

Weak rainbow saturation numbers of graphs

Xihe Li* Jie Ma*[†] Tianying Xie*

Abstract

For a fixed graph H , we say that an edge-colored graph G is *weakly H -rainbow saturated* if there exists an ordering e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m of $E(\overline{G})$ such that, for any list c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} , the non-edges e_i in color c_i can be added to G , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . The *weak rainbow saturation number* of H , denoted by $\text{rwsat}(n, H)$, is the minimum number of edges in a weakly H -rainbow saturated graph on n vertices. In this paper, we show that for any non-empty graph H , the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{rwsat}(n, H)}{n}$ exists. This answers a question of Behague, Johnston, Letzter, Morrison and Ogden [*SIAM J. Discrete Math.* (2023)]. We also provide lower and upper bounds on this limit, and in particular, we show that this limit is nonzero if and only if H contains no pendant edges.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 05C15, 05C35

1 Introduction

Typical extremal graph theory problems ask for the maximum or minimum value of parameters of graphs with certain properties. A classical example falling within this framework is the Turán problem which asks, for a fixed graph H , what is the maximum number of edges in an H -free¹ graph on n vertices. Another classical problem is the saturation problem which was initiated by Zykov [30] in the 1940s and first studied by Erdős, Hajnal and Moon [11] in the 1960s. For a fixed graph H , a graph G is called *H -saturated* if G is H -free but adding any non-edge to G creates a copy of H . The *saturation number* $\text{sat}(n, H)$ is the smallest number of edges in an H -saturated graph on n vertices. Erdős, Hajnal and Moon [11] proved that $\text{sat}(n, K_t) = \binom{n}{2} - \binom{n-t+2}{2}$, and this was generalized to the hypergraph setting by Bollobás [5] using the well-known set-pairs inequality. A graph G is called *weakly H -saturated* if there exists an ordering e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m of the non-edges of G such that for each $i \in [m]$, the graph $G_i := G + \{e_1, \dots, e_i\}$ contains a copy of H containing e_i as an edge. The *weak saturation number* $\text{wsat}(n, H)$ is the smallest number of edges in a weakly H -saturated graph on n vertices. In [6], Bollobás conjectured that $\text{wsat}(n, K_t) = \text{sat}(n, K_t)$. This conjecture was confirmed by Kalai [19] using exterior algebra, and reproved by Alon [2] using the skewed version of the Bollobás set-pairs inequality. Moreover, Alon [2] proved that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{wsat}(n, H)}{n}$ exists for every non-empty graph H . The hypergraph version of Alon's result was conjectured by Tuza [29] in 1992 and proved by Shapira and Tyomkyn [25] very recently. In 1986, Tuza [27, 28] conjectured that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{sat}(n, H)}{n}$ exists for every graph H , and this conjecture still remains open; see [10, Section 14] for more information.

*School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China.

[†]Hefei National Laboratory, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230088, China.

¹Given two graphs G and H , we say that G is H -free if G contains no subgraph isomorphic to H .

The edge-coloring version of the saturation problem was raised by Hanson and Toft [17] in 1987. For a graph G , we refer to a mapping $c : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as an *edge-coloring* of G . A graph with an edge-coloring is called *monochromatic* if all edges are colored the same. Hanson and Toft [17] focused on the saturation problem of monochromatic cliques. A graph with an edge-coloring is called *rainbow* if all edges are colored differently. The study of rainbow colored graphs can be traced back to the Latin square decomposition problem initiated by Euler in the 1780s. In combinatorics, many classical problems can be transferred to the problem of finding certain rainbow substructures in edge-colored graphs, such as Ringel’s conjecture [23], the Ryser-Brualdi-Stein conjecture [24] and the Caccetta-Haggkvist conjecture [1]. In the last two decades, rainbow generalizations of Turán-type problems [18, 20] and Ramsey-type problems [14, 22, 26] became an active research area. The rainbow generalization of saturation problems was first studied by Barrus, Ferrara, Vandenbussche and Wenger [3] in 2017. They considered saturation problems of rainbow subgraphs in an edge-colored host graph with a bounded number of colors.

For a fixed graph H , we say that an edge-colored graph G is *H -rainbow saturated* if G does not contain a rainbow copy of H , but the addition of any non-edge in any color from \mathbb{N} creates a rainbow copy of H . Girão, Lewis and Popielarz [16] defined the *rainbow saturation number* of H , denoted by $\text{rsat}(n, H)$, to be the minimum number of edges in an H -rainbow saturated graph on n vertices. Girão, Lewis and Popielarz [16] conjectured that the rainbow saturation number of any non-empty graph is at most linear in n . Recently, Behague, Johnston, Letzter, Morrison and Ogden [4] confirmed this conjecture. For more related works, we refer the interested reader to [7, 8, 9, 21]. For a fixed graph H , we say that an edge-colored graph G is *weakly H -rainbow saturated* if there exists an ordering e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m of $E(\overline{G})$ such that, for any list c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} , the non-edges e_i in color c_i can be added to G , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Behague et al. [4] defined the *weak rainbow saturation number* of H , denoted by $\text{rwsat}(n, H)$, to be the minimum number of edges in a weakly H -rainbow saturated graph on n vertices.²

As pointed out in [4, Section 6], in the definition of the weak rainbow saturation number, we require the collection of added edges to receive pairwise distinct colors, so in particular, we exclude the possibility that all added edges have the same color, in which case the previously added edges do not contribute to making new rainbow copies and the problem reduces to the standard rainbow saturation number. Moreover, note that c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m are colors from \mathbb{N} , so some of them might be used within the original edges of G . Furthermore, for a weakly H -rainbow saturated graph G , we do not require G itself to be rainbow H -free.

By the definitions, we have $\text{wsat}(n, H) \leq \text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq \text{rsat}(n, H)$. Hence, the above mentioned result of Behague et al. [4] on $\text{rsat}(n, H)$ implies that $\text{rwsat}(n, H) = O(n)$ for any non-empty graph H . Extending the result of Alon [2], Behague et al. posed the following question.

Question 1.1 ([4]). *For any non-empty graph H , does the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{rwsat}(n, H)}{n}$ exist?*

In this paper, we fully resolve this question by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *For any non-empty graph H , the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{rwsat}(n, H)}{n}$ exists.*

In the special case that H is a complete graph, Behague et al. [4] proved that $\text{rwsat}(n, K_t) \leq (t + 2\sqrt{2t})n + c_t$ for $t \geq 3$, where c_t is a constant depending only on t . They asked whether

²In the case that there exists no H -rainbow saturated (resp., weakly H -rainbow saturated) graph on n vertices, we define $\text{rsat}(n, H) := |E(K_n)|$ (resp., $\text{rwsat}(n, H) := |E(K_n)|$).

