

## 1. BEILINSON'S CONJECTURE AND THE MOTIVIC PRO-COMPLEX

We firstly recall the definition of the motivic complex.

**Definition 1.1.** For every integer  $r \geq 0$ , the motivic complex  $\mathbb{Z}(r)$  is given by the following complex of presheaves with transfers:

$$\mathbb{Z}(r) = \mathcal{C}_* \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge r})[-r]$$

[MVW], chapter 5 shows the following relationship between motivic cohomology and Milnor  $K$ -theory on a point, that is

**Theorem 1.2.** *For any field  $F$  and integer  $n$  we have  $H^{n,n}(\text{Spec}F, \mathbb{Z}) \cong K_n^M(F)$ .*

We will omit the detail of the proof, only point out that we have  $H^{n,n}(\text{Spec}F, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{coker}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})(\mathbb{A}^1) \xrightarrow{\partial_0 - \partial_1} \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})(\text{Spec}F))$  and the isomorphism is given by  $[a_1, \dots, a_n] \mapsto a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$ , where  $a_i \in F \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , and  $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  is the coordinate in  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}$ .

The generalization of this result is the Beilinson's conjecture:  $\mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}(r)) \cong \mathcal{K}_r^M$  where  $\mathcal{K}_*^M$  is the (Zariski in the proof, but we can restrict it to Nisnevich) Milnor  $K$ -sheaf. This conjecture is proven by Elbaz-Vincent/Müller-Stach[EM], Gabber and Kerz[Ke].

Sketch of the proof: We have the Gersten resolution of Milnor  $K$  theory of a scheme  $X$  as follow:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n^M|_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} i_{x*}(K_n^M(k(x))) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} i_{x*}(K_{n-1}^M(k(x))) \rightarrow \dots$$

where the maps are given by Kato. We know that this complex is exact if  $X$  is regular, contains a field, and all residue fields of  $X$  contain "enough" elements (see [Ke], Remark 5.8 for the precise meaning of "enough"). For the proof of this statement, see [Pa].

By this resolution, we have the following result:

**Theorem 1.3.** *(Bloch formula) There is a canonical isomorphism  $H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n^M) \cong CH^n(X)$  for every  $n \geq 0$  and  $X$  is as mentioned.*

*Proof.*  $H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n^M) \cong \text{coker}(\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(n-1)}} K_1(k(x)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(n)}} K_0(k(x))) \cong CH^n(X)$ . □

Furthermore, we have

**Theorem 1.4.** *(Beilinson's conjecture)  $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}(n)) \cong \mathcal{K}_n^M$*

*Proof.* Consider the morphism of exact Gersten complexes of sheaves from Milnor  $K$ -theory to motivic cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_n^M|_X & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} i_{x^*}(K_n^M(k(x))) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}(n))|_X & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} i_{x^*}(H^n(x, \mathbb{Z}(n))) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

where the top row is given by 1.2 and the bottom row is given by the contraction map, see [MVW] §23.  $\square$

According to Voevodsky ([MVW], Theorem 19.1 and Corollary 19.2), we have the following equation for  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ :

$$H^r(X, \mathcal{K}_r^M) = CH^r(X) = H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))$$

(We have a question: There should exist a nature map from  $H^r(X, \mathcal{K}_r^M)$  to  $H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))$ . Does this map commute with the two “=”s here (given by Bloch’s formula and Voedodsky’s result respectively)?)

Now we are ready to define the motivic pro-complex of  $X_\bullet$ . From now on we follow the notations in [BEK]. In particular  $X_\bullet/W_\bullet$  is in  $\text{Sm}_{W_\bullet}$  and  $X_1 = X \otimes_W k$ . We assume  $r < p$ .

Beilinson’s conjecture allows us to define the following map:

$$\log : \mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r))[-r] = \mathcal{K}_{X_1, r}^M[-r] \xrightarrow{d \log[\ ]} W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^r[-r]$$

where  $[\ ]$  is the Teichmüller lift. Also recall the simplicial complex  $\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r)$  and the map  $\Phi^J : \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r) \rightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^r[-r]$ . The motivic pro-complex  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) = \text{cone}(\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r) \xrightarrow{\Phi^J \oplus -\log} W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^r[-r])[-1]$$

Note that  $\mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r)) = \mathcal{K}_{X_1, r}^M \rightarrow W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^r$  is an epimorphism, since  $W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^r$  is generated by symbols (by definition).

