

Last time

- Measurable function:  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .  $\iff$  measurable map  $f: (X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ .
  - many properties in Lebesgue theory still holds.
- Integration: non-negative theory: same definition, same properties (MCT, Fatou etc.)
  - Three "countable additivity", corresponds to three elements of an integral (domain, function, measure)
  - infinite sum = integral w.r.t. the counting measure
- Integration: absolute convergence theory: same definition, same properties (continuity, DCT)
  - Tonelli, Fubini could have different forms.

depends on the fact whether you are using  $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$  or  $\overline{\mu_X \times \mu_Y}$  for the product.

missing, existence of product measure on product space  $\xrightarrow{\text{this is easier}}$   $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$   $\xrightarrow{\text{this is the same as the Lebesgue theory}}$   $\overline{\mu_X \times \mu_Y}$

Today: Construct measures

1. Outer measure

- We studied abstract def. of measure.
- Given a measure space, we studied the integration theory.
- But... how do we get a measure  $\mu$  on a measurable space? [In particular, how do we get the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ ?]

Recall: In Lebesgue theory, we started with



The difference between  $m^*$  and  $m$ :

- $m^*$  satisfies <sup>countable</sup> subadditivity, while  $m$  is countable additive.
- $m^*$  is defined for all sets in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , while  $m$  is only defined for sets in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

By staring at the properties of Lebesgue outer measure, one can define

Def: Let  $X$  be a set. An outer measure is a map  $\mu^*: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that (i)  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ .

(ii) If  $A_1 \subset A_2$ , then  $\mu^*(A_1) \leq \mu^*(A_2)$   $\leftarrow$  monotonicity

(iii) If  $A_1, A_2, \dots$ , then  $\mu^*(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n)$   $\leftarrow$  countable subadditivity

Note: non-negativity + additivity  $\Rightarrow$  monotonicity  
non-negativity + subadditivity  $\not\Rightarrow$  monotonicity.

We will see that it is much easier to get outer measures than measures

• Example: Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$  s.t.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{E}} E = X$ .

(Proof)

Given any function  $P: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  with  $P(\emptyset) = 0$ , one can define

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(E_n) : A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, E_n \in \mathcal{E} \right\}.$$

Fact:  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure.

Proof: It is obvious that  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu^*(A_1) \leq \mu^*(A_2)$  for  $A_1 \subset A_2$ .

If there exists  $A_n$  s.t.  $\mu^*(A_n) = +\infty$ , then obviously  $\mu^*(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n)$ .

Now suppose  $\mu^*(A_n) < +\infty$  for all  $n$ .

Then we take  $E_m^{(n)}$  s.t.  $A_n \subset \bigcup_m E_m^{(n)}$ ,  $\mu^*(A_n) \geq \sum_m P(E_m^{(n)}) - \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_n A_n \subset \bigcup_{n,m} E_m^{(n)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu^*(\bigcup_n A_n) \leq \sum_{n,m} P(E_m^{(n)}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n) + \epsilon.$$

Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get countable subadditivity.  $\square$

$\epsilon$ -trick!

• So there are plenty of outer measures.

How do we get measures (and in particular  $\mathcal{I}$ ) from an outer measure?

Recall: In Lebesgue theory,

$$A \in \mathcal{L} \Leftrightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \text{ open set } U \supset A \text{ s.t. } m^*(U \setminus A) < \epsilon$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \text{ closed set } F \subset A \text{ s.t. } m^*(A \setminus F) < \epsilon$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A = G \setminus N, \quad G = G_\sigma, \quad N = \text{Null}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A = F \cup N, \quad F = F_\sigma, \quad N = \text{Null}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ box } B, \quad |B| = m^*(B \cap A) + m^*(B \setminus A)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall T \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad m^*(T) = m^*(T \cap A) + m^*(T \setminus A) \quad \leftarrow \text{Caratheodory}$$

For an abstract, there is no conception of open, closed,  $F_\sigma$ ,  $G_\sigma$ , and box!  
So we only have one choice.

Def: Let  $\mu^*$  be an outer measure on  $X$ . A set  $A \subset X$  is Caratheodory measurable w.r.t.  $\mu^*$  if one has

$$\mu^*(T) = \mu^*(T \cap A) + \mu^*(T \setminus A), \quad \forall T \subset X.$$

Rmk: By subadditivity, one always has  $\mu^*(T) \leq \mu^*(T \cap A) + \mu^*(T \setminus A)$ .

