RESEARCH ARTICLE

Verifiable secret sharing based on the Chinese remainder theorem

Lein Harn¹, Miao Fuyou² and Chin-Chen Chang^{3,4}*

¹ Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering, University of Missouri, Kansas City, MO, U.S.A.

² School of Computer Science and Technology, University of Science & Technology of China, China

³ Department of Information Engineering and Computer Science, Feng Chia University, Taichung 407, Taiwan

⁴ Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Asia University, Taichung 413, Taiwan

ABSTRACT

A (t,n) secret sharing scheme (SS) enables a dealer to divide a secret into *n* shares in such a way that (i) the secret can be recovered successfully with *t* or more than *t* shares, and (ii) the secret cannot be recovered with fewer than *t* shares. A verifiable secret sharing scheme (VSS) has been proposed to allow shareholders to verify that their shares are generated by the dealer consistently without compromising the secrecy of both shares and the secret. So far, there is only one secure Chinese remainder theorem-based VSS using the RSA assumption. We propose a Chinese remainder theorem-based VSS scheme without making any computational assumptions, which is a simple extension of Azimuth–Bloom (t,n) SS. Just like the most well-known Shamir's SS, the proposed VSS is unconditionally secure. We use a linear combination of both the secret and the verification secret to protect the secrecy of both the secret and shares in the verification. In addition, we show that no information is leaked when there are fewer than *t* shares in the secret reconstruction. Copyright © 2013 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEYWORDS

Chinese remainder theorem; secret sharing scheme; verifiable secret sharing; t-threshold consistency

*Correspondence

Chin-Chen Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Asia University, Taichung 413, Taiwan. E-mail: alan3c@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Secret sharing schemes (SS) were originally introduced by both Blakley [1] and Shamir [2] independently in 1979 as a solution for safeguarding cryptographic keys and have been studied extensively in the literature. SS has become one of the most basic tools in cryptographic research. In Shamir's (t,n) SS, a secret *s* is divided into *n* shares by a dealer. The secret is shared among *n* shareholders in such a way that (i) the secret can be reconstructed with any *t* or more than *t* shares, and (ii) the secret cannot be obtained with fewer than *t* shares. Shamir's (t,n) SS is based on polynomial and is unconditionally secure. There are other types of threshold SSs. For example, Blakely's scheme [1] is based on the geometry; Mignotte's scheme [3] and Azimuth–Bloom's scheme [4] are based on the Chinese remainder theorem (CRT).

Shamir's (t, n) SS scheme is based on a linear polynomial and is unconditionally secure. The security of cryptographic schemes can be classified into two types, computational security and unconditional security. Computational security assumes that the adversary has bounded computing power that limits the adversary solving hard mathematical problem, such as factoring a large composite integer into two primes. Unconditional security means that the security holds even if the adversary has unbounded computing power. Research on developing cryptographic schemes with unconditional security has received wide attention recently.

In 1985, Chor et al. [5] presented the notion of verifiable secret sharing scheme (VSS). In a VSS, shareholders are able to verify that their shares are generated by the dealer consistently without compromising the secrecy of both shares and the secret. There are many research papers on the VSS in the literature. According to security assumptions, we can classify VSSs into two different types, schemes are computationally secure and schemes are unconditionally secure. For example, Feldman [6] and Pedersen [7] developed noninteractive VSSs using cryptographic commitments. The security of Feldman's VSS is based on the hardness of solving the discrete logarithm, whereas the privacy of Pedersen's VSS is unconditionally secure, and the correctness of the shares is based on a computational assumption. Benaloh [8] proposed an interactive VSS, and the security is unconditionally secure. Stinson et al. [9] proposed an

unconditionally secure VSS, and later, Patra *et al.* [10] proposed a generalized VSS.