$\text{rwsat}(n, K_t) \leq tn + O(1)$ holds for every integer $t \geq 3$ and sufficiently large n . This question was solved by Chakraborti, Hendrey, Lund and Tompkins [9] recently by showing that $\text{rwsat}(n, K_t) \leq (t-1)n + O(1)$ holds for every integer $t \geq 3$. Our second result extends this result from complete graphs to general graphs. In particular, our result implies that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{rwsat}(n, H)}{n}$ is nonzero if and only if H contains no pendant edges. An edge is *pendant* if one of its endpoints has degree 1. For any graph H and vertex $v \in V(H)$, let $N_H(v)$ be the neighborhood of v in H , and let $d_H(v) := |N_H(v)|$ be the degree of v . Let $\delta(H)$ be the minimum degree of H and let $\delta'(H) := \min\{d_H(v) : v \in V(H), d_H(v) \neq 0\}$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let H be a non-empty graph. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If H contains a pendant edge, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{rwsat}(n, H)}{n} = 0$.*
- (ii) *If H contains no pendant edge, then $\frac{1}{2}\delta'(H) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{rwsat}(n, H)}{n} \leq \delta'(H)$.*

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some additional terminology and notation, and prove some lemmas that will be used in our proofs of the main results. In Section 3, we will complete our proof of Theorem 1.2, and establish Theorem 1.3 in a more precise form. Finally, we conclude the paper with some remarks and open problems in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

We begin with some additional terminology and notation. Given an edge-colored graph G and an edge $e \in E(G)$, we use $c_G(e)$ to denote the color assigned on e . Given two disjoint vertex subsets $U, V \subseteq V(G)$, let $E_G(U, V) := \{uv \in E(G) : u \in U, v \in V\}$. If U consists of a single vertex u , we simply write $E_G(\{u\}, V)$ as $E_G(u, V)$. The subscript G in $c_G(e)$, $E_G(U, V)$, $N_G(v)$ and $d_G(v)$ will be omitted if G is clear from the context. For a vertex subset $U \subseteq V(G)$, we use $G[U]$ to denote the *edge-colored induced subgraph* of G , that is, $V(G[U]) = U$, $E(G[U]) = \{e \in E(G) : e \subseteq U\}$, and each edge in $G[U]$ receives the same color as it receives in G . For a vertex subset $V \subseteq V(G)$, let $G - V := G[V(G) \setminus V]$. Given a set E of non-edges (resp., edges) of G , let $G + E$ (resp., $G - E$) be the graph obtained from G by adding (resp., deleting) all the edges in E . If E consists of a single edge e , we simply write $G + \{e\}$ and $G - \{e\}$ as $G + e$ and $G - e$, respectively. Given two vertex-disjoint graphs G and H , we use $G \cup H$ to denote the *disjoint union* of G and H , that is, the graph with vertex set $V(G \cup H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G \cup H) = E(G) \cup E(H)$. We use nG to denote the disjoint union of n copies of G .

Next, we state and prove several lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \geq m \geq 2$ be two positive integers. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *For any constant c with $0 \leq c \leq \frac{n-3}{6}$, every n -vertex graph with at most cn edges contains an independent set of size $\lceil \frac{n}{2c+1} \rceil$.*
- (ii) *Every subgraph of $K_{m,n}$ with at least $m(n-1)$ edges contains $K_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ as a subgraph.*

Proof. (i) Let G be an n -vertex graph with $|E(G)| \leq cn$. Then the number of edges in the complement \overline{G} of G satisfies

$$|E(\overline{G})| \geq \binom{n}{2} - cn = \frac{n-2c-1}{n} \cdot \frac{n^2}{2} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n/(2c+1)}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} > \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lfloor n/(2c+1) \rfloor - 1}\right) \frac{n^2}{2}.$$

By Turán's Theorem, \overline{G} contains a complete subgraph of order $\lceil \frac{n}{2c+1} \rceil$, and thus G contains an independent set of size $\lceil \frac{n}{2c+1} \rceil$.

(ii) Let G be a subgraph of $K_{m,n}$ with bipartition (A, B) such that $|A| = m$, $|B| = n$ and $|E(G)| \geq m(n-1)$. Let $A' := \{v \in A: d(v) \leq n-2\}$ and $A'' = A \setminus A'$. Then $|A'| \leq \frac{1}{2}(mn - m(n-1)) = \frac{m}{2}$ and $|A''| \geq m - |A'| \geq \frac{m}{2}$. Take an arbitrary subset $A^* \subset A''$ with $|A^*| = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. Note that every vertex $v \in A^*$ has at least $n-1$ neighbors in B . Hence, there exists a subset $B^* \subseteq B$ with $|B^*| \geq n - |A^*| \geq n - \frac{m}{2} \geq n - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n}{2}$ such that $A^* \cup B^*$ induces a complete bipartite subgraph of G . The result follows. \square

Given a family \mathcal{F} of graphs, let $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ be the *Turán number* of \mathcal{F} , that is, the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex graph that contains no members of \mathcal{F} . For any graph H , let $f(H)$ be the smallest integer n such that for each $N \in \{n-1, n\}$ we have $\text{ex}(N, \mathcal{H}) \leq \binom{N}{2} - 2N - 2$, where $\mathcal{H} := \{H - \{u, v\}: uv \in E(H)\}$. Note that $|V(H)| - 1 \leq f(H) \leq 5|V(H)|$ (for the upper bound, see the proof of Corollary 2.3 below).

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be a graph with $E(H) \neq \emptyset$, F be a complete graph of order $f(H) + 2$, and u, v be two distinct vertices of F . We color the edges of F such that $F - \{u, v\}$ is rainbow, and all the edges between $\{u, v\}$ and $V(F) \setminus \{u, v\}$ form a rainbow copy of $K_{2, n-2}$. Then no matter what color is assigned on uv , there is a rainbow copy of H containing the edge uv .*

Proof. The result holds trivially if $|V(H)| \leq 2$, so we may assume that $|V(H)| \geq 3$ in the following argument. Since $E(\{u, v\}, V(F) \setminus \{u, v\})$ forms a rainbow subgraph, we can remove at most one vertex from $V(F) \setminus \{u, v\}$ to get a subset $V \subseteq V(F) \setminus \{u, v\}$ such that $f(H) - 1 \leq |V| \leq f(H)$ and $E(\{u, v\}, V)$ contains no edges of the color $c(uv)$. Let F' be the subgraph of $F[V]$ consisting of all its edges using colors from $\mathbb{N} \setminus (\{c(e): e \in E(\{u, v\}, V)\} \cup \{c(uv)\})$. Since $F - \{u, v\}$ is rainbow and $f(H) - 1 \leq |V| \leq f(H)$, we have $|E(F')| \geq \binom{|V|}{2} - 2|V| - 1 > \text{ex}(|V|, \mathcal{H})$. This implies that F' contains a copy H^* of $H - \{x, y\}$ for some edge $xy \in E(H)$. Note that H^* is rainbow and contains no edges using colors from $\{c(e): e \in E(\{u, v\}, V)\} \cup \{c(uv)\}$. This implies that $F[V(H^*) \cup \{u, v\}]$ contains a rainbow copy of H containing the edge uv . The result follows. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let H be a graph with $E(H) \neq \emptyset$, F be a copy of K_n with $n \geq 5|V(H)|$, and u, v be two distinct vertices of F . We color the edges of F such that $F - \{u, v\}$ is rainbow, and all the edges between $\{u, v\}$ and $V(F) \setminus \{u, v\}$ form a rainbow copy of $K_{2, n-2}$. Then no matter what color is assigned on uv , there is a rainbow copy of H containing the edge uv .*

