

## LECTURE 20: RAUCH COMPARISON THEOREM AND ITS APPLICATIONS

### 1. RAUCH COMPARISON THEOREM

Let  $(M, g)$  and  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$  be Riemannian manifolds of dimension  $m$ . Let

$$\gamma : [0, a] \rightarrow M \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\gamma} : [0, a] \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$$

be normal geodesics with

$$\gamma(0) = p, \quad \widetilde{\gamma}(0) = \widetilde{p}.$$

For each  $t \in [0, a]$ , we denote

$$K^-(t) = \min\{K(\Pi_{\gamma(t)}) \mid \dot{\gamma}(t) \in \Pi_{\gamma(t)}\},$$

$$\widetilde{K}^+(t) = \max\{\widetilde{K}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{\widetilde{\gamma}(t)}) \mid \dot{\widetilde{\gamma}}(t) \in \widetilde{\Pi}_{\widetilde{\gamma}(t)}\}.$$

**Theorem 1.1** (Rauch comparison theorem). *Let  $X, \widetilde{X}$  be Jacobi fields along  $\gamma, \widetilde{\gamma}$  respectively, such that*

$$\textcircled{1} X(0) = 0, \widetilde{X}(0) = 0, \quad \textcircled{2} |\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} X| = |\widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\widetilde{\gamma}}(0)} \widetilde{X}|, \quad \textcircled{3} \langle \dot{\gamma}(0), \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} X \rangle = \langle \dot{\widetilde{\gamma}}(0), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\widetilde{\gamma}}(0)} \widetilde{X} \rangle.$$

Assume further that

$$\textcircled{i} \gamma \text{ has no conjugate points on } [0, a], \quad \textcircled{ii} \widetilde{K}^+(t) \leq K^-(t) \text{ holds for all } t \in [0, a].$$

Then  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  has no conjugate points on  $[0, a]$ , and for all  $t \in [0, a]$ ,

$$|X(t)| \leq |\widetilde{X}(t)|.$$

We first prove

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $X, \widetilde{X}$  be normal Jacobi fields along  $\gamma, \widetilde{\gamma}$  respectively, such that*

$$\textcircled{a} X(0) = 0, \widetilde{X}(0) = 0, \quad \textcircled{b} |X(a)| = |\widetilde{X}(a)|.$$

Assume further that  $\textcircled{i}$  and  $\textcircled{ii}$  above holds. Then

$$I(X, X) \leq I(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{X}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_1(t), \dots, e_m(t)\}$  and  $\{\widetilde{e}_1(t), \dots, \widetilde{e}_m(t)\}$  be orthonormal frames that are parallel along  $\gamma$  and  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  respectively, such that

$$e_1(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t), \quad \widetilde{e}_1(t) = \dot{\widetilde{\gamma}}(t)$$

and

$$e_2(a) = X(a)/\alpha, \quad \widetilde{e}_2(a) = \widetilde{X}(a)/\alpha,$$

where  $\alpha = |X(a)| = |\tilde{X}(a)| \neq 0$  since  $\gamma$  has no conjugate point. If we denote

$$X(t) = X^i(t)e_i(t), \quad \tilde{X}(t) = \tilde{X}^i(t)\tilde{e}_i(t)$$

respectively, then obviously we have

- $X^i(0) = \tilde{X}^i(0) = 0$  for all  $i$ ,
- $X^2(a) = \tilde{X}^2(a) = \alpha$  and  $X^i(a) = \tilde{X}^i(a) = 0$  for all  $i \neq 2$ ,
- $X^1(t) = \tilde{X}^1(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, a]$ .

