

Perpetual cutoff semigroup

(1)

Let G be a locally finite graph. If we only know the $CD(K, \infty)$ holds at every vertex outside of a ^{finite} subset $\emptyset \neq W \subset V$, can we determine whether the graph is finite or not? For a general subset $\emptyset \neq W \subset V$, can we estimate the maximal distance to W ? For that purpose, we develop the theory of perpetual cutoff semigroup due to Münch (Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.)

Let us consider the closure of W defined as

$$cl(W) := \{v \in V : d(v, W) \leq 1\}$$

and the cutoff operator: for any $f \in \ell^\infty(V)$,

$$S^W f(x) = f(x) \vee \sup_{cl(W)} f, \quad \forall x \in V.$$

The resulting function $S^W f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function _{in $\ell^\infty(V)$} such that

$S^W f|_{cl(W)} \equiv \text{a constant } s_0$ and $S^W f(x) \geq s_0$ holds for any $x \in V$.

We define $\ell_W^\infty(V) := \{f \in \ell^\infty(V) : f|_{cl(W)} \equiv \text{const}, f \geq f|_{cl(W)}\}$
 $= \{f \in \ell^\infty(V) : f \geq f|_{cl(W)} \text{ for all } w \in cl(W)\}$

Subsequently, we define $Q_t^W : \ell^\infty(V) \rightarrow \ell_W^\infty(V)$ as

$$Q_t^W f := S^W P_t f = P_t f \vee \sup_{cl(W)} P_t f.$$

The perpetual cutoff semigroup $P_t^W : \ell^\infty(V) \rightarrow \ell_W^\infty(V)$ is defined as

$$P_t^W f := \sup_{t_1 + \dots + t_n = t} Q_{t_1}^W \dots Q_{t_n}^W f,$$

where the supremum is taken over the set $\{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, t_i > 0, t_1 + \dots + t_n = t\}$

Notice that ~~$P_t^W f$~~ $\|P_t^W f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ (see property (ii) below).
 $\|Q_t^W f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$

and $P_t^W f$ is the supremum of a set of functions in $L^\infty(V)$ with $\wedge$ uniform infinity-norm upper bound $\|f\|_\infty$, and hence $P_t^W f \in L^\infty(V)$.

Recall the characterization that $CD(K, \infty) \Leftrightarrow$

$$\Gamma(P_t f) \leq e^{-2Kt} P_t(\Gamma f), \quad \forall f \in L^\infty(V).$$

We aim at establishing the following gradient estimate.

Thm (Münch). Let G be a locally finite graph. Suppose that $CD(K, \infty)$ holds on $V \setminus W$ for some $\emptyset \neq W \subset V$. Then

$$\Gamma(P_t^W f) \leq e^{-2Kt} P_t(\Gamma f), \quad \forall f \in L^\infty(V)$$

The ^{1st} difficulty of such kind of result lie in the unknown curvature property on W . However for cutoff semigroup P_t^W , we have

$$\Gamma(P_t^W f)|_W = 0 \quad \text{since } P_t^W f \equiv \text{const on } d(W)$$

Recall the general result that

$$\Gamma_2(f)(x) = Hf(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta f(x))^2 - \Gamma(f)(x).$$

Applying the above identity yields

$$\Gamma_2(P_t^W f)|_W \geq 0. \quad \swarrow \text{for any } K$$

Therefore $\Gamma_2(P_t^W f) \geq 0 = K\Gamma(P_t^W f)$ holds on W .

That is, $\Gamma_2(f) \geq K\Gamma(f)$ holds for any $x \in W$ and $f \in L^\infty(V)$.

This "solves" the 1st difficulty and the next thing to do is to show ^{necessary} ~~certain~~ analogues of P_t^W to P_t .

In last lecture, we have show the following three properties of P_t^W :

①

Thm. Let $G=(V,E)$ be a locally finite graph.

Let $\emptyset \neq W \subset V$. Let $f \in \ell_\infty^W(V)$.

Then for $x \in V$ and $s, t \geq 0$,

(i) $P_s^W \circ P_t^W = P_{s+t}^W$. (semigroup property)

(ii) $\|P_t^W f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$.

(iii) $\|P_t^W f - f\|_\infty \leq 2t \|f\|_\infty$.

