

Nisnevich Topology

Definition: Suppose X is a scheme. A family of morphisms between schemes $\{f_\alpha: Y_\alpha \rightarrow X\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is called a Nisnevich cover, if each f_α is an étale morphism and $\forall x \in X, \exists \alpha \in I, \exists y \in Y_\alpha$, s.t. $k(x) \xrightarrow{f^\#} k(y)$, and $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} f(Y_\alpha)$.

Remark: By definition, any Zariski cover is a Nisnevich cover, and any Nisnevich cover is an ~~étale~~ étale cover.

Definition: Suppose X is a scheme. The Nisnevich topology X_{Nis} is defined to be the Grothendieck topology whose underlying category is $\{U \xrightarrow{f} X \mid f \text{ étale}\}$, and whose coverings are Nisnevich covers.

If we denote the Zariski and étale topology on X by $X_{\text{Zar}}, X_{\text{ét}}$ respectively, then we have morphisms between Grothendieck topologies:

$$X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$$

Intuitively, the Nisnevich topology is finer than Zariski topology and coarser than étale topology.

Example: Suppose k is a field. $X = \text{Spec } k$.

Then a Nisnevich presheaf on X is also a Nisnevich sheaf, since Nisnevich covers of X are trivial.

~~Moreover, we have the following equivalence of categories:~~

Moreover, it is easy to see that, a Nisnevich sheaf on $X = \text{Spec} k$ is equivalent to a compatible $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ modules M_L , when L goes over finite Galois extensions of k in a fixed separable closure k^s .

Here by compatible $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ -module we mean the following diagram is commutative, for any $k \subset L \subset K$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(K/k) \times M_K & \longrightarrow & M_K \\ \downarrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{Gal}(L/k) \times M_L & \longrightarrow & M_L \end{array}$$

On the other hand, an étale sheaf on $X = \text{Spec} k$ is equivalent to a $\text{Gal}(k^s/k)$ -module M , and also equivalent to a compatible $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ -modules M_L , s.t. $k \subset L \subset k^s$ and L/k finite Galois, and for any $k \subset L \subset K \subset k^s$, we have $(M_K)^{\text{Gal}(K/L)} = M_L$.

By the discussion above, we have

Proposition 1: If k is a field, \mathcal{F} is a Nisnevich sheaf on $\text{Spec} k$, then ~~$H^i(\text{Spec} k, \mathcal{F}) = 0$~~ , $H^i(\text{Spec} k, \mathcal{F}) = 0$, $\forall i > 0$.

\mathcal{F} sheafification and stalks.

If \mathcal{F} is a presheaf on X_{Nis} , we can get a Nisnevich sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on X_{Nis} satisfies the similar well-known universal property as that in Zariski and étale topology.

the construction of \tilde{F} is also similar. Let us recall the construction briefly.

Firstly, for any Nisnevich cover \mathcal{U} of a scheme, we define $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \ker (\bigoplus C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))$ as the Čech 0-th cohomology group of \mathcal{F} ~~respectively~~ with respect to \mathcal{U} .

Let \mathcal{F}^+ be the Nisnevich presheaf such that for any étale $U \rightarrow X$, $\mathcal{F}^+(U) = \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}|_U)$. ~~where~~

\mathcal{U} Nisnevich cover of U .

Then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := (\mathcal{F}^+)^+$ is ~~the sheaf~~ a Nisnevich sheaf on X_{Nis} and it is just the sheafification of \mathcal{F} .

As usual, for an injective morphism between Nisnevich sheaves $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$, we define \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{F} to be the sheafification of the presheaf $U \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{G}(U)}{\mathcal{F}(U)}$.

Then it is easy to see sheaves on X_{Nis} form an abelian category, in particular, we can say short exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \rightarrow 0$.

We can characterize short exact sequence by something like stalks.

If \mathcal{F} is a (pre) sheaf on X_{Nis} , for $x \in X$ and a finite separable field extension $k(x) \hookrightarrow k$, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_{x,k} = \varinjlim_U \mathcal{F}(U), \quad \text{where in the direct limit,}$$

U goes over the diagram $\text{Spec } k \xrightarrow{\quad} U \xrightarrow{\text{\acute{e}t}} X$

such that $U \rightarrow X$ \acute{e}tale and $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow X$ corresponds to $k(x) \hookrightarrow k$.