We define a vector field  $Y$  along  $\gamma$  by

$$Y = \tilde{X}^i(t)e_i(t).$$

Then  $Y(0) = 0$ ,  $Y(a) = X(a)$ . So by corollary 2.4 in lecture 17,

$$I(X, X) \leq I(Y, Y).$$

On the other handside,

$$\begin{aligned} I(Y, Y) &= \int_0^a (|\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} Y|^2 - Rm(\dot{\gamma}, Y, \dot{\gamma}, Y)) dt \\ &= \int_0^a \left( \sum (\dot{\tilde{X}}^i(t))^2 - \sum (\tilde{X}^i(t))^2 K(\dot{\gamma}, Y) \right) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^a \left( \sum (\dot{\tilde{X}}^i(t))^2 - \sum (\tilde{X}^i(t))^2 K^-(t) \right) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^a \left( \sum (\dot{\tilde{X}}^i(t))^2 - \sum (\tilde{X}^i(t))^2 \tilde{K}^+(t) \right) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^a (|\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \tilde{X}|^2 - \widetilde{Rm}(\dot{\gamma}, \tilde{X}, \dot{\gamma}, \tilde{X})) dt \\ &= I(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $I(X, X) \leq I(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$ . □

*Proof of Rauch Comparison Theorem.* The conditions ① and ③ implies that the tangential components of  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  have the same length at corresponding points. So WLOG, we may assume that both  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are *normal* Jacobi fields. We denote

$$u(t) = |X(t)|^2, \quad \tilde{u}(t) = |\tilde{X}(t)|^2.$$

Then  $\tilde{u}(t)/u(t)$  is well-defined, and by L'Hospital's rule,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{u(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\dot{\tilde{u}}(t)}{\dot{u}(t)} = \frac{|\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} X|^2}{|\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} \tilde{X}|^2} = 1.$$

Therefore, to prove  $|X| \leq |\tilde{X}|$ , it is enough to prove  $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{u(t)} \geq 0$ , or equivalently,

$$\dot{\tilde{u}}(t)u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\dot{u}(t) \geq 0.$$

Since  $\gamma$  has no conjugate point,  $u(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, a]$ . Let  $c \leq a$  be the greatest number so that  $\tilde{u}(t) > 0$  on  $(0, c)$ . For any  $b \in (0, c)$ , we define

$$X_b(t) = \frac{X(t)}{|X(b)|}, \quad \tilde{X}_b(t) = \frac{\tilde{X}(t)}{|\tilde{X}(b)|}.$$

Applying the previous lemma to  $X_b, \tilde{X}_b$  on  $[0, b]$ , we get

$$I(X_b, X_b) \leq I(\tilde{X}_b, \tilde{X}_b).$$

Recall that after integration by parts

$$I(X, Y) = - \int_0^a \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X + R(\dot{\gamma}, X)\dot{\gamma}, Y \rangle dt + \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X, Y \rangle|_0^a.$$

So

$$I(X_b, X_b) = \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(b)} X_b, X_b(b) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad I(\tilde{X}_b, \tilde{X}_b) = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(b)} \tilde{X}_b, \tilde{X}_b(b) \rangle.$$

It follows

$$\langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(b)} X_b, X_b(b) \rangle \leq \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(b)} \tilde{X}_b, \tilde{X}_b(b) \rangle,$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{u}(b)}{u(b)} = \frac{\langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(b)} X, X(b) \rangle}{\langle X(b), X(b) \rangle} \leq \frac{\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(b)} \tilde{X}, \tilde{X}(b) \rangle}{\langle \tilde{X}(b), \tilde{X}(b) \rangle} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\tilde{u}}(b)}{\tilde{u}(b)}.$$

So for any  $t \in (0, c)$ , we have  $\frac{\dot{u}(t)}{u(t)} \leq \frac{\dot{\tilde{u}}(t)}{\tilde{u}(t)}$ . This is exactly what we need.

To summary, we proved that  $|X(t)| \leq |\tilde{X}(t)|$  for  $t \in (0, c)$ . If  $c < a$ , then  $|\tilde{X}(c)| \geq |X(c)| > 0$ , contradicting with the choice of  $c$ . So we must have  $c = a$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  has no conjugate points on  $[0, a]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Recall that any Jacobi field is a variation field of some geodesic variation. In particular, if  $X$  is a Jacobi field along  $\gamma : [0, a] \rightarrow M$  with

$$X(0) = 0, \quad \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} X = X_p,$$

