

In conclusion, we have several expressions for the signed Cheeger constant.

$$h_1^\sigma = \min_{\phi \neq \Omega \subseteq V} \frac{\min_{\Omega \cup \bar{\Omega} = \Omega} (2E^+(V_1, V_2) + E^-(V_1) + E^-(V_2)) + |\partial \Omega|}{\text{vol}(\Omega)}$$

$$= \min_{\phi \neq \Omega \subseteq V} \frac{2e_{\min}(G_\Omega, \sigma_\Omega) + |\partial \Omega|}{\text{vol}(\Omega)} = \min_{\phi \neq \Omega \subseteq V} \frac{\min_{T: \Omega \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}} \sum_{\{u, v\} \in E_\Omega} |1 - \tau(u, v, \tau(v))| + |\partial \Omega|}{\text{vol}(\Omega)}$$

~~In particular, $\min_{V_1 \cup V_2 = \Omega} \beta^\sigma(V_1, V_2)$, where $\beta^\sigma(V_1, V_2)$ can be~~

The quantity $\frac{2e_{\min}(G_\Omega, \sigma_\Omega) + |\partial \Omega|}{\text{vol}(\Omega)}$ can be considered as a signed expansion of Ω .

Remark: The signed Cheeger constant ~~unifies~~ covers various constants introduced by Trevisan, Bauer and Jost, it is also closely related to ~~some~~ constants of Desai and Rao, and its signed version by Y.-P. Hou. (侯耀平教授, 湖南师范大学)

(II.7) Signed Cheeger inequality.

Theorem II.7.1 (Atay-L.) Given a signed graph, we have

$$\frac{(h_1^\sigma)^2}{2} \leq \lambda_1^\sigma \leq 2 h_1^\sigma$$

Remark: By switching invariance of the eigenvalues and Cheeger constants, we have

- If $\sigma \in [-]$, where $[-]$ is the switching class of all -1 signs, this ineq. is $\frac{\beta^2}{2} \leq 2 - \lambda_N \leq 2\beta$, where λ_N is the maximal eigenvalue of the underlying graph G .

• If $\sigma \in [+]$, ($[+]$ is the switching class of all +1 signs), the inequality reduces to the trivial fact.

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2 \cdot 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \lambda_1 = 0.$$

where λ_1 is the smallest eigenvalue of the underlying graph G .

Proof of $\lambda_1^\sigma \leq 2h_1^\sigma$: We use the following expression of h_1^σ .

$$h_1^\sigma = \min_{\emptyset \neq \Omega \subseteq V} \frac{\min_{\tau: \Omega \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}} \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E_\Omega} |1 - \tau(u)\sigma_{uv}\tau(v)| + |\partial\Omega|}{\text{vol}(\Omega)}$$

Let $\Omega_0 \subseteq V$ be the subset that achieves h_1^σ above, and $\tau_0: \Omega_0 \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$ the switching function which achieves $\min_{\tau: \Omega_0 \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}} \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E_{\Omega_0}} |1 - \tau(u)\sigma_{uv}\tau(v)|$.

Define the function $f_0: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$f_0(x) := \begin{cases} \tau_0(x), & \text{if } x \in \Omega_0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \lambda_1^\sigma &\leq R^\sigma(f_0) = \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (f_0(u) - \sigma_{uv}f_0(v))^2}{\sum_{u \sim v} f_0(u)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E_{\Omega_0}} |\tau_0(u) - \sigma_{uv}\tau_0(v)|^2 + |\partial\Omega_0|}{\text{vol}(\Omega_0)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E_{\Omega_0}} |1 - \tau_0(u)\sigma_{uv}\tau_0(v)|^2 + |\partial\Omega_0|}{\text{vol}(\Omega_0)} \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E_{\Omega_0}} |1 - \tau_0(u)\sigma_{uv}\tau_0(v)| + |\partial\Omega_0|}{\text{vol}(\Omega_0)} \leq 2h_1^\sigma. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of $\lambda_1^\sigma \geq \frac{(h_1^\sigma)^2}{2}$:

(111)

We consider the indicator functions: For any function $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider

$$\Omega_f(t) = \{x \in V : f(x)^2 > t\}, \quad t \in [0, \infty)$$

We define an indicator function $\chi_f^t: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\chi_f^t(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \notin \Omega_f(t) \text{ and}$$

$$|\chi_f^t(x)| = 1 \quad \text{if } x \in \Omega_f(t)$$

More specifically, we divide $\Omega_f(t)$ into two subsets.

