## LECTURE 18: LIE SUBGROUPS

## 1. Lie subgroups v.s. Lie subalgebras

We have defined Lie groups and Lie algebras, and studied the correspondence between them (in a primitive level). (We have showed that for any Lie group G, one can associate to it a God-given Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . One deep theorem in Lie theory, which we will not study in this course, claims that for any finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there is a unique simply connected Lie group G whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{g}$ . So there is a nice correspondence between Lie groups and Lie algebras.) Now we would like to define sub-objects for Lie groups and Lie algebras, and in particular we would like a correspondence between Lie subgroups and Lie subalgebras.

Of course a Lie subgroup should be both a submanifold and a subgroup, while a Lie subalgebra should be both a vector subspace and a Lie algebra. There is one ambiguity in defining Lie subgroups: should we require a Lie subgroup to be a smooth submanifold, or just an immersed submanifold?

Since we would like a nice correspondence between Lie subgroups of a given Lie group G and Lie subalgebras of the corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , let's first study Lie subalgebras (whose definition is clear), and then look at the corresponding Lie subgroups.

**Definition 1.1.** A Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a vector subspace so that  $[X,Y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{h}$  holds for all  $X,Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

The simplest example is  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^2$ , endowed with trivial Lie algebra  $[\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0$ . Then any vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . To get a non-trivial phenomena out of this trivial example, we take the corresponding Lie group G to be  $G = \mathbb{T}^2$  (instead of the additive group  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). We take an arbitrary Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is a one-dimensional vector subspace in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , (we omit the 0-dimensional case)

 $\mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}$  = the line passing the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose slope equals  $\alpha$ .

To get the corresponding Lie subgroups of G whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{h}_a$ , we have to distinguish lines with rational slopes with lines with irrational slopes: If  $\alpha = p/q$ , where p,q are co-prime integers, then the Lie subgroup of G whose Lie algebra equals  $\mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}$  is

$$H^{\alpha} = H^{p,q} := \{ (e^{ipt}, e^{iqt}) \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

These subgroups are all isomorphic to  $S^1$  and are all embedded submanifolds in  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . However, if  $\alpha$  is irrational, then the corresponding Lie subgroups are "dense curves" of the form

$$H^{\alpha} := \{ (e^{it}, e^{i\alpha t}) \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

which are immersed submanifolds in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  and are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\overline{H}^{\alpha} = \mathbb{T}^2$ , they are not embedded submanifolds.

In conclusion, Lie subgroups "should" be defined as immersed submanifolds:

**Definition 1.2.** A subgroup H of a Lie group G is called a Lie subgroup if it is an immersed submanifold, and the group multiplication  $\mu_H = \mu_G|_{H \times H}$  is smooth.

So if H is a Lie subgroup of G, then the inclusion  $\iota_H : H \hookrightarrow G$  is a Lie group injective homomorphism. Note that we don't require the topology and the smooth structure of a Lie subgroup H of G to be the ones inherited from G.

*Remark.* According the previous example, a Lie subgroup of a compact Lie group could be non-compact.

Now suppose  $\iota_H: H \hookrightarrow G$  a Lie subgroup, and let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the Lie algebra of H. Since  $d\iota_H: \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}$  is injective, and since

$$d\iota_H([X,Y]^{\mathfrak{h}}) = [d\iota_H(X), d\iota_H(Y)]^{\mathfrak{g}}, \qquad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{h},$$

we can identify  $\mathfrak{h}$  with  $d\iota_H(\mathfrak{h})$  and thus think of  $\mathfrak{h}$  as a *Lie subalgebra* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . According to the naturality of the exponential map,

we conclude that  $\exp_H: \mathfrak{h} \to H$  is exactly the restriction of  $\exp_G: \mathfrak{g} \to G$  onto  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose H is a Lie subgroup of G. Then as a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{h}=\{X\in\mathfrak{g}\ |\ \exp_G(tX)\in H\ for\ all\ t\in\mathbb{R}\}.$$

*Proof.* If  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\exp_G(tX) = \exp_H(tX) \in H.$$

Conversely we fix  $X \notin \mathfrak{h}$  and Consider the map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{h} \to G, \quad (t, Y) \mapsto \exp_G(tX) \exp_G(Y).$$

