# **Annals of Mathematics**

On the Curvatura Integra in a Riemannian Manifold Author(s): Shiing-shen Chern Reviewed work(s): Source: The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 46, No. 4 (Oct., 1945), pp. 674-684 Published by: Annals of Mathematics Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/1969203</u> Accessed: 28/05/2012 10:38

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Annals of Mathematics is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The Annals of Mathematics.

#### ON THE CURVATURA INTEGRA IN A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

BY SHIING-SHEN CHERN

(Received May 23, 1945)

#### Introduction

In a previous paper [1] we have given an intrinsic proof of the formula of Allendoerfer-Weil which generalizes to Riemannian manifolds of n dimensions the classical formula of Gauss-Bonnet for n = 2. The main idea of the proof is to draw into consideration the manifold of unit tangent vectors which is intrinsically associated to the Riemannian manifold. Denoting by  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the Riemannian manifold of dimension n and by  $M^{2n-1}$  the manifold of dimension 2n - 1 of its unit tangent vectors, our proof has led, in the case that n is even, to an intrinsic differential form of degree n-1 (which we denoted by II) in  $M^{2n-1}$ . We shall introduce in this paper a differential form of the same nature for both even and odd dimensional Riemannian manifolds. We find that this differential form bears a close relation to the "Curvatura Integra" of a submanifold in a Riemannian manifold, because it will be proved that its integral over a closed submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is equal to the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of the submanifold. The method can be carried over to deduce relations between relative topological invariants of a submanifold of the manifold and differential invariants derived from the imbedding, and some remarks are to be added to this effect.

## §1. Definition of the Intrinsic Differential Form in $M^{2n-1}$

Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be an orientable Riemannian manifold of dimension n and class  $\geq 3$ . For a résumé of the fundamental formulas in Riemannian Geometry we refer to §1 of the paper quoted above.

Let  $M^{2n-1}$  be the manifold of the unit tangent vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To a unit tangent vector we attach a frame  $Pe_1 \cdots e_n$  such that it is the vector  $e_n$  through P. The frame  $Pe_1 \cdots e_n$  is determined up to the transformation

(1) 
$$e_{\alpha}^{*} = \sum_{\beta} a_{\alpha\beta} e_{\beta}$$

where  $(a_{\alpha\beta})$  is a proper orthogonal matrix of order n-1 and where, as well as throughout the whole section, we shall fix the ranges of the indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  to be from 1 to n-1. Since the manifold of frames over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is locally a topological product, we can, to a region in  $M^{2n-1}$  the points of which have their local coordinates expressed as differentiable functions of certain parameters, attach the frames  $Pe_1 \cdots e_n$  which depend differentiably (with the same class) on the same parameters. From the family of frames we construct the forms  $\omega_i$ ,  $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ ,  $\Omega_{ij}$  according to the equations

(2)  
$$dP = \sum_{i} \omega_{i} e_{i} ,$$
$$de_{i} = \sum_{i} \omega_{ij} e_{j} ,$$
$$\Omega_{ij} = d\omega_{ij} - \sum_{k} \omega_{ik} \omega_{kj} ,$$

CURVATURA INTEGRA

it being agreed that the indices i, j, k range from 1 to n. From the forms  $\omega_i$ ,  $\omega_{ij}$ ,  $\Omega_{ij}$  we construct by exterior multiplication differential forms of higher degree. If we change the frames  $Pe_1 \cdots e_n$  into the frames  $Pe_1^* \cdots e_n^*(e_n^* = e_n)$  according to the equations (1), where  $a_{\alpha\beta}$  are differentiable functions of the local parameters, and denote by  $\omega_i^*$ ,  $\omega_{ij}^*$ ,  $\Omega_{ij}^*$  the forms constructed from  $Pe_1^* \cdots e_n^*$  as the same forms without asterisks are constructed from the frames  $Pe_1 \cdots e_n$ , we shall have

(3)  

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\alpha n}^{*} &= \sum_{\beta} a_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\beta n} , \\
\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^{*} &= \sum_{\rho,\sigma=1}^{n-1} a_{\alpha\rho} a_{\beta\sigma} \,\Omega_{\rho\sigma} , \\
\Omega_{\alpha n}^{*} &= \sum_{\beta} a_{\alpha\beta} \,\Omega_{\beta n} , \\
\Omega_{nn}^{*} &= \Omega_{nn} .
\end{aligned}$$

A differential form constructed from the frames  $Pe_1 \cdots e_n$  will be a differential form in  $M^{2n-1}$ , if it remains invariant under the transformations (1), (3).