Proof. (i) Let $S = \{0 < \sigma_1 < \dots < \sigma_m = s\}$ be a partition of $[0, s]$ and $T = \{0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_n = t\}$ be a partition of $[0, t]$.

We denote $Q_S^W := Q_{\sigma_m - \sigma_{m-1}}^W \circ \dots \circ Q_{\sigma_1}^W$, $Q_T^W = Q_{\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}}^W \circ \dots \circ Q_{\tau_1}^W$.

[Monotonicity : If T' is a refinement of T , then

$$Q_{T'}^W f \geq Q_T^W f.$$

For the proof, we only need to show

$$Q_{t_2}^W Q_{t_1}^W f \geq Q_{t_1+t_2}^W f$$

This is because

$$P_{t_2} (P_{t_1} f \vee \sup_{cl(W)} P_{t_1} f) \geq P_{t_2} P_{t_1} f = P_{t_2+t_1} f$$

$$\Rightarrow S^W (P_{t_2} (P_{t_1} f \vee \sup_{cl(W)} P_{t_1} f)) \geq S^W (P_{t_1+t_2} f)$$

That is $Q_{t_2}^W Q_{t_1}^W f \geq Q_{t_1+t_2}^W f$. □]

Next, we show $P_s^W \circ P_t^W = P_{s+t}^W$. On the one hand,

$$Q_S^W \circ Q_T^W f = Q_{(t+s) \cup T}^W f \leq P_{t+s}^W f$$

Taking supremum over all partitions S of $[0, s]$, and all partitions

(2)

T of $[0, t]$ in the left hand side, yields

$$P_s^W \circ P_t^W f \leq P_{s+t}^W f. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, for any partition U of $[0, s+t]$, we consider its refinement $U' := U \cup \{t\}$, and the partition

$T = U' \cap [0, t]$, and partition $S := (U - t) \cap [0, s]$. Then

$$P_s^W \circ P_t^W f \geq Q_s^W \circ Q_T^W f = Q_{U'}^W f \geq Q_U^W f.$$

Taking supremum over all partitions U of $[0, s+t]$ yields

$$P_s^W \circ P_t^W f \geq P_{s+t}^W f. \quad (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2) leads to $P_{s+t}^W f = P_s^W \circ P_t^W f$. $\square$

(ii) On the one hand, we have

$$\sup_V Q_t^W f = \sup_V (P_t f \vee \sup_{\mathcal{L}(W)} P_t f) \leq \sup_V f$$

Moreover, using the above estimate iteratively, we have

$$\sup_V Q_{t_1}^W \cdots Q_{t_n}^W f \leq \sup_V f.$$

This leads to $\sup_V P_t^W f \leq \sup_V f$. (A)

Similarly, we have on the other hand

$$\inf_V Q_t^W f = \inf_V (P_t f \vee \sup_{\mathcal{L}(W)} P_t f) \geq \inf_V f.$$

This leads to $\inf_V P_t^W f \geq \inf_V f$. (B)

Combining (A) and (B) yields $\|P_t^W f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$.

Remark: In (i) and (ii), we only need $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(V)$. (not necessarily $f \in \mathcal{L}_W^\infty(V)$).

(iii) First recall the analogous result for P_t :

$$|P_t f - f| \leq t \cdot |\Delta \circ P_s f| \leq 2t \|f\|_\infty$$

for some $s \in (0, t)$

~~Here~~ Next, we consider $Q_t^w f - f = P_t f - f$ where $P_t f = \sup_{\mathcal{C}(t, w)} P_t f$. ③

At $x \in V$ s.t. $P_t f(x) > \sup_{\mathcal{C}(t, w)} P_t f$, we have

$$|Q_t^w f(x) - f(x)| = |P_t f(x) - f(x)| \leq 2t \|f\|_\infty.$$

At $x \in V$ s.t. $P_t f(x) \leq \sup_{\mathcal{C}(t, w)} P_t f$, we have

$$Q_t^w f(x) - f(x) \geq P_t f(x) - f(x) \geq -2t \|f\|_\infty \quad (I)$$

$$\text{and } Q_t^w f(x) - f(x) \leq \inf_V Q_t^w f - f(x) \leq \inf_V Q_t^w f - \inf_V f$$

