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Abstract

Let $H$ be a fixed graph. Denote $f(n, H)$ to be the maximum number of edges not contained in any monochromatic copy of $H$ in a 2-edge-coloring of the complete graph $K_n$, and $ex(n, H)$ to be the Turán number of $H$. An easy lower bound shows $f(n, H) \geq ex(n, H)$ for any $H$ and $n$. In [9], Keevash and Sudakov proved that if $H$ is an edge-color-critical graph or $C_4$, then $f(n, H) = ex(n, H)$ holds for large $n$, and they asked if this equality holds for any graph $H$ when $n$ is sufficiently large. In this paper, we provide an affirmative answer to this problem for an abundant infinite family of bipartite graphs $H$, including all even cycles and complete bipartite graphs $K_{s,t}$ for $t > s^2 - 3s + 3$ or $(s, t) \in \{(3, 3), (4, 7)\}$. In addition, our proof shows that for all such $H$, the 2-edge-coloring $c$ of $K_n$ achieves the maximum number $f(n, H)$ if and only if one of the color classes in $c$ induces an extremal graph for $ex(n, H)$. We also obtain a multi-coloring generalization for bipartite graphs. Some related problems are discussed in the final section.

1 Introduction

Given a graph $H$, let $f(n, H)$ be the maximum number of edges not contained in any monochromatic copy of $H$ in a 2-edge-coloring of the complete graph $K_n$, and let $ex(n, H)$ be the Turán number of $H$, i.e., the maximum number of edges in an $n$-vertex $H$-free graph. The problem of determining $f(n, H)$ was motivated by counting the number of monochromatic cliques, and we refer interested readers to [9] for a thoughtful discussion on the background and related topics. (For results on monochromatic cliques, see [7, 14, 4, 5, 8, 15, 3].)

If one considers the 2-edge-coloring of $K_n$ in which one of the colors induces the largest $H$-free graph, then it is easy to see that for any $H$ and $n$, we have

$$f(n, H) \geq ex(n, H).$$

---
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Erdős, Rousseau and Schelp (see [4]) showed that $f(n, K_3) = \text{ex}(n, K_3)$ for sufficiently large $n$, and this also can be derived from a result of Pyber in [12] for $n \geq 2^{1500}$. The generalization of this result was suggested by Erdős in [4]. Keevash and Sudakov [9] studied general graphs and asked that if, for large $n$, the above lower bound (1) is tight.

**Problem 1.1** ([9]) Let $H$ be a fixed graph. Is it true that for $n$ sufficiently large, $f(n, H) = \text{ex}(n, H)$?

The authors of [9] confirmed it for $H$ being any edge-color-critical graph or a $C_4$, and in fact, quite amazingly, they were able to determine the value of $f(n, H)$ for every $n$ when $H$ is a $K_3$ or $C_4$. We quote from their remark [9] that “for bipartite graphs the situation is less clear, as even the asymptotics of the Turán numbers are known only in a few cases”.

In this paper, we provide an affirmative answer to Problem 1.1 for an abundant infinite family of bipartite graphs. A vertex $w$ in a bipartite graph $H$ is called weak, if

$$\text{ex}(n, H - w) = o(\text{ex}(n, H)).$$

The notation of weak vertices is explicitly defined in the literature and has been well studied (see [13]). We call a bipartite graph $H$ reducible, if it contains a weak vertex $w$ such that $H - w$ is connected. For instance all even cycles are reducible. Our main theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2** Let $H$ be a reducible bipartite graph. Then for sufficiently large $n$, $f(n, H) = \text{ex}(n, H)$. Moreover, a 2-edge-colorings of $K_n$ achieves the maximum number $f(n, H)$ if and only if one of the color classes induces an extremal graph for $\text{ex}(n, H)$.

We point out that the “moreover” part is new for $C_4$, while its analog is not true for edge-color-critical graphs as noticed in [9].