Prop 2: A Nisnevich sheaf $\mathcal{F} = 0 \iff \forall x \in X, \forall$
finite separable $k(x) \hookrightarrow k, \mathcal{F}_{x,k} = 0$.

proof: By definition, #

Prop 3: A complex of Nisnevich sheaves $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \rightarrow 0$
is a short exact sequence if and only if: $\forall x \in X,$
 \forall finite separable $k(x) \hookrightarrow k, 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1,x,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2,x,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{3,x,k} \rightarrow 0$
is exact.

proof: This follows from the definitions of sheafification
and stalks. #

Prop 4: If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a finite morphism, \mathcal{F} is
a sheaf on Y_{Nis} , $x \in X$, Then

$$(f_* \mathcal{F})_{x,k(x)} \cong \bigoplus_{y \in f^{-1}(x)} \mathcal{F}_{y,k(y)}$$

proof: If $A \rightarrow B$ is a finite extension of rings, and
 (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring, then

$$B \otimes_A A^n \cong \bigoplus_{n_i} B_{n_i}^n$$

where A^h is the henselization of A , and n_i goes over the prime (maximal) ideals of B over m . ~~For~~ For a proof of this purely algebraic lemma, see [Fu Lei, Etale Cohomology Theory, proposition 2.8.12].

Then one can argue similarly as that in §3 in [Freitag, Kiehl, Etale Cohomology and the Weil Conjecture] to conclude. #

Cor 5: Suppose $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a finite morphism. Then f_* is an exact functor from Nisnevich sheaves on Y to Nisnevich sheaves on X .

proof: For any étale $U \rightarrow X$, apply prop 4 to $U \times_X Y \rightarrow U$. #

~~Now we consider some~~

§ ~~Vanishing~~ Vanishing theorems.

We want to show an analogy of Grothendieck Vanishing Theorem for Nisnevich sheaves. Let us firstly consider some special Nisnevich covers.

Def: A commutative square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

is called upper distinguished if $B = A \times_X Y$, f is étale, $i: A \rightarrow X$ is an open embedding and $Y \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism. Here

We put the reduced closed subscheme structure on $X-A$ and $Y-B$.

Prop 6: A presheaf \mathcal{F} is a Nisnevich sheaf if and only if
$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(B) \leftarrow F(Y) \\ \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \\ F(A) \leftarrow F(X) \end{array}$$
 is Cartesian

for every upper distinguished square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \rightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \rightarrow & X \end{array}$$

proof: See [Mazza, Voevodsky, Weibel, Lectures Notes on Motivic Cohomology], Lemma 12.7. #

Cor 7: If \mathcal{F} is a Nisnevich sheaf on X , and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \rightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

is an upper distinguished square, then we have the following "generalized" Mayer-Vietoris long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^i(A, \mathcal{F}) \oplus H_{\text{Nis}}^i(Y, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^i(A \times_X Y, \mathcal{F}) \\ &\rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

proof: See [F. Morel, V. Voevodsky, A^1 -Homotopy theory of schemes, Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., tome 90 (1999), p. 45-143.]

Remark 3.1.7.

#

Now we can state a vanishing theorem about Nisnevich sheaves:

Theorem 7: Let X be a Noetherian scheme of dimension $\leq d$, then for any sheaf of Abelian groups \mathcal{F} on X_{Nis} , one has $H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > d$.

proof: Consider the natural morphism $\varphi: \mathbb{A}^1_{X_{\text{Nis}}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$. The Grothendieck vanishing theorem and Leray spectral sequence implies that it is ~~not~~ sufficient to prove $\text{codim supp } R^j \varphi_* \mathcal{F} \geq j$, for any $j \geq 1$.

By taking direct limits, it is not difficult to see that we only need to prove the following ~~lemma~~.

