

# Notes for Functional Analysis

Wang Zuoqin (typed by Xiyu Zhai)

October 16, 2015

## 1 Lecture 11

### 1.1 The closed graph theorem

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be any map between topological spaces. We define its *graph* to be the set

$$\Gamma_f = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\} \subset X \times Y.$$

We say  $f$  has *closed graph* if  $\Gamma_f$  is closed in  $X \times Y$  (equipped with the product topology).

The closedness of the graph  $\Gamma_f$  is closely related to the continuity of  $f$ :

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y$  be a Hausdorff space. Suppose  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. Then  $\Gamma_f$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega = X \times Y \setminus \Gamma_f$  be the complement of the set  $\Gamma_f$  in  $X \times Y$ . We want to prove that  $\Omega$  is open.

Fix any  $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$ , then by definition,  $(x_0, y_0) \notin \Gamma_f$ , i.e.

$$y_0 \neq f(x_0).$$

Since  $Y$  is Hausdorff, one can find a neighborhood  $V$  of  $y_0$  and a neighborhood  $W$  of  $f(x_0)$  such that

$$V \cap W = \emptyset.$$

By continuity of  $f$ , one can find a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $U \subset f^{-1}(W)$ , i.e.,

$$f(U) \subset W.$$

So  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ , i.e.

$$(U \times V) \cap \Gamma_f = \emptyset.$$

It follows that  $U \times V \subset \Omega$  is a neighborhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$  in  $X \times Y$ . So  $\Omega$  is open.  $\square$

*Remark 1.3.* The Hausdorff property of the target space  $Y$  is necessary. In fact, if we consider

$$f = \text{Id} : X \rightarrow X,$$

where  $X$  is any topological space, then  $f$  is obviously continuous. The graph  $\Gamma_f$  of  $f$  is the diagonal

$$\Delta = \{(x, x)\} \subset X \times X.$$

It is easy to see that  $\Gamma_f$  is closed iff  $X$  is Hausdorff.

*Remark 1.4.* One can prove: If  $K$  is a compact set in a metric space, and  $f : K \rightarrow K$  has closed graph, then  $f$  is continuous. (A widely used compact-Hausdorff argument)

As an application of the open mapping theorem, we can prove

**Theorem 1.5** (The closed graph theorem). *Let  $X, Y$  be  $F$ -spaces, and  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear operator. Suppose  $\Gamma_L$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ , then  $L$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $d_X, d_Y$  be compatible complete translation-invariant metrics on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Then (exercise)

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) := d_X(x_1, x_2) + d_Y(y_1, y_2)$$

is a compatible complete translation-invariant metric on  $X \times Y$ , making  $X \times Y$  into an  $F$ -space. (Note: need to check that the metric topology induced by  $d$  is the same thing as the product topology.)

Now consider the graph

$$\Gamma_L = \{(x, Lx) | x \in X\}.$$

Since  $L$  is linear, it is a vector subspace of  $X \times Y$ . As a closed subset of the complete metric space  $X \times Y$  [Recall PSet 2-1 1(1)], the induced metric on  $\Gamma_L$  is complete, making  $\Gamma_L$  an  $F$ -space. (Note again that the induced topology of  $\Gamma_L$  as a subset of  $X \times Y$  is compatible with the induced metric.)

Now consider the projection maps

$$\pi_1 : \Gamma_L \rightarrow X, (x, Lx) \mapsto x$$

and

$$\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y, (x, y) \mapsto y.$$

Obviously  $\pi_1$  is linear and invertible. It is also continuous since it can be written as the composition of two continuous maps,

$$\pi_1 = \pi_X \circ \iota,$$

where  $\iota : \Gamma_L \rightarrow X \times Y$  is the inclusion map, which is continuous since the topology on  $\Gamma_L$  is the subspace topology inherited from  $X \times Y$ , and  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  is the projection

map, which is continuous since we are using product topology on  $X \times Y$ . By the Banach's inverse mapping theorem,  $\pi^{-1}$  is continuous.

Finally, by definition, we have

$$L = \pi_Y \circ \pi_1^{-1}.$$

Since both  $\pi_Y$  and  $\pi_1^{-1}$  are continuous, we conclude that  $L$  is continuous.  $\square$

*Remark 1.6.* By definition,

$$\Gamma_L \text{ is closed} \iff \text{if } x_n \in X, x_n \rightarrow x, Lx_n \rightarrow y, \text{ then } Lx = y.$$

while

$$L \text{ is continuous} \iff \text{if } x_n \in X, x_n \rightarrow x, \text{ then } Lx_n \rightarrow y \text{ and } Lx = y.$$

So the closed graph theorem is a powerful tool in proving continuity.