Let $C^*$ be the family of bipartite graphs, each of which contains a cycle and a vertex $w$ whose deletion will result in a tree. It is easy to see that all graphs in $C^*$, including even cycles and Theta graphs,\(^1\) are reducible. Based on the current knowledge on degenerate Turán numbers, we collect some reducible graphs in the coming result.

**Corollary 1.3** For $n$ sufficiently large, $f(n, H) = \text{ex}(n, H)$ holds for every $H$ as following: even cycles $C_{2l}$, Theta graphs $\theta_{k,l}$, and complete bipartite graphs $K_{s,t}$ for $t > s^2 - 3s + 3$ or $(s, t) \in \{(3, 3), (4, 7)\}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we prove Theorem 1.2 in full and then derive Corollary 1.3. In Section 3, we generalize Theorem 1.2 to multi-colorings. In the final section, we close this paper by mentioning some related problems.
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\(^1\)The Theta graphs $\theta_{k,l}$ denotes the graph consisting of $k$ internally disjoint paths of length $l$ between two fixed endpoints, for $k, l \geq 2$. 
2 Reducible bipartite graphs

Let $H$ be a fixed graph and $c$ be a $k$-edge-coloring of $K_n$. An edge of $K_n$ is called NIM-$H$, if it is not contained in any monochromatic copy of $H$ in $c$. Let $E_c$ denote the set of all NIM-$H$ edges of $K_n$. For $A, B \subseteq V(K_n)$, by $(A, B)$ we denote the complete bipartite graph with two parts $A$ and $B$.

In this section, we establish Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. To do so, we prove the following stronger result.

**Theorem 2.1** Let $H$ be a reducible bipartite graph. If $c$ is a 2-edge-coloring of $K_n$ such that $E_c$ contains a red edge and a blue edge, then $|E_c| = o(ex(n, H))$.

**Proof.** Let $h = |V(H)|$, $(X, Y)$ be the bipartition of $H$, and $w \in X$ be a weak vertex of $H$ such that $ex(n, H - w) = o(ex(n, H))$ and $H - w$ is connected. Note that $(X - w, Y)$ is the unique bipartition of $H - w$, as $H - w$ is connected.

We first define a red star $S_0$ in $K_n$ (i.e., all edges in the star are red), which contains at least one red NIM-H edge, as follows. If there exist vertices incident with a red NIM-H edge and at least $h$ red edges, then pick one such vertex $x$ and form a star $S_0$ consisting of the center $x$ and $h$ red neighbors of $x$ such that $xv$ is a red NIM-H edge for some $v \in V(S_0)$. Otherwise every vertex incident with a red NIM-H edge has less than $h$ red neighbors, then pick one such vertex $x$ with maximum number of red neighbors and let $S_0$ consist of $x$ and all its red neighbors.

Similarly as above, we define a blue star $S_1$ in $K_n$, which contains at least one blue NIM-H edge. Let $x, y$ be the centers of the stars $S_0, S_1$, respectively. Note that $S_0$ and $S_1$ may share some common vertices. We let

$$S = V(S_0) \cup V(S_1) = \{s_1, s_2, ..., s_t\}.$$  

So $t = |S| \leq 2h + 2$. For $z \in V(K_n) \setminus S$, let $\overline{c}(z) = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_t)$ be the vector such that

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } zs_i \text{ is red}, \\
1 & \text{if } zs_i \text{ is blue}. 
\end{cases}$$ (2)

For $\vec{v} \in \{0, 1\}^t$, let $A_{\vec{v}}$ denote the set of all vertices $z \in V(K_n) \setminus S$ such that $\overline{c}(z) = \vec{v}$. Observe that all edges between $s_i \in S$ and $A_{\vec{v}}$ must be monochromatic.

We now consider the numbers of NIM-H edges adjacent to sets $A_{\vec{v}}$. The first claim implies that the number of NIM-H edges adjacent to $A_{\vec{v}} \cup A_{\vec{1}}$ is $O(n)$.