To apply this remark, let us put

(4)  

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_k &= \sum \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1}} \Omega_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \cdots \Omega_{\alpha_{2k-1} \alpha_{2k}} \omega_{\alpha_{2k+1} n} \cdots \omega_{\alpha_{n-1} n}, \\
\Psi_k &= 2(k+1) \sum \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1}} \Omega_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \cdots \Omega_{\alpha_{2k-1} \alpha_{2k}} \Omega_{\alpha_{2k+1} n} \omega_{\alpha_{2k+2} n} \cdots \omega_{\alpha_{n-1} n},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon_{\alpha_1} \cdots \epsilon_{\alpha_{n-1}}$  is the Kronecker index which is equal to +1 or -1 according as  $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$  constitute an even or odd permutation of  $1, \cdots, n-1$ , and is otherwise zero, and where the summation is extended over all the indices  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ . These forms are defined for  $k = 0, 1, \cdots, \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] - 1$ , where  $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$  denotes the largest integer  $\leq \frac{n}{2}$ . Furthermore, when n is odd  $\Phi_{11}$ , is also de-

notes the largest integer  $\leq \frac{n}{2}$ . Furthermore, when *n* is odd,  $\Phi_{[\frac{1}{2}n]}$  is also defined. It will be convenient to define by convention

(5) 
$$\Psi_{-1} = \Psi_{[\frac{1}{2}n]} = 0.$$

Under the transformations (1), (3) each of the forms in (4) is multiplied by the value of the determinant  $|a_{\alpha\beta}|$ , which is +1. Hence they are differential forms in  $M^{2n-1}$ . We remark that  $\Phi_k$  is of degree n - 1 and  $\Psi_k$  is of degree n. When n is even, they reduce to the forms of the same notation introduced in our previous paper.

The exterior derivative  $d\Phi_k$  is a differential form in  $M^{2n-1}$ , and is equal to

$$d\Phi_{k} = k \sum \epsilon_{\alpha_{1}\cdots\alpha_{n-1}} d\Omega_{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \Omega_{\alpha_{3}\alpha_{4}} \cdots \Omega_{\alpha_{2k-1}\alpha_{2k}} \omega_{\alpha_{2k+1}n} \cdots \omega_{\alpha_{n-1}n} + (n-2k-1) \sum \epsilon_{\alpha_{1}\cdots\alpha_{n-1}} \Omega_{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \cdots \Omega_{\alpha_{2k-1}\alpha_{2k}} d\omega_{\alpha_{2k+1}n} \omega_{\alpha_{2k+2}n} \cdots \omega_{\alpha_{n-1}n}.$$

In substituting the expressions for  $d\Omega_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}$ ,  $d\omega_{\alpha_{2k+1}n}$  into this equation, the terms involving  $\omega_{\alpha\delta}$  will cancel each other, because  $d\Phi_k$  is a differential form in  $M^{2n-1}$ .

Hence we immediately get

(6) 
$$d\Phi_k = \Psi_{k-1} + \frac{n-2k-1}{2(k+1)} \Psi_k.$$

Solving for  $\Psi_k$ , we get

(7) 
$$\Psi_k = d\Theta_k, \qquad k = 0, 1, \cdots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1,$$

where

(8) 
$$\Theta_{k} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-\lambda} \frac{(2k+2)\cdots(2\lambda+2)}{(n-2\lambda-1)\cdots(n-2k-1)} \Phi_{\lambda},$$
$$k = 0, 1, \cdots, \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] - 1.$$

If n is even, say = 2p, then we have

$$d\Theta_{p-1} = \Psi_{p-1}$$

where

$$\Psi_{p-1} = n \sum_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1}} \Omega_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \cdots \Omega_{\alpha_{n-1} n} = \sum_{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_n}} \Omega_{i_1 i_2} \cdots \Omega_{i_{n-1} i_n}.$$
  
