors in a given simple k -coloring of G . In 2004, Keevash-Saks-Sudakov-Verstraëte introduced the *k -color Turán number* $\text{ex}_k(n, H)$, which denotes the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex multigraph that has a simple k -coloring containing no multicolored copies of H . They made a conjecture for any $r \geq 3$ and r -color-critical graph H that in the range of $k \geq \frac{r-1}{r-2}(e(H) - 1)$, if n is sufficiently large, then $\text{ex}_k(n, H)$ is achieved by the multigraph consisting of k colors all of which are identical copies of the Turán graph $T_{r-1}(n)$. In this paper, we show that this holds in the range of $k \geq 2\frac{r-1}{r}(e(H) - 1)$, significantly improving earlier results. Our proof combines the stability argument of Chakraborti-Kim-Lee-Liu-Seo with a novel graph packing technique for embedding multigraphs.

1 Introduction

One of the central topics in extremal graph theory is the Turán type problem which asks, for a fixed graph H , what is the maximum number of edges in an H -free¹ graph on n vertices. Such a maximum number is called the *Turán number* (or *extremal number*) of H and is denoted by $\text{ex}(n, H)$. The well-known Mantel's theorem [26] determines the Turán number for triangles, and Turán's theorem [32] generalizes the result from triangles to general cliques. Let $T_{r-1}(n)$ be the $(r-1)$ -partite *Turán graph*, that is, the complete $(r-1)$ -partite graph on n vertices with part sizes as equal as possible. Let $t_{r-1}(n)$ be the number of edges of $T_{r-1}(n)$. Turán's theorem says that $\text{ex}(n, K_r) = t_{r-1}(n)$ which is uniquely attained by $T_{r-1}(n)$. For a general graph H , the celebrated Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem [9, 10] shows that $\text{ex}(n, H) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(H)-1} + o(1)\right)\frac{n^2}{2}$, where $\chi(H)$ is the chromatic number of H . A graph is called *r -color-critical* if it has chromatic number r , and it has an edge (called a *critical edge*) whose removal reduces the chromatic number to $r-1$. For any r -color-critical graph H and sufficiently large n , Simonovits [30] proved that $\text{ex}(n, H) = t_{r-1}(n)$ and $T_{r-1}(n)$ is the unique extremal graph.

In the last two decades, the study of extremal problems for multicolor versions became an active research topic. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ be a collection of not necessarily distinct graphs on the same vertex set V . A graph H with $V(H) \subseteq V$ is called a *multicolored* (or *rainbow*) subgraph of \mathcal{G} if there exists an injection $\varphi : E(H) \rightarrow [k]$ such that $e \in E(G_{\varphi(e)})$ for each $e \in E(H)$. The following general question has been extensively studied.

*School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China.

¹Given a graph H , we say that a graph is H -free if it contains no subgraph isomorphic to H .

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ be a collection of graphs on the same vertex set V , and H be a graph with $e(H) \leq k$. Which extremal conditions, when imposed on \mathcal{G} , can lead to the existence of a multicolored copy of H ?

Roughly speaking, two distinct types of extremal conditions have been studied:

- minimum-type: $\min_{i \in [k]} e(G_i)$ [1, 3, 4, 17, 21] and $\min_{i \in [k]} \delta(G_i)$ [8, 11, 12, 18, 23, 24];
- average-type: $\sum_{i \in [k]} e(G_i)$ [4, 7, 13, 14, 20, 25] and $\prod_{i \in [k]} e(G_i)$ [12, 13, 15].

Note that in the special case when all G_i are identical (say G), the existence of a multicolored H is equivalent to the existence of a copy of H in G . Thus the study on $\min_{i \in [k]} e(G_i)$ generalizes the original Turán problem. On the other hand, if G_1, \dots, G_k are pairwise edge-disjoint matchings, then \mathcal{G} is equivalent to a properly edge-colored graph. Thus in this case, determining $\sum_{i \in [k]} e(G_i)$ is equivalent to the *rainbow Turán problem* introduced by Keevash, Mubayi, Sudakov and Verstraëte [19].

In this paper, we mainly focus on the multicolor Turán problem proposed by Keevash, Saks, Sudakov and Verstraëte [20] in 2004. The authors of [20] used a different notion rather than the collection of graphs. A *simple k -coloring* of a multigraph G is a decomposition of the edge multiset as a disjoint sum of k simple graphs which are referred as *colors*. A multigraph with a simple k -coloring is called a *simply k -colored multigraph*. A subgraph² H of a multigraph G is called *multicolored* if its edges receive distinct colors in a given simple k -coloring of G . The *k -color Turán number*, denoted by $\text{ex}_k(n, H)$, is the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex multigraph that has a simple k -coloring containing no multicolored copies of H . The simply k -colored multigraphs without multicolored copies of H that achieve this maximum are called the *k -color extremal multigraphs* of H .

If $k \leq e(H) - 1$, then evidently there is no multicolored copies of H with k colors. In this case, the unique k -color extremal multigraph is the multigraph consisting of k copies of complete graphs. For $k \geq e(H)$, there are two natural candidates for the k -color extremal multigraphs on n vertices:

- (i) the multigraph consisting of $e(H) - 1$ copies of the complete graph K_n ;
- (ii) the multigraph consisting of k identical copies of a fixed extremal H -free graph G_{ext} .

We use $(e(H) - 1) \cdot K_n$ to denote the first multigraph, and $k \cdot G_{\text{ext}}$ to denote the second multigraph. Keevash et al. [20] proved that the second construction is always extremal when k is sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.1 ([20]). *Let H be a graph and k, n be two positive integers. If*

$$k \geq \binom{n}{2} - \text{ex}(n, H) + e(H),$$

then $\text{ex}_k(n, H) = k \cdot \text{ex}(n, H)$, and in any k -color extremal multigraph of H , all the k colors are identical copies of an extremal H -free graphs.

The authors of [20] made the following general conjecture for color-critical graphs.

²Throughout this paper, when we refer to a subgraph of a multigraph, it is implied that the subgraph is also a multigraph.

Conjecture 1.2 ([20]). *Let $r \geq 3$, $k \geq h$, and H be an r -color-critical graph with h edges. Then, for sufficiently large n , the n -vertex k -color extremal multigraph of H either consists of k colors all of which are identical copies of $T_{r-1}(n)$ or consists of exactly $h - 1$ colors all of which are copies of K_n . In particular,*

$$\text{ex}_k(n, H) = \begin{cases} k \cdot t_{r-1}(n) & \text{for } k \geq \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1), \\ (h-1) \binom{n}{2} & \text{for } h \leq k < \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1). \end{cases}$$

We should refer the range $k \geq \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1)$ as the *upper range* and the range $h \leq k < \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1)$ as the *lower range*. The authors of [20] confirmed this for all cliques K_r when $n > 10^4 r^{34}$. Recently, Frankl [13] and Ma and Hou [25] proved that for all $n \geq r - 1$, $\text{ex}_k(n, K_r) \leq \max \{k \cdot t_{r-1}(n), (\binom{r}{2} - 1) \binom{n}{2}\}$ holds in the cases $r = 3$ and $r = 4, 5$, respectively. This conjecture was confirmed in full for $r = 3$ by Keevash et al. [20] and for $r = 4$ by Chakraborti, Kim, Lee, Liu and Seo [7]. For $r \geq 5$, Chakraborti et al. [7] proved that this conjecture holds for a rich family of r -color-critical graphs whose edges are distributed somewhat evenly. Note that Theorem 1.1 implies that Conjecture 1.2 holds for $k \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{r-1} \binom{n}{2}$.

In this paper, we show that Conjecture 1.2 holds in the range of $k \geq 2 \frac{r-1}{r}(h-1)$, roughly twice as the conjectured upper range.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $r \geq 5$ and H be an r -color-critical graph with h edges. If n is sufficiently large and*

$$k \geq 2 \frac{r-1}{r}(h-1),$$

then $\text{ex}_k(n, H) = k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$, and the unique n -vertex k -color extremal multigraph of H consists of k colors all of which are identical copies of $T_{r-1}(n)$.

In fact we prove a slightly stronger result (see Theorem 5.6), where the same statement holds under a weaker condition that

$$k > \max \left\{ \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1), 2 \frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \right\}.$$

This improves Theorem 1.1 from $k \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{r-1} \binom{n}{2}$ to $k = \Omega(h)$ for all r -color-critical graphs. Our proof combines the stability argument of Chakraborti, Kim, Lee, Liu and Seo [7] with a novel graph packing technique for embedding multigraphs. For an illustration of the proof sketch, we refer readers to Section 3.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some additional terminology and notation, and state some existing results that will be used in our proofs. In Section 3, we supply a proof sketch of our main result. In Section 4, we show the first step of our proof, that is, establishing an analogous statement for the so-called r -vertex r -color-critical multigraphs. In Section 5, we complete our proof of Theorem 1.3. Finally, we conclude the paper with some remarks and open problems in Section 6, in particular, we prove a tight k -colored Turán type result for general graphs with h edges when $h \leq k \leq h + \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some additional terminology and notation, as well as several lemmas that will be used in our proof of the main result.

2.1 Terminology and some technical inequalities

Throughout this paper, we consider both simple graphs and multigraphs (a multigraph refers to a multigraph with no loops). We will also view a simple graph as a multigraph in which every edge has multiplicity 1.

Let G be a multigraph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge multiset $E(G)$. Let $\binom{V(G)}{2} := \{\{u, v\} : u, v \in V(G), u \neq v\}$. For any $\{u, v\} \in \binom{V(G)}{2}$, we also write it as uv or vu . We shall call an element e in $\binom{V(G)}{2}$ an edge, although the multiplicity of e could be 0 in G (i.e., e is in fact not an actual edge of G). For $e \in \binom{V(G)}{2}$, the multiplicity of e in G is written as $w_G(e)$. For a subset $E \subseteq \binom{V(G)}{2}$, let $w_G(E) := \sum_{e \in E} w_G(e)$. For any $v \in V(G)$ and $U \subseteq V(G)$, let $d_U(v) := \sum_{u \in U} w_G(vu)$. The degree $d_G(v)$ of a vertex v in G is the number of edges (counted with multiplicity) incident with v , i.e., $d_G(v) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} w_G(vu)$. Let $\delta(G) := \min\{d_G(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ be the minimum degree of G . We use $e(G)$ to denote the number of edges (counted with multiplicity) of G , i.e., $e(G) = |E(G)| = \sum_{e \in \binom{V(G)}{2}} w_G(e)$.

Given two disjoint vertex sets $U, V \subseteq V(G)$, let $E(U, V)$ be the set of edges between U and V in G , and let $e(U, V) := |E(U, V)|$. For a vertex subset $U \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph of G induced by U , denoted by $G[U]$, is the subgraph of G with vertex set U and edge multiset $\{e \in E(G) : \text{both end-vertices of } e \text{ are contained in } U\}$. Moreover, let $G - U := G[V(G) \setminus U]$. For an edge sub-multiset $E \subseteq E(G)$, let $G[E]$ be the *edge-induced* subgraph of G with vertex set $\{v \in V(G) : v \text{ is incident with some } e \in E\}$ and edge multiset E .

For a positive integer n , let $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For a set S of real numbers and $1 \leq \ell \leq |S|$, let $\min_\ell S$ (resp., $\max_\ell S$) be the ℓ -th smallest (resp., largest) number in S . For the sake of clarity of presentation, we systematically omit floor and ceiling signs whenever they are not crucial. We will use the following standard estimates on Turán graphs (for $n \geq r \geq 2$):

$$\frac{r-2}{r-1} \binom{n}{2} \leq \text{ex}(n, K_r) = t_{r-1}(n) \leq \frac{r-2}{r-1} \cdot \frac{n^2}{2} = \frac{r-2}{r-1} \binom{n}{2} + \frac{r-2}{2(r-1)}n \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{r-2}{r-1}(n-1) \leq \delta(T_{r-1}(n)) \leq \frac{r-2}{r-1}n. \quad (2)$$

We shall also use the following two technical lemmas, and we postpone the proofs to Appendix A.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $r \geq 5$, $s = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$ and $h \geq \binom{r}{2}$. If ℓ and i are two integers satisfying one of the following statements:*

- (i) $2 \leq \ell \leq s-2$ and $r-s+1 \leq i \leq r-1$, or
- (ii) $\ell = s-1$ and $r-s+2 \leq i \leq r-1$,

then $\frac{2}{r}(r-2 - \frac{\ell-1}{i-\ell})(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) \geq h - ((\ell-1)s + r - i)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r \geq 5$, $s = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$, $2 \leq \ell \leq s-1$ and $h \geq \binom{r}{2}$. Then*

$$2 \frac{r-\ell-1}{r-\ell} \cdot \frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \geq h - (\ell-1)s.$$

2.2 Graph packing

A *packing* of two graphs G and H is a bijection $\sigma : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ where $uv \in E(G)$ implies $\sigma(u)\sigma(v) \notin E(H)$. In other words, there is a packing of two graphs G and H if and only if $G \subseteq \overline{H}$. We shall use the following result of Sauer and Spencer [29].