There are many papers on the polynomial-based VSSs, but only a few papers are focused on the CRT-based VSSs. Iftene [11] and Qiong et al. [12] have proposed two CRTbased VSSs. However, Kaya et al. [13] pointed out that both schemes cannot prevent a corrupted dealer to distribute inconsistent shares to shareholders. They have proposed a CRT-based VSS, which uses a range proof technique proposed by Boudot [8]. The security of their VSS is based on the RSA assumption [14]. In addition, in 2009, Sarkar et al. [15] have proposed a CRT-based RSA-threshold cryptography for a mobile ad hoc network, and in 2011, Lu et al. have proposed a secret key distributed storage scheme [16] based on CRT-VSS and trusted computing technology. In this paper, we introduce notions of *t*-threshold range and *t*-threshold consistency. We show that shares generated by a secret selected in the *t*-threshold range satisfy the security requirements of an (t,n) SS. We propose a CRT-based VSS scheme, which is a simple extension of Azimuth-Bloom (t,n) SS. Because Azimuth-Bloom (t,n) SS is a perfect SS (i.e., like Shamir's (t,n) SS in which no information is leaked when there are fewer than t shares), the security of our proposed VSS is also perfectly secure. We use multiple verification secrets to verify the *t*-threshold consistency of shares without revealing the secrecy of both the secret and shares. By examining the revealed sum and difference of the secret and verification secrets, we can conclude that shares are generated by the secret in the *t*-threshold range. The proposed VSS is unconditionally secure, and the secret reconstruction is the same as the Azimuth-Bloom's SS that is perfectly secret.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some preliminaries including the CRT, Mignotte's and Azimuth–Bloom's (t,n) SSs based on the CRT. In Section 3, we introduce the model of our proposed VSS including definitions, entities, informal model, and properties. Our VSS is introduced in Section 4. In Section 5, we include security analysis and performance. Conclusion is given in Section 6.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Chinese remainder theorem [17]

Given following system of equations as

$$x = s_1 \mod p_1;$$

$$x = s_2 \mod p_2;$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x = s_t \mod p_t,$$

there is one unique solution as $x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \cdot s_i \mod N$, where $\frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \mod p_i = 1$, and $N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_i$, if all moduli are pairwise coprime (i.e., $gcd(p_i, p_j) = 1$, for every $i \neq j$).

2.2. Review of Mignotte's (t, n) SS

Share generation

A sequence consisting of pairwise coprime positive integers, $p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_n$, with $p_{n-t+2} \cdot ... \cdot p_n < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_t$, where p_i is the public information associated with each shareholder, U_i . For this given sequence, the dealer chooses the secret s as an integer in the set $Z_{p_{n-t+2} \cdots p_n, p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_t}$ (i.e., $Z_{p_{n-t+2} \cdots p_n, p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_t}$) is referred to the range $(p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot ... \cdot p_n, p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_t)$). We call the range, $Z_{p_{n-t+2} \cdots p_n, p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_t}$, the *t*-threshold range, as shown in Figure 1.

Share for the shareholder, U_i , is generated as $s_i = s \mod p_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n. s_i is sent to shareholder, U_i , secretly.

Remark 1. The numbers in the *t*-threshold range, $Z_{p_{n-t+2}\cdots p_n,p_1,p_2\cdots p_t}$, are integers upper bounded by $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_t$, which is the smallest product of any *t* moduli, and lower bounded by $p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot \cdots \cdot p_n$, which is the largest product of any t-1 moduli. The secret, *s*, selected in this range can ensure that (i) the secret can be recovered with any *t* or more than *t* shares (i.e., the product of their moduli must be either equal to or larger than $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_t$), and (ii) the secret cannot be obtained with fewer than *t* shares (i.e., the product of their moduli must be either equal to or smaller than $p_{n-t+2} \cdot \cdots \cdot p_n$). Thus, the secret of a (t,n)threshold SS should be selected from the *t*-threshold range.

Secret reconstruction

Given *t* distinct shares, for example, $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_t\}$, the secret *s* can be reconstructed by solving the following system of equations as

$$x = s_1 \mod p_1;$$

$$x = s_2 \mod p_2;$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x = s_t \mod p_t.$$

Using the standard CRT, a unique solution x is given as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \cdot s_i \mod N, \quad \text{where} \quad N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_i, \text{ and}$$
$$\frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \mod p_i = 1.$$

We want to point out that Mignotte's (t,n) threshold SS is not a perfect SS because information of the secret can be leaked with fewer than t shares.

