### Tightly Coupled (t,n)-Secret Sharing

0

Miao Fuyou School of Computer Sci. & Tech.,USTC 2017.9.28



\$	27B/s 🖬 😻 ଲି <sup>4</sup> .d) 92% 🎫 10:22			
← 聊天信息(88) 🔉				
<b>承</b> 黄翠	薛军	<b>平步…</b>	<b>张永</b>	张力
苏栋	安阳	运 蓝 胖 纸	安阳	葛云飞
<b>送</b> 郑轻…	一棵松	河工	<b>》</b> 河南…	河工
信阳	顾燕	1 1 1 1 2 3 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	<b>大</b> 连	在路上
<b>中山</b>	会务	<b>17</b> 肖静	平顶	(上午) (公告永
	Â		*	Contraction of the second
	$\bigtriangledown$	0		



Don't want the wrong person to get any information, How to?

- Authenticate each person one by one:
- n(n-1)/2 rounds
- How to improve the efficiency in authentication?
- $\rightarrow$ Each person authenticates the others at once.

(t,m,n)-Tightly Coupled Secret Sharing
 -A new tool to address the problem

## Outline

- (t,n)-Secret Sharing
- 2 Attacks Against (t,n)-SS
- Noisy Channel Solutions
   Information Theoretical View
- Randomized Component
- (t,m,n)-Tightly Coupled Secret Sharing

## What is Secret Sharing (SS)

- (t,n)-Secret Sharing
  - a secret s is divided into n shares such that:
    - (1) any t or more than t shares  $\rightarrow$ s;
    - (2) less than t shares  $\forall \rightarrow s$ ;
  - Applications
    - Threshold encryption/signature
    - Key Distribution
    - Secure Multiparty Computation
    - Group authentication
    - Image Processing –Visual Secret Sharing
    - Access Control



Fig I. An example of (3,n)-SS

## Typical (t,n)-SS

• Shamir's (t,n)-SS

• **Dealer D:**  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + ... + a_{t-1} x^{t-1} \mod p$ ,  $s = f(0) = a_0 \quad a_j \in F_p, j = 0, 1, 2, ..., t-1$ 

#### • Share distribution:

• Dealer D: for n shareholders  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$ ,  $f(x_i) \rightarrow U_i$ , the share of  $U_i : s_i = f(x_i)$ , public inf :  $x_i$ 

#### Secret Reconstruction

• m shareholders  $J_m = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m\}, (n \ge m \ge t)$  $s = f(0) = \sum_{U_j \in J_m} s_j \prod_{\substack{U_j \in J_m \ j \ne r}} \frac{-x_r}{x_j - x_r} \mod p$ 



## Other SS

- Mignotte's SS and Asmuth-Bloom's SS (CRT based)
- Blakely's SS (Geometry based)
- Massey's SS (Linear Code based)

## **Communication Model**

Symmetrical Private Channel (or SPC) between each pair of shareholders





Fig I. An example of (3,n)-SS

## Illegal Participant Attack-IP



(3,n)-SS

### **Private Channel Cracking Attack - PCC** $\mathbf{u}_0$

Only to crack t/2 SPCs to obtain S Even if much more than t participants recover S.

 $\mathbf{u}_1$  $\mathbf{u}_4$ S<sub>4</sub> Sz  $\mathbf{u}_3$  $\mathbf{u}_2$ SI s<sub>2</sub> **S** < (3,n)-SS

How to improve the robustness?

### How to thwart IP attack and improve the robustness against PCC attack?

## Existing Countermeasure against IP attack

- Verifiable Secret Sharing
  - verify each share of participant before secret reconstruction
- Participant Authentication
  - verify the identity of participants
- Weakness
  - Individual Verification, Complexity

## Existing ways to improve the robustness against PCC attack

Full-shuffling

each participant needs to exchange a random number with every other participant. m(m-1)/2 random numbers to exchange. Lower bound: m/2 SPCs.

• Partial-shuffling

m random numbers need to be exchanged
lower bound: min{m/2,t} SPCs , 2 SPCs to get a
share

#### Disadvantage: Extra communications



## **Our Objects**

- thwart IP attack and maximize the robustness against PCC attack
- Requirement: No need to exchange extra information  $a_{u_0} \xrightarrow{s} s$



### Analysis of Existing Solutions -Information Theoretical View



### Analysis of Existing Solutions -Information Theoretical View



**Existing Noiseless Channel Model** 

IP



#### **Noisy Channel Model**

Prevention from obtaining  $s_1$  in PCC and IP attack





#### **Noisy Channel Model**

1. If  $|\text{noise}(\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2)| \ge |\mathbf{s}_1|$ , the signal  $\mathbf{s}_1$  is completely covered/perturbed.

- 2. However, normal receiver  $U_2$  cannot obtain the signal  $s_1$  too.
- 3. Without extra interaction, the noise  $\mathbf{r_{12}}$  cannot be removed.

- Original Objects
  - thwart IP attack and maximize the robustness against PCC attack
  - Requirement: No need to exchange extra



• How to

Remove random noise r without extra interaction in recovering the secret s ?

Information Theoretical Requirement





## Randomized Component

- Functionality:
  - Protecting a share from exposure during transimition
  - Capability of secret reconstruction
  - All participants have to join in the secret reconstruction

 $s_i' = f(s_i, U, r_i), i=1,2,...,m$ 

How to construct the function f(.)?