Theorem 2.3 ([29]). *Let G and H be two graphs with n vertices. If $e(G)e(H) < \binom{n}{2}$, then there is a packing of G and H .*

The following result was conjectured by Milner and Welsh [27], and proved by Sauer and Spencer [29] and Bollobás and Eldridge [5] independently; see also [6, Corollary 3.3].

Theorem 2.4 ([5, 6, 29]). *Let G and H be two graphs with n vertices. If $e(G) + e(H) \leq \frac{3}{2}(n - 1)$, then there is a packing of G and H .*

2.3 Existing results for multicolor Turán problems

In this subsection, we state several existing results from [7, 20] for the use of our proof. The *underlying graph* of a multigraph H is the simple graph with vertex $V(H)$ and edge set $\{e \in \binom{V(H)}{2} : w_H(e) \geq 1\}$. The chromatic number of a multigraph is given by the chromatic number of its underlying graph. A multigraph is called *r -color-critical* if it has chromatic number r , and it has an edge (i.e., a *critical edge*) whose removal decreases the chromatic number. We say that a simple k -coloring is *nested* if its colors form a chain under inclusion, i.e., a simply k -colored multigraph with colors G_1, \dots, G_k is nested if $G_{\pi(1)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_{\pi(k)}$ for a permutation π on $[k]$. It was shown in [7, 20] that if G is a simply k -colored multicolored- H -free multigraph, then there is a simply k -nested-colored multicolored- H -free multigraph F with $V(F) = V(G)$ and $E(F) = E(G)$. The following lemma reduces the upper range of Conjecture 1.2 to nested multigraphs with high minimum degree.

Lemma 2.5 ([7, 20]). *Let $r \geq 3$, $k \geq 1$, and H be an r -color-critical multigraph. Suppose that there exists an n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, every n -vertex simply k -nested-colored multicolored- H -free multigraph G with $e(G) \geq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$ and $\delta(G) \geq k\delta(T_{r-1}(n))$ must be a $k \cdot T_{r-1}(n)$. Then there exists an n_1 such that for all $n \geq n_1$, every n -vertex simply k -colored multicolored- H -free multigraph G with $e(G) \geq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$ must be a $k \cdot T_{r-1}(n)$.*

To show a host multigraph under certain circumstances is $(r - 1)$ -partite, the following family of subgraphs with specified property serves as an intermediate step in our proof.

Definition 2.6 ([7]). Let $k \geq \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h - 1)$, H be an r -color-critical multigraph with h edges, and K be a simply k -colored $(r - 1)$ -partite multigraph with partite sets W_1, \dots, W_{r-1} of equal size t . We say that K is *H -friendly* if the multigraph obtained in the following always contains a multicolored H : add a new vertex v to K and add edges incident to v with multiplicity at most k so that $\sum_{i \in [r-1]} d_{W_i}(v) \geq (r - 2)tk$ and $d_{W_i}(v) \geq 1$ for all $i \in [r - 1]$.³

The following lemma was proved in [7] using a stability argument.

Lemma 2.7 ([7]). *Let $0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \delta \ll \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{t} \leq 1$, $r \geq 4$ and $k \geq \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h - 1)$. Let H be an m -vertex r -color-critical multigraph with h edges, and G be an n -vertex simply k -colored multigraph with $\delta(G) \geq (1 - \delta)k\delta(T_{r-1}(n))$. If G contains a $t(r - 1)$ -vertex H -friendly subgraph as an induced subgraph, then G is $(r - 1)$ -partite.*

³In [7], the concept of an H -friendly multigraph was also defined for the lower range $k < \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h - 1)$.

We will use the following lemma iteratively to find a subset of vertices such that, there are many edges with high multiplicities incident with them.

Lemma 2.8 ([7, 20]). *Let $0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \delta \ll \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{d}, \frac{1}{t} < 1$. Suppose that G is an n -vertex simply k -colored multigraph with $\delta(G) \geq (1 - \delta)d(n - 1)$, and $U \subseteq V(G)$ is a vertex subset of size t . Then there is a vertex $v \in V(G) \setminus U$ such that $d_U(v) \geq dt$.*

Given two multigraphs H and G , we say that an injection $\phi : V(H) \rightarrow V(G)$ is an *embedding* if $w_G(\phi(u)\phi(v)) \geq w_H(uv)$ for all $uv \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$. For $e = uv \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$, we also write $\phi(e)$ for $\phi(u)\phi(v)$. The following lemma provides a sufficient condition that guarantees the existence of a multicolored subgraph. This is in fact a consequence of Hall's theorem.

Lemma 2.9 ([7]). *Let G be a simply k -colored multigraph, H be a multigraph, and $t = |\{e \in \binom{V(H)}{2} : w_H(e) \geq 1\}|$. Suppose that there is an embedding $\phi : V(H) \rightarrow V(G)$. If there is an enumeration (f_1, \dots, f_t) of the edges in $\{e \in \binom{V(H)}{2} : w_H(e) \geq 1\}$ such that $w_G(\phi(f_i)) \geq \sum_{\ell=1}^i w_H(f_\ell)$ for all $i \in [t]$, then $\phi(H)$ yields a multicolored copy of H in G .*

3 Proof sketch of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we supply a proof sketch of Theorem 1.3. In fact, we will prove Theorem 1.3 in a slightly stronger form (Theorem 5.6). To illustrate the sketch of our proof, we need some additional definitions introduced in [7]. For an r -color-critical multigraph H , we call a proper coloring c with color classes V_1, \dots, V_r a *critical coloring* if there exists two colors i, j such that $e(V_i, V_j) = 1$. Given its critical coloring c , the *color-reduced multigraph* of H , denoted as H_c , is the multigraph with vertex set $[r]$ and $w_{H_c}(ij) = e(V_i, V_j)$ for each $ij \in \binom{[r]}{2}$. Note that H_c is an r -vertex r -color-critical multigraph.

In our proof, expanding on the stability argument proposed by Chakraborti, Kim, Lee, Liu and Seo [7], we introduce a graph packing technique for embedding multigraphs. Our proof consists of two steps as follows:

Step I. Establishing the analogous result (see Theorem 4.1) for r -vertex r -color-critical multigraphs via graph packing arguments;

Step II. Completing the proof of Theorem 1.3 (for general r -color-critical graphs) via stability arguments.

In Step I, the key is to prove Lemma 4.2, which states that for an r -vertex r -color-critical multigraph H , if a host multigraph with relatively large minimum degree contains no multicolored H , then it must be an $(r - 1)$ -partite multigraph. Once this lemma is proven, we can readily deduce the analogous result for r -vertex r -color-critical multigraphs (see e.g. Theorem 4.1). In the proof of Lemma 4.2, our main task is to find a multicolored copy of H in certain r -vertex multigraph F^+ . The multigraph F^+ contains a subset E_1 of edges with low multiplicities, while the multigraph H contains a subset E_2 of edges with high multiplicities. When embedding H into F^+ , one must embed edges of low multiplicities in H into the edge-set E_1 . To this end, it suffices to show that there is a packing of $F^+[E_1]$ and $H[E_2]$, which we manage to achieve by carefully estimating the multiplicities of the relevant edges.

In Step II, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 by a routine application of the stability argument, which in this context was introduced in [7]. In order to extend the result from r -vertex critical multigraphs to critical graphs with any number of vertices, we apply the

multicolor version of Szemerédi's Regularity Lemma to a multicolored- H -free multigraph, and get a reduced multigraph. By the Embedding Lemma, we argue this reduced multigraph must be multicolored- H_c -free, where H_c is an r -vertex r -color-critical multigraph. Finally, we make use of Theorem 4.1 given by Step I to derive the main result of this paper.

4 Step I: graph packing of r -vertex multigraphs

In this section, we prove the following result for r -vertex r -color-critical multigraphs.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $r \geq 5$ and H be an r -vertex r -color-critical multigraph with h edges. If n is sufficiently large and*

$$k > \max \left\{ \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1), 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \right\},$$

then $\text{ex}_k(n, H) = k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$, and the unique n -vertex k -color extremal multigraph of H consists of k colors all of which are identical copies of $T_{r-1}(n)$.

The following lemma is curial in the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and a technical lemma in Section 5 (i.e., Lemma 5.2).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $r \geq 5$, $k > \max \left\{ \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1), 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \right\}$ and $0 \ll \frac{1}{n} \ll \delta \ll \frac{1}{k}$. Let H be an r -vertex r -color-critical multigraph with h edges, and G be an n -vertex simply k -colored multicolored- H -free multigraph with $\delta(G) \geq (1-\delta)k\delta(T_{r-1}(n))$. Then G is $(r-1)$ -partite.*

Proof of Theorem 4.1 (assuming Lemma 4.2). Let G be an n -vertex simply k -colored multicolored- H -free multigraph with $e(G) \geq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$. We shall show that $G = k \cdot T_{r-1}(n)$. By Lemma 2.5, we may assume that $\delta(G) \geq k\delta(T_{r-1}(n))$. By Lemma 4.2, G is $(r-1)$ -partite. Since $T_{r-1}(n)$ is the unique $(r-1)$ -partite graph on n vertices with $t_{r-1}(n)$ edges, we have $e(G) \leq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$. Thus $e(G) = k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$ and $G = k \cdot T_{r-1}(n)$. The proof is complete. \square

In the proof of Lemma 4.2, we will repeatedly use the following simple observation.

Observation 4.3. *Let H be an r -vertex r -color-critical multigraph with h edges. Then*

- (i) $w_H(e) \geq 1$ for every $e \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$,
- (ii) $w_H(E) \leq h - \left(\binom{r}{2} - |E| \right)$ for any $E \subseteq \binom{V(H)}{2}$, and
- (iii) $d_H(v) \leq h - \binom{r-1}{2}$ for any vertex $v \in V(H)$.

We now devote the rest of this section to the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Since $\delta(G) \geq (1-\delta)k\delta(T_{r-1}(n))$, we have $\delta(G) \geq (1-\delta)k\frac{r-2}{r-1}(n-1)$ by Inequality (2). Thus $e(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}n\delta(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}n(1-\delta)k\frac{r-2}{r-1}(n-1) = (1-\delta)k\frac{r-2}{r-1}\binom{n}{2}$. Since H is an r -vertex r -color-critical multigraph, we have $h \geq \binom{r}{2}$ by Observation 4.3 (i). Let $s := \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$. Let $K_{r-s}^{(h-r(r-2)/4+1)}$ be a complete multigraph on $r-s$ vertices in which every edge has multiplicity at least $h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1$.

Claim 1. *G contains $K_{r-s}^{(h-r(r-2)/4+1)}$ as a subgraph.*

Proof. Let $X := \{e \in \binom{V(G)}{2} : w_G(e) \geq h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1\}$ and $x := |X|$. It suffices to show that $x > \text{ex}(n, K_{r-s})$. Note that the multiplicity of an edge is a nonnegative integer, so for any $e \in \binom{V(G)}{2} \setminus X$, we have $w_G(e) \leq h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 - \frac{1}{4} = h - \frac{r^2-2r-3}{4}$. Then

$$(1 - \delta)k \frac{r-2}{r-1} \binom{n}{2} \leq e(G) \leq kx + \left(h - \frac{r^2-2r-3}{4} \right) \left(\binom{n}{2} - x \right),$$

and thus

$$x \geq \frac{1}{k - (h - (r^2 - 2r - 3)/4)} \left((1 - \delta)k \frac{r-2}{r-1} - \left(h - \frac{r^2-2r-3}{4} \right) \right) \binom{n}{2}.$$

Since $k > 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right)$, $0 \ll \frac{1}{n} \ll \delta \ll \frac{1}{k}$ and $s = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \geq \frac{r}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \delta)k \frac{r-2}{r-1} - \left(h - \frac{r^2-2r-3}{4} \right) - \left(k - \left(h - \frac{r^2-2r-3}{4} \right) \right) \frac{r-s-2}{r-s-1} \\ &= \frac{s}{(r-1)(r-s-1)} k - \delta \frac{r-2}{r-1} k - \frac{1}{r-s-1} \left(h - \frac{r^2-2r-3}{4} \right) \\ &> \frac{r/2}{(r-1)(r-s-1)} 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - \delta \frac{r-2}{r-1} k - \frac{1}{r-s-1} \left(h - \frac{r^2-2r-3}{4} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4(r-s-1)} - \delta \frac{r-2}{r-1} k \geq \frac{1}{5(r-s-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x \geq \frac{r-s-2}{r-s-1} \binom{n}{2} + \frac{1}{5(r-s-1)(k - (h - (r^2 - 2r - 3)/4))} \binom{n}{2}$. Note that $r-s \geq r - \frac{r+1}{2} \geq 2$ since $r \geq 5$. By Inequality (1), we have $\text{ex}(n, K_{r-s}) = \frac{r-s-2}{r-s-1} \binom{n}{2} + O(n)$. Then $x > \text{ex}(n, K_{r-s})$. The result follows. \square