*Remark 1.7.* One can use the closed graph theorem to prove the Banach inverse mapping theorem. The argument is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & X, Y \text{ are F-spaces, and } L : X \rightarrow Y \text{ is bijective, linear, continuous} \\ \implies & \Gamma_L \text{ is closed in } X \times Y \\ \implies & \Gamma_{L^{-1}} \text{ is closed in } Y \times X \\ \implies & L^{-1} \text{ is continuous.} \end{aligned}$$

One can also use the closed graph theorem to prove the following weaker version of the open mapping theorem:

Let  $X, Y$  be F-spaces, and  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  be surjective, linear, continuous. Then  $L$  is open.

So there three statements are equivalent.

## 2 Closed graph operator

For simplicity, in what follows we assume that  $X, Y$  are Banach spaces. Let  $L$  be a linear map “from”  $X$  to  $Y$ . In many applications, the domain of  $L$  is NOT the whole space  $X$ . We will denote the domain of  $L$  by  $Dom(L)$ , which is always assumed to be a vector subspace of  $X$ . So like before we have a linear operator

$$L : Dom(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y.$$

We can define the graph of  $L$  to be

$$\Gamma_L = \{(x, Lx) | x \in Dom(L)\} \subset X \times Y.$$

**Definition 2.1.** We say  $L$  is a *closed graph operator* if  $\Gamma_L$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

Note that by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} L : \text{Dom}(L) \subset X &\rightarrow Y \text{ is a closed graph operator} \\ \iff \text{if } x_n \rightarrow x, Lx_n \rightarrow y, &\text{ then } x \in \text{Dom}(L), \text{ and } y = Lx. \end{aligned}$$

This is slightly different from the closedness of the graph of  $L$  whose domain is  $X$ .

*Example 2.1.* If  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  is injective, continuous and linear (but not necessary bijective), then one can define an inverse  $L^{-1}$  with domain  $\text{Dom}(L^{-1}) = \text{Im}(L)$ , which is again a linear map. Moreover, in this case

$$L^{-1} : \text{Im}(L) \subset Y \rightarrow X$$

is also a closed graph operator.

*Example 2.2.* Let  $X = C([0, 1])$  equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |f|.$$

Let

$$L = \frac{d}{dx}$$

be the differential operator. Then the domain of  $L$  is

$$\text{Dom}(L) = \left\{ f \in C([0, 1]) \mid \frac{df}{dx} \in C([0, 1]) \right\} = C^1([0, 1]) \subset C([0, 1]).$$

Then  $\frac{d}{dx}$  is a closed graph operator. In fact, if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  and  $f'_n \rightarrow g$  under the norm given above, then the convergences are uniform. So from what we learned in mathematical analysis we conclude that  $f \in C^1([0, 1])$ , and  $\frac{df}{dx} = g$ .

It is very important to notice that  $L$  is NOT a continuous operator, since it is not bounded. In fact, if we take  $f(x) = x^n$ , then

$$\|(x^n)'\| = n\|x^{n-1}\| = n = n\|x^n\| \implies \|L\| \geq n.$$

So the operator norm of  $L$  is infinity.

In general, the domain  $\text{Dom}(L)$  of an operator might not be a closed subset in  $X$ . This can be easily seen from the the example above, since  $C^1([0, 1])$  is not closed in  $C([0, 1])$ . However, under suitable assumptions one can extend  $L$  to the closure of  $\text{Dom}(L)$  without changing the operator norm, and thus in those cases we can assume that the domain is closed.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normed vector space,  $Y$  be a Banach space, and*

$$L : \text{Dom}(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$$

*be a continuous linear map. Then  $L$  can be extended to a continuous linear operator*

$$\bar{L} : \overline{\text{Dom}(L)} \subset X \rightarrow Y$$

*such that*

$$(1) \quad \bar{L}|_{\text{Dom}(L)} = L;$$

$$(2) \quad \|\bar{L}\| = \|L\|.$$

*Proof.* For any  $x \in \overline{\text{Dom}(L)}$ , one can find a sequence  $x_n \rightarrow x$  with  $x_n \in \text{Dom}(L)$ . We want to define the value of  $\bar{L}(x)$ .