2.3. Review of Azimuth-Bloom (t,n) SS [4]

Share generation

In Azimuth–Bloom (t,n) SS, the dealer selects p_0 and a sequence of pairwise coprime positive integers, $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_n$, such that $p_0 \cdot p_{n-t+2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n < p_1 \cdot p_2$ $\ldots \cdot p_t$, and $gcd(p_0,p_i)=1, i=1, 2, \ldots, n$, where p_i is the public information associated with each shareholder, U_i . For this given sequence, the dealer chooses the



Figure 1. The t-threshold range

secret *s* as an integer in the set Z_{p_0} . The dealer selects an integer, α , such that $s + \alpha p_0 \in Z_{p_{n-t+2}:p_{n-t+3}:\ldots:p_n,p_1:p_2:\ldots:p_t}$. We want to point out that the value, $s + \alpha p_0$, needs to be in the *t*-threshold range, $Z_{p_{n-t+2}:p_{n-t+3}:\ldots:p_n,p_1:p_2:\ldots:p_t}$; otherwise, the value, $s + \alpha p_0$, can be obtained with fewer than *t* shares. However, in the original paper [4], it specifies that the value, $s + \alpha p_0$, is in the set, $Z_{p_1:p_2:\ldots:p_t}$. This range is different from the *t*-threshold range. In other words, if $s + \alpha p_0$ is selected to be smaller than the lower bound of the *t*-threshold range (i.e., but it is still in the set $Z_{p_1:p_2:\ldots:p_t}$), then the value, $s + \alpha p_0$, can be obtained with fewer than *t* shares. It is obvious that this situation violates one of the security requirements of the (t,n) SS.

Share for the shareholder, U_i , is generated as $s_i = s + \alpha p_0 \mod p_i$, and s_i is sent to shareholder, U_i , secretly, for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Secret reconstruction

Given a subset of *t* distinct shares, for example, $\{s_1, s_2, s_t\}$, the secret *s* can be reconstructed by solving the following system of equations as

$$x = s_1 \mod p_1;$$

$$x = s_2 \mod p_2;$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x = s_t \mod p_t.$$

Using the standard CRT, a unique solution *x* is given as $x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \cdot s_i \mod N$, where $N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$, and $\frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \mod p_i = 1$. Then, the secret *s* can be recovered by

Azimuth–Bloom (t,n) SS is a perfect SS because no information is leaked when there are fewer than *t* shares. Interest readers can refer to the original paper [4] for detailed discussion. Azimuth–Bloom's secret reconstruction scheme can be generalized to take more than *t* shares. For example, when there are *j* (i.e., $t < j \le n$) shareholders with their shares, $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_j\}$, participated in the secret reconstruction, the secret, *s*, can be reconstructed using the standard CRT to find a unique solution *x* for the system of *j* equations.

3. MODELS OF PROPOSED VSS

3.1. Definitions

computing $s = x \mod p_0$.

A VSS enables shareholders to verify that their shares of an (t,n) SS are generated by the dealer consistently. In other words, without revealing the secret and the shares,

shareholders can verify that any subset of t or more than t shares defines the same secret, but any subset of fewer than t shares cannot define the same secret. Benaloh [1] presented a notion of *t*-consistency and uses it to define the objective of a VSS. We include the notion here.

Definition 1: *t*-consistency. A set of *n* shares is said to be *t*-consistent if any subset of *t* of the *n* shares defines the same secret.

Benaloh observed that the shares in Shamir's (t,n) SS are t-consistent if and only if the interpolation of the nshares yields a polynomial of degree at most t-1. This implies that if the interpolating polynomial of *n* shares has degree at most t-1, then all shares are t-consistent. However, the property of t-consistency does not guarantee that all shares satisfy the security requirements of an (t,n) SS. For example, if the interpolating polynomial of n shares has degree t-2, then all shares are both (t-1)-consistent and t-consistent. The polynomial having degree t-2 can be reconstructed with only t-1 shares (i.e., which is less than the threshold). Similarly, if shares of a CRT-based SS are generated by a secret selected in the (t-1)-threshold range, then all shares are both (t-1)-consistent and tconsistent. In other words, the secret can be recovered with only t-1 shares. This situation violates one of the security requirements of an (t,n) SS. That is, the secret cannot be obtained with fewer than t shares. Thus, Benaloh's t-consistency cannot satisfy the security requirement of an (t,n) SS. We modify the definition of *t*-consistency and introduce a new notion, called t-threshold consistency, which can satisfy the security requirements of an (t,n) SS.