By Claim 1, we may assume that K is a copy of $K_{r-s}^{(h-r(r-2)/4+1)}$ in G with $V(K) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r-s}\}$. Recall that $\delta(G) \geq (1 - \delta)k \frac{r-2}{r-1} (n-1)$. Using Lemma 2.8 iteratively, we can find vertices $v_{r-s+1}, \dots, v_{r-1} \in V(G) \setminus V(K)$ such that for each $r-s+1 \leq i \leq r-1$, we have $\sum_{j \in [i-1]} w_G(v_i v_j) \geq k \frac{r-2}{r-1} (i-1)$. Since $k > \max \left\{ \frac{r-1}{r-2} (h-1), 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \right\}$, for each $r-s+1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $2 \leq \ell \leq i-2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{w_G(v_i v_j) : 1 \leq j \leq i-1\} \\ & \geq \sum_{j \in [i-1]} w_G(v_i v_j) - (i-2)k \geq k \frac{r-2}{r-1} (i-1) - (i-2)k = k \frac{r-i}{r-1} \\ & > 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \frac{r-i}{r-1} = (r-i) \frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_2\{w_G(v_i v_j) : 1 \leq j \leq i-1\} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j \in [i-1]} w_G(v_i v_j) - (i-3)k \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(k \frac{r-2}{r-1} (i-1) - (i-3)k \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} k \frac{2r-i-1}{r-1} > \frac{2r-i-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \\ & \geq \frac{2r - (r-1) - 1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) = h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max\{w_G(v_i v_j): 1 \leq j \leq i-1\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{i-1} \sum_{j \in [i-1]} w_G(v_i v_j) \geq \frac{1}{i-1} k \frac{r-2}{r-1} (i-1) > \frac{r-1}{r-2} (h-1) \frac{r-2}{r-1} = h-1, \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_\ell \{w_G(v_i v_j): 1 \leq j \leq i-1\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{i-\ell} \left(\sum_{j \in [i-1]} w_G(v_i v_j) - (\ell-1)k \right) \geq \frac{1}{i-\ell} \left(k \frac{r-2}{r-1} (i-1) - (\ell-1)k \right) \\
& = k \frac{1}{i-\ell} \cdot \frac{(r-2)(i-1) - (r-1)(\ell-1)}{r-1} = k \left(\frac{r-2}{r-1} - \frac{\ell-1}{(r-1)(i-\ell)} \right) \\
& > 2 \frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{r-2}{r-1} - \frac{\ell-1}{(r-1)(i-\ell)} \right) \\
& = \frac{2}{r} \left(r-2 - \frac{\ell-1}{i-\ell} \right) \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right). \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

By Inequality (6) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\max_\ell \{w_G(v_i v_j): 1 \leq j \leq i-1\} \geq h - ((\ell-1)s + r - i) \quad (7)$$

when (i) $2 \leq \ell \leq s-2$ and $r-s+1 \leq i \leq r-1$, or (ii) $\ell = s-1$ and $r-s+2 \leq i \leq r-1$.

Let $F := G[\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}]$. In the following, we shall show that F is H -friendly, which together with Lemma 2.7 implies that G is $(r-1)$ -partite. Let F^+ be the multigraph obtained by adding a new vertex v_r to F and adding edges incident to v_r of multiplicity at most k such that $\sum_{i \in [r-1]} w_{F^+}(v_r v_i) \geq (r-2)k$ and $w_{F^+}(v_r v_i) \geq 1$ for all $i \in [r-1]$. It suffices to show that F^+ contains a multicolored copy of H . Note that $\min\{w_{F^+}(v_r v_i): 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \geq 1$. Since $r \geq 5$ and $k > \max\left\{\frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1), 2\frac{r-1}{r}\left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1\right)\right\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_2 \{w_{F^+}(v_r v_i): 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i \in [r-1]} w_{F^+}(v_r v_i) - (r-3)k \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} ((r-2)k - (r-3)k) \\
& = \frac{k}{2} > \frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right), \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_3 \{w_{F^+}(v_r v_i): 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{3} \left(\sum_{i \in [r-1]} w_{F^+}(v_r v_i) - (r-4)k \right) \geq \frac{1}{3} ((r-2)k - (r-4)k) \\
& = \frac{2}{3} k > \frac{4r-1}{3r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) > h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1, \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max\{w_{F^+}(v_r v_i): 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{r-1} \sum_{i \in [r-1]} w_{F^+}(v_r v_i) \geq \frac{r-2}{r-1} k > \frac{r-2}{r-1} \cdot \frac{r-1}{r-2} (h-1) = h-1, \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

and for $2 \leq \ell \leq s-1$,

$$\max_\ell \{w_{F^+}(v_r v_i): 1 \leq i \leq r-1\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{1}{r-\ell} \left(\sum_{i \in [r-1]} w_{F^+}(v_r v_i) - (\ell-1)k \right) \geq \frac{1}{r-\ell} ((r-2)k - (\ell-1)k) \\
&= \frac{r-\ell-1}{r-\ell} k > 2 \frac{r-\ell-1}{r-\ell} \cdot \frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right). \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the multiplicity of each edge is an integer, Inequality (10) implies that

$$\max\{w_{F^+}(v_r v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \geq h. \tag{12}$$

Moreover, by Inequality (11) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\max_{\ell}\{w_{F^+}(v_r v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \geq h - (\ell-1)s \tag{13}$$

when $2 \leq \ell \leq s-1$.

For each $i \in [s]$, let e_i be an edge in $\{v_{r-i+1} v_j : 1 \leq j \leq r-i\}$ with $w_{F^+}(e_i) = \min\{w_{F^+}(v_{r-i+1} v_j) : 1 \leq j \leq r-i\}$ (if there are more than one choice for e_i , we choose an arbitrary one). Then $w_{F^+}(e_1) \geq 1$ and

$$w_{F^+}(e_i) \geq (i-1) \frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \tag{14}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq s$ by Inequality (3). Let T be the simple graph induced by the set of edges $\{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$. Note that for each $i \in [s-1]$, e_i has at most one end-vertex in $T[\{e_{i+1}, \dots, e_s\}]$. Moreover, T is a forest on at most r vertices and T has s edges. Let e_{s+1} be an edge in $\{v_r v_j : 1 \leq j \leq r-1\}$ with $w_{F^+}(e_{s+1}) = \min_2\{w_{F^+}(v_r v_j) : 1 \leq j \leq r-1\}$ (if there are more than one choice for e_{s+1} , we choose an arbitrary one, but it must be different from e_1). Let T^+ be the simple graph induced by edges $\{e_1, \dots, e_s, e_{s+1}\}$. In other words, T^+ is the graph obtained from T by adding the edge e_{s+1} and its end-vertices (note that some of these two vertices may already be in T , and we do not add such vertices repeatedly).

Claim 2. *There is an ordering $e_{s+2}, e_{s+3}, \dots, e_{\binom{r}{2}}$ of the edges in $(V^{\binom{r}{2}}) \setminus E(T^+)$ such that for each $j \in \{s+2, s+3, \dots, \binom{r}{2}\}$, we have $w_{F^+}(e_j) \geq h - (\binom{r}{2} - j)$.*

Proof. For each $r-s+1 \leq i \leq r$, denote $\{v_i v_j : 1 \leq j \leq i-1\}$ by $\{e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,i-1}\}$ with $w_{F^+}(e_{i,1}) \geq \dots \geq w_{F^+}(e_{i,i-1})$. Then for each $1 \leq \ell \leq i-1$, we have $w_{F^+}(e_{i,\ell}) = \max_{\ell}\{w(v_i v_j) : 1 \leq j \leq i-1\}$. We now define the edges $e_{s+2}, e_{s+3}, \dots, e_{\binom{r}{2}}$. Let $e_{\binom{r}{2}}, e_{\binom{r}{2}-1}, \dots, e_{\binom{r}{2}-s(s-2)+1}$ be the edges

$$e_{r,1}, e_{r-1,1}, \dots, e_{r-s+1,1}, e_{r,2}, e_{r-1,2}, \dots, e_{r-s+1,2}, \dots, e_{r,s-2}, e_{r-1,s-2}, \dots, e_{r-s+1,s-2},$$

respectively. Let $e_{\binom{r}{2}-s(s-2)}, \dots, e_{\binom{r}{2}-s(s-1)+2}$ be the edges

$$e_{r,s-1}, e_{r-1,s-1}, \dots, e_{r-s+2,s-1},$$

respectively. Let $e_{\binom{r}{2}-s(s-1)+1}, \dots, e_{s+2}$ be an arbitrary ordering of the remaining edges in $(V^{\binom{r}{2}}) \setminus E(T^+)$.

By Inequalities (5) and (12), we have $w_{F^+}(e_j) \geq h - (\binom{r}{2} - j)$ for $\binom{r}{2} - s + 1 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2}$. For $s+2 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2} - s(s-1) + 1$, we have $h - (\binom{r}{2} - j) \leq h - s(s-1) + 1 \leq h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1$. By Inequalities (4), (9) and since $F^+\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-s}\}$ is a copy of $K_{r-s}^{(h-r(r-2)/4+1)}$, we have $w_{F^+}(e_j) \geq h - (\binom{r}{2} - j)$ for $s+2 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2} - s(s-1) + 1$.

Finally, we consider the case $\binom{r}{2} - s(s-1) + 2 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2} - s$. Note that for each $r-s+1 \leq i \leq r$ and $2 \leq \ell \leq s-2$, we have $e_{i,\ell} = e_{\binom{r}{2} - ((\ell-1)s + (r+1-i)) + 1} = e_{\binom{r}{2} - ((\ell-1)s + r - i)}$, and for each $r-s+2 \leq i \leq r$, we have $e_{i,s-1} = e_{\binom{r}{2} - (s(s-2) + (r+1-i)) + 1} = e_{\binom{r}{2} - (s(s-2) + r - i)}$. Hence, it suffices to show that $w_{F^+}(e_{i,\ell}) \geq h - ((\ell-1)s + r - i)$ when $r-s+1 \leq i \leq r$ and $2 \leq \ell \leq s-2$, and $w_{F^+}(e_{i,s-1}) \geq h - (s(s-2) + r - i)$ when $r-s+2 \leq i \leq r$. This is indeed true by Inequalities (7) and (13). This completes the proof of Claim 2. \square

Let $e_{s+2}, e_{s+3}, \dots, e_{\binom{r}{2}}$ be the ordering of edges in $(V_{\binom{r}{2}}^{F^+}) \setminus E(T^+)$ obtained by Claim 2. Combining with Observation 4.3 (ii), for any $j \in \{s+2, s+3, \dots, \binom{r}{2}\}$ and any $E \subseteq (V_{\binom{r}{2}}^{(H)})$ with $|E| = j$, we have

$$w_{F^+}(e_j) \geq h - \left(\binom{r}{2} - j \right) \geq w_H(E). \quad (15)$$

In the following, we shall show that there exist edges $f_1, \dots, f_{s+1} \in (V_{\binom{r}{2}}^{(H)})$ such that

- (i) $H[\{f_1, \dots, f_{s+1}\}]$ can be embedded into T^+ in which f_i is embedded into e_i for each $i \in [s+1]$, and
- (ii) $w_{F^+}(e_i) \geq \sum_{j=1}^i w_H(f_j)$ for each $i \in [s+1]$.

This together with Inequality (15) and Lemma 2.9 implies that F^+ contains a multicolored copy of H , and thus F is H -friendly. We divide the rest of the proof into two cases based on the value of $w_{F^+}(e_1)$. Recall that $w_{F^+}(e_1) = \min\{w_{F^+}(v_r v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \geq 1$.

Case 1. $w_{F^+}(e_1) > \frac{r-2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1)$.