~~Lemma~~ claim: If $S = \text{Spec } A$, (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local ring of dimension d , suppose \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of Abelian groups on S_{Nis} , then

$$H_{\text{Nis}}^i(S, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \text{ for } i > d.$$

proof of claim: Let $U = S \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ be the open subset of S removing the closed point \mathfrak{m} , ~~to~~

~~$V \rightarrow S$~~

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{F} \rightarrow I^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} I^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots \rightarrow I^i \xrightarrow{d^i} I^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

be the injective resolution of \mathcal{F} , and

$$t \in H_{\text{Nis}}^0(\mathcal{G}, I^i) \text{ with } d^i t = 0.$$

then there is an étale $V \rightarrow S$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times_S V & \rightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \hookrightarrow & S \end{array} \text{ is an upper distinguished square,}$$

and $t|_V = d^{i-1}(u)$, for some $u \in \mathbb{A}^1 \otimes H_{Nis}^0(V, I^{i-1})$.

Then by the Mayer-Vietoris sequence in Cor 7, we can see $t=0 \in H_{Nis}^i(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{I})$. Here we used the inductive hypothesis and the fact that $\dim U \leq d-1$.
#

Remark: The above proof is essentially from [Morel - Voevodsky, A^1 -Homotopy theory of schemes, publ. I.H.E.S., 99 (1990)]
Prop. 3.1.8.

§ Pushforward and pull-back between $X_{\text{ét}}$ and X_{Nis} .

We have a natural morphism $\varepsilon: X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Nis}}$.
obviously we have:

Prop: If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$, then for any $U \rightarrow X$ étale, $\varepsilon_* \mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{F}(U)$, moreover, we have $\varepsilon^* \varepsilon_* \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$.

Prop: ε^* is an exact functor from sheaves on X_{Nis} to sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$.

proof: we can check this on stalks as usual. #

For a complex of sheaves \mathcal{F}^\bullet , we define the canonical truncation $\tau_{\leq r} \mathcal{F}^\bullet$ to be

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{r-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{r-1} \rightarrow \ker d_r \rightarrow 0 \dots$$

where $d_r: \mathcal{F}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{r+1}$ is the homomorphism in \mathcal{F}^\bullet .

$$\text{Then } H^i(\tau_{\leq r} \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \begin{cases} H^i(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) & \forall i \leq r \\ 0 & \forall i > r \end{cases}$$

and we have a natural inclusion $\tau_{\leq r} \mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet$.

Prop: If $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D_+(X_{\text{ét}})$, the derived category of complex of sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$ bounded from below, and suppose $H^i(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0, \forall i > r$, then

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet \simeq \varepsilon^* \tau_{\leq r} R\varepsilon_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet$$

proof: Choose an injective resolution $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow I^\bullet$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet := \varepsilon^* \tau_{\leq r} R\varepsilon_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet = \varepsilon^* \tau_{\leq r} \varepsilon_* I^\bullet$, so $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^i = I^i, \forall i \leq r$. Since ε_* is left exact, we see $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^i = \tau_{\leq r} I^i$, so $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow I^\bullet$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet \rightarrow I^\bullet$ are quasi-isomorphisms. #

Now we consider the natural morphism $\varphi: X_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$.

We say a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} on X_{Nis} is quasi-coherent, if there exists a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F}' on X_{Zar} , such that $\mathcal{F} \simeq \varphi^* \mathcal{F}' = \varphi^+ \mathcal{F}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \text{Zar}}} \mathcal{O}_{X, \text{Nis}}$.

Similarly as étale site, we have the following vanishing theorem about quasi-coherent sheaves on X_{Nis} .

Theorem: Suppose $X = \text{Spec} A$ is affine, and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X_{Nis} . Then

$$H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0, \text{ for } i > 0.$$

proof: Similarly as in the étale case, we only need to

show: If $Y = \text{Spec} B \rightarrow X = \text{Spec} A$ is a Nisnevich cover, then $\check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$, for $i > 0$.

Here $\mathcal{U} = \{Y \rightarrow X\}$ is the Nisnevich cover of X .

Let $M = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$, then it is easy to see $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{F}) = M \otimes_A B$, and $\Gamma(\underbrace{Y \times_X \dots \times_X Y}_n, \mathcal{F}) = M \otimes_A \underbrace{B \otimes_A \dots \otimes_A B}_n$.

so the Čech complex

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is isomorphic to the A -modules complex

$$M \rightarrow M \otimes_A B \rightarrow M \otimes_A B \otimes_A B \rightarrow \dots$$

which is exact since $A \rightarrow B$ is a faithfully flat extension. #