Since  $L : \text{Dom}(L) \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, it is bounded. So

$$\|Lx\| \leq \|L\| \cdot \|x\|.$$

It follows

$$\|Lx_n - Lx_m\| \leq \|L\| \cdot \|x_n - x_m\|.$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a convergent sequence and thus a Cauchy sequence, the sequence  $\{Lx_n\}$  is also a Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of  $Y$ , there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $Lx_n \rightarrow y$ . We define

$$\bar{L}x = y.$$

We need to check that  $\bar{L}$  is well-defined. In fact, if there is another sequence  $\tilde{x}_n \rightarrow x$  with  $\tilde{x}_n \in \text{Dom}(L)$ , then by the above argument, there is an  $\tilde{y} \in Y$  such that  $L\tilde{x}_n \rightarrow \tilde{y}$ . However, if we consider the mixed sequence

$$x_1, \tilde{x}_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_2, x_3, \tilde{x}_3, \dots,$$

then it is also a sequence in  $\text{Dom}(L)$  that converges to  $x$ . So the same arguments implies that the sequence

$$Lx_1, L\tilde{x}_1, Lx_2, L\tilde{x}_2, Lx_3, L\tilde{x}_3, \dots$$

converges to some  $\bar{y} \in Y$ . Obviously one must have  $y = \bar{y} = \tilde{y}$ .

The linearity of  $L$  can be proved in the same way: if  $x_n^{(1)} \rightarrow x^{(1)}$  and  $x_n^{(2)} \rightarrow x^{(2)}$ , then  $\alpha x_n^{(1)} + \beta x_n^{(2)}$  is a sequence in  $\text{Dom}(L)$  that converges to  $\alpha x^{(1)} + \beta x^{(2)}$ . Then the continuity of vector addition implies that  $L(\alpha x_n^{(1)} + \beta x_n^{(2)})$  converges to  $\alpha \bar{L}(x^{(1)}) + \beta \bar{L}(x^{(2)})$ .

Using the fact that if  $x \in \text{Dom}(L)$ , then the constant sequence  $x, x, x, \dots$  converges to  $x$ , we see  $\bar{L}(x) = L(x)$ . This proves (1).

From (1) we get  $\|\bar{L}\| \geq \|L\|$ . The other half of (2) follows from the continuity of norm:

$$\|\bar{L}x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Lx_n\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L\| \cdot \|x_n\| = \|L\| \cdot \|x\|.$$

□

**Definition 2.3.** We say an operator  $L : \text{Dom}(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  admits a closure if  $\bar{\Gamma}_L \subset X \times Y$  is the graph of an operator  $\bar{L} : \overline{\text{Dom}(L)} \subset X \rightarrow Y$ .

For example, the above theorem implies that if  $L : \text{Dom}(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous linear, then  $L$  admits a closure.

Finally as an application of the closed graph theorem, we prove

**Theorem 2.4.** (Hörmander) Let  $X, Y, Z$  be Banach spaces,  $L_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $L_2 : X \rightarrow Z$  be linear operators with  $\text{Dom}(L_1) \subset \text{Dom}(L_2)$ . Assume that  $L_1$  is a closed graph operator and  $L_2$  admits a closure, then there exists  $C > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \text{Dom}(L_1)$ ,

$$\|L_2 x\|_Z \leq C(\|x\|_X + \|L_1 x\|_Y).$$

*Proof.* By the assumption,  $\Gamma_{L_1}$  is a closed vector subspace of  $X \times Y$ , and thus a Banach space. Now we define a linear map  $L_3 : \Gamma_{L_1} \rightarrow Z$  by

$$L_3((x, L_1 x)) := L_2(x).$$

We claim that the graph of  $L_3$  is closed. In fact, if  $\{x_n\} \in \text{Dom}(L_1)$  is a sequence such that

$$(x_n, L_1(x_n)) \rightarrow (x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad L_2(x_n) \rightarrow z,$$

then the fact that  $L_1$  is a closed graph operator implies  $y = L_1 x$ . Moreover, since  $L_2$  admits a closure and  $\text{Dom}(L_1) \subset \text{Dom}(L_2)$ , we see

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_2(x_n) = \bar{L}_2(x) = L_2(x).$$

Thus

$$L_3(x, y) = L_3(x, L_1(x)) = L_2(x) = z.$$

So we can apply the closed graph theorem to conclude that  $L_3$  is continuous. In other words, there is a constant  $C$  so that for any  $x \in \text{Dom}(L_1)$ ,

$$\|L_2 x\|_Z = \|L_3((x, L_1 x))\|_Z \leq C\|(x, L_1 x)\|_{\Gamma_{L_1}} = C(\|x\|_X + \|L_1 x\|_Y).$$

□

*Example 2.3.* Let  $X = Y = Z = C([0, 1])$  and let  $L_1 = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ ,  $L_2 = \frac{d}{dx}$ . then the theorem of Hörmander implies that there exists  $C > 0$  such that for any  $f \in C^2([0, 1])$ ,

$$\|f'\| \leq C(\|f\| + \|f''\|).$$