

## LECTURE 16: GENERALIZED SOBOLEV SPACES

### 1. GENERALIZED SOBOLEV SPACES

For most of the previous five lectures, we are studying  $\widehat{a}^W$  for  $a \in S(1)$ , since in this case  $\widehat{a}^W$  is a bounded linear operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . A natural question is: for more general  $m$ , what can be said for  $\widehat{a}^W$  with  $a \in S(m)$ ? In particular for those  $m$  which diverges to  $+\infty$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ , what can we say about  $\widehat{a}^W$  with  $a \in S(m)$ ?

#### ¶ The Sobolev space.

Let's start with an example. Consider

$$a(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2.$$

Then we have

$$\widehat{a}^W = -\hbar^2 \Delta,$$

which is of course one of the most important operators in geometry and analysis. We may take

$$m(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^2.$$

Then it is easy to see  $a \in S(m)$ . Since  $a \notin S(1)$ , the operator  $\Delta$  is unbounded (and thus is only densely defined) on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . However, it is a standard fact from PDE that  $\Delta$  admits a natural domain: the Sobolev space

$$H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \Delta u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

which is a Hilbert space with the Sobolev norm

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Here is another way to think of the Sobolev space  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

$$(I - \hbar^2 \Delta)H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

By definition we have  $(I - \hbar^2 \Delta)H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . To prove the reverse inclusion, for any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we need to solve the PDE

$$-\hbar^2 \Delta u + u = f.$$

We have solved such equations via Fourier transform at the beginning of Lecture 14:

$$u(x) = \mathcal{F}_\hbar^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{1 + |\xi|^2} \mathcal{F}_\hbar(f)\right).$$

It remains to check  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\Delta u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , both of which are consequences of the fact  $\mathcal{F}_\hbar$  is an isomorphism on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Note that  $I - \hbar^2 \Delta$  is invertible since its symbol  $1 + |\xi|^2$  is elliptic in  $S(m)$ , where  $m(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^2$ . So we may rewrite the above equation as

$$H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = (I - \hbar^2 \Delta)^{-1} L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Recall: although  $I - \hbar^2 \Delta$  is only densely defined, the inverse  $(I - \hbar^2 \Delta)^{-1}$  is a globally defined compact operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The Sobolev norm can also be defined via the operator  $\Delta$ . More precisely, one can prove that the Sobolev norm alluded to above is equivalent to the Sobolev norm

$$\|u\|_{H_h^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|(I - \hbar^2 \Delta)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In other words, the Sobolev space is not only a space defined for the Laplace operator  $\Delta$ , but also a space defined via the operator  $\Delta$ .

### ¶ The generalized Sobolev spaces.

Observe that the most important thing in the above discussion is that the symbol  $1 + \|\xi\|^2$  is elliptic in  $S(m)$ , where  $m(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^2 \geq 1$ . Inspired by this observation, we may define, for any order function  $m \geq 1$  and any elliptic symbol  $g \in S(m)$ , the following *generalized Sobolev norm*

$$\|u\|_{H_h(m,g)} := \|\widehat{g}^W u\|_{L^2}.$$

We know that this is well-defined at least for all  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let's first investigate the dependence of this norm with the elliptic symbol  $g$ . It turns out that the norm is "almost" independent of  $g$  and thus is essentially an intrinsic property of the order function  $m$ :

**Lemma 1.1.** *Suppose  $m \geq 1$  and  $g, g'$  are two elliptic symbols in  $S(m)$ . Then the generalized Sobolev norms defined via  $g$  and  $g'$  are equivalent: there exists  $\hbar_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0)$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{C} \|u\|_{H_h(m,g)} \leq \|u\|_{H_h(m,g')} \leq C \|u\|_{H_h(m,g)}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{S}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $g$  is elliptic in  $S(m)$  and  $m \geq 1$ , there exists  $\hbar_0 > 0$  and  $h \in S(1/m)$  such that  $(\widehat{g}^W)^{-1} = \widehat{h}^W$  for all  $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0)$ . It follows that  $g' \star h \in S(1)$  and thus there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|\widehat{g}'^W \circ \widehat{h}^W\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C$$

