

Lemma III, 1.5 For any $u \neq v$, we have

$$r'_{u,v}(0) \geq 0.$$

Proof: Since $r_{u,v}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{P_t(u,v)}{P_t(v,v)} = \frac{P'_t(u,v)P_t(v,v) - P_t(u,v)P'_t(v,v)}{P_t(v,v)^2}$,

it remains to show

$$P'_t(u,v)P_t(v,v) \geq P_t(u,v)P'_t(v,v) \quad \text{at } t=0$$

We have $P_0(v,v) = \frac{1}{d}$, $P_0(u,v) = 0$, since $P_0(u,v) = \langle P_0 \delta_v, \delta_u \rangle \frac{1}{d} = \frac{\sum_w \delta_{uw} \delta_{vw}}{d} = \delta_{uv}$

Moreover, $P'_t(u,v)|_{t=0} = \Delta_u P_t(u,v)|_{t=0} = (\Delta_u \cdot \delta_v)(u)$

$$= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{z \sim u} (\delta_v(z) - \delta_v(u))$$
$$= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{z \sim u} \delta_v(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d} & v \sim u \\ 0 & v \not\sim u \end{cases} \geq 0.$$

Therefore $P'_t(u,v)P_t(v,v)|_{t=0} = P'_t(u,v)|_{t=0} \geq 0 = P_0(u,v)P'_t(v,v)|_{t=0}$. □

Proof of Nica's Theorem:

Observe first that we need to show

$$h(t) := P'_t(u,v)P_t(v,v) - P_t(u,v)P'_t(v,v) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Recall that $P_t(u,v) = \langle P_t \delta_v, \delta_u \rangle \frac{1}{d} = (P_t \delta_v)(u)$

We use the spectral decomposition of P_t . Let us denote the 3 distinct eigenvalues by $0, \theta_1, \theta_2$.

$$\text{Then } P_t = I_0 + e^{-\theta_1 t} I_{\theta_1} + e^{-\theta_2 t} I_{\theta_2}$$

Where I_{θ_i} is the projection operator to the eigenspace of θ_i .

I_0 is the projection to the space spanned by const. fct.

$$\text{That is } I_0(u, v) = \frac{\int \phi_0(u) \phi_0(v) dv}{\text{vol}(V)}$$

$$\text{For regular graph, we have } I_0(u, v) = \frac{d}{|V| \cdot d} = \frac{1}{|V|} = \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } h(t) &= P_t'(u, v) P_t(v, u) - P_t(u, v) P_t'(v, u) \\ &= P_t'(u, v) P_t(v, u) - P_t(u, v) P_t'(v, u) \quad \text{(u, v) - entry of the matrix } P_t \\ &= \left(-\theta_1 e^{-t\theta_1} I_{\theta_1}(u, v) - \theta_2 e^{-t\theta_2} I_{\theta_2}(u, v) \right) \left(\frac{1}{N} + e^{-t\theta_1} I_{\theta_1}(v, u) + e^{-t\theta_2} I_{\theta_2}(v, u) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{N} + e^{-t\theta_1} I_{\theta_1}(u, v) + e^{-t\theta_2} I_{\theta_2}(u, v) \right) \left(-\theta_1 e^{-t\theta_1} I_{\theta_1}(v, u) - \theta_2 e^{-t\theta_2} I_{\theta_2}(v, u) \right) \\ &= \frac{\theta_1 e^{-t\theta_1}}{N} \left(-I_{\theta_1}(u, v) + I_{\theta_1}(v, v) \right) + \frac{\theta_2 e^{-t\theta_2}}{N} \left(-I_{\theta_2}(u, v) + I_{\theta_2}(v, v) \right) \\ &\quad + \theta_1 e^{-2t\theta_1} \left(-I_{\theta_1}(u, v) I_{\theta_1}(v, v) + I_{\theta_1}(v, v) I_{\theta_1}(u, v) \right) \\ &\quad + \theta_2 e^{-2t\theta_2} \left(I_{\theta_2}(u, v) I_{\theta_2}(v, v) - I_{\theta_2}(v, v) I_{\theta_2}(u, v) \right) \\ &\quad + e^{-t(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \left(-\theta_1 I_{\theta_1}(u, v) I_{\theta_2}(v, v) - \theta_2 I_{\theta_2}(u, v) I_{\theta_1}(v, v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \theta_2 I_{\theta_2}(v, v) I_{\theta_1}(u, v) + \theta_1 I_{\theta_1}(v, v) I_{\theta_2}(u, v) \right) \\ &= \frac{\theta_1}{N} \left(I_{\theta_1}(v, v) - I_{\theta_1}(u, v) \right) e^{-t\theta_1} + \frac{\theta_2}{N} \left(I_{\theta_2}(v, v) - I_{\theta_2}(u, v) \right) \\ &\quad + (\theta_1 - \theta_2) \left(I_{\theta_1}(v, v) I_{\theta_2}(u, v) - I_{\theta_1}(u, v) I_{\theta_2}(v, v) \right) e^{-t(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$g(t) := e^{t(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} h(t) = \underbrace{\frac{\theta_1}{N} (I_{\theta_1}(v, v) - I_{\theta_1}(u, u))}_{A} e^{t\theta_2} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta_2}{N} (I_{\theta_2}(v, v) - I_{\theta_2}(u, u))}_{B} e^{t\theta_1} + R$$