By the assumption of Case 1 and Inequalities (8) and (14), we have $w_{F^+}(e_1) \geq \frac{r-2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1)$, $w_{F^+}(e_{s+1}) \geq \frac{r-1}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1)$, and $w_{F^+}(e_j) \geq (j-1)\frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1)$ for $j \in \{2, \dots, s\}$. We use $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_{\binom{r}{2}}$ to denote the edges in $(V_{\binom{r}{2}}^{(F^+)})$ with $e'_i := e_{i+1}$ for $i \in [s-2]$, $e'_{s-1} := e_1$, and $e'_i := e_i$ for $i \in \{s, s+1, \dots, \binom{r}{2}\}$. Then

$$w_{F^+}(e'_i) \geq \begin{cases} i\frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) & \text{if } i \in [s-2], \\ \frac{r-2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) & \text{if } i = s-1, \\ (\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1)\frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) & \text{if } i = s, \\ \frac{r-1}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) & \text{if } i = s+1. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Let $f'_1, f'_2, \dots, f'_{\binom{r}{2}}$ be an ordering of edges in $(V_{\binom{r}{2}}^{(H)})$ with $w_H(f'_1) \geq w_H(f'_2) \geq \dots \geq w_H(f'_{\binom{r}{2}})$. For each $j \in [s+1]$, let $h_j := \sum_{\ell=s}^{s+j-1} w_H(f'_\ell)$.

Claim 3. For each $j \in [s+1]$, we have $h_j \leq \frac{j}{s+j-1}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1)$.

Proof. We shall show that $h_j \leq \frac{j}{s+j-1}(h - ((\binom{r}{2}) - (s+j-1)))$, which implies the claim since $\binom{r}{2} - (s+j-1) \geq \binom{r}{2} - 2s \geq \binom{r}{2} - (r+1) \geq \frac{r(r-2)}{4} - 1$. Suppose for a contradiction that $h_j > \frac{j}{s+j-1}(h - ((\binom{r}{2}) - (s+j-1)))$. Then $w_H(f'_s) \geq \frac{1}{j}h_j > \frac{1}{s+j-1}(h - ((\binom{r}{2}) - (s+j-1)))$ and thus $w_H(f'_1) \geq \dots \geq w_H(f'_{s-1}) \geq w_H(f'_s) > \frac{1}{s+j-1}(h - ((\binom{r}{2}) - (s+j-1)))$. Then $e(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} w_H(f'_i) + \sum_{i=s}^{s+j-1} w_H(f'_i) + \sum_{i=s+j}^{\binom{r}{2}} w_H(f'_i) > (s-1)\frac{1}{s+j-1}(h - ((\binom{r}{2}) - (s+j-1))) + \frac{j}{s+j-1}(h - ((\binom{r}{2}) - (s+j-1))) + \binom{r}{2} - (s+j-1) = h$, a contradiction. The result follows. \square

Claim 4. For each $j \in [s+1]$, we have $w_{F^+}(e'_j) \geq h_j$.

Proof. By Claim 3, it suffices to show that $w_{F^+}(e'_j)/(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) \geq \frac{j}{s+j-1}$ for each $j \in [s+1]$. We shall use the lower bound on $w_{F^+}(e'_j)$ given by Inequality (16). For $j \in [s-2]$, we have $j\frac{2}{r} - \frac{j}{s+j-1} \geq j(\frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{r/2+1-1}) = 0$. For $j = s-1$, we have $\frac{r-2}{r} - \frac{j}{s+j-1} = \frac{r-2}{r} - \frac{1}{2} > 0$. For $j = s$, if $r \geq 5$ is odd, then $(\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1)\frac{2}{r} - \frac{j}{s+j-1} = \frac{r-1}{r} - \frac{r+1}{2r} > 0$; if $r \geq 6$ is even, then $(\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1)\frac{2}{r} - \frac{j}{s+j-1} = \frac{r-2}{r} - \frac{r}{2(r-1)} > 0$. For $j = s+1$, we have $\frac{r-1}{r} - \frac{j}{s+j-1} = \frac{r-1}{r} - \frac{s+1}{2s} \geq \frac{r-1}{r} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} > 0$. The result follows. \square

Let H' be the simple graph with $V(H') = V(H)$ and $E(H') = \{f'_1, f'_2, \dots, f'_{s-1}\}$. Recall that T^+ is an $(s+1)$ -edge graph on at most r vertices. Let T^{++} be the graph obtained from T^+ by adding $r - |V(T^+)|$ isolated vertices. Since $e(T^{++}) + e(H') = 2s \leq r+1 \leq \frac{3}{2}(r-1)$, there is a packing of T^{++} and H' by Theorem 2.4. Hence, there exist $s+1$ edges $f'_{i_1}, \dots, f'_{i_{s+1}} \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ and an embedding $\phi: V(H) \rightarrow V(F^+)$ such that

- (i) $f'_{i_1}, \dots, f'_{i_{s+1}} \in \{f'_s, \dots, f'_{\binom{r}{2}}\}$, and
- (ii) $\phi(f'_{i_j}) = e'_j$ for each $j \in [s+1]$.

Moreover, by Claim 4, we have

$$w_{F^+}(e'_j) \geq h_j = \sum_{\ell=s}^{s+j-1} w_H(f'_\ell) \geq \sum_{\ell=1}^j w_H(f'_{i_\ell})$$

for each $j \in [s+1]$. For each $1 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2}$, let $f''_j := \phi^{-1}(e'_j)$. Combining with Inequality (15), we have $w_{F^+}(e'_j) \geq \sum_{\ell=1}^j w_H(f''_\ell)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2}$. By Lemma 2.9, there is a multicolored copy of H in F^+ . Thus F is H -friendly. By Lemma 2.7, G is $(r-1)$ -partite. This completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2. $1 \leq w_{F^+}(e_1) \leq \frac{r-2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1)$.

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{F^+}(e_{s+1}) &= \min_2\{w(v_r v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \\ &\geq \sum_{i \in [r-1]} w(v_r v_i) - (r-3)k - w_{F^+}(e_1) \\ &\geq (r-2)k - (r-3)k - \frac{r-2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \\ &> 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - \frac{r-2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \\ &= h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \geq h - \left(\binom{r}{2} - (s+1) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Recall that T is a forest with s edges. Let T_1 be the connected component of T containing the edge e_1 . Let $m := |E(T_1)| - 1$. Then $0 \leq m \leq s-1$. Let $\{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_m}, e_1\}$ be the edge set of T_1 . Since T_1 is a tree, we may assume that for each $j \in [m]$, the edge e_{i_j} has exactly one end-vertex in $T_1[\{e_{i_{j+1}}, \dots, e_{i_m}, e_1\}]$. Let $e_1 = v_r v_q$, where $q \in [r-1]$. By the definition of T , if an edge is adjacent to e_1 in T , then this edge must be incident with v_q . We may further assume that $\{e_{i_{m'}}, \dots, e_{i_m}\}$ is the set of all edges adjacent to e_1 in T_1 . Then $\{e_{i_{m'}}, \dots, e_{i_m}\}$ forms a star with center v_q .

Claim 5. *If $m' = 1$ and $m = s - 1$, then G is $(r - 1)$ -partite.*

Proof. If $m' = 1$ and $m = s - 1$, then $T = T_1$ is a star with center v_q . Let $V(H) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$ and $f_1 := u_1u_2$ be a critical edge of H . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d_H(u_2) \leq d_H(u_1)$ and $w_H(u_2u_3) \leq \dots \leq w_H(u_2u_r)$. Then by Observation 4.3 (i), we have $\sum_{i=3}^r w_H(u_2u_i) \leq \frac{1}{2}(h - \binom{r-2}{2} - 1)$. For each $2 \leq j \leq s$, let $f_j := u_2u_{j+1}$. Then for each $2 \leq j \leq s$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^j w_H(f_i) = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^j w_H(u_2u_{i+1}) \leq 1 + \frac{j-1}{r-2} \sum_{i=3}^r w_H(u_2u_i) \leq 1 + \frac{j-1}{r-2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(h - \binom{r-2}{2} - 1)$. Since $r \geq 5$ and $h \geq \binom{r}{2}$, we can deduce that for $j \geq 2$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & (j-1) \frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - 1 - \frac{j-1}{r-2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(h - \binom{r-2}{2} - 1 \right) \\ & \geq (j-1) \frac{2}{r} \left(\binom{r}{2} - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - 1 - \frac{j-1}{r-2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(\binom{r}{2} - \binom{r-2}{2} - 1 \right) \\ & = (j-1) \frac{2}{r} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} + 1 \right) - 1 - \frac{j-1}{2(r-2)}(2r-4) \\ & = \frac{r}{2}(j-1) + \frac{2}{r}(j-1) - j > \frac{5}{2}(j-1) - j = \frac{3}{2}j - \frac{5}{2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^j w_H(f_i) < (j-1) \frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right)$ for $2 \leq j \leq s$. This together with Inequality (14) implies that $w_{F^+}(e_j) \geq \sum_{i=1}^j w_H(f_i)$ for each $j \in [s]$. Combining with Inequalities (15), (17) and Lemma 2.9, we can deduce that F^+ contains a multicolored copy of H . Thus F is H -friendly. By Lemma 2.7, G is $(r - 1)$ -partite. This completes the proof of Claim 5. \square

By Claim 5, we may assume that either $m' \neq 1$ or $m \neq s - 1$ in the following arguments.

Claim 6. *H contains a subgraph H_1 such that*

- (i) *the underlying graph of H_1 is T_1 ,*
- (ii) *the edge corresponding to e_1 is a critical edge of H , and*
- (iii) *each edge of H_1 has multiplicity at most $\frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1$ in H .*

Proof. If $m = 0$, then T_1 consists of the single edge e_1 . In this case, the result holds clearly since H contains a critical edge. In the following, we may assume that $m \geq 1$. Let $f_1 := u_1u_2$ be a critical edge of H . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d_H(u_2) \leq d_H(u_1)$. Then u_2 has a neighbor $u_3 \in V(H) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ with $w_H(u_2u_3) \leq \frac{1}{r-2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (h - \binom{r-2}{2} - 1) \leq \frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1$. Let $f_{i_m} := u_2u_3$. Assume that we have found $f_{i_\ell}, \dots, f_{i_m}, f_1 \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ for some $2 \leq \ell \leq m$ such that for each $j \in \{\ell, \dots, m\}$,

- (i) *the edge f_{i_j} has multiplicity at most $\frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1$, and*
- (ii) *the underlying graph of $H_{(j)} := H[\{f_{i_j}, \dots, f_{i_m}, f_1\}]$ is isomorphic to $T_1[\{e_{i_j}, \dots, e_{i_m}, e_1\}]$.*

We shall find an edge $f_{i_{\ell-1}} \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ with $w_H(f_{i_{\ell-1}}) \leq \frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1$ such that $H[\{f_{i_{\ell-1}}, \dots, f_{i_m}, f_1\}]$ is isomorphic to $T_1[\{e_{i_{\ell-1}}, \dots, e_{i_m}, e_1\}]$.

Recall that $e_{i_{\ell-1}}$ has exactly one end-vertex in $T_1[\{e_{i_\ell}, \dots, e_{i_m}, e_1\}]$, say vertex w . Let w' be the vertex in $H_{(\ell)}$ corresponding to w . Note that $|V(H_{(\ell)})| = |E(H_{(\ell)})| + 1 = m - \ell + 3$.

Let $w'' \in V(H) \setminus V(H_{(\ell)})$ with $w_H(w'w'') = \min_{x \in V(H) \setminus V(H_{(\ell)})} w_H(w'x)$. By Observation 4.3 (i) and (iii), we have

$$w_H(w'w'') \leq \frac{d_H(w') - d_{H_{(\ell)}}(w')}{r - |V(H_{(\ell)})|} \leq \frac{h - \binom{r-1}{2} - (|V(H_{(\ell)})| - 1)}{r - |V(H_{(\ell)})|} = 1 + \frac{h - \binom{r}{2}}{r - (m - \ell + 3)}.$$

If $r \geq 6$ is even, then

$$w_H(w'w'') \leq 1 + \frac{h - \binom{r}{2}}{r - ((s-1) - 2 + 3)} = 1 + \frac{h - \binom{r}{2}}{r - r/2} \leq \frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - 1;$$

if $r \geq 5$ is odd and $m - \ell \leq s - 4$, then

$$w_H(w'w'') \leq 1 + \frac{h - \binom{r}{2}}{r - s + 1} = 1 + \frac{h - \binom{r}{2}}{r - (r+1)/2 + 1} \leq \frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - 1.$$

In both cases, we can choose $f_{i_{\ell-1}}$ to be $w'w''$.

