

Bloch-Ogus theory and the coniveau spectral sequence.

(1)

2017.11.11

§1. A little K-theory

X : smooth algebraic variety over a field k .

$M(X)$: category of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$M(X) \xrightarrow{\text{Quillen's } Q\text{-construction}} QM(X) \xrightarrow{\text{classifying space}} BQM(X) \xrightarrow{\text{homotopy groups}} \pi_{*+1}(BQM(X)) =: K'_*(X)$

$P(X)$: category of locally free coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules.

the same approach gives $K'_*(X)$

$Y \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow U : X \setminus Y$

$M_Y(X)$: category of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules whose supports are contained in Y

$BQM_Y(X)$ gives $K'_{Y,*}(X)$ K-theory with support

properties:

(i). $BQM_Y(X) \rightarrow BQM(X) \rightarrow BQM(U)$ is a fibration sequence

so that one has long exact sequence

$$\cdots K'_{Y,m}(X) \rightarrow K'_m(X) \rightarrow K'_m(U) \rightarrow K'_{Y,m-1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots$$

$$\cdots \rightarrow K'_{Y,0}(X) \rightarrow K'_0(X) \rightarrow K'_0(U) \rightarrow 0$$

(ii). X smooth $\Rightarrow BQP(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} BQM(X)$ homotopy equivalence

so $K'_*(X) \cong K'_*(X)$ isomorphism

(iii). $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ closed immersion, then $i_*: BQM(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} BQM_Y(X)$ homotopy equivalence (comes from Quillen's "dévissage" theorem)

so $K'_*(Y) \cong K'_{Y,*}(X)$.

$M_p(X)$: category of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules whose supports have $\text{codim} \geq p$ (2)

we have a filtration: $M(X) = M_0(X) \supset M_1(X) \supset M_2(X) \supset \dots$

Quillen's Localization theory \Rightarrow Long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow K_i(M_{p+1}) \rightarrow K_i(M_p) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^p} K_i(k(x)) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(M_{p+1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

where X^p is the set of points of X of $\text{codim} p$

This Long exact sequence gives us an exact couple which induces a spectral sequence

$$Z_1^{p,q} := \coprod_{x \in X^p} K_{-p-q}(k(x))$$

$$\text{differential is given by } d_1: \coprod_{x \in X^p} K_i(k(x)) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(M_{p+1}) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^{p+1}} K_{i-1}(k(x))$$

Question: Z_2 ?

Prop A. TFAE

(i). For every $p \geq 0$, the inclusion $M_{p+1}(X) \rightarrow M_p(X)$ induces zero maps on K -groups

(ii). For every q , the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K'_q(X) \xrightarrow{e} \coprod_{x \in X^0} K_q(k(x)) \xrightarrow{d_1} \coprod_{x \in X^1} K_{q-1}(k(x)) \xrightarrow{d_2} \dots \xrightarrow{d_r} \coprod_{x \in X^r} K_0(k(x)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Here e is the pull back map w.r.t. $i_x: \text{spec } k(x) \rightarrow X$.

(iii) For all q , $Z_2^{p,q}(X) = 0$ if $p \neq 0$, and the

edge homomorphism $K'_q(X) \rightarrow Z_2^{0,q}(X)$ is an isomorphism.

proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $M_{p+1}(X) \rightarrow M_p(X)$ induces zero maps on k -groups, $K_{i-1}(M_{p+1}) \rightarrow \coprod_{X \in X^{p+1}} K_{i-1}(k(X))$ is injective

So $\ker d_i = \ker \left(\coprod_{X \in X^p} K_i(k(X)) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(M_{p+1}) \right)$

On the other hand, $\coprod_{X \in X^{p+1}} K_{i+1}(k(X)) \rightarrow K_i(M_p)$ is surjective

So $\text{Im } d_i = \text{Im} \left(K_i(M_p) \rightarrow \coprod_{X \in X^p} K_i(k(X)) \right)$
 $= \ker \left(\coprod_{X \in X^p} K_i(k(X)) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(M_{p+1}) \right)$