If n is odd, say = 2q + 1, then

$$d\Theta_{q-1}=\Psi_{q-1}.$$

But in this case we have also

 $d\Phi_q = \Psi_{q-1},$ 

so that

$$d(\Theta_{q-1} - \Phi_q) = 0.$$

We define\*

(9) 
$$\Pi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi^{p}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{\lambda} & \frac{1}{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2p - 2\lambda - 1) \cdot 2^{p+\lambda} \cdot \lambda!} \Phi_{\lambda}, \text{ if } n = 2p \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2q+1} \pi^{q} q!} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q} (-1)^{\lambda+1} {q \choose \lambda} \Phi_{\lambda}, & \text{ if } n = 2q + 1 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

or, for a formula covering both cases,

(9a) 
$$\Pi = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n \pi^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n-1) \rfloor} (-1)^{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda ! \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n-2\lambda+1))} \Phi_{\lambda},$$

676

<sup>\*</sup> Our present form  $\Omega$  differs, in the case of even *n*, from the corresponding one in our previous paper by a sign. There are several reasons which indicate that the present choice is the appropriate one.

and

(10) 
$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (-1)^p \frac{1}{2^{2p} \pi^p p!} \sum \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_n} \Omega_{i_1 i_2} \dots \Omega_{i_{n-1} i_n}, & \text{if } n = 2p \text{ is even} \\ 0, & \text{, if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Our foregoing relations can then be summarized in the formula

$$(11) -d\Pi = \Omega.$$

We remark that II is a differential form of degree n - 1 in  $M^{2n-1}$ .

Over a simplicial chain of dimension n - 1 in  $M^{2n-1}$  whose simplexes are covered by coordinate neighborhoods of  $M^{2n-1}$  the integral of  $\Pi$  is defined.

#### §2. Remarks on the Formula of Allendoerfer-Weil

As we have shown before, the formula (11) leads immediately to a proof of the formula of Allendoerfer-Weil. We shall, however, add here a few remarks. Let O be a point of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $Oe_1^0 \cdots e_n^0$  be a frame with origin at O. A point

Let O be a point of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $Oe_1^0 \cdots e_n^0$  be a frame with origin at O. A point P of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  sufficiently near to O is determined by the direction cosines  $\lambda^i$  (referred to  $Oe_1^0 \cdots e_n^0$ ) of the tangent of the geodesic joining O to P and the geodesic distance s = OP. The coordinates  $x^i$  of P defined by

(12) 
$$x^i = s\lambda^i$$

are called the normal coordinates. In a neighborhood of O defined by  $s \leq R$  we shall employ s,  $\lambda^i$  to be the local coordinates, where

(13) 
$$\sum_{i} (\lambda^{i})^{2} = 1.$$

As the components of a vector v through P we shall take the components referred to  $Oe_1^0 \cdots e_n^0$  of the vector at O obtained by transporting v parallelly along the geodesic OP.

In the neighborhood  $s \leq R$  of O let a field of unit vectors v be given, whose components are differentiable functions of the normal coordinates  $x^i$ , except possibly at O. The forms  $\Phi_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , being at least of degree two in  $dx^i$ , there exists a constant M such that

$$\left|\int_{s} \Phi_{k}\right| < Ms, \qquad k \ge 1,$$

where S is the geodesic hypersphere of radius s about O. Let I be the index of the vector field at O, which is possibly a singular point. By Kronecker's formula we have

(14) 
$$I = \frac{1}{O_{n-1}} \int_{S} \omega_{1n} \cdots \omega_{n-1,n},$$

where  $O_{n-1}$  denotes the area of the unit hypersphere of dimension n-1 and is given by

(15) 
$$O_{n-1} = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{1}{3}n}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n)}.$$

It follows that there exists a constant  $M_1$  such that

$$I - (-1)^n \int_S \Pi \bigg| < M_1 s$$

or that

(16) 
$$I = (-1)^n \lim_{s \to 0} \int_s \Pi.$$

Let the Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be closed. It is well-known and is also easy to prove directly that it is possible to define in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a continuous vector field with a finite number of singular points. Draw about each singular point a small geodesic hypersphere. The vector field at points not belonging to the interior of these geodesic hyperspheres defines a chain in  $M^{2n-1}$  over which  $\Omega$  can be integrated. From (11) and (16) we get, by applying the formula of Stokes,

(17) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega = (-1)^n I,$$

where I is the sum of indices of the vector field. Hence the sum of indices of the singular points of a vector field is independent of the choice of the field, provided that their number is finite. By the construction of a particular vector field, as was done by Stiefel and Whitney [2], we get the formula

(18) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega = (-1)^n I = (-1)^n \chi(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $\chi(R^n)$  is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of  $R^n$ . In particular, it follows that  $\chi(R^n) = 0$  if n is odd.