Now we consider the remaining case that $r \geq 5$ is odd and $m - \ell \geq s - 3$. Since $m \leq s - 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$, we have $m - \ell \leq s - 3$. Thus $m - \ell = s - 3$, $m = s - 1$ and $\ell = 2$ now. In the following, we shall find a desired edge f_{i_1} . Recall that we have either $m' \neq 1$ or $m \neq s - 1$. Thus $m' \neq 1$. Then e_{i_1} is not adjacent to e_1 , so w is not an end-vertex of e_1 . Thus $w' \notin \{u_1, u_2\}$. If $w_H(w'w'') \leq \frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - 1$, then we can choose f_{i_1} to be $w'w''$, and we are done. If $w_H(w'w'') > \frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - 1$, then since $w_H(w'w'') = \min_{x \in V(H) \setminus V(H_{(\ell)})} w_H(w'x)$ and $|V(H) \setminus V(H_{(2)})| = r - (m - \ell + 3) = r - s = \frac{r-1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in V(H) \setminus V(H_{(2)})} w_H(w'x) &> (r - |V(H_{(2)})|) \left(\frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - \frac{r-1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Observation 4.3 (i), for any $e \in \binom{V(H)}{2} \setminus \{w'x : x \in V(H) \setminus V(H_{(2)})\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_H(e) &\leq h - \sum_{x \in V(H) \setminus V(H_{(2)})} w_H(w'x) - \left(\binom{r}{2} - (|V(H) \setminus V(H_{(2)})|) - 1 \right) \\ &< h - \left(\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - \frac{r-1}{2} \right) - \left(\binom{r}{2} - \frac{r-1}{2} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r}h + \frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{4} - \frac{r-1}{r} + (r-1) - \binom{r}{2} + 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{r}h - \frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{4} + \frac{1}{r} < \frac{2}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can choose two vertices $w^*, w^{**} \in V(H) \setminus V(H_{(2)})$ arbitrarily so that if we replace w' by w^* in $H_{(2)}$ and let $f_{i_1} := w^*w^{**}$, then we get a desired H' . This completes the proof of Claim 6. \square

Let $t_1 := |E(T_1)|$ and $T_2 := T \setminus T_1$. Then T_2 is a forest on $s - t_1$ edges. We first show that we can find the desired edges f_1, \dots, f_s in the case $r = 5$. Note that $s = 3$ when $r = 5$.

Claim 7. *If $r = 5$, then we can find edges $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ such that*

- (i) $H[\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}]$ can be embedded into T in which f_i is embedded into e_i for each $i \in [3]$, and
- (ii) $w_{F^+}(e_i) \geq \sum_{j=1}^i w_H(f_j)$ for each $i \in [3]$.

Proof. Since $|V(T)| \leq r = 5$, T has at most two components. Let $V(H) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_5\}$ with $w_H(u_1u_2) = 1$. If $t_1 = 3 = s$, then we are done by Claim 6 and Inequality (14). So we may assume that $t_1 \leq 2$.

If $t_1 = 1$, then $T_1 = K_2$ and $T_2 = K_{1,2}$. Note that $\min\{w_H(a) + w_H(b) : a, b \in \{u_3u_4, u_3u_5, u_4u_5\}, a \neq b\} \leq \frac{2}{3}(h-7)$; otherwise $e(H) \geq \frac{1}{2}(w_H(u_3u_4) + w_H(u_3u_5) + w_H(u_3u_4) + w_H(u_4u_5) + w_H(u_3u_5) + w_H(u_4u_5)) + 7 > \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3}(h-7) + 7 = h$, a contradiction. Let $a, b \in \{u_3u_4, u_3u_5, u_4u_5\}$ with $a \neq b$, $w_H(a) + w_H(b) \leq \frac{2}{3}(h-7) \leq \frac{4}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1 \leq w_{F^+}(e_3) - 1$ and $w_H(a) \leq w_H(b)$. Then $w_H(a) \leq \frac{1}{3}(h-7) \leq \frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1 \leq w_{F^+}(e_2) - 1$. In this case, u_1u_2 forms a desired T_1 , and a and b form a desired T_2 . Hence, we can assign (f_1, f_2, f_3) to be (u_1u_2, a, b) .

If $t_1 = 2$, then $T_1 = K_{1,2}$ and $T_2 = K_2$. Note that $\min\{w_H(u_1u_3) + w_H(u_4u_5), w_H(u_1u_4) + w_H(u_3u_5), w_H(u_1u_5) + w_H(u_3u_4)\} \leq \frac{1}{3}(h-4)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $w_H(u_1u_3) + w_H(u_4u_5) \leq \frac{1}{3}(h-4) \leq \frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1)$. Then $w_H(u_1u_3) \leq \frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1$ and $w_H(u_4u_5) \leq \frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1$. In this case, u_1u_2 and u_1u_3 form a desired T_1 , and u_4u_5 forms a desired T_2 . Hence, we can assign (f_1, f_2, f_3) to be one of (u_1u_2, u_1u_3, u_4u_5) and (u_1u_2, u_4u_5, u_1u_3) . This completes the proof of Claim 7. \square

We next show that we can find the desired edges f_1, \dots, f_s in the case $r \geq 6$.

Claim 8. *If $r \geq 6$, then H contains a subgraph H' such that*

- (i) *the underlying graph of H' is T ,*
- (ii) *the edge corresponding to e_1 is a critical edge of H , and*
- (iii) *each edge of H' has multiplicity at most $\frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1$ in H .*

Proof. Recall that $t_1 = |E(T_1)|$ and $T_2 = T \setminus T_1$ is a forest with $s - t_1$ edges and at most $r - (t_1 + 1)$ vertices. If $t_1 = s$ (i.e., $T = T_1$), then the result follows from Claim 6. Hence, we may assume that $t_1 \leq s - 1 \leq \frac{r-1}{2}$.

Let $E_1 := \{e \in \binom{V(H)}{2} : w_H(e) > \frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1\}$. Then $|E_1| < \frac{r}{2}$; otherwise $e(H) > \frac{r}{2}(\frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1) + \binom{r}{2} - \frac{r}{2} = h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + \binom{r-1}{2} > h$, a contradiction. Since $|E_1|$ is an integer, we further have $|E_1| \leq s - 1$. Let H_1 be the subgraph of H obtained by Claim 6. Let H^* be the subgraph of H with $V(H^*) = V(H) \setminus V(H_1)$ and $E(H^*) = \{e \in E(H[V(H^*)]) : w_H(e) \leq \frac{2}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - 1\}$. Let H' be the graph with $V(H') = V(H^*) = V(H) \setminus V(H_1)$ and $E(H') = E_1 \cap \binom{V(H^*)}{2}$. Let T'_2 be the graph obtained from T_2 by adding $|V(H')| - |V(T_2)|$ isolated vertices. In order to prove the claim, we shall show that H^* contains a subgraph H_2 whose underlying graph is T_2 . It suffices to show that there is a packing of T'_2 and H' .

Note that $|V(T'_2)| = |V(H')| = r - (t_1 + 1)$, $e(T'_2) = e(T_2) = s - t_1$ and $e(H') \leq |E_1| \leq s - 1$. Then

$$\frac{3}{2}(|V(H')| - 1) - e(T'_2) - e(H')$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{3}{2}(r - t_1 - 2) - (s - t_1) - (s - 1) = \frac{3r}{2} - 2s - \frac{t_1}{2} - 2 \\
&\geq \frac{3r}{2} - 2s - \frac{s-1}{2} - 2 = \frac{1}{2}(3r - 5s - 3).
\end{aligned}$$

If $r \geq 6$ is even, then $3r - 5s - 3 = 3r - 5\frac{r}{2} - 3 = \frac{r}{2} - 3 \geq 0$; if $r \geq 11$ is odd, then $3r - 5s - 3 = 3r - 5\frac{r+1}{2} - 3 = \frac{r-11}{2} \geq 0$. Combining with Theorem 2.4, there is a packing of T'_2 and H' , unless $r \in \{7, 9\}$.

If $r = 9$, then $s = 5$, $1 \leq t_1 \leq 4$, $e(T'_2) = 5 - t_1$ and $e(H') \leq |E_1| \leq 4$. Thus $e(T'_2)e(H') \leq 4(5 - t_1) < \binom{8-t_1}{2} = \binom{|V(H')|}{2}$. By Theorem 2.3, there is a packing of T'_2 and H' .

If $r = 7$, then $s = 4$, $1 \leq t_1 \leq 3$ and $e(H') \leq |E_1| \leq 3$. Firstly, if $t_1 = 1$, then $|V(H')| = 7 - 2 = 5$ and $e(T'_2) = 3$. Since $e(T'_2)e(H') \leq 9 < \binom{5}{2} = \binom{|V(H')|}{2}$, there is a packing of T'_2 and H' by Theorem 2.3. Secondly, if $t_1 = 2$, then $|V(H')| = 7 - 3 = 4$ and $e(T_2) = 2$. Let $V(H_1) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$, $V(H) \setminus V(H_1) = \{u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7\}$, and u_1u_2 be a critical edge. If $|E_1 \cap \binom{V(H) \setminus V(H_1)}{2}| \leq 2$, then one can easily find a desired T_2 within $V(H) \setminus V(H_1)$. If $|E_1 \cap \binom{V(H) \setminus V(H_1)}{2}| = 3$, then $E_1 \cap \{u_iu_j : i \in [3], j \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}\} = \emptyset$. Since $\binom{|V(H) \setminus V(H_1)|}{2} = 6 > 3$, we may assume that $u_4u_5 \notin E_1$ without loss of generality. Then u_1u_2 and u_1u_6 form a desired T_1 , and u_4u_5 and one edge in $\{u_3u_4, u_3u_7\}$ form a desired T_2 . Finally, if $t_1 = 3$, then $T_1 \in \{K_{1,3}, P_4\}$, $T_2 = K_2$ and $|V(H')| = 7 - 4 = 3$. Let $V(H_1) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$, $V(H) \setminus V(H_1) = \{u_5, u_6, u_7\}$, and u_1u_2 be a critical edge. If $\binom{V(H) \setminus V(H_1)}{2} \setminus E_1 \neq \emptyset$, then we can find a desired T_2 within $V(H) \setminus V(H_1)$. If $\binom{V(H) \setminus V(H_1)}{2} \setminus E_1 = \emptyset$, then $E_1 \cap (\binom{V(H)}{2} \setminus \binom{V(H) \setminus V(H_1)}{2}) = \emptyset$. Then one can easily find a desired T consisting of edges in $\binom{V(H)}{2} \setminus \binom{V(H) \setminus V(H_1)}{2}$. This completes the proof of Claim 8. \square

By Claims 7, 8 and Inequality (14), there exist edges $f_1, \dots, f_s \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$ and an embedding $\phi : V(H) \rightarrow V(F^+)$ such that for each $i \in [s]$,

- (i) $\phi(f_i) = e_i$, and
- (ii) $w_{F^+}(e_i) \geq \sum_{j=1}^i w_H(f_j)$.

Recall that e_{s+1} is an edge in $\{v_rv_j : 1 \leq j \leq r-1\}$ with $w_{F^+}(e_{s+1}) = \min_2\{w_{F^+}(v_rv_j) : 1 \leq j \leq r-1\}$, and $e_{s+2}, e_{s+3}, \dots, e_{\binom{r}{2}}$ is the ordering of edges in $\binom{V(F^+)}{2} \setminus E(T^+)$ obtained by Claim 2. For each $s+1 \leq i \leq \binom{r}{2}$, let $f_i := \phi^{-1}(e_i)$. By Inequalities (15) and (17), we have $w_{F^+}(e_i) \geq \sum_{j=1}^i w_H(f_j)$ for each $s+1 \leq i \leq \binom{r}{2}$. By Lemma 2.9, there is a multicolored copy of H in F^+ . Thus F is H -friendly. By Lemma 2.7, G is $(r-1)$ -partite. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

5 Step II: completing the proof via stability

In this section, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.3. First, we prove a stability result for r -vertex r -color-critical multigraphs (i.e., Lemma 5.2) using the ideas in [7, 28]. Second, we extend the stability result to r -color-critical graphs with any number of vertices (i.e., Lemma 5.5) using the multicolor version of Szemerédi's Regularity Lemma and the Embedding Lemma. Last, we prove Theorem 5.6, which implies Theorem 1.3 immediately.

We will use the following observation which follows from a straightforward calculation.

Observation 5.1 ([7]). *Suppose $0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \delta < 1$. Let G be an n -vertex multigraph with $d\binom{n}{2}$ edges. If $B \subseteq V(G)$ is a vertex set with $|B| = \frac{1}{2}\delta n$ and every $v \in B$ satisfies $d_G(v) < (1 - \delta)dn$, then $G - B$ has at least $(1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2)d\binom{|V(G-B)|}{2}$ edges.*

For two multigraphs G and H of the same order, the *symmetric difference* of G and H is defined by

$$|G\Delta H| := \min_{\substack{H' \cong H, \\ V(H')=V(G)}} \sum_{e \in \binom{V(G)}{2}} |w_G(e) - w_{H'}(e)|.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $r \geq 5$, $k > \max\{\frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1), 2\frac{r-1}{r}(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1)\}$ and $0 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \eta \ll \eta_1, \frac{1}{k} < 1$. Let H be an r -vertex r -color-critical multigraph with h edges. Let G be an n -vertex simply k -colored multicolored- H -free multigraph with $e(G) \geq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n) - \eta n^2$. Then $|G\Delta(k \cdot T_{r-1}(n))| \leq \eta_1 n^2$.*

Proof. Let δ be such that $0 < \eta \ll \delta \ll \eta_1, \frac{1}{k} < 1$. We first show that G contains a subgraph of order at least $(1 - \delta^{1/2})n$ with minimum degree at least $(1 - \delta^{1/2})k\delta(T_{r-1}(n))$. Let $d > 0$ be the number such that $e(G) = d\binom{n}{2}$. Since $e(G) \geq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n) - \eta n^2 \geq k\frac{r-2}{r-1}\binom{n}{2} - \eta n^2$, we have $d \geq k\frac{r-2}{r-1} - 3\eta$. Let $L := \{v \in V(G) : d(v) < (1 - \delta)dn\}$.