For $f \in \ell_\infty^w(V)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_V Q_t^w f - \inf_V f &= \sup_{\mathcal{C}(t, w)} P_t f - \sup_{\mathcal{C}(t, w)} f \leq \sup_{\mathcal{C}(t, w)} (P_t f - f) \\ &\leq 2t \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } Q_t^w f(x) - f(x) \leq 2t \|f\|_\infty \quad (II)$$

Combining (I) and (II) leads to $\|Q_t^w f - f\|_\infty \leq 2t \|f\|_\infty$

Iteratively applying this inequality, for any $f \in \ell_\infty^w(V)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_{t_1}^w Q_{t_2}^w f - f\|_\infty &= \|Q_{t_1}^w Q_{t_2}^w f - Q_{t_2}^w f + Q_{t_2}^w f - f\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|Q_{t_1}^w Q_{t_2}^w f - Q_{t_2}^w f\|_\infty + \|Q_{t_2}^w f - f\|_\infty \\ &\leq 2t_1 \|Q_{t_2}^w f\|_\infty + 2t_2 \|f\|_\infty \leq 2(t_1 + t_2) \|f\|_\infty. \\ &\quad \leq \|f\|_\infty \text{ by (i)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Q_{t_1}^w Q_{t_2}^w \dots Q_{t_n}^w f - f\|_\infty \leq 2(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n) \|f\|_\infty.$$

Taking supremum, we derive

$$\|P_t^w f - f\|_\infty \leq 2t \|f\|_\infty. \quad \square$$

We next show three properties about the time-derivative of $P_t^W f$. (4)

Thm: Let $f \in L_W^\infty(V)$. Then for $x \in V$, and $t \geq 0$,

$$(iv) \quad \partial_t^+ P_t^W f(x) \Big|_{t=0} = \partial_t^+ Q_t^W f(x) \Big|_{t=0} = \begin{cases} S^W \Delta f(x) : f(x) = \inf_V f \\ \Delta f(x) : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(v) \quad \|\partial_t^+ P_t^W f\|_\infty \leq \|\Delta P_t^W f\|_\infty$$

$$(vi) \quad \partial_t^+ \Gamma P_t^W f \leq 2 \Gamma(P_t^W f, \Delta P_t^W f).$$

Proof: Due to the semigroup property $P_s^W \circ P_t^W = P_{s+t}^W$ and

$P_t^W f \in L_W^\infty(V)$, Property (iv) implies immediately that

$$\partial_t^+ P_t^W f(x) = \begin{cases} S^W \Delta P_t^W f(x) : P_t^W f(x) = \inf_V P_t^W f \\ \Delta P_t^W f(x) : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, Property (v) follows immediately from (iv).

Next, we prove (iv). ~~Observe~~ ^{Recall} that

$$Q_t^W f(x) = P_t f(x) \vee \sup_{cl(W)} P_t f.$$

By mean-value theorem, $\exists s \in [0, t]$ s.t.

$$P_t f(x) = f(x) + t \Delta P_s f. \quad (*)$$

Moreover, $\|\Delta P_s f - \Delta f\|_\infty \leq 2 \|P_s f - f\|_\infty \leq 4s \|f\|_\infty$.

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & |P_t f(x) - (f + t \Delta f)(x)| \\ &= |f(x) + t \Delta P_s f(x) - f(x) - t \Delta f(x)| = t |\Delta P_s f(x) - \Delta f(x)| \\ &\leq 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

Claim: $\left| \sup_{cl(W)} P_t f - \left(t \sup_{cl(W)} \Delta f + \sup_{cl(W)} f \right) \right| \leq 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty.$

Proof: $\sup_{cl(W)} P_t f - \sup_{cl(W)} f = \sup_{cl(W)} (P_t f - f) \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} t \sup_{cl(W)} \sup_{[0,t]} \Delta P_s f.$

On the other hand

$$\sup_{cl(w)} P_t f - \sup_{cl(w)} f = \sup_{cl(w)} (P_t f - f) \stackrel{(*)}{\geq} t \sup_{cl(w)} \inf_{[0,t]} \Delta P_s f.$$