where R is independent of t .

Lemma III.1.6. We have $I_{\theta_1}(v, v) \geq I_{\theta_1}(u, u)$

and $I_{\theta_2}(v, v) \geq I_{\theta_2}(u, u)$

With the help of Lemma III.1.6, we see

$$g(t) = A e^{t\theta_2} + B e^{t\theta_1} + R \quad \text{with } A, B \geq 0.$$

Then $g'(t) = A\theta_2 e^{t\theta_2} + B\theta_1 e^{t\theta_1} \geq 0$. ~~since~~

Recall that we have by Lemma III.1.5 that

$$g(0) = h(0) \geq 0.$$

We derive $g(t) \geq 0, \forall t \Rightarrow h(t) \geq 0, \forall t. \quad \square$

Proof of Lemma III.1.6 :

The two projections $I_{\theta_1}, I_{\theta_2}$ satisfy

$$I_{\theta_1} + I_{\theta_2} = P_0 - I_0 = I_d - I_0$$

$$\text{and } \theta_1 I_{\theta_1} + \theta_2 I_{\theta_2} = -\Delta$$

Solving it leads to

$$I_{\theta_1} = \frac{(-\Delta) - \theta_2 (I_d - I_0)}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}, \quad I_{\theta_2} = \frac{(-\Delta) - \theta_1 (I_d - I_0)}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}$$

Therefore, $I_{\theta_1}(v, v) - I_{\theta_1}(u, u) = \frac{(-\Delta)(v, v) - \theta_2(1 - \frac{1}{N}) - (-\Delta)(u, u) + \theta_2(0 - \frac{1}{N})}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}$

$$= \frac{(-\Delta)(v,v) - \theta_2(-\Delta)(u,v)}{\theta_1 - \theta_2} = \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \frac{a_{uv}}{d}}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}$$

(82)

Recall from Lemma III.1.4, $\theta_2 \geq \frac{d+1}{d} = 1 + \frac{1}{d}$

That is, $1 - \theta_2 + \frac{a_{uv}}{d} \leq 0$

Therefore $I_{\theta_1}(v,v) - I_{\theta_1}(u,v) \geq 0$.

$$\text{Similarly, } I_{\theta_2}(v,v) - I_{\theta_2}(u,v) = \frac{(-\Delta)(v,v) - \theta_1(1 - \frac{1}{d}) - (-\Delta)(u,v) + \theta_1(1 - \frac{1}{d})}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \theta_1 + \frac{a_{uv}}{d}}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \geq 0.$$

In the last inequality, we use $\theta_1 \leq 1$. □

(III.2) Heat kernel on locally finite infinite graphs.