## 2. PROPERTIES OF THE MOTIVIC PRO-COMPLEX

Followings are some properties of the motivic pro-complex, compared with motivic complex we introduced yesterday:

**Proposition 2.1.**  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(0) = \mathbb{Z}$ , the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Z}$  at degree 0.

*Proof.* We have  $W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^0 = \mathbb{Z}/p^\bullet$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(0) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(0) = \mathbb{Z}/p^\bullet$  according to Theorem 5.4. Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(0) = \mathbb{Z}$  by definition.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.**  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$  has support in cohomological degrees  $\leq r$ . For  $r \geq 1$ , if the Beilinson-Soulé conjecture is true, it has support in cohomological degrees  $[1, r]$ .

*Proof.* We have the following long exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r)) \oplus \mathcal{H}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r)) \\ \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^r[-r]) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and we know that  $\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r)$  has support in cohomological degrees  $[1, r]$  for  $r > 1$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r)$  has support in cohomological degrees  $\leq r$  (resp.  $[1, r]$  if Beilinson-Soulé conjecture holds). Consider  $\mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r)) \xrightarrow{-\log} \mathcal{H}^r(W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^r[-r]) \xrightarrow{[1]} \mathcal{H}^{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r))$ . Since  $[1] \circ (-\log) = 0 = 0 \circ (-\log)$ , by  $\log$  is an epimorphism we know that  $[1] = 0$ , thus  $\mathcal{H}^{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.**  $\mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)) = \mathcal{K}_{X_\bullet, r}^M$

*Proof.* We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r)) \oplus \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r)) \xrightarrow{\Phi^J \oplus -\log} W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^r \rightarrow 0$$

and take the  $n$ th cohomology of Theorem 5.4 in [BEK], we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow p\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{r-1}/p^2d\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{r-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r)) \xrightarrow{\Phi^J} W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, -\log}^r \rightarrow 0$$

which induces the upper row in the diagram below. The bottom row is given by Theorem 12.3 in [BEK]:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & p\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{r-1}/p^2d\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{r-2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow (*) & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & p\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{r-1}/p^2d\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{r-2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X_\bullet, r}^M & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X_1, r}^M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $(*)$  is induced by Kato's syntomic regulator map, and the rightmost vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Beilinson's conjecture, so by 5-lemma,  $(*)$  is also an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.**  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(1) = \mathbb{G}_{m, X_\bullet}[-1]$

*Proof.* This is a corollary from the previous two properties since the Beilinson-Soulé vanishing conjecture is true for  $r = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.**  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^\bullet = \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r)$

*Proof.* The sheaf  $W_n\Omega_{X_1,\log}^r$  is a sheaf of flat  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ -modules, so  $W_\bullet\Omega_{X_1,\log}^r \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^\bullet = W_\bullet\Omega_{X_1,\log}^r$ . By Theorem 5.4, this implies  $\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^\bullet = \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ . According to Theorem 8.3 in [GL], we have  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n = W_n\Omega_{X_1,\log}^r[-r]$ , so from the definition of  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ , we have  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^\bullet = \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ .  $\square$

Sketch of proof for Thm 8.3 in [GL]:

**Proposition 2.6.** *There is a canonical product structure*

$$\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r+r')$$

*compatible with the products on  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r)$ .*

*Proof.* We let the two following morphisms

$$\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r+r')$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r') \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r+r')$$

be induced by the product of motivic complex and the product on the syntomic complex. Then we have the following map

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r') \rightarrow \text{cone}(\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r+r') \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r+r')) \\ \rightarrow W_\bullet\Omega_{X_1,\log}^{r+r'}[-r-r'][-1] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r+r').$$