Applying $\|\Delta P_s f - \Delta f\|_\infty \leq 4s \|f\|_\infty$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{cl(w)} P_t f - \sup_{cl(w)} f &\leq \sup_{cl(w)} \sup_{[0,t]} \Delta P_s f \leq \sup_{cl(w)} \sup_{[0,t]} (\Delta f + 4s \|f\|_\infty) \\ &= t \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f + 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty \quad (A) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{cl(w)} P_t f - \sup_{cl(w)} f &\geq t \sup_{cl(w)} \inf_{[0,t]} \Delta P_s f \\ &\geq t \sup_{cl(w)} \inf_{[0,t]} (\Delta f - 4s \|f\|_\infty) \\ &= t \sup_{cl(w)} (\Delta f - 4t \|f\|_\infty) \\ &= t \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f - 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty. \quad (B) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (A) and (B) yields

$$\left| \sup_{cl(w)} P_t f - \left(t \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f + \sup_{cl(w)} f \right) \right| \leq 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty,$$

as claimed. $\square$

Putting (**) and Claim together, we obtain

$$(f + t \Delta f)(x) \vee \left(t \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f + \sup_{cl(w)} f \right) - 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty \leq \underbrace{P_t f(x)}_{Q_t^w f(x)} \vee \sup_{cl(w)} P_t f \leq (f + t \Delta f)(x) \vee \left(t \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f + \sup_{cl(w)} f \right) + 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty.$$

That is

$$\left| Q_t^w f(x) - (f + t \Delta f)(x) \vee \left(t \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f + \sup_{cl(w)} f \right) \right| \leq 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty$$

Note that $f(x) = Q_0^w f(x)$ for $f \in \ell_w^\infty(V)$. Let us compare

$$(f + t \Delta f)(x) \quad \text{and} \quad t \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f + \sup_{cl(w)} f.$$

Case 1. $f(x) = \sup_{cl(w)} f$. Then we have $|Q_t^w f(x) - f(x) - t S^w \Delta f(x)| \leq 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty$.

Case 2. If $f(x) > \sup_{\text{cl}(W)} f = \inf_{\text{cl}(W)} f$. Then for small enough $t > 0$, ⑥

we have $f(x) + t \Delta f(x) \geq t \sup_{\text{cl}(W)} \Delta f + \inf_{\text{cl}(W)} f$, and hence

$$|Q_t^W f - f(x) - t \Delta f(x)| \leq 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty.$$

Now, we arrive at $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{Q_t^W f - f(x)}{t} = \begin{cases} S^W \Delta f & \text{if } f(x) = \inf_{\text{cl}(W)} f \\ \Delta f(x) & \text{if } f(x) > \inf_{\text{cl}(W)} f \end{cases}$

Hence $\partial_t^+ Q_t^W f$ exists and $\partial_t^+ Q_t^W f = \begin{cases} S^W \Delta f & \text{if } f(x) = \inf_{\text{cl}(W)} f \\ \Delta f(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} := L^W f(x).$

In order to derive the result on $P_t^W f$, we need apply ~~the~~ iteratively the following estimate

$$|Q_t^W f - f(x) - t L^W f(x)| \leq 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty$$

For any $t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n = t$, we derive

$$\underbrace{|Q_{t_n}^W \dots Q_{t_1}^W f(x) - Q_{t_{n-1}}^W \dots Q_{t_1}^W f(x) - t_n L^W Q_{t_{n-1}}^W \dots Q_{t_1}^W f(x)|}_{\substack{\text{ii} \\ f_n(x) \\ f_n}} \leq 4t_n^2 \|Q_{t_{n-1}}^W \dots Q_{t_1}^W f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$$

$$|f_n(x) - f_{n-1}(x) - t_n L^W f_{n-1}(x)| \leq 4t_n^2 \|f\|_\infty.$$

$$|f_{n-1}(x) - f_{n-2}(x) - t_{n-1} L^W f_{n-2}(x)| \leq 4t_{n-1}^2 \|f\|_\infty$$

⋮

$$|f_1(x) - f(x) - t_1 L^W f(x)| \leq 4t_1^2 \|f\|_\infty$$

Summing up, we obtain

$$|f_n(x) - f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{k+1} L^W f_k(x)| \leq 4 \underbrace{(t_1^2 + \dots + t_n^2)}_{\leq (t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n)^2 = t^2} \|f\|_\infty$$

Observation: $L^W f_k(x) - L^W f(x) \leq \cancel{2\|f_k - f\|_\infty}, 4t\|f\|_\infty$ for small t .