Consider a locally finite infinite graph $G = (V, E)$, and the vertex measure $\mu(x) = d_x, \forall x \in V$.

Recall $\ell^2(V) = \{ f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \sum_{x \in V} f(x)^2 d_x < \infty \}$

Prop III.2.1 $\Delta: \ell^2(V) \rightarrow \ell^2(V)$ is a bounded operator.

Proof. This follows from the calculation that for any

$f \in \ell^2(V)$,

$$\sum_{x \in V} (\Delta f(x))^2 d_x = \sum_{x \in V} \frac{1}{d_x} \left(\sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(y) - f(x)) \right)^2 d_x$$

$$= \sum_{x \in V} \frac{1}{d_x} \cdot \left(\sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(y) - f(x)) \right)^2 \stackrel{C-S}{\leq} \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(y) - f(x))^2 \stackrel{C-S}{\leq} 2 \sum_{x, y \in V} (f(x)^2 + f(y)^2)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E} (f(x) - f(y))^2 \stackrel{C-S}{\leq} 4 \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E} (f(x)^2 + f(y)^2) \stackrel{Fubini}{=} 2 \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} f(y)^2 + 2 \|f\|_2^2$$

$$= 4 \sum_{x \in V} f(x)^2 d_x = 4 \|f\|_2^2, \quad \text{That is, } \|\Delta f\|_2 \leq 2 \|f\|_2. \quad \square$$

i.e. $\|\Delta\| \leq 2$.

Prop III.2.2. $\Delta: \ell^2(V) \rightarrow \ell^2(V)$ is a self-adjoint operator. (183)

Proof: This can be seen as follows: for any $f, g \in \ell^2(V)$, we

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have } \langle \Delta f, g \rangle &= \sum_{x \in V} \frac{1}{d_x} \sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(y) - f(x)) g(x) \cdot d_x \\ &= \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(y)g(x) - f(x)g(x)). \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} |f(y)g(x) - f(x)g(x)| &\leq \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} |f(y)g(x)| + \sum_{x \in V} |f(x)g(x)| d_x \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} f(y)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} g(x)^2} + \langle f, g \rangle = \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fubini:} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_y \sum_{x: x \sim y} f(y)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{x \in V} g(x)^2 d_x} + \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \\ &= 2\|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini, we can interchange the order of summation in (1).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(y)g(x) - f(x)g(x)) \\ &= \sum_{y \in V} \sum_{x: x \sim y} (f(y)g(x) - f(x)g(x)) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(y)) \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta f, g \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(y)g(x) - f(x)g(x) + f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(y)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\langle f, \Delta g \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y: y \sim x} (g(y) - g(x))(f(y) - f(x))$$

That is $\langle \Delta f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta g \rangle$, $\forall f, g \in \ell^2(V)$. \square

We consider the heat equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \Delta u(x,t) \\ u(x,0) = f(x) \end{cases}$$

Since Δ is bounded, we can define P_t via the exponential of Δ by

$$P_t = e^{t\Delta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \Delta^n}{n!}$$

(The series converges in norm. (Reed-Simon I. pp. 264.)

We then can define the kernel by, $\forall x, y \in V$

$$P_t(x, y) = \langle P_t \frac{\delta_y}{dy}, \delta_x \rangle.$$

Then for any $f \in \ell^2(V)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_t f(x) &= P_t \left(\sum_y f(y) \delta_y \right) = \sum_y f(y) P_t(\delta_y)(x) \\ &= \sum_y f(y) \frac{P_t \delta_y(x)}{dy} \cdot dy \\ &= \sum_y f(y) P_t(x, y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider a finite, connected subgraph of G . The following is an analogue of Green's Thm:

Lemma III.2.3: For any $f, g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in D} \Delta f(x) g(x) dx &= - \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E_D} (f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{\{x, z\} \in E \\ x \in D, z \notin D}} (f(x) - f(z)) g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $\sum_{x \in D} \Delta f(x) g(x) dx = \sum_{x \in D} \sum_{y: y \sim x} (f(y) - f(x)) g(x)$

~~$\sum_{x \in D}$~~ Every edge $\{x, y\} \in E_D$ contributes
 $(f(y) - f(x))g(x)$ and $(f(x) - f(y))g(y)$

~~Every edge~~ which adds up to $-(f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))$

Every edge $\{x, z\} \in E$, $x \in D$, $z \notin D$ contributes
 $(f(z) - f(x))g(x)$ □.