Proof. We first show that $f(H) \leq 5|V(H)|$. To this end, let G be a graph on $N \geq 5|V(H)| - 1$ vertices with $|E(G)| = \binom{N}{2} - 2N - 2$. Then $|E(\overline{G})| = 2N + 2$. Applying Lemma 2.1 (i) with $c = \frac{2N+2}{N}$ to \overline{G} , we can find an independent set U of \overline{G} with $|U| \geq \frac{N}{2c+1} \geq |V(H)| - 2$. This implies that $G[U]$ is a complete subgraph on at least $|V(H)| - 2$ vertices, so G contains some graph $H^* \in \mathcal{H}$. This implies that $f(H) \leq 5|V(H)|$. Let $V \subseteq V(F) \setminus \{u, v\}$ with $|V| = f(H)$. The result follows by applying Lemma 2.2 to $F[V \cup \{u, v\}]$. \square

Recall that for a weakly H -rainbow saturated graph G , we do not require G itself to be rainbow H -free. We have the following result on weakly rainbow saturated graphs.

Lemma 2.4. *For any graph H , integer n , and weakly H -rainbow saturated graph G on n vertices, we can recolor the edges of G such that the resulting edge-colored graph G' is rainbow and G' is still weakly H -rainbow saturated.*

Proof. Since G is a weakly H -rainbow saturated graph, there exists an ordering e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m of $E(\overline{G})$ such that, for any list c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} , the non-edges e_i in color c_i can be added to G , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Now we consider the rainbow graph G' . For an arbitrarily fixed list c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_m of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} , we wish to show that the non-edges e_i in color c'_i can be added to G' , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H .

Let $G'_0 := G'$, and for each $i \in [m]$ let $G'_i := G' + \{e_1, \dots, e_i\}$ with $c(e_k) = c'_k$ for every $k \in [i]$. Suppose for some $j \in [m]$, the non-edges e_i (for each $i < j$) in color c'_i can be added to G'_{i-1} so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H , but adding e_j in color c'_j to G'_{j-1} does not create any new rainbow copy of H . Since G is weakly H -rainbow saturated, the addition of e_j creates at least one copy of H . Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all underlying copies³ of H in $G + \{e_1, \dots, e_j\}$ containing e_j . Then for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, A is a copy of H but A is not a rainbow subgraph of G'_j . Since G' is rainbow and c'_1, \dots, c'_j are pairwise distinct, there exists at most one edge e'_i in G' with $c_{G'}(e'_i) = c'_i$ for each $i \in [j]$. For each $i \in [j]$, let $\mathcal{A}_i := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : G' \text{ contains a unique edge } e'_i \text{ with } c_{G'}(e'_i) = c'_i \text{ and } e_i, e'_i \in E(A)\}$. Let i_1, \dots, i_t be all the indices such that $\mathcal{A}_{i_\ell} \neq \emptyset$ for each $\ell \in [t]$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{i_t}$ form a partition of \mathcal{A} . Then for any list c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} with $c_{i_\ell} = c_{G'}(e'_{i_\ell})$ for each $\ell \in [t]$, we cannot add the non-edges e_i in color c_i to G , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . This contradiction completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. \square

We shall also use the following version of Fekete's Subadditive Lemma.

Lemma 2.5 ([15]). *Let c and t be two positive constants. For any sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $a_{m+n} \leq a_m + a_n + c$ for every $m, n \geq t$, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n}$ exists.*

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

We first present our proof of Theorem 1.2. Our proof is inspired by the work of Alon in [2].

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let $t = \max\{\lceil c(H) \rceil, |V(H)|, 3\}$, where $c(H)$ is a constant such that $\text{rsat}(n, H) \leq c(H)n$ (guaranteed by the result of [4]). Then $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq \text{rsat}(n, H) \leq tn$. We shall show that for every $m_1, m_2 \geq t^{10}$,

$$\text{rwsat}(m_1 + m_2, H) \leq \text{rwsat}(m_1, H) + \text{rwsat}(m_2, H) + t^{14}. \quad (1)$$

For each $i \in [2]$, let G_i be a weakly H -rainbow saturated graph on $m_i \geq t^{12}$ vertices with $|E(G_i)| = \text{rwsat}(m_i, H)$. By Lemma 2.4, we may further assume that G_1 and G_2 are two disjoint rainbow graphs and they have no common colors, i.e., $G_1 \cup G_2$ is rainbow. For each $i \in [2]$, let $X_i := \{v \in V(G_i) : d_{G_i}(v) \geq \frac{m_i}{4}\}$. Note that $|X_i| \leq \frac{2|E(G_i)|}{m_i/4} \leq \frac{2tm_i}{m_i/4} = 8t$ for each $i \in [2]$. By Lemma 2.1 (i), for each $i \in [2]$, G_i contains an independent set of size at least $\frac{m_i}{2t+1} > t^6 + 8t$, so we may assume that $A_i \subseteq V(G_i) \setminus X_i$ is an independent set of G_i with $|A_i| = t^6$. Let G be the $(m_1 + m_2)$ -vertex graph obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by adding all edges between $X_1 \cup A_1$ and $X_2 \cup A_2$, and we color the new edges such that G is rainbow. Note that $|E(G)| = |E(G_1)| + |E(G_2)| + |X_1 \cup A_1||X_2 \cup A_2| \leq \text{rwsat}(m_1, H) + \text{rwsat}(m_2, H) + t^{14}$. In order to prove Inequality (1), it suffices to show that G is weakly H -rainbow saturated.

³For an edge-colored graph F , the underlying copy of F is an uncolored graph consisting of the vertex set $V(F)$ and edge set $E(F)$.