**Claim 1:** $|A_{\vec{v}}| < h$ and $|A_{\vec{1}}| < h$.
By symmetry, it suffices to consider $A_{\bar{v}}$. We notice that all edges in $(A_{\bar{v}}, S)$ are red. Suppose for a contradiction that $|A_{\bar{v}}| \geq h$. If the red star $S_0$ has less than $h + 1$ vertices, then it is clear that no vertex in $V(K_n) \setminus S$ can be adjacent to $x$, implying that $A_{\bar{v}} = \emptyset$. So the red star $S_0$ has exactly $h + 1$ vertices. We see that all edges in $(A_{\bar{v}} \cup \{x\}, S_0 - \{x\})$ are red. From this, one can easily find a red copy of $H$ which uses one NIM-H edge of $x$, contradicting the definition of NIM-H edges. This proves Claim 1.

**Claim 2:** For $\bar{v} \in \{0, 1\}^t - \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$, the number of NIM-H edges contained in $A_{\bar{v}}$ is at most $2 \cdot ex(n, H - w)$.

As $\bar{v} \notin \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$, there exist $a, b \in S$ such that all edges in $(a, A_{\bar{v}})$ are red and all edges in $(b, A_{\bar{v}})$ are blue. If the red NIM-H edges in $A_{\bar{v}}$ form a copy $K = (X - w, Y)$ of $H - w$, then $K \cup \{a\}$ would contain a red copy of $H$ with some NIM-H edges, a contradiction. Therefore, neither the red NIM-H edges nor the blue NIM-H edges can form a copy of $H - w$. This proves Claim 2.

**Claim 3:** For $\bar{v}, \bar{u} \in \{0, 1\}^t - \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$, the number of NIM-H edges in $(A_{\bar{v}}, A_{\bar{u}})$ is at most $2 \cdot ex(n, H - w)$.

Suppose that the red NIM-H edges in $(A_{\bar{v}}, A_{\bar{u}})$ form a copy $K = (X - w, Y)$ of $H - w$. By symmetry, we assume that $X - w \subseteq A_{\bar{v}}$ and $Y \subseteq A_{\bar{u}}$. Since $\bar{u} \neq \bar{1}$, there exists $a \in S$ such that all edges in $(a, A_{\bar{v}})$ are red. Adding $a$ and all red edges in $(a, Y)$ to $K$ would result in a red copy of $H$, a contradiction. Therefore, neither the red NIM-H edges nor the blue NIM-H edges in $(A_{\bar{v}}, A_{\bar{u}})$ can form a copy of $H - w$. Claim 3 is finished.

Each edge in $E_c$ is either adjacent to $S \cup A_{\bar{0}} \cup A_{\bar{1}}$ or contained in $A_{\bar{v}}$ or $(A_{\bar{v}}, A_{\bar{u}})$ for some $\bar{v}, \bar{u} \in \{0, 1\}^t - \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$. Since $|S| = t \leq 2h + 2$, there are at most $2^{2h+2}$ sets $A_{\bar{v}}$. Combining the above claims, we have

$$|E_c| \leq (|S| + 2h) \cdot n + 2^{2h+2} \cdot 2 \cdot ex(n, H - w) + (2^{2h+2})^2 \cdot 2 \cdot ex(n, H - w)$$

$$\leq 2^{4h+6} \cdot ex(n, H - w) = o(ex(n, H)).$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We have seen $f(n, H) \geq ex(n, H)$ from (1).

Let $c$ be a 2-edge-coloring of $K_n$ such that $|E_c| = f(n, H)$. If $E_c$ contains a red edge and a blue edge, then by Theorem 2.1, we have $ex(n, H) \leq f(n, H) = |E_c| = o(ex(n, H))$, a contradiction. So we may assume that all NIM-H edges are red. It then becomes clear that $E_c$ does not contain any copy of $H$, implying that $f(n, H) = |E_c| \leq ex(n, H)$. This proves that $f(n, H) = ex(n, H)$ for large $n$. 
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It also follows that $|E_c| = ex(n, H)$. So $E_c$ must induce an extremal graph for $ex(n, H)$. We claim that except these edges in $E_c$, no other edge can be red. Suppose not, say $e \in E(K_n) - E_c$ is red. Then $E_c \cup \{e\}$ induces an $n$-vertex graph with more than $ex(n, H)$, which must contain a copy of $H$. But this $H$ contains all red edges and in particular some NIM-H edges from $E_c$, a contradiction. This proves the claim. Now we see that all red edges of $c$ induces an extremal graph for $ex(n, H)$.