Therefore $\ker d_i = \text{Im } d_i$, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K'_q(X) \rightarrow \coprod_{X \in X^0} K_q(k(X)) \xrightarrow{d_1} \coprod_{X \in X^1} K_{q-1}(k(X)) \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots \rightarrow \coprod_{X \in X^q} K_0(k(X))$$

is exact.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) $Z_2^{p,q} = \frac{\ker \left(d_1 : \coprod_{X \in X^p} K_{-p,q}(k(X)) \rightarrow \coprod_{X \in X^{p+1}} K_{-p,q-1}(k(X)) \right)}{\text{Im} \left(d_1 : \coprod_{X \in X^{p-1}} K_{-p,q+1}(k(X)) \rightarrow \coprod_{X \in X^p} K_{-p,q}(k(X)) \right)}$

So it is clear that if $p > 0$, $Z_2^{p,q} = 0$

if $p = 0$, $Z_2^{0,q} \cong K_{-q}'(X)$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). **Induction** on p , let p be the ~~first~~ ^{greatest} one such that $M_p \rightarrow M_{p-1}$ doesn't induce zero maps on k -groups.

say $K_i(M_p) \rightarrow K_i(M_{p-1})$ is not zero map.
 clearly $p \leq d$ where $d = \dim(X)$.

$$\text{Im} \left(\coprod_{X \in X^{p+1}} K_{i+1}(k(X)) \rightarrow K_i(M_p) \right) = \ker \left(K_i(M_p) \rightarrow K_i(M_{p-1}) \right) \subsetneq K_i(M_p)$$

but $\ker \left(K_i(M_p) \rightarrow \coprod_{X \in X^p} K_i(k(X)) \right) = \text{Im} \left(K_i(M_{p+1}) \rightarrow K_i(M_p) \right) = 0$
 by induction.

So, in this case.

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } d_1 &\subsetneq \text{Im} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X^p} k_i(k(x)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} k_i(k(x)) \right) \\ &= \text{ker} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X^p} k_i(k(x)) \rightarrow k_{i-1}(M_{p+1}) \right) \\ &\subseteq \text{ker } d_1 \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction.

□

prop B. Let k'_q be the sheaf on X associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto k'_q(U)$. Assume that $\text{Spec}(O_{X,x})$ satisfies the equivalent conditions in prop A for all $x \in X$. Then there is a canonical iso $Z_2^{p,q}(X) \cong H^p(X, k'_q)$

proof. We have an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow k'_q \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^0} (i_x)_* k_{-q}(k(x)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^1} (i_x)_* k_{-q-1}(k(x)) \rightarrow$$

...

Since the sheaves $(i_x)_* k_{-q}(k(x))$ are flasque this is a flasque resolution of k'_q

$$\text{So } Z_2^{p,q}(X) \cong H^p(X, k'_q)$$

Cor. $Z_2^{p,-p}(X) \cong H^p(X, k'_{op})$ but $Z_2^{p,-p}$ is the cokernel of

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X^{p+1}} k_1(k(x)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} k_0(k(x))$$

which is isomorphic to $CH^p(X)$. So $H^p(X, k'_{op}) \cong CH^p(X)$

Conj (Gersten) The equivalent conditions in prop A are satisfied for the spectrum of any regular local ring.

Thm (Quillen) Gersten's conj is true for $\text{Spec}(O_{X,x})$ where X is a smooth variety / k .

§2 Bloch-Ogus theory:

(5)

K'_* "like" homology.

K_* "like" cohomology.

X smooth, $K_{Y,*}^{\circ}(X) \cong K'_*(Y)$ "like" Poincaré duality

Bloch-Ogus want to generalize §1 to general "Cohomology-homology" theory.