$$V_f(t) := \{x \in V : f(x) > \sqrt{t}\},$$

$$\text{and } V_f(-t) := \{x \in V : f(x) < -\sqrt{t}\},$$

and the indicator function is

$$\chi_f^t(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in V_f(t) \\ -1, & \text{if } x \in V_f(-t) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{x \in V} |\chi_f^t(x)| dx = \text{vol}(\Omega_f(t)), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} |\chi_f^t(x) - \sigma_{xy} \chi_f^t(y)| = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E_{\Omega_f(t)}} |\chi_f^t(x) - \sigma_{xy} \chi_f^t(y)| + |\partial \Omega_f(t)|$$

Notice that $\chi_f^t: \Omega_f(t) \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$.

We will study the behavior of the ratio

$$I_f := \int_0^\infty \frac{\sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} |\chi_f^t(x) - \sigma_{xy} \chi_f^t(y)| dt}{\sum_{x \in V} |\chi_f^t(x)| dx dt}.$$

On the one hand, there exists $t_0 \in [0, \infty)$ s.t. (12)

$$\frac{\min_{\tau: \Omega_f(t_0) \rightarrow \{+, -\}} \left(\sum_{\{x, y\} \in E_{\Omega_f(t_0)}} |1 - \tau(x) \sigma_{xy} \tau(y)| + |\partial \Omega_f(t_0)| \right)}{\text{vol}(\Omega_f(t_0))} \leq I_f. \quad (\star)$$

On the other hand, we have:

Lemma: $I_f \leq \sqrt{2R^0(f)}$.

Proof: First, $\int_0^\infty \sum_{x \in V} |X_f^t(x)| dx dt = \sum_{x \in V} \int_0^{f(x)} dt dx = \sum_{x \in V} f(x)^2 dx. \quad (1)$

Secondly, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E} |X_f^t(x) - \sigma_{xy} X_f^t(y)| dt = \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E} \int_0^\infty |X_f^t(x) - \sigma_{xy} X_f^t(y)| dt. \quad (2)$$

Claim: For $\{x, y\} \in E$, $\int_0^\infty |X_f^t(x) - \sigma_{xy} X_f^t(y)| dt \leq |f(x) - \sigma_{xy} f(y)| (|f(x)| + |f(y)|)$.

Proof: This is an extension of Lemma II.3.4. (page 85).

Observe that, this is a property that only relates to the value of f at x and y . If we consider a new

function g s.t. $g(x) = f(x), g(y) = -\sigma_{xy} f(y)$.

Then we have $X_f^t(x) = X_g^t(x), -\sigma_{xy} X_f^t(y) = X_g^t(y)$

Hence by applying Lemma II.3.4, we have

$$\int_0^\infty |X_f^t(x) - \sigma_{xy} X_f^t(y)| dt = \int_0^\infty |X_g^t(x) + X_g^t(y)| dt \stackrel{\text{Lemma II.3.4}}{\leq} |g(x) + g(y)| (|g(x)| + |g(y)|)$$

$$= |f(x) - \sigma_{xy} f(y)| (|f(x)| + |f(y)|). \quad \square$$

By the claim, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} \int_0^\infty |X_{f(x)}^t - \sigma_{xy} X_{f(y)}^t| dt \\ & \leq \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} |f(x) - \sigma_{xy} f(y)| (|f(x)| + |f(y)|) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} |f(x) - \sigma_{xy} f(y)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} (|f(x)|^2 + |f(y)|^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{\leq \left(\sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} (2|f(x)|^2 + 2|f(y)|^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ & = \left(2 \sum_x |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \textcircled{3} \end{aligned}$$

Combining ①, ② and ③, we arrive at

$$I_f \leq \sqrt{2 R^\sigma(f)}. \quad \square$$

Combining (*) and Lemma leads to

$$h_1^\sigma \leq I_f \leq \sqrt{2 R^\sigma(f)}.$$

Setting f to be the eigenfunction to λ_1^σ provides

$$h_1^\sigma \leq \sqrt{2 \lambda_1^\sigma}. \quad \square$$

(II.8) Higher order Cheeger inequalities

For convenience, we denote for $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subseteq V$.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^\sigma(\Omega) & := \frac{e_{\min}(G_\Omega, \sigma_\Omega) + |\partial\Omega|}{\text{vol}(\Omega)} \\ & = \frac{\min_{\tau: \Omega \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} |1 - \tau(x)\tau(y)\sigma_{xy}| + |\partial\Omega|}{\text{vol}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

We can introduce a family of multi-way Cheeger constants as below. (114)

Definition. Given $1 \leq k \leq N$, the k -way Cheeger constant h_k^σ of a signed graph $\Gamma = (G, \sigma)$ is defined as

$$h_k^\sigma := \min_{\substack{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_k \subseteq V \\ \Omega_i \neq \emptyset, \forall i \\ \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \phi^\sigma(\Omega_i).$$

The subsets $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^k$ satisfying $\Omega_i \neq \emptyset, \forall i, \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ is called a k -subpartition of V .