Claim 9. $|L| < \delta\frac{n}{2}$.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $|L| \geq \delta\frac{n}{2}$. We choose $B \subseteq L$ with $|B| = \delta\frac{n}{2}$. By Observation 5.1 and since $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \eta \ll \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(G - B) &\geq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2\right) d\binom{|V(G-B)|}{2} \geq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2\right) \left(k\frac{r-2}{r-1} - 3\eta\right) \binom{|V(G-B)|}{2} \\ &> \left(k\frac{r-2}{r-1} - 3\eta + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2\right) \binom{|V(G-B)|}{2} > \left(k\frac{r-2}{r-1} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^2\right) \binom{|V(G-B)|}{2} \\ &> k \cdot t_{r-1}(|V(G-B)|). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since G (and thus $G - B$) is multicolored- H -free, we have $e(G - B) \leq k \cdot t_{r-1}(|V(G - B)|)$ by Theorem 4.1. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Let $M := G - L$. By Claim 9, we have $|L| < \delta\frac{n}{2}$. Combining with $r \geq 5$, $k > \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1)$, $0 < \eta \ll \delta \ll \frac{1}{k} < 1$ and Inequalities (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(M) &\geq (1 - \delta)dn - k|L| > (1 - \delta) \left(k\frac{r-2}{r-1} - 3\eta\right) n - k\delta\frac{n}{2} \\ &= \left(k\frac{r-2}{r-1} - 3\eta - \left(k\left(\frac{r-2}{r-1} + \frac{1}{2}\right) - 3\eta\right) \delta\right) n \geq (1 - \delta^{1/2}) k\frac{r-2}{r-1} n \\ &\geq (1 - \delta^{1/2}) k\delta(T_{r-1}(n)) \geq (1 - \delta^{1/2}) k\delta(T_{r-1}(|V(M)|)), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e(M) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\delta(M)|V(M)| > \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta^{1/2}) k\delta(T_{r-1}(|V(M)|))|V(M)| \\ &> \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta^{1/2}) k\frac{r-2}{r-1} (|V(M)| - 1)|V(M)| = (1 - \delta^{1/2}) k\frac{r-2}{r-1} \binom{|V(M)|}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq k \frac{r-2}{r-1} \cdot \frac{|V(M)|^2}{2} - \delta^{2/5} n^2 \geq k \cdot t_{r-1}(|V(M)|) - \delta^{2/5} n^2. \quad (19)$$

By Inequality (18) and Lemma 4.2, we can deduce that M is $(r-1)$ -partite. Let V_1, \dots, V_{r-1} be the partite sets of M . We claim that for each $i \in [r-1]$, we have $||V_i| - \frac{|V(M)|}{r-1}| \leq 2\delta^{1/5}n$. Otherwise, there exist some $i \neq j$ such that $|V_i| - |V_j| > 2\delta^{1/5}n$, which implies that $e(M) \leq k \cdot t_{r-1}(|V(M)|) - \delta^{2/5}n^2$, contradicting Inequality (19). Then, by deleting at most $k(r-1)(2\delta^{1/5}n)n < \delta^{1/6}n^2$ edges of M , we obtain an $(r-1)$ -partite multigraph M' with class sizes equal to that of $T_{r-1}(|V(M)|)$, and $e(M') \geq e(M) - \delta^{1/6}n^2 > k \cdot t_{r-1}(|V(M)|) - \delta^{2/5}n^2 - \delta^{1/6}n^2 > k \cdot t_{r-1}(|V(M)|) - 2\delta^{1/6}n^2$. Then $|G\Delta(k \cdot T_{r-1}(n))| \leq |M\Delta(k \cdot T_{r-1}(|V(M)|))| + k|L|n < \delta^{1/6}n^2 + 2\delta^{1/6}n^2 + k\delta\frac{n^2}{2} < \eta_1 n^2$. The proof is complete. \square

In order to extend the stability result from r -vertex r -color-critical multigraphs to r -color-critical graphs with any number of vertices, we shall use the multicolor version of Szemerédi's Regularity Lemma [31] and the Embedding Lemma. Similar results for edge-colored graphs were also used in [16]. Let G be a simply k -colored multigraph. The *edge density* of G is defined as $d(G) := \frac{e(G)}{|V(G)|^2}$. For two disjoint nonempty vertex sets X, Y and a color ρ , the ρ -*density* of (X, Y) is defined to be $d_\rho(X, Y) := \frac{e_\rho(X, Y)}{|X||Y|}$, where $e_\rho(X, Y)$ is the number of edges between X and Y with color ρ . For $\varepsilon > 0$, the pair (X, Y) is ε -*regular* if for every $X' \subseteq X$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$ with $|X'| \geq \varepsilon|X|$ and $|Y'| \geq \varepsilon|Y|$, we have $|d_\rho(X, Y) - d_\rho(X', Y')| \leq \varepsilon$ for every color ρ . A partition $\mathcal{P} = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ of $V(G)$ is an ε -*regular partition* of G if

- $||V_i| - |V_j|| \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, and
- (V_i, V_j) is ε -regular for all but at most εm^2 pairs (i, j) .

For $\varepsilon, \gamma > 0$ and a color ρ , the pair (X, Y) is $(\varepsilon, \gamma; \rho)$ -*lower-regular* if for every $X' \subseteq X$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$ with $|X'| \geq \varepsilon|X|$ and $|Y'| \geq \varepsilon|Y|$, we have $d_\rho(X', Y') \geq \gamma$. Given $\varepsilon, \gamma > 0$ and an ε -regular partition $\mathcal{P} = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ of G , we define the $(\varepsilon, \gamma, \mathcal{P})$ -*reduced multigraph* R as follows: R is a simply k -colored multigraph with colors $\{R_1, \dots, R_k\}$ and vertex set $[m]$, and for each $ij \in \binom{[m]}{2}$ and $\rho \in [k]$, we have $ij \in E(R_\rho)$ if and only if (V_i, V_j) is $(\varepsilon, \gamma; \rho)$ -lower-regular.

Lemma 5.3 (Multicolor Regularity Lemma [7, 22, 31]). *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and integers $k, M_0 \geq 1$, there exist n' and M such that every simply k -colored multigraph G on $n \geq n'$ vertices admits an ε -regular partition $\mathcal{P} = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ with $M_0 \leq m \leq M$. Moreover, for $\gamma > 0$, the density of the $(\varepsilon, \gamma, \mathcal{P})$ -reduced multigraph R satisfies $d(R) \geq d(G) - 2(\varepsilon + \gamma)$.*

Given a simply k -colored multigraph G with an ε -regular partition $\mathcal{P} = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ and $(\varepsilon, \gamma, \mathcal{P})$ -reduced multigraph R , we define a simply k -colored multigraph $G^\mathcal{P} = G^\mathcal{P}(\varepsilon, \gamma)$ as follows. Let $G^\mathcal{P}$ be a simply k -colored multigraph with colors $\{G_1^\mathcal{P}, \dots, G_k^\mathcal{P}\}$ and vertex set $V(G)$, in which for each $ij \in \binom{[m]}{2}$ and $\rho \in [k]$, the bipartite graph $G_\rho^\mathcal{P}[V_i, V_j]$ is a complete bipartite graph if $ij \in E(R_\rho)$, and an empty bipartite graph if $ij \notin E(R_\rho)$.

Lemma 5.4 (Multicolor Embedding Lemma [7, 22]). *Suppose $0 \leq \frac{1}{n} \ll \varepsilon \ll \gamma, \frac{1}{h} \leq 1$. Let H be an r -vertex h -edge multigraph, and G be a simply k -nested-colored multigraph with an ε -regular partition \mathcal{P} . If $G^\mathcal{P}(\varepsilon, \gamma)$ contains a multicolored copy of H , then G contains a multicolored copy of H .*

Now we prove a stability result for color-critical simple graphs with any number of vertices.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $r \geq 5$, $k > \max \left\{ \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1), 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \right\}$ and $0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \eta \ll \mu, \frac{1}{k} < 1$. Let H be an r -color-critical graph with h edges. Let G be an n -vertex simply k -nested-colored multicolored- H -free multigraph with $e(G) \geq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n) - \eta n^2$. Then $|G\Delta(k \cdot T_{r-1}(n))| \leq \mu n^2$.*

Proof. Let $0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \varepsilon \ll \gamma \ll \eta \ll \eta' \ll \mu, \frac{1}{k} < 1$, where ε satisfies that $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ is at least the value n is Lemma 5.2. Let H_c be the color-reduced multigraph of H . Applying Lemma 5.3 to G with the constants $\varepsilon, k, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ playing the roles of ε, k, M_0 , we obtain an M and an ε -regular partition $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ with $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \leq m \leq M$, and we may assume that $n \gg M$. Let R be the $(\varepsilon, \gamma, \mathcal{P})$ -reduced multigraph of G . Since G is nested, both R and $G^{\mathcal{P}} = G^{\mathcal{P}}(\varepsilon, \gamma)$ are nested by the lower-regularity in the definitions. Since G is multicolored- H -free, the multigraph $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is multicolored- H -free by Lemma 5.4. Then R is multicolored- H_c -free, since otherwise $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ contains a multicolored copy of H .

By the moreover part of Lemma 5.3, we have $d(R) \geq d(G) - 2(\varepsilon + \gamma)$. Combining with $0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \varepsilon \ll \gamma \ll \eta$, we have $e(R) = d(R)m^2 \geq d(G)m^2 - 2(\varepsilon + \gamma)m^2 \geq (k \cdot t_{r-1}(n) - \eta n^2) \frac{m^2}{n^2} - 2(\varepsilon + \gamma)m^2 \geq k \cdot t_{r-1}(m) - 2\eta m^2$. By Lemma 5.2, we have $|R\Delta(k \cdot T_{r-1}(m))| \leq \eta' m^2$. Then $|G^{\mathcal{P}}\Delta(k \cdot t_{r-1}(n))| < 2\eta' n^2 + k(r-1) \frac{n}{m} n < 3\eta' n^2$, and thus $e(G^{\mathcal{P}}) \leq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n) + 3\eta' n^2 \leq e(G) + 4\eta' n^2$. From the definition of $G^{\mathcal{P}}$, we know that there exists an edge subset $E \subseteq E(G)$ with $|E| \leq k(\varepsilon m^2 (\frac{n}{m})^2 + \frac{1}{m} \cdot \frac{n^2}{2} + \gamma n^2) = k(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2m} + \gamma)n^2 \leq \eta' n^2$ such that $E(G) \setminus E \subseteq E(G^{\mathcal{P}})$. Then $|G\Delta G^{\mathcal{P}}| \leq |E| + (e(G^{\mathcal{P}}) - |E(G) \setminus E|) \leq 2|E| + 4\eta' n^2 \leq 6\eta' n^2$. Thus $|G\Delta(k \cdot T_{r-1}(n))| \leq |G\Delta G^{\mathcal{P}}| + |G^{\mathcal{P}}\Delta(k \cdot t_{r-1}(n))| \leq 9\eta' n^2 \leq \mu n^2$. \square

Now we have all ingredients to state and prove our main result. Note that Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 5.6 below immediately since $2\frac{r-1}{r}(h-1) > \max \left\{ \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1), 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \right\}$ for $r \geq 5$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $r \geq 5$ and H be an r -color-critical graph with h edges. If n is sufficiently large and*

$$k > \max \left\{ \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1), 2\frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \right\},$$

then $\text{ex}_k(n, H) = k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$, and the unique n -vertex k -color extremal multigraph of H consists of k colors all of which are identical copies of $T_{r-1}(n)$.

Proof. Let $0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \mu \ll \frac{1}{h} < 1$. We may assume that H contains no isolated vertex, so $|V(H)| \leq 2h$. Let G be an n -vertex simply k -colored multicolored- H -free multigraph with $e(G) \geq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$. We shall show that $G = k \cdot T_{r-1}(n)$. By Lemma 2.5, we may assume that G is nested and $\delta(G) \geq k\delta(T_{r-1}(n))$. By Lemma 5.5, we have $|G\Delta(k \cdot T_{r-1}(n))| \leq \mu n^2$.