Recall $L^w f(x) = \begin{cases} \Delta f(x) \vee \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f, & \text{if } f(x) = \inf f. \\ \Delta f(x), & \text{if } f(x) > \inf f. \end{cases} \quad (7)$

Case 1 $f(x) = \inf f$.

Then $L^w f_k(x) = \text{either } \Delta f_k(x) \vee \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f_k \text{ or } \Delta f_k(x)$
 $\leq \Delta f_k(x) \vee \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f_k$

and $L f(x) = \Delta f(x) \vee \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f$.

Since $|\Delta f_k(x) - \Delta f(x)| \leq 2 \|f_k - f\|_\infty \stackrel{\text{Proof of (ii) on page 3}}{\leq} 4t \|f\|_\infty$, we have

$\Delta f_k(x) \leq \Delta f(x) + 4t \|f\|_\infty$ and

$\sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f_k - \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f \leq \sup_{cl(w)} (\Delta f_k - \Delta f) \leq 4t \|f\|_\infty$.

Combining leads to

$$L^w f_k(x) \leq \Delta f_k(x) \vee \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f_k \leq \Delta f(x) \vee \sup_{cl(w)} \Delta f + 4t \|f\|_\infty$$

$$= L f(x) + 4t \|f\|_\infty.$$

as claimed.

Case 2 $f(x) > \inf f$.

Since $\|f_k - f\| \leq 2t \|f\|_\infty$, we know for small enough t ,

$f_k(x) > \inf f_k$ holds.

Hence for such t , we have $L^w f_k(x) = \Delta f_k(x)$ and

$L^w f(x) = \Delta f(x)$ and

$L^w f_k(x) - L^w f(x) = \Delta f_k(x) - \Delta f(x) \leq 4t \|f\|_\infty$

as claimed. $\square$

Employing this observation, we continue to estimate

$$f_n(x) - f(x) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{k+1} L^w f_k(x) + 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{k+1} (L^w f(x) + 4t \|f\|_\infty) + 4t^2 \|f\|_\infty. \text{ for } t \text{ small.}$$

⑧

$$= t L^w f(x) + 8t^2 \|f\|_\infty \quad \text{for } t \text{ small.}$$

Therefore, we obtain by taking supremum that

$$\frac{P_t^w f(x) - f(x)}{t} \leq L^w f(x) + 8t \|f\|_\infty,$$

and hence

$$\overline{\partial_t^+ P_t^w f} \Big|_{t=0} = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{P_t^w f(x) - f(x)}{t} \leq L^w f(x). \quad (*\#1)$$

On the other hand, we have $P_t^w f(x) \geq Q_t^w f(x)$ by definition,

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\partial_t^+ P_t^w f} \Big|_{t=0} &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{P_t^w f(x) - f(x)}{t} \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{Q_t^w f(x) - f(x)}{t} \\ &= \partial_t^+ Q_t^w f(x) = L^w f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Combining $(*\#1)$ and $(*\#2)$ yields

$$L^w f(x) \leq \underline{\partial_t^+ P_t^w f} \Big|_{t=0} \leq \overline{\partial_t^+ P_t^w f} \Big|_{t=0} \leq L^w f(x),$$

which implies that $\partial_t^+ P_t^w f \Big|_{t=0}$ exists and

$$\partial_t^+ P_t^w f \Big|_{t=0} = L^w f(x), \quad \text{proving (iv), and hence (v).}$$

Remark. Due to Next we show (vi).