The same idea can be applied to derive the formula of Allendoerfer-Weil for differentiable polyhedra. Let  $P^n$  be a differentiable polyhedron whose boundary  $\partial P^n$  is a differentiable submanifold imbedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\partial P^n$  be orientable and therefore two-sided. To each point of  $\partial P^n$  we attach the inner unit normal vector to  $\partial P^n$ , the totality of which defines a submanifold of dimension n-1 in  $M^{2n-1}$ . The integral of  $\Pi$  over this submanifold we shall denote simply by  $\int_{\partial P^n} \Pi$ . Then the formula of Allendoerfer-Weil for a differentiable polyhedron  $P^n$  is

(19) 
$$\int_{P^n} \Omega = -\int_{\partial P^n} \Pi + \chi'(P^n),$$

where  $\chi'(P^n)$  is the inner Euler-Poincaré characteristic of  $P^n$ .

To prove the formula (19), we notice that the field of unit normal vectors on  $\partial P^n$  can be extended continuously into the whole polyhedron  $P^n$ , with the possible exception of a finite number of singular points. Application of the formula of Stokes gives then

$$\int_{P^n} \Omega = -\int_{\partial P^n} \Pi + (-1)^n J,$$

where J is the sum of indices at these singular points. That  $J = (-1)^n \chi'(P^n)$  follows from a well-known theorem in topology [3]. It would also be possible to deduce this theorem if we carry out the construction of Stiefel-Whitney for polyhedra and verify in an elementary way that  $J = (-1)^n \chi'(P^n)$  for a particular vector field.

### §3. A New Integral Formula

Let  $\mathbb{R}^m$  be a closed orientable differentiable (of class  $\geq 3$ ) submanifold of dimension  $m \leq n-2$  imbedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The unit normal vectors to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  at a point of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  depend on n-m-1 parameters and their totality defines a submanifold of dimension n-1 in  $M^{2n-1}$ . Denote by  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Pi$  the integral of  $\Pi$  over this submanifold. Our formula to be proved is then

(20) 
$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\Pi = \chi(\mathbb{R}^m),$$

where the right-hand member stands for the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , which is zero if m is odd.

As a preparation to the proof we need the formulas for the differential geometry of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  imbedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . At a point P of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  we choose the frames  $Pe_1 \cdots e_n$ such that  $e_1, \cdots, e_m$  are the tangent vectors to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We now restrict ourselves on the submanifold  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and agree on the following ranges of indices

$$1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m, \quad m+1 \leq r, s \leq n, \quad 1 \leq A, B \leq n-1.$$

By our choice of the frames we have

$$\omega_r = 0,$$

and hence, by exterior differentiation,

$$\sum_{\alpha}\,\omega_{r\alpha}\,\omega_{\alpha}\,=\,0$$

which allows us to put

(21) 
$$\omega_{r\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} A_{r\alpha\beta} \, \omega_{\beta}$$

with

(22) 
$$A_{r\alpha\beta} = A_{r\beta\alpha} \,.$$

Consequently, the fundamental formulas for the Riemannian Geometry on  $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ , as induced by the Riemannian metric of  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ , are

,

(23)  
$$d\omega_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \omega_{\beta} \omega_{\beta\alpha} ,$$
$$d\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{m} \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \omega_{\gamma\beta} + \tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha\beta}$$

where

(24) 
$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{r} \omega_{\alpha r} \, \omega_{r\beta}$$

To evaluate the integral on the left-hand side of (20) we introduce a differentiable family of frames  $Pa_1 \cdots a_n$  in a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , satisfying the condition that  $a_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha}$  and that exactly one of the frames has the origin P. The relation between the vectors  $a_{m+1}, \cdots, a_n$  and  $e_{m+1}, \cdots, e_n$  is then given by the equations