(2.1) Definition. Let \mathcal{V} be a category of algebraic scheme of finite type over k . Let \mathcal{V}^* be the category whose objects are closed immersions $Y \hookrightarrow X$ and whose morphisms are Cartesian squares.

$$f_Y \hookrightarrow f_X : (Y \hookrightarrow X) \rightarrow (Y' \hookrightarrow X') : \begin{array}{ccc} Y \hookrightarrow X & & \\ f_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow f_X \\ Y' \hookrightarrow X' & & \end{array}$$

A twisted cohomology theory with supports is a sequence (indexed by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$) of contravariant functors $\mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow$ (graded abelian groups)

$$(Y \hookrightarrow X) \mapsto \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H_Y^i(X, n).$$

Satisfying the following axioms:

(2.1.1). For $Z \subseteq Y \subseteq X$, there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_Z^i(X, n) \rightarrow H_Y^i(X, n) \rightarrow H_{Y-Z}^i(X-Z, n) \rightarrow H_Z^{i+1}(X, n) \rightarrow \cdots$$

(2.1.2). If $f: (Y \hookrightarrow X) \rightarrow (Y' \hookrightarrow X')$ and $g: (Z \hookrightarrow Y) \rightarrow (Z' \hookrightarrow Y')$ are arrows in \mathcal{V}^* , and h, k are the induced arrow

$$h: (Z \hookrightarrow X) \rightarrow (Z' \hookrightarrow X'), \quad k: (Y-Z \hookrightarrow X-Z) \rightarrow (Y'-Z' \hookrightarrow X'-Z')$$

then the following long exact sequences are commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \rightarrow & H_Z^i(X, n) & \rightarrow & H_Y^i(X, n) & \rightarrow & H_{Y-Z}^i(X-Z, n) & \rightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow H^*(h) & & \uparrow H^*(f) & & \uparrow H^*(k) & & \\
 \dots & \rightarrow & H_{Z'}^i(X', n) & \rightarrow & H_{Y'}^i(X', n) & \rightarrow & H_{Y'-Z'}^i(X'-Z', n) & \rightarrow & \dots
 \end{array}$$

(2.1.3). If $Z \hookrightarrow X \in \text{ob } \mathcal{V}^*$ and if $U \hookrightarrow X$ is open in X which contains Z , the map $H_Z^i(X, n) \rightarrow H_Z^i(U, n)$ is an isomorphism.

(2.2) Definition. Let \mathcal{V}_* be the category with $\text{ob } \mathcal{V}_* = \text{ob } \mathcal{V}$ but whose arrows consist only proper morphisms. A twisted homology theory is a sequence of covariant functors $\mathcal{V}_* \rightarrow (\text{graded abelian groups})$, written $H_i(X, n)$ for $X \in \mathcal{V}_*$ satisfying the following axioms:

(2.2.1). H_* is a presheaf in the étale topology
 $\alpha: X' \rightarrow X$ étale, $\alpha^*: H_i(X, n) \rightarrow H_i(X', n)$

(2.2.2).

$X' \xrightarrow{\beta} X$	α, β étale	$H_i(X', n) \xleftarrow{\beta^*} H_i(X, n)$
$g \downarrow \square \downarrow f$	f, g proper	$\begin{array}{ccc} H_i(g, n) & \supseteq & H_i(f, n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{array}$
$Y' \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y$	\implies	$H_i(Y', n) \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} H_i(Y, n)$

(2.2.3). $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ closed immersion $\alpha: (X-Y) \hookrightarrow X$ then
 $\dots \rightarrow H_i(Y, n) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_i(X, n) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} H_i(X-Y, n) \rightarrow H_{i+1}(Y, n) \rightarrow \dots$
 is exact.

(2.2.4). $f: X' \rightarrow X$ proper, $Z = f(Z')$, $\alpha: X' - f^{-1}(Z) \hookrightarrow X' - Z'$. Then the diagram commutes:

$\dots \rightarrow H_i(Z', n) \rightarrow H_i(X', n) \rightarrow H_i(X' - Z', n) \rightarrow H_{i+1}(Z', n) \rightarrow \dots$
$\downarrow f_* \qquad \qquad \downarrow f_* \qquad \qquad \downarrow f_* \alpha^* \qquad \qquad \downarrow f_*$
$\dots \rightarrow H_i(Z, n) \rightarrow H_i(X, n) \rightarrow H_i(X - Z, n) \rightarrow H_{i+1}(Z, n) \rightarrow \dots$