Definition 2: *t*-threshold consistency. A set of n shares are said to be t-threshold consistent (i.e., t < n) if (i) any subset of t or more than t out of the n shares defines the same secret, and (ii) any subset of fewer than t out of the n shares cannot define the same secret.

It is obvious that, in a CRT-based SS, shares generated by a secret selected in the *t*-threshold range are *t*-threshold consistent. Shares with the property of *t*-threshold consistency satisfy the security requirements of an (t,n) SS. Verifying the *t*-threshold consistency of shares is the objective of our proposed VSS.

3.2. Entities

In our VSS, the dealer is the prover, and all shareholders are the verifiers. The verifiers want to verify that their shares are generated by a secret selected in the *t*-threshold range without compromising the secrecy of their shares and the secret. In our proposed VSS, we do not consider the situation when the dealer (the prover) colludes with a shareholder (the verifier). This is because if dealer wants to collude with any shareholder, the dealer can just reveal the secret to the shareholder directly. VSS cannot prevent this type of attack. Furthermore, we do not consider the situation when any verifier acts dishonestly in the verification. If any shareholder acts dishonestly by releasing an invalid value in the verification, our proposed VSS can detect the existence of any inconsistent share, and the dishonest shareholder gains no advantage over other honest shareholders. Thus, in our proposed VSS, we assume that all shareholders (verifiers) act honestly to verify the *t*-threshold consistency of their shares.

3.3. Informal model of our proposed VSS

We assume that there are *n* shareholders, U_i , i for i = 1, 2, ..., n, participated in the VSS. These shareholders want to make sure that their shares, s_i , i for i = 1, 2, ..., m, obtained from the dealer are *t*-threshold consistent. In our proposed VSS, each shareholder computes, $c_i = iF(s_i)$, as his/her released value, where *F* is a public function. The algorithm, *VSS*, allows shareholders to verify that all shares are *t*threshold consistent. That is,

 $\begin{aligned} \text{VSS}\{\forall c_i = F(s_i) | i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 \rightarrow \text{exists inconsistent shares}_i; \\ 1 \rightarrow \text{all shares are t-threshold consistent.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$

Our proposed VSS is different from most VSSs, which verify one share at a time; but our VSS verifies all shares at once. There are only two possible outcomes of our proposed VSS, that are, either all shares are *t*-threshold consistent or there are inconsistent shares. Thus, our proposed VSS is sufficient if all shares are *t*-threshold consistent. However, if there are inconsistent shares, additional VSS is needed to identify inconsistent shares. Our proposed VSS can be used as a preprocess before applying other VSS to identify invalid shares.

3.4. Properties

We propose a non-interactive VSS with the following properties:

- *Correctness.* The outcome of our proposed VSS is positive if all shares are *t*-threshold consistent; otherwise, there are inconsistent shares.
- *Efficiency.* If the outcome of the proposed scheme is negative, the proposed VSS can only be used as a preprocess of other VSS to identify inconsistent shares. Thus, our proposed VSS must be efficient.
- *Security*. The VSS must be able to protect the secrecy of both shares and the secret in the verification.

4. PROPOSED VSS

4.1. Outline of our design

Our VSS is based on the Azimuth-Bloom's SS. We want to prove that the shares, s_i , i = 1, 2, ..., n, generated by the dealer correspond to the secret, $A = s + \alpha p_0$, which is selected from the *t*-threshold range, $Z_{p_{n-t+2}:p_{n-t+3}\cdots:p_n,p_1:p_2\cdots:p_t}$. To achieve this objective, we use the *verification secrets*, B_i , i =1.2, ..., k. It is obvious that if the verification secret, B_i , is in the *t*-threshold range and shareholders can show that $A + B_i$ is also in the *t*-threshold range, then shareholders can conclude $A < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$ (i.e., A is smaller than the upper bound of the *t*-threshold range). Similarly, if the verification secret, B_i , is in the *t*-threshold range and shareholders can show that $A - B_i$ is in the *t*-threshold range, then shareholders can conclude $p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n < A$ (i.e., A is larger than the lower bound of the t-threshold range). In summary, shareholders can obtain $p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot \ldots \cdot$ $p_n < A < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$. There is one remaining problem needed to be overcome in this approach. If the verification secret, B_i , is known to shareholders, shareholders can also obtain the secret, A. VSS should protect the secrecy of both shares and the secret. In our proposed scheme, we use the linear combination to protect the secrecy.