(uniform for all  $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0)$ ). It follows

$$\|u\|_{H_h(m,g')} = \|\widehat{g}'^W \circ \widehat{h}^W \circ \widehat{g}^W u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{H_h(m,g)}.$$

The other half can be proved by exchanging  $g$  and  $g'$  above.  $\square$

As a consequence, in the definition of the generalized Sobolev norm, we may erase  $g$  and simply denote it by  $\|\cdot\|_{H_h(m)}$ :

**Definition 1.2.** We will define the *generalized Sobolev norm* associated to  $m$  to be

$$\|u\|_{H_h(m)} := \|\widehat{g}^W u\|_{L^2}.$$

We will denote the completion of  $\mathcal{S}$  under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H_h(m)}$  by  $H_h(m)$ , and call it the *generalized Sobolev space* associated to  $m$ .

Note that in the proof of the inequality  $\|u\|_{H_h(m,g')} \leq C\|u\|_{H_h(m,g)}$  above, we only used the fact that  $g' \star h$  is a bounded symbol. In particular, we conclude: if  $g$  is elliptic in  $S(m)$ ,  $g'$  is elliptic in  $S(m')$ , and if  $m' \leq m$ , then there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\|u\|_{H_h(m')} \leq C\|u\|_{H_h(m)}$ . In other words, we have:

**Corollary 1.3.** *If  $m' \leq m$ , then  $H_h(m) \subset H_h(m')$ .*

### ¶ DETOUR: Choice of order function.

One may ask: is there any canonical way to choose an elliptic symbol  $g$  in  $S(m)$ ? For example, in the standard Sobolev space case, we used the elliptic symbol  $1 + |\xi|^2$ , which is in fact the same as  $m = \langle \xi \rangle^2$ . Note that by definition, if  $m \in S(m)$ , then  $m$  is automatically elliptic in  $S(m)$ . Recall that

- a continuous function  $m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is an order function if  $m(z) \leq C\langle z-w \rangle^N m(w)$ .
- $S(m)$  contains those smooth functions all of whose derivatives are bounded by the function  $m$ .

So in general it is not always true that  $m \in S(m)$ :  $m$  could be non-smooth, or smooth but quite “oscillating” so that its derivatives are not nicely bounded. However, our experience from analysis tells us that there is a big chance that these bad behaviors could be eliminated by using convolution:

**Lemma 1.4.** *For any order function  $m$ , there exists an order function  $\tilde{m}$  such that*

- (1)  $S(\tilde{m}) = S(m)$ .
- (2)  $\tilde{m} \in S(\tilde{m})$

*Proof.* Take a cut-off function  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\eta \geq 0$  and  $\int \eta dz = 1$ . Let

$$\tilde{m}(z) = m * \eta(z) = \int m(z-w)\eta(w)dw$$

be the convolution of  $m$  and  $\eta$ . According to the definition of an order function,

$$C^{-1}\langle w \rangle^{-N} \leq \frac{m(z-w)}{m(z)} \leq C\langle w \rangle^N.$$

It follows

$$C^{-1}m \leq \tilde{m} \leq Cm,$$

which implies  $S(m) = S(\tilde{m})$ .

Moreover, for any multi-index  $\alpha$ , by commutativity of convolution we have

$$|\partial^\alpha \tilde{m}| = |m * \partial^\alpha \eta| \leq C_\alpha m,$$

so  $\tilde{m} \in S(m) = S(\tilde{m})$ . □

In what follows we will always assume  $m \in S(m)$ , so that in the definition of  $H_h(m)$ , we can simply take  $g = m$ .

We remark that as a direct consequence of  $m \in S(m)$  and the formula for  $\partial^{\alpha \frac{1}{a}}$  that we used a couple times, we have  $m^{-1} \in S(m^{-1})$ . More generally  $m^t \in S(m^t)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . (Reason: Let  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\alpha_1 \geq 1$  and denote  $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)$ . Then

$$(1) \quad \partial^\alpha \log a = \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}}(a^{-1} \partial_1 a) = \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \tilde{\alpha}} \binom{\tilde{\alpha}}{\beta} \partial^\beta (a^{-1}) \partial^\gamma (\partial_1 a).$$

So if  $m \in S(m)$ , then  $\partial^\alpha \log m$  is bounded for any  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ . Since  $m^t = e^{t \log m}$ , we immediately get  $m^t \in S(m^t)$ . As a consequence, we see  $\langle \xi \rangle^t \in S(\langle \xi \rangle^t)$  for any  $t$ .