Using the facts  $d \exp_0 = \text{Id}$  and  $d\mu_{e,e}(X,Y) = X + Y$ , we get

$$d\varphi_{0,0}(\tau,\widetilde{Y}) = \tau X + \widetilde{Y}.$$

Since  $X \notin \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $d\varphi_{0,0}$  is injective. It follows that there exists a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a neighborhood U of 0 in  $\mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\varphi$  maps  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times U$  injectively into G. Shrinking U if necessary, we may assume that  $\exp_H$  maps U diffeomorphically onto a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of e in H. Choose a smaller neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_0$  of e in H such that  $\mathcal{U}_0^{-1}\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}$ . (The existence of  $\mathcal{U}_0$  is guaranteed by PSet 1 Part 1 Problem 1(a)!) We pick a countable collection  $\{h_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset H$  such that  $h_j\mathcal{U}_0$  cover H. (This is always possible since any open covering of H admits a countable sub-covering.)

For each j denote  $T_j = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exp_G(tX) \in h_j\mathcal{U}_0\}$ . We claim that  $T_j$  is a countable set. In fact, if  $|t - s| < \varepsilon$  and  $t, s \in T_j$ , then

$$\exp_G(t-s)X = \exp_G(-sX)\exp_G(tX) \in \mathcal{U}.$$

So  $\exp_G(t-s)X = \exp_G(Y)$  for a unique  $Y \in U$ . It follows  $\varphi(t-s,0) = \varphi(0,Y)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is injective on  $(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \times U$ , we conclude Y=0 and t=s.

Now each  $T_j$  is a countable set. So one can find  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $t \notin T_j$  for all j. It follows that  $\exp_G(tX) \notin \bigcup_j h_j \mathcal{U}_0 = H$ . So

$$X \not\in \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \exp_G(tX) \in H \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

This completes the proof.

Example. (The special linear group) The special linear group is defined as

$$\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{R}) = \{ X \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R}) : \det X = 1 \}.$$

It is a Lie subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . To determine its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , we notice that

$$\det e^A = e^{\operatorname{Tr}(A)}.$$

So for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A, e^A \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  if and only if Tr(A) = 0. We conclude

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R}) = \{ A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(A) = 0 \}.$$

Example. (The orthogonal group) Next let's consider the orthogonal group

$$O(n) = \{ X \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : X^T X = I_n \}.$$

This is a compact  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  dimensional Lie subgroup of  $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ . To figure out its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ , we note that  $(e^A)^T = e^{A^T}$ , so

$$(e^{tA})^T e^{tA} = I_n \iff e^{tA^T} = e^{-tA}.$$

Since exp is locally bijective, we conclude that  $A \in \mathfrak{o}(n)$  if and only if  $A^T = -A$ . So

$$\mathfrak{o}(n) = \{ A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^T + A = 0 \},\$$

which is the space of  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrices.

Notice that O(n) is not connected. It consists of two connected components, and the connected component of identity is the called the *special orthogonal groups* 

$$SO(n) = \{X \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : X^TX = I_n, \det X = 1\} = O(n) \cap SL(n, \mathbb{R}).$$

Its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  is the same as  $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ .

Remark. Any Lie subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  is called a *linear Lie group*. According to a deep theorem in Lie theory, any compact Lie group is isomorphic to a linear Lie group. On the other hand, there exist non-compact Lie groups which are not linear Lie groups.

So from any Lie subgroup H of a Lie group G we get a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Next we will show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and connected Lie subgroups of G:

**Theorem 1.4.** Let G be a Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then there is a unique connected Lie subgroup H of G with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**Proof.** (Idea: Our data is  $\mathfrak{h}$ , a set of vector fields. We want to construct a Lie subgroup, which is a smooth manifold. How? Use the Frobenius theorem!)

Construct H: Let  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $X_i's$  are left invariant vector fields on G, linearly independent at e, they are linearly independent at all  $g \in G$ . Let

$$\mathcal{V}_g = \operatorname{span}\{X_1(g), \cdots, X_k(g)\}.$$

Then

- $\mathcal{V}$  is a distribution of dimension k on G.
- The distribution  $\mathcal{V}$  is a left invariant:  $dL_{g_1}(\mathcal{V}_{g_2}) = \mathcal{V}_{g_1g_2}$ . It follows that if N is an integral manifold of  $\mathcal{V}$ , so is  $L_g(N)$  for any g.
- The distribution is involutive, since  $[X_i, X_j] \in \mathfrak{h}$  for all i, j.