Remark 2. If both $A + B_i \mod N$ where $N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n$, and B_i are in the *t*-threshold range, then either (i) Ais smaller than the upper bound of the *t*-threshold range (i.e., $A < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$)., or (ii) A is larger than the upper bound of the *t*-threshold range (i.e., $A > p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$). However, verifiers can distinguish between these two cases easily. If $A < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$, then the solution of CRT computation using any t + 1 out of n shares of $A + B_i$. is smaller than the upper bound of the *t*-threshold range (i.e., $A + B_i < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$); otherwise, if $A > p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$, then the solution of CRT computation using any t + 1 out of n shares of $A + B_i$ is larger than the upper bound of the *t*-threshold range (i.e., $A + B_i > p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$).

4.2. Proposed VSS

The proposed VSS is illustrated in Figure 2.

Share generation

Just like the Azimuth–Bloom (t,n) SS, the dealer selects an integer p_0 and a sequence of pairwise coprime positive integers, $p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_n$, such that $p_0 \cdot p_{n-t+2} \cdot ... \cdot p_n$ $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_t$, where p_i is the public information associated with each shareholder, U_i . For this given sequence, the dealer chooses the secret *s* as a random integer in the set Z_{p_0} . The dealer selects an integer, α , such that $A = s + \alpha p_0 \in Z_{p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot ... \cdot p_n, p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_t}$. Share for the shareholder, U_i , is generated as $s_i = s + \alpha p_0 \mod p_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n. s_i$ is sent to shareholder, U_i , secretly.

Shares generation

- Step 1. Dealer selects n+1 positive integers, $p_0 < p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_n$, satisfying (a) $GCD(p_i, p_j) = 1, \forall i \neq j$; and (b) $p_0 \cdot p_{n-t+2} \cdot ... \cdot p_n < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_t$.
- Step 2. Dealer selects the secret s in the set Z_{p_0} . The dealer selects an integer, α , such that $A = s + \alpha p_0 \in Z_{p_{n-i+2} \cdots p_n, p_1 \cdots p_i}$. Share for the shareholder, U_i , is generated as $s_i = s + \alpha p_0 \mod p_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$. s_i is sent to shareholder, U_i , secretly.
- Step 3. Dealer selects k (say k = 100) verification secrets, B_i , in $Z_{p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdots \cdot p_n, p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots \cdot p_t}$ such that $A + B_i < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_t$ and $A > B_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The dealer generates shares, $s_{l,i} = B_i \mod p_l, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, of verification secrets and distributes them to each shareholder, U_l . At the end of this phase, each shareholder has received k + 1 shares from the dealer.

Shares verification

- Step 1. All shareholders work together to randomly determine a subset *B* (say |B| = 50) of shares corresponding to the verification secrets. Each shareholder needs to reveal shares of this subset *B*. According to the CRT, using these released shares, shareholders can recover the verification secrets corresponding to the subset *B*. Shareholders can verify whether each recovered verification secret is in the *t*-threshold range. If all recovered verification secrets are in the *t*-threshold range, then continue.
- Step 2. Shareholders work together again to divide "unopened" verification secrets into two disjoint subsets, say $\forall B_i \in$ the first subset, and $\forall B_j \in$ the second subset ($i \neq j$), and to reveal the additive sums and the differences of shares of the secret, *A*, with respect to each verification secret (i.e., for all B_i and B_j), respectively.
- Step 3. Using CRT on these released values, shareholders can recover both $A + B_i$ and $A B_j$. Shareholders can verify whether $A + B_i < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_t$ and $0 < A B_j < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_t p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot ... \cdot p_n$. If the verification is passed for all veri-

fication secrets, shareholders can conclude that their shares corresponding to the secret, A, are t-threshold consistent.

Secret reconstruction

Given t distinct shares, for example, $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t\}$, the secret s is reconstructed by using the standard CRT as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \cdot s_i \mod N, \text{ where } N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_t, \text{ and } \frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \mod p_i = 1. \text{ Then, by computing } x \mod p_0, \text{ the secret } s \text{ can be}$$

recovered.