## Randomized Component

- Basic Idea
- If s is a secret value in [0,99] to be hide, we can add a private random number r in[0,9], to obtain a number c=(s+r) mod 100
- Suppose you know c =55, what is the probability to guess s?
- Obviously it is 1/10.

## Randomized Component

•  $g: F_p \times F_p \times F_q \to F_p$  is a function,  $c_i = g(s_k, INF_{I_m}, r_i)$  is called the Randomized Component of the participant  $U_k$ , where  $s_k$  is the share of  $U_k$ ,  $INF_{I_{m}}$  is the public information related to , the group of all m participants in a secret reconstruction,  $r_i$  is a random integer uniformly distributed in  $F_{a}$ .

## Polynomial-based Randomized Component (PRC)

• If m participants,  $\{U_1, U_2, ..., U_m\}$  need to recover the secret  $s = f(0) = a_0 \mod q$ , each participant, e.g.,  $U_i$  constructs the RC as

$$c_{i} = (f(x_{i}) \prod_{v=1, v \neq i}^{m} \frac{-x_{v}}{x_{i} - x_{v}} + r_{i}q) \mod p,$$

p > nq<sup>2</sup> + q, r<sub>i</sub> is uniformly distributed in F<sub>q</sub>.
 p, q are primes.

## Using PRC to protect the share

Given

$$c_{i} = (f(x_{i}) \prod_{v=1, v \neq i}^{m} \frac{-x_{v}}{x_{i} - x_{v}} + r_{i}q) \mod p,$$

An adversary has the probability of 1/q to figure out the share  $f(x_i)$ .

### Secret Reconstruction based PRC

• Each participant, e.g.,  $U_{i_j}$ , ( $1 \le j \le m$ ), computes the secret as

$$s = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{i_j} \mod p\right) \mod q$$



## (t,m,n)-TCSS

 Tightly Coupled Secret Sharing with threshold t, m participants and totally n shareholders.

Set of *n* shareholders:  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$  with respective public information  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ ; Group of *m* participants:  $\{U_k, U_k, \dots, U_k, M \ge t\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}, (m \ge t)$ Parameters: Primes: p,q with  $p > q + nq^2$ ; Polynomial in  $F_{p}$ :  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + ... + a_{i-1} x^{i-1} \mod p$ ,  $a_i \in F_a$ , for  $i = 1, ..., t - 1, a_{i-1} \neq 0, a_0 \in F_a$ ; Secret:  $s = a_a$ ; Algorithms: A. Share Generation D computes and sends share  $s_i = f(x_i)$  to U secretly, for i = 1, 2, ..., n. B. Randomized Component Construction Given  $\{U_{i}, U_{i}, \dots, U_{i_{n}}\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , each participant, e.g.,  $U_{i_i}$ ,  $(1 \le j \le m)$ , constructs the RC,  $c_{i_i}$  and releases it to the others through private channels:  $c_{i_j} = (f(x_{i_j}) \prod_{\nu=l,\nu\neq j}^{m} \frac{-x_{i_{\nu}}}{x_{i_{\nu}} - x_{i_{\nu}}} + r_{i_j}q) \mod p$  $(r_{i_{l}} \in F_{a}, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ C. Secret Reconstruction Each participant, e.g.,  $U_{i_l}$ ,  $(1 \le j \le m)$ , computes the secret as  $s = (\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i_j} \mod p) \mod q$ .

Fig. 1. (t, m, n)-Group oriented SS based on PRC.



## Correctness of (t,m,n)-TCSS

$$(\sum_{i_{j} \in I_{m}} c_{i_{j}} \mod p) \mod q$$
  
=  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} (f(x_{i_{j}})) \prod_{\nu=1, \nu \neq j}^{m} \frac{-x_{i_{\nu}}}{x_{i_{j}} - x_{i_{\nu}}} + r_{i_{j}}q) \mod p \mod q$   
=  $(f(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{i_{j}}q) \mod p \mod q$  (4-1)

$$= (f(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{i_j} q) \mod q$$
(4-2)  
= f(0)

Step (4-1) is equivalent to step (4-2) because of 
$$f(0) \in F_q$$
,  $\sum_{j=1}^m r_{i_j}q \leq \sum_{j=1}^n r_{i_j}q < nqq = nq^2$  and thus  $(f(0) + \sum_{j=1}^m r_{i_j}q) < q + nq^2 < p$ .

# Security Analysis

- An adversary without any valid share, almost has no information about the secret in (t,m,n)-TCSS even if it has up to m-1 PRCs.
  - (lower bound: m/2 SPCs)
- (t-1) Insiders almost obtains no information about the secret even if they conspire in (t,m,n)-TCSS.

## **Properties of (t,m,n)-TCSS**

- Single share
- Without user authentication or share verification
- Tightly Coupled
- Unconditionally secure
- Directly applied to Group Authentication

- the secret can be reconstructed only if each participant has a valid share and releases its valid RC honestly.
- Information rate:
   IR=(size of secret) / (size of share)
   =log q/log p (1/3, 1/2)

## Conclusion

- 2 attacks against (t,n)-SS
- Noisy Channel Solutions
   Information Theoretical View
- Randomized Component
  - Protect shares
  - Bind a participant with all the others
  - Also suitable for other (t,n)-SSs
- (t,m,n)-TCSS
  - Guarantees the secret can be recovered only if all m participants have valid shares and act honestly.

## Thanks!