(2.3). Definition. A Poincaré duality theory with supports ①
 is a twisted cohomology theory H^* , together with the following structure:

(2.3.1). (Cap product with supports) For any $Y \hookrightarrow X$ in $\text{Ob } \mathcal{V}^*$,
 a pairing: $H_i(X, m) \otimes H_Y^j(X, n) \rightarrow H_{i-j}(Y, m-n)$

(2.3.2). (Compatibility of cap product with restriction)

If $Y \hookrightarrow X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{V}^*$ and if $(\beta \hookrightarrow \alpha) : (Y' \hookrightarrow X') \rightarrow (Y \hookrightarrow X) \in \text{Arr } \mathcal{V}^*$
 and is étale, then for $a \in H_Y^i(X, n)$ and $z \in H_i(X, m)$
 $\alpha^*(a) \cap \alpha^*(z) = \beta^*(a \cap z)$

(2.3.3). (projection formula) If f is proper. $f : (Y_1 \hookrightarrow X_1) \rightarrow (Y_2 \hookrightarrow X_2)$

then for $a \in H_{Y_2}^j(X_2, n)$ and $z \in H_i(X_1, m)$,

$$H_i(f_X)(z) \cap a = H_i(f_Y)(z \cap H^j(f)(a))$$

(2.3.4). (Fundamental class) If $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{V}$ is irreducible and of
 dimension d , then \exists a global section η_X of $H_{2d}(X, d)$
 if $\alpha : X' \rightarrow X$ étale, $\alpha^* \eta_X = \eta_{X'}$.

(2.3.5). (Poincaré duality) If $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{V}$ is smooth of dim d .

~~then~~ and $Y \hookrightarrow X$ closed immersion, then cap-product
 induces an isomorphism: $\eta_X \cap : H_Y^{2d-i}(X, d-n) \rightarrow H_i(Y, n)$.

§3 Niveau and Coniveau spectral sequence

$X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{V}$, let $Z_d = Z_d(X)$ be the set of all closed subsets
 $Z \subset X$ of $\dim \leq d$, ordered by inclusion. Let Z_d / Z_{d-1} denote
 the ordered set of pairs $(z, z') \in Z_d \times Z_{d-1}$ s.t. $z' \subset z$
 $(z, z') \leq (z_1, z'_1)$ if $z \subset z_1$ and $z' \subset z'_1$

define $H_i(Z_d(X), n) = \varinjlim_{Z \in Z_d} H_i(Z, n)$

(8)

$$H_i(Z_d/Z_{d-1}, n) = \varinjlim_{(z, z') \in Z_d/Z_{d-1}} H_i(z - z', n)$$

when $(z, z') < (z_1, z'_1)$, $z - z' \xrightarrow[u]{\text{closed}} z_1 - z'_1 \xleftarrow[v]{\text{open}} z_1 - z'_1$

the transition map is given by $v^* \cup_x$

Def: The filtration by niveau is the ascending filtration

$$N_d H_i(X, n) \text{ on } H_i(X, n) : N_d H_i(X, n) = \text{Im}(H_i(Z_d(X), n) \rightarrow H_i(X, n))$$

For $(z, z') \in Z_d/Z_{d-1}$, we have long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_m(z', n) \rightarrow H_m(z, n) \rightarrow H_m(z - z', n) \rightarrow H_{m-1}(z', n) \rightarrow \dots$$

By taking limit

$$\dots \rightarrow H_m(Z_{d+1}, n) \rightarrow H_m(Z_d, n) \rightarrow H_m(Z_d/Z_{d-1}, n) \rightarrow H_{m-1}(Z_{d+1}, n) \rightarrow \dots$$

So we get an exact couple which induces a spectral sequence

$$Z'_{p,q} = H_{p+q}(Z_p/Z_{p-1}, n) \Rightarrow N. H_{p+q}(X, n)$$

Remark. $H_m(Z_p/Z_{p-1}, n) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} H_m(x, n)$

$$\text{where } H_m(x, n) \triangleq \varinjlim_{\substack{U \subseteq \overline{\{x\}} \\ U \text{ open}}} H_m(U, n)$$

prop. This spectral sequence is covariant w.r.t proper morphism and contravariant w.r.t étale maps.