then the corresponding variation can be chosen as

$$\gamma_s(t) = \exp_p(t(\dot{\gamma}(0) + sX_p)),$$

and as a consequence, the Jacobi field  $X$  is explicitly given by

$$X(t) = t(d \exp_p)_{t\dot{\gamma}(0)} X_p.$$

One can rewrite Rauch comparison theorem above as

**Theorem 1.3** (Rauch comparison theorem, Second form). *Suppose ① and ② holds. Denote  $p = \gamma(0)$  and  $\tilde{p} = \tilde{\gamma}(0)$ , and suppose  $X_p \in T_p M, \tilde{X}_{\tilde{p}} \in T_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{M}$  satisfies*

$$\langle X_p, \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle = \langle \tilde{X}_{\tilde{p}}, \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(0) \rangle, \quad |X_p| = |\tilde{X}_{\tilde{p}}|.$$

*Then  $|(d \exp_p)_{t\dot{\gamma}(0)} X_p| \leq |(d \exp_{\tilde{p}})_{t\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(0)} \tilde{X}_{\tilde{p}}|$*

*Remark.* If we replace the inequality  $K^- \geq \tilde{K}^+$  in ② by the strict one

$$K^- > \tilde{K}^+,$$

then the inequality in lemma 1.2 is strict. As a consequence, the inequalities in theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are strict for  $t > 0$ .

## 2. SOME DIRECT APPLICATIONS

In applications, we will mainly compare a given Riemannian manifold with another “model” Riemannian manifold of constant curvature. Here we list several interesting applications, and leave the proofs as exercises.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature. Then for any  $p \in M$ , any  $X_p \in T_p M$  and  $Y_p \in T_p M = T_{X_p}(T_p M)$ ,*

$$|(d \exp_p)_{X_p}(Y_p)| \geq |Y_p|.$$

*In particular, for any curve  $\gamma$  in  $T_p M$ , one has*

$$L(\gamma) \leq L(\exp_p \circ \gamma).$$

*Proof.* Apply theorem 1.3 to  $(M, g)$  and  $(T_p M, g_p)$ . □

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature, and consider a geodesic triangle in  $M$  whose side lengths are  $a, b, c$  with opposite angles  $A, B, C$  respectively. Then*

- (1)  $a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos C \leq c^2$ ,
- (2)  $A + B + C \leq \pi$ .

*Moreover, if  $M$  has negative sectional curvature, then the inequalities are strict.*

*Proof.* (1) Denote the vertex at the angle  $C$  by  $p$ . In the tangent space  $T_p M$ , draw a triangle  $\triangle OPQ$ , where  $O$  is the origin of  $T_p M$ , so that

$$|OP| = a, \quad |OQ| = b \quad \text{and} \quad \angle O = C.$$

Let  $\eta$  be the pre-image of the geodesic  $c$  in  $T_p M$ . Then

$$|PQ| \leq L(\eta) \leq c,$$

where the second inequality follows from corollary 2.1. Now apply the Euclidean cosine law to  $\triangle OPQ$ .

(2) Construct a triangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose side lengths are  $a, b, c$ . This is possible since  $a, b, c$  are lengths of sides of a geodesic triangle (meaning each side is a shortest geodesic connecting the corresponding vertices). Denote the corresponding angles of this new triangle by  $A', B', C'$ . Then by (1), we have  $C \leq C'$ . Similarly  $A \leq A'$  and  $B \leq B'$ .

If  $K < 0$ , then according to the remark after theorem 1.3, the inequality in lemma 2.1 is also strict for  $X_p, Y_p \neq 0$ . So the conclusion follows. □

**Corollary 2.3.** *Suppose the sectional curvature of  $(M, g)$  satisfies*

$$0 < C_1 \leq K \leq C_2,$$

*where  $C_1, C_2$  are constants. Let  $\gamma$  be any geodesic in  $M$ . Then the distance  $D$  between any two consecutive conjugate points of  $\gamma$  satisfies*

$$\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{C_2}} \leq D \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{C_1}}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the explicit formula for Jacobi fields on spheres. □

*Remark.* One should compare this with the Sturm comparison theorem in ODE.