Figure 2. Proposed verifiable secret sharing scheme.

In addition, the dealer selects *k* (say k = 100) verification secrets, B_i , in $Z_{p_{n-l+2}:p_{n-l+3}:...:p_n,p_1:p_2:...:p_l}$ such that $A + B_i < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_l$ and $A > B_i$, for i = 1, 2, ..., k. The dealer generates shares, $s_{l,i} = B_i \mod p_l$, of verification secrets, $B_i, i = 1, 2, ..., k$, and distributes them to each shareholder, U_l . At the end of this phase, each shareholder has received k + 1shares from the dealer.

Shares verification

All shareholders work together to randomly determine a subset B (say |B| = 50) of shares corresponding to the verification secrets. Each shareholder needs to reveal shares of this subset B. According to the CRT, using these released shares, shareholders can recover the verification secrets

corresponding to the subset *B*. Shareholders can verify whether each recovered verification secret is in the *t*-threshold range. If all recovered verification secrets are in the *t*-threshold range, shareholders can conclude that it is most likely that all "*unopened*" verification secrets are also in the *t*-threshold range.

Shareholders work together again to divide "unopened" verification secrets into two subsets, say $\forall B_i \in$ the first subset, and $\forall B_j \in$ the second subset $(i \neq j)$, and to reveal the additive sums and the differences of shares of the secret, A, with respect to each verification secret (i.e., for all B_i and B_j), respectively. According to the CRT, using these released values, shareholders can recover both $A + B_i$ and $A - B_j$. Shareholders can verify whether $A + B_i < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$ and $0 < A - B_j < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t - p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n$, for

 $\forall i, j \in$ subsets of the unopened verification secrets. If all verifications are passed, shareholders can conclude that their shares corresponding to the secret, *A*, are *t*-threshold consistent.

Theorem 1.

If $A + B_i < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$ and $0 < A - B_j < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t - p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n$, for $\forall i, j \in$ subsets of the unopened verification secrets, *the shares are t-threshold consistent; otherwise, there are inconsistent shares.*

Proof.

If all shares are generated consistently by the dealer and shareholders act honestly to compute the additive sum of shares, $s_l + s_{i,l}$, l = 1, 2, ..., n, and the difference of shares, $s_l - s_{i,l}, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$, they can recover both $A + B_i$ and $A - B_i$, respectively, from system of equations of shares using the CRT. In the earlier steps of the VSS, shareholders have already verified that all "unopened" verification secrets are in the *t*-threshold range. Thus, they obtain $p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n < B_i < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t.$ Furthermore, if $A + B_i < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$, $\forall B_i \in$ the first subset, shareholders can conclude $A < p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$, with very high probability. Similarly, if $A - B_i > 0, \forall B_i \in$ the second subset, shareholders can also conclude $p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n$ A. In summary, they obtain $p_{n-t+2} \cdot p_{n-t+3} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n < A$ $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t$. Therefore, shares of the secret, A, are tthreshold consistent. Otherwise, there are inconsistent shares.

Secret reconstruction

This process is the same as the Azimuth–Bloom (t,n) SS. Given *t* distinct shares, for example, $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_t\}$, the secret *s* is reconstructed by solving the following system of equations as

$$x = s_1 \mod p_1;$$

$$x = s_2 \mod p_2;$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x = s_t \mod p_t.$$

Using the standard CRT, a unique solution *x* is given as $x = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \cdot s_i \mod N, \quad \text{where} \quad N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_i, \text{ and}$

 $\frac{N}{p_i} \cdot y_i \mod p_i = 1$. Then, by computing $x \mod p_0$, the secret *s* can be recovered.

5. SECURITY ANALYSIS AND PERFORMANCE

5.1. Security analysis of the shares verification

In the VSS, the released values are $s_l + s_{i,l}$, and $s_l - s_{j,l}$, for l = 1, 2, ..., n. Because both $s_{i,l}$ and $s_{i,l}$ are unopened shares,

it is computationally impossible to obtain the share, s_i , from the released values. Furthermore, it is computationally impossible to obtain the secret, A, from the recovered values, $A + B_i$ and $A - B_j$, because both B_i and B_j are unopened verification secrets. The security of this verification does not depend on any computational assumption and it is unconditionally secure.