Notations: A vertex x is said to be in the boundary of D , and if $x \in D$ and $\exists z \notin D$ s.t. $x \sim z$. Otherwise, x is said to be in the interior of D .

Observe that, if either f or g are zero on $V \setminus \text{int } D$, we have by the above lemma that

$$\langle \Delta f, g \rangle_D = \langle f, \Delta g \rangle_D.$$

Moreover, if one of f and g is finitely supported, it is true that $\langle \Delta f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta g \rangle$.

We next discuss the weak and strong maximum principles for heat equations:

Lemma III 2.4: Suppose D is a finite, connected subgraph of G .

and $u: D \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

is \mathbb{Q} continuous for $t \in [0, T]$ and C^1 for $t \in (0, T)$,

and satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) \leq \Delta u(x, t) \text{ on } \text{int } D \times (0, T)$$

Then.

(i) If $\exists (x_0, t_0) \in \text{int} D \times (0, T)$ s.t. (x_0, t_0) is a maximum (or minimum) for u on $D \times [0, T]$, then

$$u(x, t_0) = u(x_0, t_0) \text{ for all } x \in D.$$

$$(ii) \quad \max_{D \times [0, T]} u = \max_{D \times \{0\} \cup \partial D \times [0, T]} u.$$

$$\min_{D \times [0, T]} u = \min_{D \times \{0\} \cup \partial D \times [0, T]} u.$$

Proof: (i) At a maximum (or minimum) we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x_0, t_0) = 0$$

The heat equation then implies

$$\Delta u(x_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{dx_0} \sum_{y: y \sim x_0} \underbrace{(u(y, t_0) - u(x_0, t_0))}_{\leq 0} = 0$$

Therefore $u(y, t_0) = u(x_0, t_0), \forall y \sim x_0$.

By iterating the above argument, the assumption that D is connected yields the statement of (i).

(2). Consider $v = u - \varepsilon t$ for $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$\text{Then } \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u - \varepsilon = -\varepsilon < 0 \text{ in } \text{int} D \times (0, T].$$

If v has a maximum at $(x_0, t_0) \in \text{int} D \times (0, T]$, then

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(x_0, t_0) \geq 0 \text{ and } \Delta v(x_0, t_0) \leq 0.$$

Therefore we obtain $0 \leq -\varepsilon < 0$ a contradiction.

$$\text{Hence } \max_{D \times [0, T]} v = \max_{D \times \{0\} \cup \partial D \times [0, T]} v.$$

We then estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{D \times [0, T]} u &= \max_{D \times [0, T]} (u + \varepsilon t) \\
 &\leq \max_{D \times [0, T]} v + \varepsilon T \\
 &= \max_{D \times \{0\} \cup \partial D \times [0, T]} v + \varepsilon T \\
 &\leq \max_{D \times \{0\} \cup \partial D \times [0, T]} u + \varepsilon T
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we derive that

$$\max_{D \times [0, T]} u \leq \max_{D \times \{0\} \cup \partial D \times [0, T]} u$$

That is, $\max_{D \times [0, T]} u = \max_{D \times \{0\} \cup \partial D \times [0, T]} u$.

The claim for the minimum follows by applying the above argument to $-u$. \square

Remark: From the above proof, it follows that.

(i) if u satisfies $-\Delta u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \leq 0$ on $\text{int} D \times (0, T)$

then $\max_{D \times [0, T]} u = \max_{D \times \{0\} \cup \partial D \times [0, T]} u$.

(ii) if u satisfies $-\Delta u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \geq 0$ on $\text{int} D \times (0, T)$

then $\min_{D \times [0, T]} u = \min_{D \times \{0\} \cup \partial D \times [0, T]} u$. \square