It is direct to check

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^+ \Gamma(P_t^w f) &= \partial_t^+ \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta (P_t^w f)^2 - P_t^w f \Delta P_t^w f \right) \\ &\stackrel{\partial_t^+ \text{ and } \Delta \text{ commute}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \Delta (2 P_t^w f \cdot \partial_t^+ P_t^w f) - \partial_t^+ P_t^w f \Delta P_t^w f \\ &\quad - P_t^w f \Delta \partial_t^+ P_t^w f \\ &= 2 \Gamma(P_t^w f, \partial_t^+ P_t^w f) \end{aligned}$$

Due to the semigroup property (i), it is enough to check

$$\partial_t^+ \Gamma P_t^w f \leq 2\Gamma(P_t^w f, \Delta P_t^w f) \text{ at } t=0. \quad (9)$$

By Property (iv), we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^+ \Gamma P_t^w f|_{t=0} &= 2\Gamma(P_t^w f, \partial_t^+ P_t^w f)|_{t=0} \\ &= 2\Gamma(f, \partial_t^+ P_t^w f|_{t=0}) \\ &= 2\Gamma(f, \partial_t^+ Q_t^w f|_{t=0}) = \partial_t^+ \Gamma Q_t^w f|_{t=0}. \end{aligned}$$

(We used $P_t^w f|_{t=0} = Q_t^w|_{t=0} = f$).

~~By mean value theorem, we have~~

$$\partial_t^+ \Gamma Q_t^w f|_{t=0} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(Q_t^w f) - \Gamma(f)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(S^w P_t f) - \Gamma(f)}{t}$$

↑
existence guaranteed by (iv)

Observation: $\Gamma(S^w f) \leq \Gamma(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(V)$.

This can be checked directly by $\Gamma(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{dx} \sum_{y: |x,y| \leq \epsilon} (f(y) - f(x))^2$.
Therefore, we have

$$\partial_t^+ \Gamma Q_t^w f|_{t=0} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(P_t f) - \Gamma(f)}{t} = 2t \Gamma(f, \Delta f).$$

Putting together, we obtain

$$\partial_t^+ \Gamma P_t^w f|_{t=0} = \partial_t^+ \Gamma Q_t^w f|_{t=0} \leq 2t \Gamma(f, \Delta f),$$

as claimed. $\square$

Finally, we check the following key property.

Thm. ~~Let~~ For any $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(V)$, we have

$P_\infty^w f := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t^w f$ is a constant function on V .

Proof: Observe that $\inf_V P_t f \stackrel{\text{for } \delta > 0}{\leq} P_{t+\delta} f = P_\delta(P_t f) \leq \sup_V P_t f$

Recall in the proof of (ii), we check that this is still ⁽¹⁰⁾ true for P_t^w :

$$\inf_v P_t^w f \leq P_{t+\delta}^w f = P_\delta^w(P_t^w f) \leq \sup_v P_t^w f$$

That is, $\inf_v P_t^w f$ is nondecreasing, and $\sup_v P_t^w f$ is non-increasing in t . Let us denote by

$$c := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf_v P_t^w f, \text{ and } C := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_v P_t^w f.$$

Claim. $P_t f \leq Q_t^w f \leq P_t f + \inf_v Q_t^w f - \inf_v f$

$$P_t f \leq P_t^w f \leq P_t f + \inf_v P_t^w f - \inf_v f, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{L}_w^\infty(V).$$

PF. $P_t f \leq Q_t^w f \leq P_t^w f$ is by definition. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t^w f &= P_t f \vee \sup_{(clw)} P_t f \\ &= P_t f \vee \inf_v Q_t^w f \leq P_t f + \inf_v Q_t^w f - \inf_v f. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is due to the fact that both $P_t f - \inf_v f$ and $\inf_v Q_t^w f - \inf_v f$ are non-negative. $\square$

Applying the ineq. about Q_t^w iteratively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{t_1}^w Q_{t_2}^w f &\leq P_{t_1} Q_{t_2}^w f + \inf_v Q_{t_1}^w Q_{t_2}^w f - \inf_v Q_{t_2}^w f \\ &\leq P_{t_1} (P_{t_2} f + \underbrace{\inf_v Q_{t_2}^w f - \inf_v f}_{\text{constant}}) + \inf_v Q_{t_1}^w Q_{t_2}^w f - \inf_v Q_{t_2}^w f \\ &\leq P_{t_1} \circ P_{t_2} f + \cancel{\inf_v Q_{t_2}^w f} - \inf_v f + \inf_v Q_{t_1}^w Q_{t_2}^w f - \cancel{\inf_v Q_{t_2}^w f} \\ &= P_{t_1+t_2} f + \inf_v Q_{t_1}^w Q_{t_2}^w f - \inf_v f. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $t_1 + \dots + t_n = t$, we derive.

$$Q_{t_1}^w \dots Q_{t_n}^w f \leq P_t f + \inf Q_{t_1}^w \dots Q_{t_n}^w f - \inf f. \quad (11)$$

Taking supremum, we obtain

$$P_t^w f \leq P_t f + \inf P_t^w f - \inf f, \text{ as claimed. } \square$$

Look at the inequality again,

$$P_t f \leq P_t^w f \leq P_t f + \underbrace{\inf P_t^w f - \inf f}_{\downarrow}.$$

Recall that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf P_t^w f = c, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t f \text{ is a const fct. (?)}$$

We hope to control $\inf P_t^w f - \inf f$.