5.2. Security analysis of the secret reconstruction

It is obvious that the secret can be successfully reconstructed if all shareholders act honestly to release their shares. Just like the Azimuth–Bloom (t,n) SS [4], this proposed scheme is a perfect SS because no information is leaked when there are fewer than *t* shares in the secret reconstruction. Let us assume that t-1 shareholders, for example, $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_{t-1}\}$ with their shares $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{t-1}\}$, work together to obtain $A' = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{N'}{p_i} \cdot y_i \cdot s_i \mod N'$, where $N' = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{t-1}$, and $\frac{N'}{p_i} \cdot y_i \mod p_i = 1$.

mod*N*', where $N' = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{t-1}$, and $\frac{N'}{p_i} \cdot y_i \mod p_i = 1$. However, the real secret, *A*, is in the *t*-threshold range. According to the CRT, the real secret, *A*, is related to the recovered value, *A*', in the following way as $A = A' + \lambda N'$. By properly shifting *A*' to some values in the *t*-threshold range as $A' + \lambda N'$ may obtain the secret. There are $\frac{p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_t}{p' \cdot 1 p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{t-1}} > p_0$ values of λ , which can shift *A*' into the *t*threshold range; but, there is only one exact value of λ , which shifts *A*' to the real secret, *A*. Because the collection of possible λ is greater than the collection of possible secret *s*, no useful information is leaked from the collection of any t - 1 shares.

Let us examine the other possibility that t - 1 shareholders, for example, $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{t-1}\}$, can determine a parameter, β , to shift the secret, A, in the *t*-threshold range to a secret in the range, $Z_{p_{n-t+2}:p_{n-t+3}\cdots:p_n}$, as, $A - \beta p_0$. Then, these t-1shareholders can recover the secret by themselves. However, there are $\left\lfloor \frac{p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_t - p_{n-t+2}:p_{n-t+3}\cdots:p_n}{p_0} \right\rfloor$ possible values of β corresponding to the secret, A, in the *t*-threshold range; but, there are only $\left\lfloor \frac{p_{n-t+2}:p_{n-t+3}\cdots:p_n}{p_0} \right\rfloor$ values of β that can shift the secret to some secret in $Z_{p_{n-t+2}:p_{n-t+3}\cdots:p_n}$. The probability of figuring out a correct β is $\frac{p_{n-t+2}:p_{n-t+3}\cdots:p_n}{p_1:p_2:\cdots:p_t-p_{n-t+2}:p_{n-t+3}\cdots:p_n} < \frac{1}{p_0}$. Thus, the probability of correctly guessing β is smaller than the probability of guessing the secret s. The security of the secret reconstruction is the same as the Azimuth–Bloom's SS, which is perfectly secure.

5.3. Performance

The proposed VSS is a simple modification of the Azimuth–Bloom's SS, which is a classical SS. In share generation, the dealer selects a secret and k additional verification secrets, and generates shares for shareholders. In shares verification, shareholders work together to open

a subset of verification secrets and to verify whether these verification secrets are in the *t*-threshold range. Then, shareholders reveal the additive sum and the difference of shares of the secret with respect to shares of different unopened verification secret, respectively. There is no secure channel needed among shareholders. The most time-consuming computation in the VSS is to reconstruct 50 verification secrets using the CRT. To reconstruct each verification secret, each shareholder, U_l , uses his share, s_l , *i*, of the verification secret to compute $c_l = \frac{N}{p_l} \cdot y_l \cdot s_{l,i} \mod N$, where $N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n$, and $\frac{N}{p_l} \cdot y_l \mod p_l = 1$. After receiving all c_l 's from other shareholders, the verification

secret can be obtained as $x = \sum_{l=1}^{n} c_l \mod N$. We should

point out that the value, y_i , of each shareholder only needs to be computed one time, and it can be computed off-line. The value, y_l , can be reused for reconstructing other verification secrets. In addition, the size of moduli in the CRT does not need to be as large as the module used in most public key algorithms. Furthermore, there is no modulo exponentiation in the CRT. Therefore, the proposed VSS is very efficient in terms of computation and communication.