According to the Frobenius theorem, there is a unique maximal connected integral manifold of  $\mathcal{V}$  through e. Denote this by H. It is an immersed submanifold of G.

(Idea: How to prove that an element is contained in the maximal connected integral submanifold H? use: if H' is another integral manifold and  $H' \cap H \neq \emptyset$ , then  $H' \subset H$ .)

H is a subgroup: Take any  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ .

- Since  $h_1 = L_{h_1}e \in H \cap L_{h_1}H \neq \emptyset$ , and since H is maximal, we have  $L_{h_1}H \subset H$ . So in particular  $h_1h_2 = L_{h_1}h_2 \in H$ .
- Since  $L_{h_1^{-1}}(h_1) = e \in H \cap L_{h_1^{-1}}H$ , and since H is maximal, we have  $L_{h_1^{-1}}H \subset H$ . So in particular  $h_1^{-1} = L_{h_1^{-1}}e \in H$ .

It follows that H is a subgroup of G.

(Idea: The smoothness is a issue since the topology/smooth structure on  $H \neq$  that of G. To overcome, use: locally any immersed submanifold is embedded.)

The smoothness of  $\mu_H$ . First notice that the composition map

$$H \times H \hookrightarrow G \times G \stackrel{\mu_G}{\rightarrow} G$$

is smooth. Let  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ . Since H is an immersed submanifold of G, we can take small open neighborhoods  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  of  $h_1, h_2$  and  $h_1h_2$  in H respectively, so that each  $H_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ , is an embedded submanifold of G. Shrinking  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  if necessary, we can assume  $H_1 \cdot H_2 \subset H_3$ . (Can you write down the details here?) Since the smooth structures on  $H_i$ 's are the ones inherited from G, we conclude that  $\mu_H : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_3$  is smooth. Since  $h_1, h_2$  are arbitrary,  $\mu_H$  is smooth.

(Idea: How to prove two connected Lie groups are the same? Use: each Lie group is generated by any neighborhood of e.)

Uniqueness of H: Let K be another connected Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then K is also an integral manifold of  $\mathcal{V}$  passing e. So we have  $K \subset H$ . Since  $T_eK = T_eH$ , the inclusion has to be a local diffeomorphism near e. In other words, there exist an open subset  $K_e$  of K near e and an open subset  $H_e$  of H near e so that  $K_e = H_e$ . By connectedness of K and H, we get  $K = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} K_e^j = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} H_e^j = H$ .

## 2. Closed Lie Subgroups (Reading Material)

We are most interested in those Lie subgroups H of G that are not just immersed submanifolds, but in fact smooth submanifolds.

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose G is a Lie group, H is a subgroup of G which is a submanifold as well. Then H is a closed subset in G.

Proof. Since H is a submanifold of G, it is locally closed everywhere. In particular, one can find an open neighborhood U of e in G such that  $U \cap H = U \cap \overline{H}$ . Now take any  $h \in \overline{H}$ . Since hU is an open neighborhood of h in G,  $hU \cap H \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $h' \in hU \cap H$ , then  $h^{-1}h' \in U$ . On the other hand, since  $h \in \overline{H}$ , there is a sequence  $h_n$  in H converging to h. It follows that the sequence  $h_n^{-1}h' \in H$  converges to  $h^{-1}h'$ . In other words,  $h^{-1}h' \in U \cap \overline{H} = U \cap H$ . So  $h \in H$ . This completes the proof.

**Definition 2.2.** A subgroup H of a Lie group G is called a *closed subgroup* if it is a closed subset in G. (Here we don't require H to be a Lie subgroup!)

So if H is a Lie subgroup of G and is a smooth submanifold, then H is a closed subgroup. In what follows we will prove the following very powerful theorem, which claims that the converse is true:

**Theorem 2.3** (E. Cartan's closed subgroup theorem). Any closed subgroup H of a Lie group G is a Lie subgroup (and thus a smooth submanifold) of G.

Remark. In this theorem we only assume that algebraically H is a subgroup, topologically H is a closed subset, and we arrive at the strong conclusion that H is a Lie subgroup (and in particular H itself is a Lie group). This is a very powerful tool to prove various groups are Lie groups. For example, O(n),  $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$  are Lie groups, since they are closed subgroups of  $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ .

As an immediate consequence of Cartan's theorem, we get

**Corollary 2.4.** If  $\varphi: G \to H$  is Lie group homomorphism, then  $\ker(\varphi)$  is a Lie subgroup of G.

Now we proceed to prove Theorem 2.3. Suppose H is a closed subgroup of G. Let

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{ X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \exp(tX) \in H \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

We will need the following lemmas:

**Lemma 2.5.**  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\mathfrak{h}$  is closed under scalar multiplication. We have seen that there exists smooth function  $Z:(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\to\mathfrak{g}$  so that

$$\exp(tX)\exp(tY) = \exp(t(X+Y) + t^2Z(t)).$$

It follows

$$\exp(t(X+Y)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \exp(\frac{tX}{n}) \exp(\frac{tY}{n}) \right)^n.$$

So by closedness of H,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is also closed under addition.

**Lemma 2.6.** Endow with  $\mathfrak{g}$  an inner product. Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{g}$  satisfy

- (1)  $X_i \neq 0$  and  $X_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (2)  $\exp(X_i) \in H$  for all i.
- (3)  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{X_i}{|X_i|} = X \in \mathfrak{g}.$

Then  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

*Proof.* Fix any  $t \neq 0$ . Let  $n_i = \begin{bmatrix} t \\ |X_i| \end{bmatrix}$  be the integer part of  $\frac{t}{|X_i|}$ . Then

$$|n_i X_i - tX| \le \left| \left[ \frac{t}{|X_i|} \right] - \frac{t}{|X_i|} \right| |X_i| + t \left| \frac{X_i}{|X_i|} - X \right| \to 0.$$

So

$$\exp(tX) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \exp(n_i X_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} (\exp X_i)^{n_i} \in H.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** The exponential map  $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$  maps a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{h}$  bijectively to a neighborhood of e in H.

*Proof.* Take a vector subspace  $\mathfrak{h}'$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  so that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'$ . Define  $\Phi : \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}' \to G$  by  $\Phi(X + Y) = \exp(X) \exp(Y)$ .

Then  $d\Phi_0(\widetilde{X} + \widetilde{Y}) = \widetilde{X} + \widetilde{Y}$ . So near 0,  $\Phi$  is a local diffeomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to G. Since  $\exp|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \Phi|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , to prove the lemma, it is enough to prove that  $\Phi$  maps a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{h}$  bijectively to a neighborhood of e in H.

Suppose the lemma is false, then we can find  $X_i + Y_i \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'$  with  $Y_i \neq 0$  so that  $X_i + Y_i \to 0$  and  $\Phi(X_i + Y_i) \in H$ . Since  $\exp(X_i) \in H$ , we must have  $\exp(Y_i) \in H$  for all i. We let Y be a limit point of  $\frac{Y_i}{|Y_i|}'s$ . Then by Lemma 2.6,  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Since  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}'$ , we must have Y = 0, which is a contradiction since by construction, |Y| = 1.

Proof of Cartan's closed subgroup theorem. According to Lemma 2.7, one can find a neighborhood U of e in G and a neighborhood V of 0 in  $\mathfrak{g}$  so that  $\exp^{-1}: U \to V$  is a diffeomorphism, and so that  $\exp^{-1}(U \cap H) = V \cap \mathfrak{h}$ . It follows that  $(\exp^{-1}, U, V)$  is a chart on G which makes H a submanifold near e. For any other point  $h \in H$ , we can use left translation to get such a chart. This proves that H is a smooth submanifold of G. The smoothness of  $\mu_H$  is obvious.

Another very interesting consequence of Cartan's theorem is

Corollary 2.8. Every continuous homomorphism between Lie groups is smooth.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi: G \to H$  be a continuous homomorphism, then  $\Gamma_{\phi} = \{(g, \phi(g)) \mid g \in G\}$  is a closed subgroup, and thus a Lie subgroup of  $G \times H$ . It follows that the projection

$$p: \Gamma_{\phi} \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} G \times H \stackrel{\pi_1}{\longrightarrow} G$$

is bijective and smooth. Moreover,  $dp_{(e_G,e_H)}$  is bijective. So p is local diffeomorphism near  $(e_G,e_H)$ . By left translation, p is local diffeomorphism everywhere. Since p is bijective, it has to be a global diffeomorphism. Thus  $\phi = \pi_2 \circ p^{-1}$  is smooth.