Let a , b and s be the number of non-edges of G_1 , G_2 and G , respectively. Then $s = a + b + m_1m_2 - |X_1 \cup A_1||X_2 \cup A_2|$. We shall show that there exists an ordering e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s of non-edges of G such that, for any list c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} , the non-edges e_i in color c_i can be added to G , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Since G_1 and G_2 are weakly H -rainbow saturated, there exists an ordering e_1, e_2, \dots, e_a of non-edges of G_1 and an ordering $e_{a+1}, e_{a+2}, \dots, e_{a+b}$ of non-edges of G_2 such that, the non-edges e_i in color c_i can be added to G , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G^{(1)} = G + \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{a+b}\}$ with $c(e_i) = c_i$ for each $i \in [a + b]$.

We next consider the non-edges between $V(G_1)$ and $V(G_2)$. For each $i \in [2]$, let $B_i := \{v \in V(G_i) \setminus (X_i \cup A_i) : |N_{G_i}(v) \cap A_i| \geq t^5\}$ and $C_i := V(G_i) \setminus (X_i \cup A_i \cup B_i)$. Note that for each $i \in [2]$, we have $|B_i| \leq \frac{|E(G_i)|}{t^5} \leq \frac{tm_i}{t^5} = \frac{m_i}{t^4}$ and $|C_i| \geq m_i - |X_i| - |A_i| - |B_i| \geq \frac{2m_i}{3}$. Roughly speaking, we will consider the remaining non-edges in the following ordering: $E_{\overline{G}}(C_1 \cup A_1, C_2 \cup A_2)$, $E_{\overline{G}}(B_1, X_2 \cup A_2 \cup C_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(B_2, X_1 \cup A_1 \cup C_1)$, $E_{\overline{G}}(B_1, B_2)$, $E_{\overline{G}}(C_1, X_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(C_2, X_1)$; see Figure 1. For convenience, we introduce one more notion. Assume that G^* is the edge-colored graph obtained from G by adding certain non-edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_ℓ with $c(e_i) = c_i$ for each $i \in [\ell]$. For a subset E^* of non-edges of G^* , we say that E^* is nice to G^* if there exists an ordering $e_{\ell+1}, e_{\ell+2}, \dots, e_{\ell+|E^*|}$ of the non-edges in E^* such that, the non-edges $e_{\ell+i}$ in color $c_{\ell+i}$ can be added to G^* , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H .




Figure 1: An illustration of the non-edges (represented by dashed lines) of $G^{(1)}$, and the rough ordering of the remaining non-edges that we wish to add to $G^{(1)}$.

Claim 3.1. $E_{\overline{G}}(C_1 \cup A_1, C_2 \cup A_2)$ is nice to $G^{(1)}$.

Proof. For an arbitrarily fixed vertex $u \in C_1$, there exists a set $S \subseteq A_1$ with $|S| \geq |A_1| - t^5 \geq t^5$ such that G_1 contains no edges between u and S . Note that in $G^{(1)}$, the subset $S \cup \{u\}$ induces a rainbow complete subgraph with colors from $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_a\}$, and the edges between S and A_2 forms a rainbow complete bipartite subgraph. Let G' be the bipartite subgraph of G with bipartition (S, A_2) and $E(G') = \{v_1v_2 : v_1 \in S, v_2 \in A_2, c(v_1v_2) \text{ is not a color on edges between } u \text{ and } S\}$. Since G is rainbow, we have $|E(G')| \geq |S||A_2| - |S|$. By Lemma 2.1 (ii), there exist subsets $S' \subseteq S$ and $A' \subseteq A_2$ with $|S'| = t^4$ and $|A'| = t^5$ such that $G'[S' \cup A']$ is a complete bipartite subgraph. This implies that the edges between S' and $A' \cup \{u\}$ form a rainbow complete bipartite subgraph. For any vertex

$x \in A'$, by Corollary 2.3 (with $F = G^{(1)}[S' \cup \{u, x\}] + ux$), the addition of the non-edge ux in any color to $G^{(1)}$ creates a new rainbow copy of H . By symmetry, for any ordering $e_{a+b+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+t^5}$ of the non-edges between u and A' , the non-edges e_{a+b+i} in color c_{a+b+i} can be added to $G^{(1)}$, one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G^{(2,1)} = G^{(1)} + \{e_{a+b+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+t^5}\}$ with $c(e_{a+b+i}) = c_{a+b+i}$ for each $i \in [t^5]$.

For any vertex $y \in A_2 \setminus A'$, note that $G^{(2,1)}[A' \cup \{u, y\}]$ is a rainbow subgraph with colors from $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{a+b+t^5}\}$. Thus adding the non-edge uy in any color to $G^{(2,1)}$ creates a new rainbow copy of H . By symmetry, this in fact shows that there exists an ordering $e_{a+b+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+t^6|C_1 \cup C_2|}$ of the non-edges in $E_{\overline{G}}(C_1, A_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(C_2, A_1)$ such that, the non-edges e_{a+b+i} in color c_{a+b+i} can be added to $G^{(1)}$, one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G^{(2,2)} = G^{(1)} + \{e_{a+b+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+t^6|C_1 \cup C_2|}\}$ with $c(e_{a+b+i}) = c_{a+b+i}$ for each $i \in [t^6|C_1 \cup C_2|]$.

Let $v_1 v_2$ be an arbitrarily fixed non-edge with $v_1 \in C_1$ and $v_2 \in C_2$. Note that there exists a set $S'' \subseteq A_1$ with $|S''| \geq |A_1| - t^5 \geq t^5$ such that G_1 contains no edges between v_1 and S'' . Then $G^{(2,2)}[S'' \cup \{v_1, v_2\}]$ is a rainbow subgraph with colors from $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s\}$. Thus adding the non-edge $v_1 v_2$ in any color to $G^{(2,2)}$ creates a new rainbow copy of H . Since $v_1 v_2$ is chosen arbitrarily, we know that there exists an ordering $e_{a+b+t^6|C_1 \cup C_2|+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+t^6|C_1 \cup C_2|+|C_1||C_2|}$ of the non-edges in $E_{\overline{G}}(C_1, C_2)$ such that, the non-edges $e_{a+b+t^6|C_1 \cup C_2|+i}$ in color $c_{a+b+t^6|C_1 \cup C_2|+i}$ can be added to $G^{(2,2)}$, one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . This completes the proof of Claim 3.1. \square

Let $q_1 = |E_{\overline{G}}(C_1 \cup A_1, C_2 \cup A_2)| = t^6|C_1 \cup C_2| + |C_1||C_2|$. By Claim 3.1, there exists an ordering $e_{a+b+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1}$ of the non-edges in $E_{\overline{G}}(C_1 \cup A_1, C_2 \cup A_2)$ such that, the non-edges e_{a+b+i} in color c_{a+b+i} can be added to $G^{(1)}$, one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G^{(2)} = G^{(1)} + \{e_{a+b+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1}\}$ with $c(e_{a+b+i}) = c_{a+b+i}$ for each $i \in [q_1]$.