Our proposed VSS is different from most VSSs, which verify one share at a time; but our proposed VSS verifies all shares at once. Therefore, our proposed VSS is very efficient. There are only two possible outcomes of our proposed VSS, that are, either all shares are *t*-threshold consistent or there are inconsistent shares. Thus, the proposed VSS is sufficient if all shares are *t*-threshold consistent. However, if there are inconsistent shares, other VSS is needed to identify inconsistent shares.

6. CONCLUSION

We proposed a CRT-based VSS, which is a simple extension of the Azimuth–Bloom's SS. Just like the Azimuth–Bloom's SS, the proposed VSS is perfectly secure. We use multiple verification secrets to verify the *t*-threshold consistency of shares without revealing the secrecy of both the secret and shares. In the security analysis, we show that shares and the secret are protected unconditionally in the verification process. In addition, no information is leaked when there are fewer than *t* shares in the secret reconstruction.

REFERENCES

- Blakley GR. "Safeguarding cryptographic keys," in Proceedings of AFIPS'79 Nat. Computer Conf., vol. 48, AFIPS Press, 1979; 313–317.
- Shamir A. "How to share a secret," Commun. Assoc. Comp. Mach. 1979, 22(11): 612–613.
- Mignotte M. "How to share a secret," in Cryptography-Proceedings of the Workshop on Cryptography, Lecture

Notes in Computer Science, vol. 149, Springer-Verlag, 1983; 371–375.

- Asmuth CA and Bloom J. "A modular approach to key safeguarding," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 1983; **IT-29**(2): 208–210.
- Chor B, Goldwasser S, Micali S, and Awerbuch B. "Verifiable secret sharing and achieving simultaneity in the presence of faults," in *Proceedings of the 26th IEEE Symposium on the Foundations of Computer Science.* IEEE Press, 1985; 383–395.
- Feldman P. "A practical scheme for non-interactive verifiable secret sharing," in *Proceedings of the 28th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 27-29 October, IEEE Computer Society, Los Angeles, California, 1987; 427–437.
- Pedersen TP. "Non-interactive and informationtheoretic secure verifiable secret sharing," in *Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO '91*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 576, Springer-Verlag, 1992; 129–140.
- Benaloh JC. "Secret sharing homomorphisms: keeping shares of a secret secret," in *Advances in Cryptology -CRYPTO* '86, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 263, Springer-Verlag, 1987; 251–260.
- Stinson DR, Wei R. "Unconditionally secure proactive SS with combinatorial structures," in *Proceedings of SAC* '99, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1758, Springer-Verlag, 2000; 200–214.
- Patra A, Choudhary A, Rangan CP. "Efficient statistical asynchronous verifiable secret sharing with optimal resilience, in *Proceedings of ICITS '09*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5973, Springer-Verlag, 2010; 74–92.
- Iftene S. "Secret sharing schemes with applications in security protocols," Technical report, University Alexandru Ioan Cuza of Iasi, Faculty of Computer Science, 2007.
- Qiong L, Zhifang W, Xiamu N, Shenghe S. "A noninteractive modular verifiable secret sharing scheme," In Proceedings of ICCCAS 2005: International Conference on Communications, Circuits and Systems, IEEE, Los Alamitos, 2005; 84–87.
- Kaya K, Selcuk AA. "A verifiable secret sharing scheme based on the Chinese Remainder Theorem, " in Advances in Cryptology - INDOCRYPT '08. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2008; 5365:414–425.
- Rivest R, Shamir A, Adleman L. "A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems," *Commun. Assoc. Comp. Mach.*, 1978; 21(2), 120–126.
- 15. Sarkar S, Kisku B, Misra S, Obaidat MS. "Chinese Remainder Theorem-based RSA-threshold cryptography in MANET using verifiable secret sharing scheme," in *Proc. of the WiMob* 2009 - 5th IEEE

International Conference on Wireless and Mobile Computing Networking and Communication, 2009; 258–262.

 Lu Q, Xiong Y, Huang W, Gong X, Miao F. "A distributed ECC-DSS authentication scheme based on CRT-VSS and trusted computing in MANET," in *Proc. of the 11th IEEE Int. Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications,* 2012; 656–665.

17. Cohen H. A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory, 4th ed., ser. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 2000.