• If  $\mu^*(A) = 0$ , then  $A$  is Caratheodory measurable w.r.t.  $\mu^*$ , since

$$\mu^*(T) \geq \mu^*(T \setminus A) = \mu^*(T \setminus A) + \mu^*(T \cap A)$$

$\uparrow$   
monotonicity

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(T \cap A) &\leq \mu^*(A) \\ \Rightarrow \mu^*(T \cap A) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The main theorem is

Thm. (Carathéodory extension theorem) Suppose  $\mu^*: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is any outer measure.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_e = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(X) : A \text{ is Carathéodory measurable w.r.t. } \mu^*\}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}_e$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\mu = \mu^*|_{\mathcal{F}_e} : \mathcal{F}_e \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a measure.

Proof! Obviously  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_e$ .

If  $A \in \mathcal{F}_e$ , i.e.  $\mu^*(T) = \mu^*(T \cap A) + \mu^*(T \setminus A) = \mu^*(T \cap A) + \mu^*(T \cap A^c)$ , then obviously  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_e$ .

Now suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}_e$ .

First we show  $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_e$ , i.e.  $\mu^*(T) \geq \mu^*(T \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)) + \mu^*(T \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2))$ .

To see this, we just notice

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(T) &= \mu^*(T \cap A_1) + \mu^*(T \cap A_1^c) \\ &= \mu^*(T \cap A_1 \cap A_2) + \mu^*(T \cap A_1 \cap A_2^c) + \mu^*(T \cap A_1^c \cap A_2) + \mu^*(T \cap A_1^c \cap A_2^c) \\ &\quad \text{---} \\ &\geq \mu^*(T \cap ((A_1 \cap A_2) \cup (A_1 \cap A_2^c) \cup (A_1^c \cap A_2))) + \mu^*(T \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)^c) \\ &= \mu^*(T \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)) + \mu^*(T \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)^c). \end{aligned}$$

To prove  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}_e$ , we write  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , and  $B_N = \bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n$ .

Then by induction,  $B_N \in \mathcal{F}_e$  for all  $N$ . So ~~we have~~ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(T) &= \mu^*(T \cap B_N) + \mu^*(T \cap B_N^c) \\ &\quad \text{---} \end{aligned}$$

Note:  $\mu^*(T \cap B_N^c) \geq \mu^*(T \cap B^c) \Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(T \cap B_N^c) \geq \mu^*(T \cap B^c)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(T \cap B_{N+1}) &= \mu^*(T \cap B_{N+1} \cap B_N) + \mu^*(T \cap B_{N+1} \cap B_N^c) \\ &= \mu^*(T \cap B_N) + \mu^*(T \cap (B_{N+1} \setminus B_N)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(T \cap B_N) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \mu^*(T \cap (B_{N+1} \setminus B_N))$$

So by letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\mu^*(T) \geq \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \mu^*(T \cap (B_{N+1} \setminus B_N)) + \mu^*(T \cap B^c) \geq \mu^*(T \cap B) + \mu^*(T \cap B^c)$$

This implies  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}_e$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_e$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

(2) It remains to show that  $\mu = \mu^*|_{\mathcal{F}_e}$  is a measure.

Obviously  $\mu(\emptyset) = \mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ .

Now let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}_e$  be disjoint. Then

$$\mu(A_1 \cup A_2) = \mu^*(A_1 \cup A_2) = \mu^*(A_1) + \mu^*((A_1 \cup A_2) \cap A_1^c) = \mu^*(A_1) + \mu^*(A_2).$$

$$\text{By induction, } \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A_n).$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \geq \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A_n) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n). \quad \square$$

Rmk. If  $\mu^*(A) = 0$ ,  $B \subset A$ , then  $\mu^*(B) = 0$ . So  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mu(B) = 0$ .

In other words:

the measure  $\mu$  constructed by Carathéodory extension theorem is complete!

## 2. Premeasure.

Recall: Lebesgue outer measure was constructed via Jordan measure (or elementary measure), which are finitely additive on Boolean algebras.

Question: Given any Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and a finitely additive measure  $\mu_0: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ , can one "refine"  $\mathcal{B}$  to a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  and extend  $\mu_0$  to a countable additive measure  $\mu$ ? Of course we need:

Def: A premeasure  $\mu_0$  on a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is a finitely additive measure  $\mu_0: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  with the additional property that if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$  disjoint, and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mu_0(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_n)$ .