Let $K^{(h)}$ be a complete $(r-1)$ -partite multigraph with $2h$ vertices in each part whose edges all have multiplicity at least h . Let K' be the underlying graph of $K^{(h)}$, i.e., K' is a complete $(r-1)$ -partite graph with $2h$ vertices in each part. Let $X := \{e \in \binom{[V(G)]}{2} : w_G(e) = k\}$. Since $|G\Delta(k \cdot T_{r-1}(n))| \leq \mu n^2$, we have $|X| \geq t_{r-1}(n) - \mu n^2 > t_{r-2}(n) + \frac{1}{2r^2} n^2 > \text{ex}(n, K')$ by the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem. Thus G contains $K^{(h)}$ as a subgraph. Let K be a copy of $K^{(h)}$ in G with partite sets W_1, \dots, W_{r-1} .

Claim 10. *K is H -friendly.*

Proof. Let K^+ be the multigraph obtained by adding a new vertex v to K and adding edges incident to v of multiplicity at most k such that $d_{K^+}(v) \geq (r-2)2hk$ and $d_{W_i}(v) \geq 1$ for all $i \in [r-1]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d_{W_1}(v) = \min_{i \in [r-1]} d_{W_i}(v)$,

and let $w \in W_1$ with $w_{K^+}(vw) \geq 1$. We claim that $d_{W_i}(v) \geq kh$ for each $i \geq 2$. Indeed, if $\min_{2 \leq i \leq r-1} d_{W_i}(v) < kh$, then $d_{K^+}(v) < 2kh + k(r-3)2h = (r-2)2hk$, a contradiction.

Let ab be a critical edge of H with $d_H(a) \leq d_H(b)$. Since $\chi(H) = r$, we have $\chi(H - \{a, b\}) \geq r - 2$. Thus $h \geq \binom{r}{2}$ and $e(H - \{a, b\}) \geq \binom{r-2}{2}$. Then $d_H(a) + d_H(b) - 1 + \binom{r-2}{2} \leq h$, so $d_H(a) \leq \frac{h}{2} - 1$. Let $d := d_H(a) - 1$.

For each $i \in \{2, \dots, r-1\}$, let $w_{i,1}, \dots, w_{i,2h}$ be the vertices of W_i with $w_{K^+}(vw_{i,1}) \geq \dots \geq w_{K^+}(vw_{i,2h})$. We claim that for each $i \in \{2, \dots, r-1\}$ and $j \in [d]$, we have $w_{K^+}(vw_{i,j}) \geq d - j + 2$. To see this, note that $w_{K^+}(vw_{i,j}) \geq \frac{1}{2h-j+1}(d_{W_i}(v) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} w_{K^+}(vw_{i,\ell})) \geq \frac{1}{2h-j+1}(kh - k(j-1))$ and $kh - k(j-1) - (2h-j+1)(d-j+2) \geq kh - k(j-1) - (2h-j+1)(\frac{h}{2} - 2 - j + 2) = -j^2 - (k - \frac{5}{2}h - 1)j + k(h+1) - h^2 - \frac{h}{2}$. Let $f(x) := -x^2 - (k - \frac{5}{2}h - 1)x + k(h+1) - h^2 - \frac{h}{2}$. Then $\min\{f(x) : 1 \leq x \leq d\} \geq \min\{f(x) : 1 \leq x \leq \frac{h}{2}\} \geq \min\{f(1), f(\frac{h}{2})\} \geq 0$. Thus $w_{K^+}(vw_{i,j}) \geq d - j + 2$ for each $i \in \{2, \dots, r-1\}$ and $j \in [d]$.

Consider a critical coloring of H with color classes V_1, \dots, V_r , where $a \in V_r$, $b \in V_1$ and $e(V_1, V_r) = 1$. Since $e(V_1, V_r) = 1$, we may further assume that $V_r = \{a\}$ by putting the vertices of $V_r \setminus \{a\}$ into V_1 if necessary. For each $2 \leq i \leq r-1$, let $V'_i = N_H(a) \cap V_i$. Take an ordering (e_1, \dots, e_h) of the edges of H with $e_1 = ab$ and $\{e_2, \dots, e_{d+1}\} = \{au : u \in N_H(a) \setminus \{b\}\}$, and an embedding $\phi : V(H) \rightarrow V(K^+)$ such that

- (i) $\phi(a) = v$, $\phi(b) = w$, $\phi(V_1) \subseteq W_1$,
- (ii) $\phi(V'_i) = \{w_{i,1}, \dots, w_{i,|V'_i|}\}$ and $\phi(V_i) \subseteq W_i$ for each $2 \leq i \leq r-1$, and
- (iii) $w_{K^+}(\phi(e_2)) \leq \dots \leq w_{K^+}(\phi(e_{d+1}))$.

Then for each $2 \leq j \leq d+1$ and $2 \leq i \leq r-1$, we have $w_{K^+}(\phi(e_j)) \geq w_{K^+}(vw_{i,d+2-j}) \geq j = \sum_{i=1}^j w_H(e_i)$. Thus $w_{K^+}(\phi(e_j)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^j w_H(e_i)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq h$. By Lemma 2.9, K^+ contains a multicolored copy of H . Thus K is H -friendly. \square

By Claim 10, K is H -friendly. Moreover, K is an induced subgraph of G , since otherwise G contains a multicolored copy of H . By Lemma 2.7, G is $(r-1)$ -partite. Since $T_{r-1}(n)$ is the unique $(r-1)$ -partite graph on n vertices with $t_{r-1}(n)$ edges, we have $e(G) \leq k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$. Thus $e(G) = k \cdot t_{r-1}(n)$, and $G = k \cdot T_{r-1}(n)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.6. \square

6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we prove that Conjecture 1.2 holds for $k \geq 2\frac{r-1}{r}(h-1)$, which is approximately twice as the threshold bound of $\frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1)$. The main challenge in settling the full upper range lies in improving the lower bound on k in Lemma 4.2. Although in the case $r = 5$, we can improve the bound on k from $\frac{8}{5}(h-1)$ to $\frac{3}{2}(h-1)$ using some complicated analysis (which we decide to not include here), the packing method presented here cannot be used to significantly improve the lower bound on k for general r . Hence, some novel ideas are called for.

Let H be a non-color-critical graph with h edges and $\chi(H) \geq 3$. Chakraborti, Kim, Lee, Liu and Seo (see [7, Proposition 1.6]) proved that $\text{ex}_k(n, H) > \max\{(h-1)\binom{n}{2}, k \cdot \text{ex}(n, H)\}$ when $k \geq \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1)$ and n is sufficiently large. Thus Conjecture 1.2 does not hold for general h -edge graphs with chromatic number r when $k \geq \frac{r-1}{r-2}(h-1)$. However, we can prove the following result for any general graph (not necessarily color-critical) with chromatic number at least three, showing that this conjecture stays true in the range $h \leq k \leq h + \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

Theorem 6.1. For any graph H with h edges and $\chi(H) = r \geq 3$, if n is sufficiently large and

$$h \leq k \leq h + \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor - 1,$$

then $\text{ex}_k(n, H) = (h-1)\binom{n}{2}$ and the unique n -vertex k -color extremal multigraph of H consists of exactly $h-1$ colors each of which is a copy of K_n .

We supply a proof sketch in Appendix B. It will be interesting to determine the maximum k such that $\text{ex}_k(n, H) = (h-1)\binom{n}{2}$ for every graph H with h edges and $\chi(H) \geq 3$.

Besides the sum condition $\sum_{i \in [k]} e(G_i)$, the product condition $\prod_{i \in [k]} e(G_i)$ for multicolored triangles was also studied in [12, 13, 15]. Further research on the product condition for general cliques or color-critical graphs could be fruitful. On the other hand, it is also natural to study multicolored spanning structures instead of a fixed small subgraph, such as Hamilton paths and cycles [1, 18], perfect matchings [8, 18, 23], and graph factors [2, 8].

Acknowledgement

Jie Ma is supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China 2023YFA1010201 and National Natural Science Foundation of China grant 12125106. We would like to thank Dilong Yang for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] R. Aharoni, M. DeVos, S. González Hermosillo de la Maza, A. Montejano, and R. Šámal, A rainbow version of Mantel’s theorem, *Adv. Comb.* (2020), Paper No. 2, 12 pp.
- [2] P. Allen, J. Böttcher, J. Hladký, and D. Piguet, A density Corrádi-Hajnal theorem, *Canad. J. Math.* **67**(4) (2015), 721–758.
- [3] S. Babiński and A. Grzesik, Graphs without a rainbow path of length 3, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **38**(1) (2024), 629–644.
- [4] S. Babiński, A. Grzesik, and M. Prorok, Directed graphs without rainbow triangles, *Proceedings of the 12th European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications, EUROCOMB’23*, (2023), 88–93.
- [5] B. Bollobás and S. E. Eldridge, Packings of graphs and applications to computational complexity, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **25**(2) (1978), 105–124.
- [6] S. Brandt, An extremal result for subgraphs with few edges, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **64**(2) (1995), 288–299.
- [7] D. Chakraborti, J. Kim, H. Lee, H. Liu, and J. Seo, On a rainbow extremal problem for color-critical graphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* **64**(2) (2024), 460–489.
- [8] Y. Y. Cheng, J. Han, B. Wang, and G. H. Wang, Rainbow spanning structures in graph and hypergraph systems, *Forum Math. Sigma* **11** (2023), Paper No. e95, 20 pp.
- [9] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, A limit theorem in graph theory, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **1** (1966), 51–57.
- [10] P. Erdős and A. H. Stone, On the structure of linear graphs, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1946), 1087–1091.

- [11] V. Falgas-Ravry, K. Markström, and E. Rätty, Minimum-degree conditions for rainbow triangles, *J. Graph Theory* (2024), online.
- [12] V. Falgas-Ravry, K. Markström, and E. Rätty, Rainbow variations on a theme by Mantel: extremal problems for Gallai colouring templates, *Combinatorica* (2024), online.
- [13] P. Frankl, Graphs without rainbow triangles, *arXiv:2203.07768v1*, (2022), 9 pages.
- [14] D. Gerbner, A. Grzesik, C. Palmer, and M. Prorok, Directed graphs without rainbow stars, *arXiv:2402.01028v1* (2024), 14 pages.
- [15] Z. He, P. Frankl, E. Győri, Z. Q. Lv, N. Salia, Nika, C. Tompkins, K. Varga, and X. T. Zhu, Extremal results for graphs avoiding a rainbow subgraph, *Electron. J. Combin.* **31**(1) (2024), Paper No. 1.28, 11 pp.
- [16] C. Hoppen, H. Lefmann and K. Odermann, A rainbow Erdős-Rothschild problem, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **31** (2017), 2647–2674.
- [17] S. Im, J. Kim, H. Lee, and H. Seo, On rainbow Turán densities of trees, *arXiv:2312.15956v1*, (2023), 33 pages.
- [18] F. Joos and J. Kim, On a rainbow version of Dirac’s theorem, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **52**(3) (2020), 498–504.
- [19] P. Keevash, D. Mubayi, B. Sudakov, and J. Verstraëte, Rainbow Turán problems, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **16**(1) (2007), 109–126.
- [20] P. Keevash, M. Saks, B. Sudakov, and J. Verstraëte, Multicolour Turán problems, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **33**(2) (2004), 238–262.
- [21] S. Kiselev and A. Kupavskii, Rainbow matchings in k -partite hypergraphs, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **53**(2) (2021), 360–369.
- [22] J. Komlós and M. Simonovits, Szemerédi’s Regularity Lemma and its applications in graph theory, in: *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty, Vol. 2, Keszthely*, 1993, in: *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., Vol. 2, János Bolyai Math. Soc, Budapest*, 1996, pp. 295–352.
- [23] H. L. Lu, Y. Wang, and X. X. Yu, Co-degree threshold for rainbow perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **163** (2023), 83–111.
- [24] H. L. Lu, X. X. Yu, and X. F. Yuan, Rainbow matchings for 3-uniform hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **183** (2021), Paper No. 105489, 21 pp.
- [25] Y. Ma and X. M. Hou, Graphs without rainbow cliques of orders four and five, *arXiv:2306.12222v1*, (2023), 14 pages.
- [26] W. Mantel, Problem 28, *Wiskundige Opgaven* **10** (1907), 60–61.
- [27] E. C. Milner and D. J. A. Welsh, On the computational complexity of graph theoretical properties, *Congress. Numer., No. XV, Utilitas Mathematica Publishing, Inc., Winnipeg, MB*, 1976, pp. 471–487.
- [28] A. Roberts and A. Scott, Stability results for graphs with a critical edge, *European J. Combin.* **74** (2018), 27–38.
- [29] N. Sauer and J. Spencer, Edge disjoint placement of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **25**(3) (1978), 295–302.