To prove the “moreover” part, it remains to show that if all red edges of $c$ induces an extremal graph for $ex(n, H)$, then $|E_c| = f(n, H) = ex(n, H)$. Since all red edges surely are NIM-H, we have $|E_c| \geq ex(n, H)$. So we need to show that no blue edge can be NIM-H. This, again, can be derived from Theorem 2.1. We have finished the proof. 

We conclude this section by showing Corollary 1.3. Recall the seminal theorem of Kővári-Sós-Turán [11] and the best known general lower bound on Turán number of $K_{s,t}$ that

$$\Omega(n^{2-\frac{s+t-2}{st-1}}) \leq ex(n, K_{s,t}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(t-1)^{1/s}n^{2-1/s} + \frac{1}{2}(s-1)n.$$ 

We also need the result (see [10, 1]) that $ex(n, K_{s,t}) \geq \Omega(n^{2-1/s})$ for $t > (s-1)!$.

**Proof of Corollary 1.3.** In view of Theorem 1.2, it is enough to show that every graph $H$ in the list is reducible. As it is clear that even cycles and Theta graphs are reducible, we only need to consider $K_{s,t}$. When $t > (s-1)!$, it holds that

$$\frac{ex(n, K_{s-1,t})}{ex(n, K_{s,t})} = O\left(\frac{n^{2-1/(s-1)}}{n^{2-1/s}}\right) = o(1),$$

and when $t > s^2-3s+3$, we have $\frac{s+t-2}{st-1} < \frac{1}{s-1}$, implying that

$$\frac{ex(n, K_{s-1,t})}{ex(n, K_{s,t})} = O\left(\frac{n^{2-1/(s-1)}}{n^{2-1/(s-1)}}\right) = o(1).$$

Therefore, $K_{s,t}$ is reducible whenever $t > \min\{s^2-3s+3, (s-1)\}$, finishing the proof.

### 3 Generalization to multi-colorings

In this section, we consider multi-color versions of Theorem 1.2.

For $k \geq 3$, let $f_k(n, H)$ denote the maximum number of edges not contained in any monochromatic copy of $H$ in a $k$-edge-coloring of the complete graph $K_n$. Given a bipartition $(X, Y)$ of bipartite $H$, let $ex^*(m, n, H)$ denote the maximum number of edges of graphs $G$, where $G$ is a spanning subgraph of $K_{m,n}$ and has no copies of $H = (X, Y)$ with $X$ contained in the $m$-part.  

\footnote{The Zarankiewicz function $z(m, n, s, t)$ is just the same as $ex^*(m, n, K_{s,t})$.}
We first prove a general lower bound for every bipartite graph $H$ that

$$f_k(n, H) \geq (k - 1) \cdot ex(n, H) - O(ex(n, H)/n)^2 = (k - 1 - o(1)) \cdot ex(n, H). \quad (3)$$