(25) 
$$e_r = \sum_s u_{rs} \mathfrak{a}_s,$$

where  $u_{rs}$  are the elements of a proper orthogonal matrix. In particular, the quantities  $u_{nr} = u_r$  may be regarded as local coordinates of the vector  $e_n$  with respect to this family of frames. We now get all the normal vectors to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  at P by letting  $u_r$  vary over all values such that  $\sum_r (u_r)^2 = 1$ . The forms  $\omega_{n\alpha}$ ,  $\omega_{nr}$  which occur in  $\Pi$  can be calculated according to the formulas

$$\omega_{n\alpha} = d\mathbf{e}_n \cdot \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \sum u_r \,\theta_{r\alpha} \,,$$
$$\omega_{nr} = d\mathbf{e}_n \cdot \mathbf{e}_r = \sum_s du_s \cdot u_{rs} + \sum_{s,t=m+1}^n u_s \,u_{rt} \,\theta_{st} \,,$$

where the product of vectors is the scalar product and where we define

(27) 
$$\theta_{ij} = d\mathfrak{a}_i \cdot \mathfrak{a}_j.$$

It is evident that

(26)

 $\Phi_k = 0, \qquad 2k > m.$ 

For  $k \leq m/2$  we have by definition

 $\Phi_k = \sum \epsilon_{A_1 \cdots A_{n-1}} \Omega_{A_1 A_2} \cdots \Omega_{A_{2k-1} A_{2k}} \omega_{A_{2k+1} n} \cdots \omega_{A_{n-1} n}.$ 

Each term of this sum is of degree m in the differentials of the local coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and of degree n - m - 1 in the differentials  $du_r$ . It follows that the nonvanishing terms are the terms where the indices  $m + 1, \dots, n - 1$  occur among  $A_{2k+1}, \dots, A_{n-1}$ . We can therefore write

$$\Phi_k = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(n-2k-1)!}{(m-2k)!} \sum \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m} \Omega_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \cdots \Omega_{\alpha_{2k-1} \alpha_{2k}} \omega_{n \alpha_{2k+1}} \cdots$$

 $\omega_{n\alpha_m}\omega_{n,m+1}\cdots\omega_{n,n-1}$ 

$$= (-1)^{n-m-1} \frac{(n-2k-1)!}{(m-2k)!} \sum \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m} \Omega_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \cdots \Omega_{\alpha_{2k-1} \alpha_{2k}} (\sum_r u_r \theta_{\alpha_{2k+1} r}) \cdots (\sum_r u_r \theta_{\alpha_m r}) \Lambda_{n-m-1},$$

where  $\Lambda_{n-m-1}$  is the surface element of a unit hypersphere of dimension n - m - 1.

The integration of  $\Phi_k$  over  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is then carried out by iteration. In fact, we shall keep a point of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  fixed and integrate over all the unit normal vectors through that point. This leads us to the consideration of integrals of the form

$$\int u_{m+1}^{\lambda_{m+1}} \cdots u_n^{\lambda_n} \Lambda_{n-m-1}$$

over the unit hypersphere of dimension n - m - 1. It is clear that the integral is not zero, only when all the exponents  $\lambda_{m+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$  are even. But for the integrals obtained from  $\Phi_k$  we have  $\sum \lambda_r = m - 2k$ . It follows that, if *m* is odd, we shall have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Phi_k = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq \frac{m}{2},$$

and hence

$$\int_{R^m} \Pi = 0.$$

This proves the formula (20) for the case that m is odd.

More interesting is naturally the case that m is even, which we are going to suppose from now on. It was proved that [4]

(28)  
$$= \frac{\int u_{m+1}^{2\lambda_{m+1}} \cdots u_{n}^{2\lambda_{n}} \Lambda_{n-m-1}}{(n-m)(n-m+2) \cdots (n-m+2\lambda_{m+1}+\cdots+2\lambda_{n}-2)},$$

where the symbol in the numerator is defined by

(29)  $0 = 1, 2\lambda = 1.3 \cdots (2\lambda - 1).$ 

To evaluate the integral of  $\Phi_k$  over  $\mathbb{R}^m$  we have to expand the product

$$(\sum_{r} u_{r} \theta_{\alpha_{2k+1}r}) \cdots (\sum_{r} u_{r} \theta_{\alpha_{m}r}).$$