Remark (1) Given $\Gamma = (G, \sigma)$, then

$h_k^\sigma = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Gamma$ has at least k balanced conn. components.

(2) By definition, we have the monotonicity $h_k^\sigma \leq h_{k+1}^\sigma$.

(3) When $k=1$, this is the Cheeger constant we studied in (I. 6) and (II. 7).

When $k=2$, and $\sigma \in [+]$, ~~we see~~

$$\textcircled{Q} h_2^\sigma = h_2^+ = \min_{\substack{\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subseteq V \\ \Omega_i \neq \emptyset \\ \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset}} \max_{i=1,2} \frac{|\partial \Omega_i|}{\text{vol}(\Omega_i)}$$

reduces to the classical Cheeger constant.

Theorem: Let $\Gamma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph. Then we have

$$\lambda_k^\sigma \leq 2 h_k^\sigma \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

Proof: Recall from the minimax principle that

$$\lambda_k^\sigma = \min_{L \in \mathcal{P}^k} \max \{ R^\sigma(f), f \in L \setminus \{0\} \}, \text{ where } \mathcal{P}^k \text{ is } \textcircled{115}$$

the collection of all k -dim. linear subspace of $l^2(V)$.

~~Consider the families of functions f_1, \dots, f_k such that~~

⊗ Suppose $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is the k -subpartition of V that achieves the k -way Cheeger constant, and $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are the switching functions achieves the $2 \times$ frustration index of each G_{Ω_i} (We can call τ_i the optimal switching function $^\circ$ of Ω_i). Define

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} \tau_i(x), & \text{if } x \in \Omega_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then f_i 's are disjointly supported, and hence orthogonal to each other, Therefore $\text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \in \mathcal{P}^k$. Then


$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k^\sigma &\leq \max_{f \in \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \setminus \{0\}} R^\sigma(f) \\ &= \max_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R} \\ a_1 \dots a_k \neq 0}} R^\sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R^\sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i\right) = \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} \sum_{j=1}^k a_j f_j(v) \right)^2}{\sum_{u \in V} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i(u) \right)^2 du.}$$

$$\sum_{u \in V} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i(u) \right)^2 du = \sum_{u \in V} \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 f_i(u)^2 du = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \text{vol}(\Omega_i). \quad \textcircled{1}$$

$$\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (a_i f_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} a_i f_i(v)) \right)^2 \leq \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i f_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} a_i f_i(v))^2$$

For given $\{u,v\} \in E$, ^{here} ~~this~~ \rightarrow  we have at most 2 nontrivial summands.

However, this estimate is too ~~generous~~. "generous"! (116)

When $\{u, v\} \in E_{\Omega_i}$ for some i , the summation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (a_i f_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} a_i f_i(v))$$

has only one nonzero summand. Therefore, define

$$C_{\{u,v\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{u,v\} \in \Omega_i \text{ for some } i \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i (f_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} f_i(v)) \right)^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} C_{\{u,v\}} \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 (f_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} f_i(v))^2 \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \left(\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E_{\Omega_i}} (f_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} f_i(v))^2 + 2 \sum_{\{u,v\} \in \partial \Omega_i} (f_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} f_i(v))^2 \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \left(2 \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E_{\Omega_i}} |f_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} f_i(v)| + 2 |\partial \Omega_i| \right) \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \left(\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E_{\Omega_i}} |\tau_i(u) - \sigma_{uv} \tau_i(v)| + |\partial \Omega_i| \right) \\ & = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \left(2e_{\min}(G_{\Omega_i}, \sigma_{\Omega_i}) + |\partial \Omega_i| \right) \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Combining ① & ② yields

$$R^\sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i \right) \leq \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \left(2e_{\min}(G_{\Omega_i}, \sigma_{\Omega_i}) + |\partial \Omega_i| \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \text{vol}(\Omega_i)}$$

$$\leq 2 \max_{i=1 \rightarrow k} \phi^\sigma(\Omega_i).$$

That means

$$\lambda_k^\sigma \leq 2 h_k^\sigma. \quad \square$$