**Proof.** Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex $H$-free extremal graph for $ex(n, H)$. For a permutation $\pi$ on $V(G)$, let $G(\pi)$ be obtained from $G$ by permuting all edges according to $\pi$, i.e., $E(G(\pi)) = \pi(E(G))$. Take $k - 1$ random permutations $\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{k-1}$ and consider the overlap $E_{ij} = E(G(\pi_i)) \cap E(G(\pi_j))$. Since the probability that each edge $e \in \binom{V}{2}$ belongs to $G(\pi_i)$ equals $ex(n, H)(\frac{n}{k})$, the expectation of $\sum_{i,j} |E_{ij}|$ is at most $\binom{k-1}{2}ex(n, H)^2/(\frac{n}{k})^2$. Therefore, there exist permutations $\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{k-1}$ such that the total overlap $\sum_{i,j} |E_{ij}|$ is at most $\binom{k-1}{2}ex(n, H)^2/(\frac{n}{k})^2$. We then define a $k$-edge-coloring $c$ of $K_n$ as following. Color the edges of $G(\pi_1)$ by color 1; and for $2 \leq i \leq k - 1$, color the edges in $E(G(\pi_i)) - \cup_{1 \leq j \leq i-1}E_{ij}$ by color $i$; and lastly, color all edges of $K_n$ not in $\cup_{1 \leq j \leq k-1}E(G(\pi_i))$ by color $k$. This implies that $f_k(n, H) \geq |\cup_{1 \leq j \leq k-1}E(G(\pi_i))| \geq (k - 1) \cdot ex(n, H) - \sum_{i,j} |E_{ij}|$, which is at least $(k - 1) \cdot ex(n, H) - O(ex(n, H)/n)^2$. \hfill \blacksquare

**Theorem 3.1** For $n$ sufficiently large, we have

$$(k - 1 - o(1)) \cdot ex(n, C_4) \leq f_k(n, C_4) \leq (k - 1) \cdot ex(n, C_4).$$

**Theorem 3.2** Let $H$ be a bipartite graph with a vertex $w$ such that $ex^*(n, n, H - w) = o(ex(n, H))$. Then for sufficiently large $n$,

$$(2 - o(1)) \cdot ex(n, H) \leq f_3(n, H) \leq 2 \cdot ex(n, H).$$

Such graphs $H$ include even cycles $C_{2t}$ and complete bipartite graphs $K_{s,t}$ for $t > s^2 - 3s + 3$ or $(s,t) \in \{(3,3), (4,7)\}$.

**Proof.** (For both Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.) The lower bound follows from (3).

First we prove an analog of Theorem 2.1. Let $(X,Y)$ be the partition of $H$ which $ex^*(n, n, H - w)$ refers to. Let $w \in X$ and $h = |V(H)|$. Call an edge with color $i$ as an $i$-edge for convenience.

**Claim:** Let $c$ be a $k$-edge-coloring of $K_n$. If $E_c$ contains a NIM-$H$ $i$-edge for each $i \in [k]$, then $|E_c| \leq (k - 2) \cdot ex(n, H) + o(ex(n, H))$.

The proof of this claim will follow the same lines of Theorem 2.1. For each color $i \in [k]$, we define a star $S_i$ in $K_n$ consisting of $i$-edges, among which there is at least one NIM-$H$ $i$-edge. If there exist vertices incident with a NIM-$H$ $i$-edge and at least $h$ $i$-edges, then pick one such vertex $x_i$ and form a star $S_i$ with the center $x_i$ and consisting of $h$ $i$-edges such that there exists at least one NIM-$H$ $i$-edge $x_iv$ for some $v \in V(S_i)$. Otherwise every vertex incident with a NIM-$H$ $i$-edge has less than $h$ $i$-neighbors, then pick one such vertex $x_i$ with maximum number of
$i$-neighbors and let $S_i$ consist of $x_i$ and all its $i$-neighbors. Let $S = \bigcup_{i \in [k]} V(S_i) = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_t\}$. So $t = |S| \leq k(h + 1)$. For $z \in V(K_n) \setminus S$, let $\overline{e}(z) = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_t)$ be the vector such that $e_i = j$ iff $zs_i$ is colored by $j$. For $\overline{v} \in [k]^t$, let $A_{\overline{v}}$ denote the set of all vertices $z \in V(K_n) \setminus S$ such that $\overline{e}(z) = \overline{v}$.