We introduce the notation

(30) 
$$\Delta(k; \lambda_{m+1}, \cdots, \lambda_n) = \sum \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m} \Omega_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \cdots \Omega_{\alpha_{2k-1} \alpha_{2k}} \bigstar$$

where the last symbol stands for a product of  $\theta$ 's, whose first indices are  $\alpha_{2k+1}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\alpha_m$  respectively and whose second indices are respectively  $2\lambda_{m+1}(m + 1)$ 's,  $2\lambda_{m+2}(m + 2)$ 's, and finally  $2\lambda_n n$ 's. Let it be remembered that  $\Delta(k; \lambda_{m+1}, \cdots, \lambda_n)$  is a differential form of degree m in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Expanding  $\Phi_k$  and using (28), we

shall get

(31) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \Phi_{k} = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(n-2k-1)! O_{n-m-1}}{2^{\frac{1}{2}m-k}(n-m)(n-m+2)\cdots(n-2k-2)} \sum_{\lambda_{m+1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{2}m-k} \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}!\cdots\lambda_{n}!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \Delta(k;\lambda_{m+1},\cdots,\lambda_{n}),$$

where the summation is extended over all  $\lambda_r \ge 0$ , whose sum is  $\frac{m}{2} - k$ .

It is now to be remarked that for the curvature forms  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha\beta}$  of the Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  we have to substitute  $\theta_{\alpha r}$  for  $\omega_{\alpha r}$  in the expressions (24).  $\tilde{\Omega}$  being the form on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  whose integral over  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is equal to the Euler-Poincaré characteristic  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^m)$  by the Allendoerfer-Weil formula, we have

$$\tilde{\Omega} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \frac{1}{2^m \pi^{\frac{1}{2^m}}(\frac{1}{2}m)!} \sum \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m} (\Omega_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} - \sum \theta_{\alpha_1 r} \theta_{\alpha_2 r}) \cdots (\Omega_{\alpha_{m-1} \alpha_m} - \sum \theta_{\alpha_{m-1} r} \theta_{\alpha_m r})$$

or, by expansion,

(32) 
$$\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2^m \pi^{\frac{1}{2}m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{1}{2}m} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\lambda_{m+1}+\cdots+\lambda_n=\frac{1}{2}m-k} \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}!\cdots\lambda_n!} \Delta(k; \lambda_{m+1}, \cdots, \lambda_n).$$

By a straightforward calculation which we shall omit here, we get from (9), (31), (32), and (18) the desired formula (20).

So far we have assumed that  $m \leq n-2$ , that is, that  $R^m$  is not a hypersurface of  $R^n$ . In case m = n - 1 the unit normal vectors of  $R^{n-1} = R^m$  in  $R^n$  are, under our present assumptions concerning orientability, divided into two disjoint families. It is possible to maintain the formula (20) by making suitable conventions. In fact, we suppose that the integrals  $\int_{R^{n-1}} \Pi$  over the families of inward and outward unit normal vectors are taken over the oppositely oriented manifold  $R^{n-1}$ . Then we have

$$\int_{(R^{n-1})^{-}} \Pi = (-1)^{n-1} \int_{(R^{n-1})^{+}} \Pi,$$

where the integrals at the left and right hand sides are over the families of inward and outward normals respectively. If n is even, we have

$$\int_{R^{n-1}} \Pi = \int_{(R^{n-1})^{-}} \Pi + \int_{(R^{n-1})^{+}} \Pi = 0.$$

If n is odd, we have

$$\int_{R^{n-1}} \Pi = 2 \int_{(R^{n-1})^{-1}} \Pi = -\chi(R^{n-1}).$$

Both cases can be considered as included in the formula (20). In particular, if n is odd and if  $R^{n-1}$  is the boundary  $\partial P^n$  of a polyhedron  $P^n$ , we have also, by (19),

$$\int_{(R^{n-1})^-} \Pi = \chi'(P^n).$$

Comparing the two equations, we get

$$- \chi(\partial P^n) = 2\chi'(P^n),$$

which asserts that the inner Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a polyhedron in an odd-dimensional manifold is  $-\frac{1}{2}$  times the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of its boundary, a well-known result in the topology of odd-dimensional manifolds.

It is interesting to remark in passing that, so far as the writer is aware, the formula (20) seems not known even for the Euclidean space.

#### §4. Fields of Normal Vectors

We consider the case that  $R^{2n}$  is an even-dimensional orientable Riemannian manifold of class  $\geq 3$  and  $R^n$  a closed orientable submanifold of the same class imbedded in  $R^{2n}$ . By considering normal vector fields over  $R^n$ , Whitney [5] has defined a topological invariant of  $R^n$  in  $R^{2n}$ , which is the sum of indices at the singular points of a normal vector field (with a finite number of singular points) over  $R^n$ . Let us denote by  $\psi$  this invariant of Whitney.