Let $Z^p = \{z \in X \text{ closed}, \text{codim}_x z \geq p\}$. (7)

Def. The filtration by coniveau is

$$N^p H^*(X, n) = \text{Im} \left(\varinjlim_{Z \in Z^p} H_Z^*(X, n) \rightarrow H^*(X, n) \right)$$

prop. Assume that k is perfect, and that X is smooth/ k .

For $x \in Z^p$, define $H^*(x, n) = \varinjlim_{U \subseteq \overline{\{x\}}} H^*(U, n)$. Then there is

a cohomological spectral sequence

$$Z_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{x \in Z^p / \mathbb{Z}^{\text{pt}}} H^{2-p}(x, n-p) \Rightarrow N^p H^{p+q}(X, n)$$

proof. For $x \in Z^p / \mathbb{Z}^{\text{pt}} \ni$ small open $U \subset X$ s.t. $U \cap \overline{\{x\}}$ is smooth.

so Poincaré duality gives $H_{p+q}(x, n) \xrightarrow{(\eta_x)^{-1}} H^{p+q}(x, p-n)$. □

Let $\mathcal{H}_x(n)$, $\mathcal{H}^x(n)$ be the Zariski sheaves on X associated to

$$U \mapsto H_x(U, n) ; U \mapsto H^*(U, n)$$

for $x \in X$, $i_x A$ denote the constant sheaf A on $\overline{\{x\}}$ extended by zero to all of X .

Main Theorem: k perfect field. $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{V}$ smooth/ k , H^* , H_x a

Poincaré duality theory with supports. Then:

(i). The spectral sequence of sheaves $E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{x \in Z^p / \mathbb{Z}^{\text{pt}}} i_x H^{2-p}(x, n-p) \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q}(n)$

is degenerate at E_2 , $E_2^{p,q} = (0)$ for $p > 0$;

(ii) $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2(n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in Z^0 / \mathbb{Z}^{\text{pt}}} i_x H^2(x, n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in Z^1 / \mathbb{Z}^{\text{pt}}} i_x H^1(x, n-1) \rightarrow \dots$

is exact.

$$\rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in Z^2 / \mathbb{Z}^{\text{pt}}} i_x H^0(x, n-2) \rightarrow 0$$

(iii) Let $\mathcal{H}_{Z^p}^q(n)$ be the sheaf associated to $U \mapsto \varinjlim_{Z \in Z^p} H_{Z \cap U}^q(U, n)$

The map $\mathcal{H}_{Z^p}^q(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^p}^q(n)$ is zero for all (p, q, n)

Cor (i). $H^p(X, \mathcal{H}^q(n)) = 0$ for $p > q$

(ii) The Z_2 term of the spectral sequence

$$Z_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{x \in Z^p / Z^{p+1}} H^{q-p}(x, n-p) \Rightarrow N \cdot H^{q+p}(X, n)$$

is given by $Z_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, \mathcal{H}^q(n))$.

Example: Let $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^*(n)$ be the Zariski sheaf on X associated to $U \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^*(U, \mu_r^{\otimes n})$ where μ_r is the étale sheaf of r -th roots of unity. r prime to char k .

Then $H^p(X, \mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^p(p)) \cong (H^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof: We have

$$\bigoplus_{x \in Z^p / Z^p} H_{\text{ét}}^1(x, \mathbb{1}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in Z^p / Z^{p+1}} \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^p(p)) \rightarrow 0$$

From Hilbert's Thm 90, we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(x, \mathbb{1}) = H_{\text{Galois}}^1(k(x), \mu) \cong k(x)^* / k(x)^{*r}$$

So
$$\bigoplus_{x \in Z^p / Z^p} k(x)^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in Z^p / Z^{p+1}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H^p(X, k_p) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{x \in Z^p / Z^p} k(x)^* / k(x)^{*r} & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in Z^p / Z^{p+1}} \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow & H^p(X, \mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^p(p)) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

This implies that $H^p(X, \mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^p(p)) \cong (H^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$