For some $I \subseteq [k]$, we say $A_{\overline{v}}$ is $I$-feasible, if for each $i \in I$ there exists some coordinate in $\overline{v}$ being $i$, and subject to this, $I$ is maximal. We then establish the following three assertions.

(1). For each $i \in [k]$, we have $|A_{\overline{v}}| < h$.

Note that all edges in $(A_{\overline{v}}, S)$ are of color $i$. If $|A_{\overline{v}}| \geq h$, then the complete bipartite graph $(A_{\overline{v}} \cup \{x_i\}, S_i - \{x_i\})$ contains a copy of $H$ of all $i$-edges with at least one NIM-H $i$-edge (incident to $x_i$), a contradiction. This proves (1).

(2). For $i \in I$, the $I$-feasible set $A_{\overline{v}}$ has no more than $ex(n, H - w)$ NIM-H $i$-edges.

Suppose that the NIM-H $i$-edges in $A_{\overline{v}}$ form a copy $K$ of $H - w$. Since $i \in I$, there exists some $a \in S$ such that the edges in $(a, A_{\overline{v}})$ are all of color $i$. Then adding $a$ into $K$ would give a copy of $H$ of color $i$ which also contains NIM-H edges, a contradiction. This shows that there are no more than $ex(n, H - w)$ NIM-H $i$-edges in $A_{\overline{v}}$, establishing (2).

(3). Let $A_{\overline{v}}$ be $I$-feasible and $A_{\overline{v}}$ be $J$-feasible. For $i \in I \cup J$, there are no more than $ex^*(n, n, H - w)$ NIM-H $i$-edges in $(A_{\overline{v}}, A_{\overline{v}})$.

For $i \in I \cup J$, there exists some coordinate in $\overline{u}$ or $\overline{v}$ being $i$. By symmetry, say this coordinate is from $\overline{v}$. Then there exists some $a \in S$ such that all edges from $a$ to $A_{\overline{v}}$ are of color $i$. Suppose that the NIM-H $i$-edges in $(A_{\overline{v}}, A_{\overline{v}})$ contains a copy $K$ of $H - w = (X - w, Y)$ with $X - w \subseteq A_{\overline{v}}$ and $Y \subseteq A_{\overline{v}}$. Then $\{a\} \cup K$ would contain a copy of a $H$ of color $i$ with some NIM-H edges, a contradiction. Thus $(A_{\overline{v}}, A_{\overline{v}})$ has no more than $ex^*(|A_{\overline{v}}|, |A_{\overline{v}}|, H - w) \leq ex^*(n, n, H - w)$ NIM-H $i$-edges. This proves (3).

Observe that the NIM-H edges not in (2) and (3) are of the following three types:

(i). NIM-H edges which are adjacent to $S$ or $A_{\overline{v}}$ for some $i \in [k]$,

(ii). NIM-H $i$-edges in $I$-feasible set $A_{\overline{v}}$, where $i \in I^c$ and $|I| \geq 2$, and

(iii). NIM-H $i$-edges between $I$-feasible set $A_{\overline{v}}$ and $J$-feasible set $A_{\overline{v}}$, where $i \notin I \cup J$ (or equivalently $i \in I^c \cap J^c$) and $|I|, |J| \geq 2$.

Note that $|S| = t \leq k(h + 1)$. So there are at most $k^{k(h+1)}$ sets $A_{\overline{v}}$, which is constantly many. Also note that $ex(n, H - w) = O(ex^*(n, n, H - w)) = o(ex(n, H))$, implying that $H$ must not be a forest and thus $ex(n, H) = \Omega(n^{1+c})$ for some $c > 0$. These, combining with the above
assertions, imply that there are just \( o(\text{ex}(n, H)) \) NIM-H edges contained in (2), (3) and (i). To complete the proof of the claim, it then suffices to show that the number \( N^* \) of NIM-H edges in (ii) and (iii) is at most \((k - 2) \cdot \text{ex}(n, H) + o(\text{ex}(n, H))\).