Claim 3.2. $E_{\overline{G}}(B_1, X_2 \cup A_2 \cup C_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(B_2, X_1 \cup A_1 \cup C_1)$ is nice to $G^{(2)}$.

Proof. Let (v, z) be an arbitrarily fixed pair of vertices with $v \in B_1$ and $z \in X_2 \cup A_2$. Let $T \subseteq N_{G_1}(v) \cap A_1$ with $|T| = t^5$. Note that in $G^{(2)} + vz$, the vertex set $T \cup \{v, z\}$ induces a complete subgraph. Moreover, the edges between T and $\{v, z\}$ forms a rainbow K_{2, t^5} , and T induces a rainbow complete subgraph with colors from $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_a\}$. By Corollary 2.3 (with $F = G^{(2)}[T \cup \{v, z\}] + vz$), after adding vz in any color, there is a new rainbow copy of H . By symmetry, the same statement holds for every pair of vertices (v, z) with $(v, z) \in B_1 \times (X_2 \cup A_2)$ or $(v, z) \in B_2 \times (X_1 \cup A_1)$. Let $q = |E_{\overline{G}}(B_1, X_2 \cup A_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(B_2, X_1 \cup A_1)| = |B_1||X_2 \cup A_2| + |B_2||X_1 \cup A_1|$. Then there exists an ordering $e_{a+b+q_1+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1+q}$ of the non-edges in $E_{\overline{G}}(B_1, X_2 \cup A_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(B_2, X_1 \cup A_1)$ such that, the non-edges e_{a+b+q_1+i} in color c_{a+b+q_1+i} can be added to $G^{(2)}$, one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G^{(3,1)} = G^{(2)} + \{e_{a+b+q_1+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1+q}\}$ with $c(e_{a+b+q_1+i}) = c_{a+b+q_1+i}$ for each $i \in [q]$.

Let (u, x) be an arbitrarily fixed pair of vertices with $u \in C_1$ and $x \in B_2$. Note that there exists a set $S \subseteq A_1$ with $|S| \geq |A_1| - t^5 \geq t^5$ such that G_1 contains no edges between u and S . Then $G^{(3,1)}[S \cup \{u, x\}]$ is a rainbow subgraph with colors from $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{a+b+q_1+q}\}$. Thus adding the non-edge ux in any color to $G^{(3,1)}$ creates a new rainbow copy of H . By symmetry, the same statement holds for every pair of vertices (u, x) with $(u, x) \in C_1 \times B_2$ or $(u, x) \in C_2 \times B_1$. This completes the proof of Claim 3.2. \square

Let $q_2 = |E_{\overline{G}}(B_1, X_2 \cup A_2 \cup C_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(B_2, X_1 \cup A_1 \cup C_1)|$. By Claim 3.2, there exists an ordering $e_{a+b+q_1+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1+q_2}$ of the non-edges in $E_{\overline{G}}(B_1, X_2 \cup A_2 \cup C_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(B_2, X_1 \cup$

$A_1 \cup C_1$) such that, the non-edges e_{a+b+q_1+i} in color c_{a+b+q_1+i} can be added to $G^{(2)}$, one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G^{(3)} = G^{(2)} + \{e_{a+b+q_1+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1+q_2}\}$ with $c(e_{a+b+q_1+i}) = c_{a+b+q_1+i}$ for each $i \in [q_2]$.

Claim 3.3. $E_{\overline{G}}(B_1, B_2)$ is nice to $G^{(3)}$.

Proof. Let w be an arbitrarily fixed vertex of B_1 . Since $w \notin X_1$, there exists a subset $C' \subseteq C_1$ such that G contains no edges between w and C' and $|C'| \geq |C_1| - \frac{m_1}{4} \geq \frac{2m_1}{3} - \frac{m_1}{4} > \frac{m_1}{3}$. Note that $|E(G_1[C'])| \leq |E(G_1)| \leq tm_1 \leq 3t|C'|$. Applying Lemma 2.1 (i) (with $c = 3t$) to $G_1[C']$, we have that G_1 contains an independent set $C'' \subseteq C'$ of size at least $\frac{|C'|}{6t+1} \geq t^4$. Note that for any vertex $w' \in B_2$, the subset $C'' \cup \{w, w'\}$ induces a rainbow subgraph of $G^{(4)}$. Thus after adding ww' in any color, there is a new rainbow copy of H . Since w and w' are chosen arbitrarily, the result follows. \square

Let $q_3 = |B_1||B_2|$. By Claim 3.3, there exists an ordering $e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3}$ of the non-edges between B_1 and B_2 such that, the non-edges $e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+i}$ in color $c_{a+b+q_1+q_2+i}$ can be added to $G^{(3)}$, one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G^{(4)} = G^{(3)} + \{e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3}\}$ with $c(e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+i}) = c_{a+b+q_1+q_2+i}$ for each $i \in [q_3]$.

Claim 3.4. $E_{\overline{G}}(C_1, X_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(C_2, X_1)$ is nice to $G^{(4)}$.

Proof. Let $C' \subseteq C_1$ be the set of vertices v such that there exists a vertex $x \in A_1$ with $vx \notin E(G)$ and $c_{G^{(4)}}(vx) \in \{c(e) : e \in E_G(A_1, X_2)\}$. Let $C'' = C_1 \setminus C'$. Since c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s are pairwise distinct colors, we have $|C''| \leq |A_1||X_2| \leq 8t^7$ and $|C''| = |C_1| - |C'| \geq \frac{2m_1}{3} - 8t^7 \geq \frac{m_1}{3}$.

Let uy be an arbitrarily fixed non-edges with $u \in C''$ and $y \in X_2$. Note that there exists a set $S \subseteq A_1$ with $|S| \geq |A_1| - t^5 \geq t^5$ such that G_1 contains no edges between u and S . Note that in $G^{(4)} + uy$, the vertex set $S \cup \{u, y\}$ induces a complete subgraph. Moreover, the edges between S and $\{u, y\}$ forms a rainbow K_{2, t^5} , and S induces a rainbow complete subgraph with colors from $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_a\}$. By Corollary 2.3 (with $F = G^{(4)}[S \cup \{u, y\}] + uy$), after adding uy in any color, there is a new rainbow copy of H . Since uy is chosen arbitrarily, we know that there exists an ordering $e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+|C''||X_2|}$ of the non-edges in $E_{\overline{G}}(C'', X_2)$ such that, the non-edges $e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+i}$ in color $c_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+i}$ can be added to $G^{(4)}$, one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G^{(5,1)} = G^{(4)} + \{e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+1}, \dots, e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+|C''||X_2|}\}$ with $c(e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+i}) = c_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+i}$ for each $i \in [|C''||X_2|]$.