- [30] M. Simonovits, A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems, *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966)*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968, pp. 279–319.
- [31] E. Szemerédi, Regular partitions of graphs, in: *Problèmes Combinatoires et Théorie des Graphes, Colloques Internationaux CNRS Vol. 260, Orsay, 1976*, pp. 399–401.
- [32] P. Turán, Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie, *Mat. Fiz. Lapok* **48** (1941), 436–452.

Appendix

A Proof of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2

For convenience, we will restate Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $r \geq 5$, $s = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$ and $h \geq \binom{r}{2}$. If ℓ and i are two integers satisfying one of the following statements:*

- (i) $2 \leq \ell \leq s - 2$ and $r - s + 1 \leq i \leq r - 1$, or
- (ii) $\ell = s - 1$ and $r - s + 2 \leq i \leq r - 1$,

then $\frac{2}{r}(r - 2 - \frac{\ell-1}{i-\ell})(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) \geq h - ((\ell - 1)s + r - i)$.

Proof. Let $f(r, i, \ell) := \frac{2}{r}(r - 2 - \frac{\ell-1}{i-\ell})(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1) - h + (\ell - 1)s + r - i$. We shall show that $f(r, i, \ell) \geq 0$. Note that in both cases we have $i - \ell \geq r - 2s + 3$. Since $h \geq \binom{r}{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{r} \left(r - 2 - \frac{\ell - 1}{i - \ell} \right) - 1 &= 1 - \frac{4}{r} - \frac{2}{r} \cdot \frac{\ell - 1}{i - \ell} \geq 1 - \frac{4}{r} - \frac{2}{r} \cdot \frac{s - 2}{r - 2s + 3} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{4}{r} - \frac{2}{r} \cdot \frac{(r + 1)/2 - 2}{r - (r + 1) + 3} = \frac{r - 5}{2r} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, i, \ell) &\geq \frac{2}{r} \left(r - 2 - \frac{\ell - 1}{i - \ell} \right) \left(\binom{r}{2} - \frac{r(r - 2)}{4} + 1 \right) - \binom{r}{2} + (\ell - 1)s + r - i \\ &= -\frac{r}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\ell - 1}{i - \ell} \right) + (\ell - 1)s + r - i + \frac{2}{r} \left(r - 2 - \frac{\ell - 1}{i - \ell} \right) \\ &\geq -\frac{r}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\ell - 1}{i - \ell} \right) + (\ell - 1)s + r - i + 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $\ell \geq 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, i, \ell) &\geq -\frac{r}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\ell - 1}{i - \ell} \right) + (\ell - 1)s + r - i + 1 \\ &\geq -\frac{r}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\ell - 1}{r - 2s + 3} \right) + (\ell - 1)\frac{r}{2} + r - (r - 1) + 1 \\ &\geq -\frac{r}{2} \left(2 + \frac{\ell - 1}{r - (r + 1) + 3} - \ell \right) + 2 = \frac{r}{2} \cdot \frac{\ell - 3}{2} + 2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\ell = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
f(r, i, \ell) &\geq -\frac{r}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\ell-1}{i-\ell}\right) + (\ell-1)s + r - i + 1 \\
&\geq -\frac{r}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i-2}\right) + \frac{r}{2} + r - i + 1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2(i-2)} (-2i^2 + (2r+6)i - 5r - 4) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2(i-2)} \min \left\{ -2 \left(\frac{r+1}{2}\right)^2 + (2r+6)\frac{r+1}{2} - 5r - 4, \right. \\
&\quad \left. -2(r-1)^2 + (2r+6)(r-1) - 5r - 4 \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{4(i-2)} \min \{r^2 - 4r - 3, 2(3r - 12)\} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality holds since $i \geq r - s + 1 \geq \frac{r+1}{2}$ and $i \leq r - 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2. Let $r \geq 5$, $s = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$, $2 \leq \ell \leq s - 1$ and $h \geq \binom{r}{2}$. Then

$$2 \frac{r-\ell-1}{r-\ell} \cdot \frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) \geq h - (\ell-1)s.$$

Proof. Since $\ell \leq s - 1 \leq (r-1)/2$, we have

$$2 \frac{r-\ell-1}{r-\ell} \cdot \frac{r-1}{r} - 1 \geq 2 \frac{r-1-(r-1)/2}{r-(r-1)/2} \cdot \frac{r-1}{r} - 1 = \frac{r^2-5r+2}{r(r+1)} > 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \frac{r-\ell-1}{r-\ell} \cdot \frac{r-1}{r} \left(h - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - h + (\ell-1)s \\
&\geq \frac{2(r-\ell-1)(r-1)}{r(r-\ell)} \left(\binom{r}{2} - \frac{r(r-2)}{4} + 1 \right) - \binom{r}{2} + (\ell-1)s \\
&\geq \frac{2(r-\ell-1)(r-1)}{r(r-\ell)} \cdot \frac{r^2+4}{4} - \binom{r}{2} + (\ell-1)\frac{r}{2} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2r(r-\ell)} (-r^2\ell^2 + (r^3+r^2-4r+4)\ell - 2r^3+5r^2-8r+4) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2r(r-\ell)} \min \left\{ -r^2 \cdot 2^2 + (r^3+r^2-4r+4) \cdot 2 - 2r^3+5r^2-8r+4, \right. \\
&\quad \left. -r^2 \cdot \left(\frac{r-1}{2}\right)^2 + (r^3+r^2-4r+4) \cdot \left(\frac{r-1}{2}\right) - 2r^3+5r^2-8r+4 \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{8r(r-\ell)} \min \{4(3r^2-16r+12), r^4-6r^3+9r^2-16r+8\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $r \geq 5$, we have $3r^2 - 16r + 12 > 0$. If $r \geq 6$, then $r^4 - 6r^3 \geq 0$ and $9r^2 - 16r \geq 0$, so $r^4 - 6r^3 + 9r^2 - 16r + 8 > 0$. If $r = 5$, then $r^4 - 6r^3 + 9r^2 - 16r + 8 = 5(125 - 150 + 45 - 16) + 8 > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

B Proof of Theorem 6.1

The proof of Theorem 6.1 follows from the following four lemmas. Lemma B.2 can be proved using Lemma B.1 and a deleting argument. The proofs of Lemmas B.3, B.4 and the final proof of Theorem 6.1 can be completed by a routine application of the stability argument introduced in [7], so we omit the details and we only supply a proof of Lemma B.1.

Lemma B.1. *Let $r \geq 3$, $h \leq k \leq h + \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and $0 \ll \frac{1}{n} \ll \delta \ll \frac{1}{k} < 1$. Let H be an r -vertex h -edge multigraph with $w_H(e) \geq 1$ for each $e \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$, and G be an n -vertex simply k -colored multicolored- H -free multigraph with $\delta(G) \geq (1 - \delta)(h - 1)(n - 1)$. Then $w_G(e) \leq h - 1$ for each $e \in \binom{V(G)}{2}$.*

Lemma B.2. *Let $r \geq 3$, $h \leq k \leq h + \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1$, n be sufficiently large, and H be an r -vertex h -edge multigraph with $w_H(e) \geq 1$ for each $e \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$. Then $\text{ex}_k(n, H) = (h - 1) \binom{n}{2}$, and the n -vertex k -color extremal multigraph of H consists of exactly $h - 1$ colors each of which is a copy of K_n .*

Lemma B.3. *Let $r \geq 3$, $h \leq k \leq h + \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and $0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \eta \ll \varepsilon, \frac{1}{k} < 1$. Let H be an r -vertex h -edge multigraph with $w_H(e) \geq 1$ for each $e \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$. Let G be an n -vertex simply k -nested-colored multicolored- H -free multigraph with $e(G) \geq (h - 1) \binom{n}{2} - \eta n^2$. Then $|G\Delta((h - 1) \cdot K_n)| \leq \varepsilon n^2$.*

Lemma B.4. *Let $r \geq 3$, $h \leq k \leq h + \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and $0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \eta \ll \mu, \frac{1}{k} < 1$. Let H be an h -edge graph with $\chi(H) = r$. Let G be an n -vertex simply k -nested-colored multicolored- H -free multigraph with $e(G) \geq (h - 1) \binom{n}{2} - \eta n^2$. Then $|G\Delta((h - 1) \cdot K_n)| \leq \mu n^2$.*

Proof of Lemma B.1. Suppose for a contradiction that G contains an edge $v_1 v_2$ of multiplicity at least h . Applying Lemma 2.8 iteratively, we can find vertices $v_3, \dots, v_r \in V(G) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$ such that for each $3 \leq i \leq r$, we have $\sum_{j \in [i-1]} w_G(v_i v_j) \geq (h - 1)(i - 1)$. For each $3 \leq i \leq r$, we denote the edges in $\{v_i v_\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq i - 1\}$ by $e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,i-1}$ with $w_G(e_{i,1}) \geq \dots \geq w_G(e_{i,i-1})$. Then for each $3 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq i - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_G(e_{i,\ell}) &\geq \frac{1}{(i-1) - (\ell-1)} \left(\sum_{j \in [i-1]} w_G(v_i v_j) - (\ell-1)k \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{i-\ell} \left((h-1)(i-1) - (\ell-1) \left(h + \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right) \right) \\ &= h - \frac{1}{i-\ell} \left(i-1 + \left(\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right) (\ell-1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $w_G(e_{i,1}) \geq h - 1$ for all $3 \leq i \leq r$.

We use $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{\binom{r}{2}}$ to denote the edges

$$v_1 v_2, e_{r,1}, \dots, e_{3,1}, e_{r,2}, \dots, e_{3,2}, e_{r,3}, \dots, e_{4,3}, \dots, e_{r,r-2}, e_{r-1,r-2}, e_{r,r-1},$$

respectively. We shall show that $w_G(e_j) \geq h - (j - 1)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2}$. This holds clearly for $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$ since $e_1 = v_1 v_2$ and $w_G(e_{i,1}) \geq h - 1$ for all $3 \leq i \leq r$. Next we consider $r \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2}$, that is, we consider $e_{i,\ell}$ with $3 \leq i \leq r$ and $2 \leq \ell \leq i - 1$. Now $e_{i,\ell} = e_j$ with $j = r - 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{\ell} (r - t) - (i - \ell - 1) = r - i + \ell + \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1)(2r - \ell - 2)$. It suffices to show that

$h - (r - i + \ell + \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1)(2r - \ell - 2) - 1) \leq h - \frac{1}{i - \ell} (i - 1 + (\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1)(\ell - 1))$. If $2 \leq \ell \leq i - 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& r - i + \ell + \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1)(2r - \ell - 2) - 1 - \frac{1}{i - \ell} (i - 1 + (\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1)(\ell - 1)) \\
& \geq r - i + \ell + \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1)(2r - \ell - 2) - 1 - \frac{1}{2} (i - 1 + (\frac{r}{2} - 1)(\ell - 1)) \\
& = \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1) \left(\frac{3}{2}r - \ell - 1 \right) + r - \frac{3}{2}i + \ell - \frac{1}{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}r - \ell - 1 \right) + r - \frac{3}{2}i + \ell - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{7}{4}r - \frac{3}{2}i + \frac{\ell}{2} - 1 > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\ell = i - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& r - i + \ell + \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1)(2r - \ell - 2) - 1 - \frac{1}{i - \ell} (i - 1 + (\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1)(\ell - 1)) \\
& = r - i + \ell + \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1)(2r - \ell - 2) - 1 - (i - 1 + (\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1)(\ell - 1)) \\
& = (\ell - 1) \left(\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil - \frac{\ell}{2} \right) + r - 2i + \ell = (i - 2) \left(\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil - \frac{i - 1}{2} \right) + r - i - 1 \\
& \geq (i - 2) \left(\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil - \frac{r - 1}{2} \right) + r - i - 1 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $w_G(e_j) \geq h - (j - 1)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2}$. For each $1 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{2}$, let $e'_j := e_{\binom{r}{2} + 1 - j}$, so $w_G(e'_j) \geq h - (\binom{r}{2} - j)$. Since $w_H(e) \geq 1$ for each $e \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$, we can deduce that for any $E \subseteq \binom{V(H)}{2}$ with $|E| = j$, we have $\sum_{e \in E} w_H(e) \leq h - (\binom{r}{2} - j) \leq w_G(e'_j)$. Therefore, $G[\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}]$ contains a multicolored copy of H , a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma B.1. \square

E-mail address: lxhdhr@163.com (X. Li)

E-mail address: jiema@ustc.edu.cn (J. Ma)

E-mail address: lhotse@mail.ustc.edu.cn (Z. Zheng)