To prepare for the study of this invariant we make use of the discussions at the beginning of §3. To each point P of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we attach the frames  $Pe_1 \cdots e_{2n}$  such that  $e_1, \cdots, e_n$  are tangent vectors to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at P. Then we have, in particular,

(33) 
$$d\omega_{ij} = \sum \omega_{ik}\omega_{kj} + \Theta_{ij},$$

where

(34) 
$$\Theta_{ij} = \Omega_{ij} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \omega_{i\alpha} \omega_{j\alpha}$$

the indices i, j running from n + 1 to 2n. The differential forms  $\Theta_{ij}$  are exterior quadratic differential forms depending on the imbedding of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . They give what is essentially known as the Gaussian torsion of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . We put, similar to (10),

(35) 
$$\Theta = \begin{cases} (-1)^p \frac{1}{2^{2p} \pi^p p!} \sum \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \Theta_{i_1 i_2} \cdots \Theta_{i_{n-1} i_n}, & \text{if } n = 2p \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

With these preparations we are able to state the following theorems:

1. If  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a closed orientable submanifold imbedded in an orientable Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , the Whitney invariant  $\psi$  is given by

(36) 
$$\psi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Theta.$$

2. It is always possible to define a continuous normal vector field over a closed orientable odd-dimensional differentiable submanifold (of class  $\geq 3$ ) imbedded in an orientable differentiable manifold of twice its dimension.

The first theorem can be proved in the same way as the formula of Allendoerfer-Weil. We shall give a proof of the second theorem.

For this purpose we take a simplicial decomposition of our submanifold  $R^n$ and denote its simplexes by  $\sigma_i^n$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . We assume the decomposition to be so fine that each  $\sigma_i^n$  lies in a coordinate neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . According to a known property on the decomposition of a pseudo-manifold [6], the simplexes  $\sigma_i^n$  can be arranged in an order, say  $\sigma_1^n$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\sigma_m^n$ , such that  $\sigma_k^n$ , k < m, contains at least an (n - 1)-dimensional side which is not incident to  $\sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{k-1}^n$ . We then define a continuous normal vector field by induction on k. It is obviously possible to define a continuous normal vector field over  $\sigma_1^n$ . Suppose that such a field is defined over  $\sigma_1^n + \cdots + \sigma_{k-1}^n$ . The simplex  $\sigma_k^n$  has in common with  $\sigma_1^n + \cdots + \sigma_{k-1}^n$  at most simplexes of dimension n-1 and there exists, when k < m, at least one boundary simplex of dimension n - 1 of  $\sigma_k^n$  which does not belong to  $\sigma_1^n + \cdots + \sigma_{k-1}^n$ . It follows that the subset of  $\sigma_k^n$  at which the vector field is defined is contractible to a point in  $\sigma_k^n$ . By a well-known extension theorem [7], the vector field can be extended throughout  $\sigma_k^n$ , k < m. In the final step k = m the extension of the vector field throughout  $\sigma_m^n$  will lead possibly to a singular point in  $\sigma_m^n$ . Hence it is possible to define a continuous normal vector field over  $R^n$  with exactly one singular point, the index at which is equal to the Whitney invariant  $\psi$ . If n is odd, we have, by (36),  $\psi = 0$ , and the singular point can be removed. This proves our theorem.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY, AND TSING HUA UNIVERSITY, KUNMING, CHINA.

#### References

- S. CHERN, A simple intrinsic proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed Riemannian manifolds, these Annals, Vol. 45, pp. 747-752 (1944).
  - Also C. B. ALLENDOERFER AND A. WEIL, The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for Riemannian polyhedra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 53, pp. 101–129 (1943).
- [2] E. STIEFEL, Richtungsfelder und Fernparallelismus in n-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten, Comm. Math. Helv. Vol. 8, pp. 339-340 (1936). H. Whitney, On the topology of differentiable manifolds, University of Michigan Conference 1941, pp. 127-129.
- [3] S. LEFSCHETZ, Topology 1930, p. 272.
- [4] H. WEYL, On the volume of tubes, Amer. J. Math., Vol. 61, p. 465 (1939).
- [5] H. WHITNEY, loc. cit., pp. 126-141.
- [6] P. ALEXANDROFF UND H. HOPF, Topologie I, p. 550.
- [7] P. ALEXANDROFF UND H. HOPF, loc. cit., p. 501.