Let w be an arbitrarily fixed vertex of C' . Since $w \notin X_1$, there exists a subset $C''' \subseteq C''$ such that G contains no edges between w and C''' and $|C'''| \geq |C''| - \frac{m_1}{4} \geq \frac{m_1}{3} - \frac{m_1}{4} \geq \frac{m_1}{12}$. Note that $|E(G_1[C'''])| \leq |E(G_1)| \leq tm_1 \leq 12t|C'''|$. Applying Lemma 2.1 (i) (with $c = 12t$) to $G_1[C''']$, we have that G_1 contains an independent set $C^* \subseteq C'''$ of size at least $\frac{|C'''|}{24t+1} \geq t^3$. Note that for any vertex $w' \in X_2$, the subset $C^* \cup \{w, w'\}$ induces a rainbow subgraph of $G^{(4)}$. Thus after adding ww' in any color, there is a new rainbow copy of H . Since w and w' are chosen arbitrarily, this holds for every non-edge between C' and X_2 . By symmetry, this in fact implies that there exists an ordering $e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+1}, \dots, e_s$ of the non-edges in $E_{\overline{G}}(C_1, X_2) \cup E_{\overline{G}}(C_2, X_1)$ such that, the non-edges $e_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+i}$ in color $c_{a+b+q_1+q_2+q_3+i}$ can be added to $G^{(4)}$, one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . The proof of Claim 3.4 is complete. \square

By Claim 3.4, G is weakly H -rainbow saturated, and thus Inequality (1) holds. By Lemma 2.5, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{rwsat}(n, H)}{n}$ exists. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

We next present our proof of Theorem 1.3 in the following more precise form, which generalizes a result of Faudree, Gould and Jacobson [12] on weak saturation numbers, and a result of Chakraborti, Hendrey, Lund and Tompkins [9] on weak rainbow saturation numbers of complete graphs. Recall that for any graph H , $f(H)$ is the smallest integer n such that for each $N \in \{n-1, n\}$ we have $\text{ex}(N, \mathcal{H}) \leq \binom{N}{2} - 2N - 2$, where $\mathcal{H} := \{H - \{u, v\} : uv \in E(H)\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let H be a non-empty graph. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If H contains a pendant edge and $n > f(H) + 1$, then $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq \binom{f(H)+1}{2}$.*
- (ii) *If H contains no pendant edges and $n > f(H) + \delta'(H)$, then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta'(H)n \leq \text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq \delta'(H)(n - f(H) - \delta'(H)) + \binom{f(H) + \delta'(H)}{2}.$$

Proof. (i) We shall show that if H contains a pendant edge and $n > f(H) + 1 \geq |V(H)|$, then $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq \binom{f(H)+1}{2}$. Let G be an n -vertex graph consisting of a rainbow clique of order $f(H) + 1$ and $n - f(H) - 1$ isolated vertices. It suffices to show that G is weakly H -rainbow saturated. Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m be an arbitrarily fixed list of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} , where $m = \binom{n}{2} - \binom{f(H)+1}{2}$. Let $U \subset V(G)$ be the set of $n - f(H) - 1$ isolated vertices, $V = V(G) \setminus U$, and $s = |U||V| = (n - f(H) - 1)(f(H) + 1)$.

For any pair of vertices $(u, v) \in U \times V$ and any color $c^* \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subset $V' \subseteq V$ with $v \in V'$ and $|V'| \geq |V| - 1 = f(H) \geq |V(H)| - 1$ such that $G[V']$ is a rainbow clique and contains no edge of color c^* . Thus the addition of uv in color c^* creates a new rainbow copy of H (with uv being the pendant edge). By symmetry, this implies that for any ordering e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s of the non-edges between U and V , the non-edges e_i in color c_i can be added to G , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G' = G + \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s\}$ with $c(e_i) = c_i$ for each $i \in [s]$.

For any non-edge u_1u_2 within U , we consider the subgraph $F = G'[V^* \cup \{u_1, u_2\}] + u_1u_2$, where V^* is an arbitrary subset of V with $|V^*| = f(H)$. Note that F satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2. Thus the addition of u_1u_2 in any color creates a new rainbow copy of H . By symmetry, this implies that for any ordering $e_{s+1}, e_{s+2}, \dots, e_m$ of the non-edges within U , the non-edges e_{s+i} in color c_{s+i} can be added to G' , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Therefore, G is weakly H -rainbow saturated, and thus $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq |E(G)| = \binom{f(H)}{2}$.

(ii) For the lower bound, let G be a weakly H -rainbow saturated graph on n vertices. Then there exists an ordering e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m of $E(\overline{G})$ such that, for any list c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} , the non-edges e_i in color c_i can be added to G , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Since H contains no pendant edges and $E(H) \neq \emptyset$, we have $d_H(u) = 0$ or $d_H(u) \geq 2$ for every vertex $u \in V(H)$, i.e., $\delta'(H) \geq 2$. This implies that G contains no isolated vertices.

Suppose for a contradiction that $|E(G)| < \frac{1}{2}\delta'(H)n$. Then G contains a vertex v with $d := d_G(v) \leq \delta'(H) - 1$. Let $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1-d}}$ be all the non-edges of G containing v as an end-vertex, where $i_1 < \dots < i_{n-1-d}$. Let $G_{i_1-1} = G + \{e_1, \dots, e_{i_1-1}\}$ and $G_{i_1} = G_{i_1-1} + e_{i_1}$. Then $d_{G_{i_1-1}}(v) = d_G(v) \leq \delta'(H) - 1$ and $d_{G_{i_1}}(v) = d_G(v) + 1 \leq \delta'(H)$. If c_{i_1} is a color from the

set of colors on edges incident with v in G , then the addition of e_{i_1} in color c_{i_1} to G' does not create any new rainbow copy of H , a contradiction. This implies that $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta'(H)n$.

For the upper bound, consider the following construction. Let A , B and C be three pairwise disjoint sets of vertices with $|A| = \delta'(H)$, $|B| = f(H)$ and $|C| = n - f(H) - \delta'(H)$. Let G' be a rainbow graph on vertex set $A \cup B \cup C$ whose edge set consists of all edges within $A \cup B$ and all edges between A and C . Then $|E(G')| = \delta'(H)(n - f(H) - \delta'(H)) + \binom{f(H) + \delta'(H)}{2}$. It suffices to show that G' is weakly H -rainbow saturated.