[It is NOT a measure because still possible that  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \notin \mathcal{B}$ .]

Example: The elementary measure on the elementary Boolean algebra is a premeasure.

Example: Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}_X, \mu_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{F}_Y, \mu_Y)$  be measure spaces.

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{(A_1 \times B_1) \cup \dots \cup (A_k \times B_k) : A_i \in \mathcal{F}_X, B_i \in \mathcal{F}_Y\}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean algebra.

[To check  $S \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow S^c \in \mathcal{B}$ , one only need to observe  $(A \times B)^c = (A^c \times B) \cup (A \times B^c) \cup (A^c \times B^c)$ .  
 $(A \cup B) \cap C = (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C) \dots$ ]

Define  $\mu_0: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  by

$$\mu_0((A_1 \times B_1) \cup \dots \cup (A_k \times B_k)) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_X(A_i) \cdot \mu_Y(B_i)$$

where the union is a disjoint union. [one can ALWAYS ~~merge~~ <sup>rewrite</sup> to a disjoint union]

One can check (NOT easy. Need to apply MCT of integrals of non-negative functions) that  $\mu_0$  is a premeasure. need to check well-definedness

e.g. for the simple case  $A \times B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \times B_k$ , one has

$$\chi_A(x) \chi_B(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_k}(x) \chi_{B_k}(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_Y \chi_A(x) \chi_B(y) dy = \int_Y \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_k}(x) \chi_{B_k}(y) dy$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi_A(x) \mu_Y(B) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_k}(x) \mu_Y(B_k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_X(A) \mu_Y(B) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_X(A_k) \mu_Y(B_k)$$

Example. Not all finitely additive measures on a Boolean algebra are premeasures.

e.g.  $X = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\mu_0(A) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A \text{ is finite} \\ \infty, & \text{if } A \text{ is not finite.} \end{cases}$

Then  $\mu_0$  is finitely additive, but not a premeasure!

Now we prove

Thm. (Hahn-Kolmogorov) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Boolean algebra on  $X$  and  $\mu_0: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a premeasure. Then one can extend  $\mathcal{B}$  to a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , and  $\mu_0$  to a countably additive measure  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ .

Proof. We have seen that

$$(a) \mu^*(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n) : A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, E_n \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

is an outer measure

(b)  $\mathcal{F} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(X) : A \text{ satisfies the Caratheodory measurability w.r.t. } \mu^*\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\mu = \mu^*|_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a (complete) measure.

It remains to prove (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  refines  $\mathcal{B}$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$ )

(2)  $\mu = \mu_0$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(1). Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . We need to show  $\mu^*(T) \geq \mu^*(T \cap A) + \mu^*(T \setminus A)$ .

We may assume  $\mu^*(T) < +\infty$ . Apply  $\varepsilon$ -trick:

By def. of  $\mu^*$ ,  $\exists E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$  s.t.  $T \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n) \leq \mu^*(T) + \varepsilon$ .

Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean algebra,  $A \cap E_n \in \mathcal{B}$ . So

$$T \cap A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A \cap E_n) \Rightarrow \mu^*(T \cap A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A \cap E_n)$$

Similarly

$$\mu^*(T \setminus A) = \mu^*(T \cap A^c) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n \setminus A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n \setminus A)$$

Since  $\mu_0(A \cap E_n) + \mu_0(E_n \setminus A) = \mu_0(E_n)$ , we get

$$\mu^*(T \cap A) + \mu^*(T \setminus A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n) \leq \mu^*(T) + \varepsilon$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $\mu^*(T) \geq \mu^*(T \cap A) + \mu^*(T \setminus A)$ , i.e.  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(2). Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . We need to show  $\mu^*(A) = \mu_0(A)$ .

By def., we only need to show  $\mu^*(A) \geq \mu_0(A)$

Suppose  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Let  $\tilde{E}_n = E_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i$ .

Then  $\tilde{E}_n \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_n$ , and they are disjoint.

Since  $\mu_0$  is a premeasure, we get

$$\mu_0(A) = \mu_0\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\tilde{E}_n \cap A)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(\tilde{E}_n \cap A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n)$$

Taking infimum, we get  $\mu_0(A) \leq \mu^*(A)$ .  $\square$

Cor. Existence of product measure  $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$  on  $X \times Y$ .