~~Pick $T > 0$~~ For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists T > 0$ s.t.

$$|c - \inf P_T^w f| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_t(P_T^w f) &\leq P_t^w P_T^w f \leq P_t(P_T^w f) + \inf P_t^w(P_T^w f) - \inf P_T^w f \\ &= P_t(P_T^w f) + \inf P_{t+T}^w f - \inf P_T^w f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow P_t(P_T^w f) &\leq P_{t+T}^w f \leq P_t(P_T^w f) + c - \inf P_T^w f \\ &\leq P_t(P_T^w f) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

~~$\exists T' > 0$ s.t.~~

$$\left| P_{T'}(P_T^w f) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(P_T^w f) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(P_T^w f) - \varepsilon \leq P_{T+T}^w f \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(P_T^w f) + \varepsilon.$$

That is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, ~~$\exists T'$~~ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(P_T^w f) \leq C = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{t+T}^w f \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(P_T^w f) + \varepsilon$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(P_T^w f) \leq C = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{t+T}^w f \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(P_T^w f) + \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow -\varepsilon \leq C - c \leq \varepsilon. \text{ This means } C = c. \quad \square$$

Now, we are prepared to show the gradient estimate. (12)
on page ⑥.

Proof for $x \in V$ Let $F(s) = e^{2ks} P_{t-s} (\Gamma P_s^w f)(x)$ Then

$$\partial_s^+ F(s) = 2kF(s) + e^{2ks} \partial_s^+ (P_{t-s} \Gamma P_s^w f)(x). \quad \triangleleft$$

Observe

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{P_{t-s-\varepsilon} \Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f)(x) - P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_s^w f)(x)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{P_{t-s-\varepsilon} \Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f)(x) - P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f)(x) + P_{t-s} (\Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f) - \Gamma(P_s^w f))(x)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{P_{t-s-\varepsilon} \Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f)(x) - P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f)(x)}{\varepsilon} + P_{t-s} \left(\frac{\Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f) - \Gamma(P_s^w f)}{\varepsilon} \right)(x) \end{aligned}$$

By property (vi) $|\partial_s^+ \Gamma(P_s^w f)| \leq 2|\Gamma(P_s^w f, \Delta P_s^w f)|$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq 2 \cdot 2 \|P_s^w f\|_\infty \|\Delta P_s^w f\|_\infty \\ & \leq 4 \|f\|_\infty \cdot 2 \|f\|_\infty = 8 \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then we derive from dominant convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P_{t-s} \left(\frac{\Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f) - \Gamma(P_s^w f)}{\varepsilon} \right)(x) = P_{t-s} (\partial_s^+ \Gamma(P_s^w f))(x)$$

For the 1st term, by mean-value theorem, we have $\exists \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in [0, \varepsilon]$

s.t. $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{t-s-\varepsilon} \Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f)(x) - P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f)(x)}{\varepsilon}$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[-\Delta P_{t-s-\delta(\varepsilon)} \Gamma(P_{s+\varepsilon}^w f)(x) \right] = -\Delta P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_s^w f)(x)$$

In conclusion, we arrive at

$$\partial_s^+ (P_{t-s} \Gamma P_s^w f)(x) = -\Delta P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_s^w f)(x) + P_{t-s} (\partial_s^+ \Gamma(P_s^w f))(x)$$

(13)

For $f \in \ell^\infty(V)$, we have the commutativity of Δ and P_t .
 i.e. $P_t \Delta f = \Delta P_t f$. Therefore

$$\partial_s^+ (P_{t-s} \Gamma P_s^w f)(x) = P_{t-s} \left((\partial_s^+ - \Delta) \Gamma (P_s^w f) \right)(x).$$