Let c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_t be an arbitrarily fixed list of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} , where $t = \binom{n}{2} - |E(G')|$. Let xy be an arbitrary non-edge with $x \in B$ and $y \in C$, and let G'' be the edge-colored graph obtained from G' by adding xy in any color. Since G' is rainbow, there exists a subset $X \subseteq A \cup B$ with $x \in X$ and $|X| \geq |A \cup B| - 1 \geq |V(H)| - 1$ such that $G''[X \cup \{y\}]$ is rainbow, $d_{G''[X \cup \{y\}]}(y) \geq \delta'(H)$, and $d_{G''[X \cup \{y\}]}(z) = |X|$ for every $z \in X$. This implies that adding xy in any color creates a new rainbow copy of H . By symmetry, this implies that for any ordering $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_{|B||C|}$ of the non-edges between B and C , the non-edges e'_i in color c'_i can be added to G' , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G''' = G' + \{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_{|B||C|}\}$ with $c(e'_i) = c'_i$ for each $i \in [|B||C|]$. Next, we consider the remaining non-edges, i.e., non-edges within C . Let w, w' be two distinct vertices of C , and let $F = G'''[B \cup \{w, w'\}] + ww'$. Then F satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2. Thus the addition of ww' in any color creates a new rainbow copy of H . By symmetry, this implies that for any ordering $e'_{|B||C|+1}, e'_{|B||C|+2}, \dots, e'_t$ of the non-edges within C , the non-edges $e'_{|B||C|+i}$ in color $c'_{|B||C|+i}$ can be added to G''' , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Therefore, G' is weakly H -rainbow saturated, and thus $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq |E(G')| = \delta'(H)(n - f(H) - \delta'(H)) + \binom{f(H) + \delta'(H)}{2}$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. *In the case when H is a complete graph K_r ($r \geq 3$), the upper bound given by Theorem 3.1 (ii) can be improved to $\text{rwsat}(n, K_r) \leq (r - 1)(n - r) + \binom{r}{2}$. Indeed, in this case, when we construct the graph G' , we may choose B to be a single vertex. Moreover, when we add the non-edge ww' , we can find a rainbow copy of H within $A \cup \{w, w'\}$ (so we can avoid the use of Lemma 2.2). This upper bound on $\text{rwsat}(n, K_r)$ was first obtained by Chakraborti, Hendrey, Lund and Tompkins [9].*

4 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we prove that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{rwsat}(n, H)}{n}$ exists for any non-empty graph H . We also show that this limit is nonzero if and only if H contains no pendant edges by proving that if H contains no pendant edges and $n > f(H) + \delta'(H)$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta'(H)n \leq \text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq \delta'(H)(n - f(H) - \delta'(H)) + \binom{f(H) + \delta'(H)}{2}, \quad (2)$$

where $\delta'(H) := \min\{d_H(v) : v \in V(H), d_H(v) \neq 0\}$.

For sufficiently large n , the lower bound in Inequality (2) cannot be improved to cn for any $c > \frac{1}{2}(\delta'(H) + 1)$. To see this, let H be the graph obtained from $2K_t$ ($t \geq 3$) by adding a single edge between the two copies of K_t . Note that $\delta'(H) = t - 1$. For sufficiently large n , we write $n = \lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor(t + 1) + r$, where $0 \leq r \leq t$. Let G be a rainbow copy of $(rK_{t+2}) \cup ((\lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor - r)K_{t+1})$. It is easy to check that G is weakly H -rainbow saturated. Thus

$\text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq |E(G)| = \frac{t}{2}n + \Theta(1) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta'(H) + 1)n + \Theta(1)$. For sufficiently large n , the upper bound in Inequality (2) cannot be improved to $c'n$ for any $c' < \delta'(H) - 1$. For example, when $H = K_t$ ($t \geq 3$), we have $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \geq \text{wsat}(n, H) = \binom{n}{2} - \binom{n-t+2}{2} = (t-2)n - \Theta(1) = (\delta'(H) - 1)n - \Theta(1)$ (see [2, 19]). Given this, we pose the following two questions.

Question 4.1. *Let H be a non-empty graph containing no pendant edges. Is it true that $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \geq \left(\frac{\delta'(H)+1}{2} - o(1)\right)n$?*

Question 4.2. *For any integer $t \geq 3$, does there exist a constant c_t such that $\text{rwsat}(n, K_t) = (t-1)n + c_t$?*

It is also natural to ask for what graphs H , it holds $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq cn$ for some $c < \delta'(H)$ and sufficiently large integers n . Our Theorem 3.1 (i) implies that this is the case when $\delta'(H) = 1$. We can also show that this holds for a large family of graphs H with $\delta'(H) = 2$ (including all cycles of length at least 5). Let \mathcal{F} be the family of graphs H containing an edge uv with $d_H(u) = d_H(v) = 2$ such that uv is the middle edge of an induced subgraph P_4 in H . Note that for any $H \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\delta'(H) \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proposition 4.3. *For any graph $H \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\delta'(H) = 2$, there exists a constant c_H such that $\text{rwsat}(n, H) \leq \frac{3}{2}n + c_H$.*

Proof. Let $h = |V(H)|$ and let P be the induced P_4 of H as described in the definition of \mathcal{F} . We may assume that $n \geq h + 3$ since we can choose c_H to be a constant greater than $\binom{h+2}{2}$. Let $k = \lfloor \frac{n-h-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $t = n - 2k$, so $h + 1 \leq t \leq h + 2$. Let G be a rainbow graph on n vertices with $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_t, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ and $|E(H)| = \{v_i v_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq t\} \cup \{v_1 x_i, v_1 y_i, x_i y_i : i \in [k]\}$. Note that $|E(G)| = \binom{t}{2} + 3k = \frac{3}{2}n + c_H$ for some constant c_H . It suffices to show that G is weakly H -rainbow saturated.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m be an arbitrarily fixed list of pairwise distinct colors from \mathbb{N} , where $m = \binom{n}{2} - |E(G)|$. Let $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$ and $U = \{x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$. We first consider the non-edges between V and U . By symmetry, we only consider the addition of $v_2 x_1$ in some color $c^* \in \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$. Since G is rainbow, we can find a subset $V' \subseteq V \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$ with $|V'| \geq |V| - 3 \geq h - 2$ such that $G[V' \cup \{v_1, v_2\}] - v_1 v_2$ contains no edges of color c^* . If $c_G(x_1 v_1) \neq c^*$, then the addition of $v_2 x_1$ in color c^* creates a rainbow copy of H in which $v_2 x_1 v_1 v_i$ plays the role of the path P , where v_i is a vertex of V' . If $c_G(x_1 v_1) = c^*$, then the addition of $v_2 x_1$ in color c^* creates a rainbow copy of H in which $v_2 x_1 y_1 v_1$ plays the role of the path P . Therefore, for any ordering $e_1, \dots, e_{2k(t-1)}$ of the non-edges between V and U , the non-edges e_i in color c_i can be added to G , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . Let $G' = G + \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2k(t-1)}\}$ with $c(e_i) = c_i$ for each $i \in [2k(t-1)]$. We next consider the non-edges within U . By symmetry, we only consider the addition of $x_1 x_2$ in some color $c^{**} \in \{c_{2k(t-1)+1}, \dots, c_m\}$. Since G is rainbow and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m are pairwise distinct, we can find a subset $V'' \subseteq V \setminus \{v_2, v_3\}$ with $|V''| \geq |V| - 5 \geq h - 4$ such that $G'[V'' \cup \{v_2, v_3\}] - v_2 v_3$ contains no edges of colors from $\{c^{**}, c_{G'}(v_2 x_1), c_{G'}(v_3 x_2)\}$. Then the addition of $x_1 x_2$ in color c^{**} creates a rainbow copy of H in which $v_2 x_1 x_2 v_3$ plays the role of the path P . Therefore, for any ordering $e_{2k(t-1)+1}, \dots, e_m$ of the non-edges within U , the non-edges $e_{2k(t-1)+i}$ in color $c_{2k(t-1)+i}$ can be added to G' , one at a time, so that every added edge creates a new rainbow copy of H . This completes the proof. \square