For \( i \in [k] \), denote \( B_i \) to be the union of all \( I \)-feasible sets \( A_i \) satisfying \( i \in I^c \) and \(|I| \geq 2\). It is straightforward to verify that the NIM-H \( i \)-edges in (ii) and (iii) must be contained in \( B_i \). Thus the number of NIM-H edges in (ii) and (iii) is \( N^* \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{ex}(b_i, H) \), where \( b_i = |B_i| \). Note each \( b_i \leq n \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \leq (k - 2) \cdot \sum |A_i| \leq (k - 2)n \), as every vertex in \( I \)-feasible sets with \(|I| \geq 2\) only can appear in at most \( k - 2 \) \( B_i \)'s.

When \( k = 3 \), we have \( b_1 + b_2 + b_3 \leq n \), so it is clear that \( N^* \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \text{ex}(b_i, H) \leq \text{ex}(n, H) \). When \( H = C_4 \), using the well-known result (see [6, 2, 11]) that \( \text{ex}(n, C_4) = (1/2 + o(1)) \cdot n^{3/2} \), it holds that \( N^* \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{ex}(b_i, C_4) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i^{3/2} + o(n^{3/2}) \). Subject to \( b_i \leq n \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \leq (k - 2)n \), by convexity, we have \( N^* \leq \frac{k - 2}{2} \cdot n^{3/2} + o(n^{3/2}) = (k - 2) \cdot \text{ex}(n, C_4) + o(\text{ex}(n, C_4)) \), which is desired. This completes the proof of the claim.

Next we prove the upper bound of \( f_k(n, H) \). Let \( c \) be a \( k \)-edge-coloring of \( K_n \) such that \(|E_c| = f_k(n, H)\). If \( E_c \) contains a NIM-H \( i \)-edge for every \( i \in [k] \), then by the claim, we have \((k - 1 - o(1)) \cdot \text{ex}(n, H) \leq f_k(n, H) = |E_c| \leq (k - 2) \cdot \text{ex}(n, H) + o(\text{ex}(n, H))\), a contradiction. Therefore \( E_c \) has at most \( k - 1 \) colors. The set of NIM-H edges of the same color contains none copy of \( H \) and thus is of size at most \( \text{ex}(n, H) \). Thus, \( f_k(n, H) = |E_c| \leq (k - 1) \cdot \text{ex}(n, H) \).

It remains to verify that \( \text{ex}^*(n, n, H - w) = o(\text{ex}(n, H)) \) holds for \( H \) being an even cycle or \( K_{s,t} \) for \( t > \min\{s^2 - 3s + 3, (s - 1)!\} \). This follows by the same proof of Corollary 1.3, using \( \text{ex}^*(m, n, K_{s,t}) \leq (t - 1)^{1/s} mn^{1 - 1/s} + (s - 1)n \) (see [11]). This proves Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. ■

4 Concluding remarks

In Theorem 1.2 we prove that \( f(n, H) \) equals \( \text{ex}(n, H) \) for bipartite graphs \( H \) having weak vertices (for sufficiently large \( n \)). Simonovits asked in [13] to “characterize those bipartite graphs which have weak vertices” and this remains unclaimed.

It seems that for \( k \geq 3 \), the function \( f_k(n, H) \) has a different behavior between bipartite and non-bipartite graphs. For bipartite \( H \), it may be reasonable to ask if \( f_k(n, H) = (k - 1) \cdot \text{ex}(n, H) \) holds for sufficiently large \( n \). For non-bipartite graphs, the situation is more complicate. We speculate that the following 3-edge-coloring \( c \) of \( K_n \) (which also is the extremal configuration as in [3]) achieves the maximum of \( f_3(n, K_3) \): let \( V(K_n) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup ... \cup V_5 \), where \(|V_i| = |V_j| \leq 1 \), and color all edges in \((V_i, V_{i+1})\) by red, all edges in \((V_i, V_{i+2})\) by blue and all edges in each \( V_i \) by green. For more discussion and other related problems, we direct readers to [9].
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