$\square$

### 3. MOTIVIC FUNDAMENTAL TRIANGLE

**Proposition 3.1.** *We have a unique commutative diagram of exact triangles in  $D_{\text{pro}}(X_1)$*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} p(r)\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\leq r}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow d\log & & \\ p(r)\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\leq r}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r) & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet\Omega_{X_1,\log}^r[-r] & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

*where the bottom exact triangle comes from Theorem 5.4 and the maps in the right square are the canonical maps.*

*Proof.* The existence of the top triangle can be shown by the octahedral axiom from the following three triangles:  $p(r)\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\leq r}[-1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r) \rightarrow W_\bullet\Omega_{X_1,\log}^r[-r] \rightarrow \cdots$  (fundamental triangle),  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r) \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r) \rightarrow W_\bullet\Omega_{X_1,\log}^r[-r] \rightarrow \cdots$  (definition of  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}$ ), and  $\mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r) \oplus$

$\mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet} \rightarrow \cdots$ . We can also apply the dual of [Ne], Lemma 1.4.4 and note that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d\log \\ \mathfrak{S}_{X_\bullet}(r) & \longrightarrow & W_\bullet \Omega_{X_1, \log}^r[-r] \end{array}$$

is a homotopy cartesian square, and a cocartesian square is still cartesian. Following is [Ne], Lemma 1.4.4:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\ Y' & \longrightarrow & Z' \end{array}$$

be a homotopy cartesian square.

If  $Y \xrightarrow{g} Y' \longrightarrow Y'' \longrightarrow Y[1]$  is a triangle, then there is a triangle  $Z \xrightarrow{h} Z' \longrightarrow Y'' \longrightarrow Z[1]$  which completes the homotopy cartesian square to a map of triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & Y'' & \longrightarrow & Y[1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ Z & \xrightarrow{h} & Z' & \longrightarrow & Y'' & \longrightarrow & Z[1] \end{array}$$

For uniqueness, we need to show that the morphism  $p(r)\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\leq r}[-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r)$  is uniquely defined by the requirements of the proposition, which follows from Lemma A.2 in [BEK].

□

**Corollary 3.3.** *For  $Y_\bullet = X_\bullet \times \mathbb{P}^m$  one has a projective bundle isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{s=0}^m H_{\text{cont}}^{r'-2s}(X_1, \mathbb{Z}_{X_\bullet}(r-s)) \xrightarrow{\oplus_s c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^s} H_{\text{cont}}^{r'}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_\bullet}(r)).$$

*Proof.* According to the associated long exact sequence from the motivic fundamental triangle and 5-lemma, we only need to show the following two isomorphisms:

$$\bigoplus_{s=0}^m H^{r'-2s}(X_1, \mathbb{Z}_{X_1}(r-s)) \rightarrow H^{r'}(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_{Y_1}(r))$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{s=0}^m H^{r'-2s}(X_1, p(r)\Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\leq r}) \rightarrow H^{r'}(Y_1, p(r)\Omega_{Y_\bullet}^{\leq r}).$$

The first one comes from [MVW] Corollary 15.5:  $C_*\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Z}(i)[2i]$ , and the second one comes from the fact that  $Y$  is a projective bundle of  $X$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [BEK] S. Bloch, H. Esnault, M. Kerz, *p-adic deformation of algebraic cycle classes*, Invent. Math. (2014), 195.
- [EM] P. Elbaz-Vincent, S. Müller-Stach, *Milnor K-theory of rings, higher Chow groups and applications*, Invent. Math. 148(1) (2002), 177-206.
- [Ke] M. Kerz, *Milnor K-theory of local rings with finite residue fields*, J. Algebr. Geom. 19(1) (2010), 173-191
- [Pa] I.A. Panin, *The equicharacteristic case of the Gersten conjecture*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 241(2) (2003), 154-163
- [MVW] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, C. Weibel, *Lecture Notes on Motivic Cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs, vol. 2. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (2006)
- [GL] T. Geisser, M. Levine, *The K-theory of fields in characteristic p*, Invent. Math. 139(3) (2000), 459-493
- [Ne] A. Neeman, *Triangulated Categories*, Annals of Mathematical Studies, vol. 148. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2001)
- [Qu] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory*, I. L.N.M 341, 85-147.