Note that Proposition 4.3 also implies that for all cycles C_ℓ with $\ell \geq 5$, we have $\text{rwsat}(n, C_\ell) \leq$

$\frac{3}{2}n + c_\ell$, where c_ℓ is a constant only depending on ℓ . This statement also holds for C_4 .⁴ In light of this, we propose the following question.

Question 4.4. *For any integer $\ell \geq 4$, there is a constant c_ℓ such that $\text{rwsat}(n, C_\ell) = \frac{3}{2}n + c_\ell$.*

Acknowledgement

Jie Ma was supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2023YFA1010201, National Natural Science Foundation of China grant 12125106, and Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies grant AHY150200. Xihe Li was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

References

- [1] R. Aharoni, M. DeVos and R. Holzman, Rainbow triangles and the Caccetta-Haggkvist conjecture, *J. Graph Theory* **92**(4) (2019), 347–360.
- [2] N. Alon, An extremal problem for sets with applications to graph theory, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **40**(1) (1985), 82–89.
- [3] M. D. Barrus, M. Ferrara, J. Vandenbussche, and P. S. Wenger, Colored saturation parameters for rainbow subgraphs, *J. Graph Theory* **86**(4) (2017), 375–386.
- [4] N. Behague, T. Johnston, S. Letzter, N. Morrison, and S. Ogden, The rainbow saturation number is linear, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* (2023), accepted.
- [5] B. Bollobás, On generalized graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **16** (1965), 447–452.
- [6] B. Bollobás, Weakly k -saturated graphs, *B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig*, (1968), 25–31.
- [7] N. Bushaw, D. Johnston, and P. Rombach, Rainbow saturation, *Graphs Combin.* **38**(5) (2022), Paper No. 166, 12 pp.
- [8] S. J. Cao, Y. D. Ma, and Z. Y. Taoqiu, A note on rainbow saturation number of paths. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **378** (2020), 125204, 4 pp.
- [9] D. Chakraborti, K. Hendrey, B. Lund, and C. Tompkins, Rainbow saturation for complete graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* (2023), accepted.
- [10] B. L. Currie, J. R. Faudree, R. J. Faudree, and J. R. Schmitt, A survey of minimum saturated graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **DS19**, Dynamic Surveys, (2021), 98 pp.
- [11] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, and J. W. Moon, A problem in graph theory, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **71** (1964), 1107–1110.
- [12] R. J. Faudree, R. J. Gould, and M. S. Jacobson, Weak saturation numbers for sparse graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **33**(4) (2013), 677–693.

⁴To see this, consider a rainbow copy of the graph G_1 or G_2 on n vertices defined as follows. For an odd integer n , let G_1 be the graph with vertex set $\{u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ and edge set $\{uv_i : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{v_{2i-1}v_{2i} : 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}\}$. For an even integer n , let G_2 be the graph with vertex set $\{u, x, y, z, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-4}\}$ and edge set $\{xy, xz, yz, ux, uy, uz\} \cup \{uv_i : 1 \leq i \leq n-4\} \cup \{v_{2i-1}v_{2i} : 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-4}{2}\}$.

- [13] M. Ferrara, D. Johnston, S. Loeb, F. Pfender, A. Schulte, H. C. Smith, E. Sullivan, M. Tait, and C. Tompkins, On edge-colored saturation problems, *J. Comb.* **11**(4) (2020), 639–655.
- [14] J. Fox, A. Grinshpun, and J. Pach, The Erdős-Hajnal conjecture for rainbow triangles, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **111** (2015), 75–125.
- [15] Z. Füredi and I. Z. Ruzsa, Nearly subadditive sequences, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **161**(2) (2020), 401–411.
- [16] A. Girão, D. Lewis, and K. Popielarz, Rainbow saturation of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **94**(3) (2020), 421–444.
- [17] D. Hanson and B. Toft, Edge-colored saturated graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **11**(2) (1987), 191–196.
- [18] O. Janzer, Rainbow Turán number of even cycles, repeated patterns and blow-ups of cycles, *Israel J. Math.* **253**(2) (2023), 813–840.
- [19] G. Kalai, Hyperconnectivity of graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **1**(1) (1985), 65–79.
- [20] P. Keevash, D. Mubayi, B. Sudakov, and J. Verstraëte, Rainbow Turán problems, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **16**(1) (2007), 109–126.
- [21] D. Korándi, Rainbow saturation and graph capacities, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **32**(2) (2018), 1261–1264.
- [22] X. H. Li, H. Broersma, and L. G. Wang, The Erdős-Gyárfás function with respect to Gallai-colorings, *J. Graph Theory* **101**(2) (2022), 242–264.
- [23] R. Montgomery, A. Pokrovskiy and B. Sudakov, A proof of Ringel’s conjecture, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **31**(3) (2021), 663–720.
- [24] A. Pokrovskiy, An approximate version of a conjecture of Aharoni and Berger, *Adv. Math.* **333** (2018), 1197–1241.
- [25] A. Shapira and M. Tyomkyn, Weakly saturated hypergraphs and a conjecture of Tuza, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **151**(7) (2023), 2795–2805.
- [26] B. Sudakov and J. Volec, Properly colored and rainbow copies of graphs with few cherries, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **122** (2017), 391–416.
- [27] Zs. Tuza, A generalization of saturated graphs for finite languages, *Tanulmányok—MTA Számítástech. Automat. Kutató Int. Budapest*, (185) (1986), 287–293.
- [28] Zs. Tuza, Extremal problems on saturated graphs and hypergraphs, *Ars Combin.* **25** (1988), 105–113.
- [29] Zs. Tuza, Asymptotic growth of sparse saturated structures is locally determined, *Discrete Math.* **108**(1-3) (1992), 397–402.
- [30] A. A. Zykov, On some properties of linear complexes (in Russian), *Mat. Sbornik N.S.* **24/66** (1949), 163–188.

E-mail address: lxhdhr@163.com

E-mail address: jiema@ustc.edu.cn

E-mail address: xiety@ustc.edu.cn