Inserting into $\langle 1 \rangle$, we have

$$\partial_s^+ F(s) = 2K F(s) + e^{2ks} P_{t-s} \left((\partial_s^+ - \Delta) \Gamma P_s^w f \right)(x)$$

$$\stackrel{(vi)}{\leq} 2K F(s) + e^{2ks} P_{t-s} \underbrace{\left(2 \Gamma(P_s^w f, \Delta P_s^w f) - \Delta \Gamma P_s^w f \right)}_{=-2 \Gamma_2(P_s^w f)}(x)$$

$$= 2K F(s) - 2e^{2ks} P_{t-s} (\Gamma_2(P_s^w f))(x).$$

$$CD(K, \infty) \text{ on } V \setminus W \Rightarrow \Gamma_2(P_s^w f) \geq K \Gamma(P_s^w f) \text{ on } V \setminus W.$$

$$P_s^w f \in \ell^\infty(V) \Rightarrow \Gamma_2(P_s^w f) \geq 0 = K \Gamma(P_s^w f) \text{ on } W$$

Hence, we have $\Gamma_2(P_s^w f) \geq K \Gamma(P_s^w f)$ on the whole V .

$$\Rightarrow \partial_s^+ F(s) \leq 2K F(s) - 2e^{2ks} P_{t-s} (K \Gamma(P_s^w f))(x)$$

$$= 2K F(s) - 2K F(s) = 0.$$

Hence, $F(s)$ is nonincreasing which implies that
 $P_t(\Gamma f)(x) = F(0) \geq F(t) = e^{2kt} \Gamma(P_t^w f)(x). \quad \square$

Thm (Münch). Let G be a locally finite graph. Suppose that
 G satisfies $CD(K, \infty)$ on $V \setminus W$ for some $K > 0$ and $\emptyset \neq W \subset V$.

Then
$$d(\cdot, W) \leq \frac{2}{K} + 1.$$

Proof. It remains to show

$$d(\cdot, cl(w)) \leq \frac{2}{K} \quad (14)$$

Let $f := d(\cdot, cl(w)) \wedge \frac{3}{K} \in \mathcal{L}_w^\infty(V)$. We have for $x \in V$, $d(x, cl(w)) \wedge \frac{3}{K} = f(x) = f(x) - f(w)$ for some $w \in cl(w)$.

that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x) - f(w) = f(x) - P_t^w f(x) + P_t^w f(x) - P_t^w f(w) \\ &\quad + P_t^w f(w) - f(w) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |\partial_t^+ P_t^w f(x)| dt + |P_t^w f(x) - P_t^w f(w)| \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty |\partial_t^+ P_t^w f(w)| dt \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the following result:

□ For $F: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function, if $|\partial_t^+ F| < \infty$ everywhere, then

$$F(b) - F(a) \leq \int_a^b \partial_t^+ F(t) dt$$

on every interval $[a, b]$ where $\int$ stands for the upper Riemann integral. See Hagood and Thomson, Recovering a function from a Dini derivative, Amer. Math. Monthly 113 (2006), no. 1, 34-46.

By gradient  estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^+ P_t^w f(x)|^2 &\stackrel{(v)}{\leq} \|\Delta P_t^w f\|_\infty^2 \leq 2 \|\Gamma P_t^w f\|_\infty \stackrel{Kf \leq 2\Gamma(f)}{\leq} 2 \cdot e^{-2kt} \|\Gamma f\|_\infty \\ &\leq 2 \cdot e^{-2kt} \|\Gamma f\|_\infty \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ f \text{ is Lipschitz}}}{\leq} 2e^{-2kt} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = e^{-2kt}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence, } f(x) \leq \underbrace{2 \int_0^\infty e^{-kt} dt}_{=\frac{2}{K}} + |P_t^w f(x) - P_t^w f(w)|.$$

$$\text{Since } |\Gamma P_t^w f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{-2kt} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ (since } K > 0 \text{).}$$

We derive from connectivity of G that

$$|P_t^w f(x) - P_t^w f(w)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, $f(x) = d(\cdot, c(w)) \wedge \frac{3}{K} \leq \frac{2}{K}$.

This tells $d(\cdot, c(w)) \leq \frac{2}{K}$.