### ¶ The generalized Sobolev spaces: examples.

*Example.* If  $m = 1$ , then  $H_h(m) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Example.* More generally let  $m = m(x)$  be a smooth function that depends only on  $x$  and suppose  $m \in S(m)$ . Then  $\widehat{m}^W$  is the “multiplication by  $m(x)$ ” operator. So  $H_h(m) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, m^2(x) dx)$ . The Sobolev norm is

$$\|u\|_{H_h(m)} = \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n, m^2(x) dx)}.$$

*Example.* On the other hand, suppose  $m = m(\xi)$  depends only on  $\xi$  and  $m \in S(m)$ . Then we have  $\widehat{m}^W u = \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}[m(\xi) \mathcal{F}_h u(\xi)]$ . So

$$\widehat{m}^W u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff m(\xi) \mathcal{F}_h u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, the Sobolev norm is given by

$$\|u\|_{H_h(m)}^2 = (2\pi\hbar)^{-n} \|\mathcal{F}_h u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n, m^2(\xi) d\xi)}^2.$$

*Example.* In particular if  $m(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^s$ . Then

$$H_h^s := H_h(\langle \xi \rangle^s) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}' \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_h u(\xi)|^2 d\xi < +\infty \right\}$$

and the Sobolev norm is explicitly given by

$$\|u\|_s^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_h u(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Note that in the case  $s = k$  is a nonnegative integer,  $H^k$  is the usual Sobolev space that we are familiar with:

$$(2) \quad H^k = \{u \in \mathcal{S}' \mid \|u\|_k^2 := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 < +\infty\}.$$

## 2. SEMICLASSICAL PSDO ACTING ON THE GENERALIZED SOBOLEV SPACES

## ¶ Semiclassical PsDO acting on the generalized Sobolev spaces.

Just as in the previous example,  $\Delta$  can be defined on  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we can prove

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose  $m \geq 1$ . For any  $a \in S(m)$ , there exists  $h_0 > 0$  such that for any  $h \in (0, h_0)$ , the map  $\widehat{a}^W : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  can be extended to a bounded linear operator  $\widehat{a}^W : H_h(m) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is almost the same as above: We take  $g = m$ . by definition,

$$u \in H_h(m) \iff \widehat{m}^W u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

As before,  $\widehat{a}^W \circ (\widehat{m}^W)^{-1}$  is semiclassical pseudodifferential operator with symbol in  $S(1)$  and thus is a bounded linear operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It follows

$$\|\widehat{a}^W u\|_{L^2} = \|\widehat{a}^W \circ (\widehat{m}^W)^{-1} \circ \widehat{m}^W u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{H_h(m)}.$$

□

As a consequence,

**Corollary 2.2.** *Assume  $m \geq 1$ ,  $a \in S(m)$  is real-valued. If  $a + i$  is elliptic in  $S(m)$ , then  $\widehat{a}^W : H_h(m) \subset L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  is self-adjoint.*

*Proof.* Since  $a$  is real-valued,  $\widehat{a}^W$  is symmetric. By ellipticity of  $a + i$ , the operator  $\widehat{a}^W \pm i : H_h(m) \rightarrow L^2$  has an inverse (which is a bounded linear operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) and thus is bijective. The conclusion follows. □

Another very important consequence is

**Corollary 2.3.** *Suppose  $m \leq 1$  and suppose  $a \in S(m)$  is elliptic. Then*

$$\widehat{a}^W : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_h(1/m),$$

*and there exists  $b \in S(1/m)$  so that  $\widehat{b}^W : H_h(1/m) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the inverse of  $\widehat{a}^W$ .*

*Proof.* The conclusion  $\text{Image}(\widehat{a}^W) \subset H_h(1/m)$  follows from the fact that  $(\widehat{1/m})^W \circ \widehat{a}^W$  has bounded symbol and thus is a bounded linear operator on  $L^2$ , so that

$$u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies (\widehat{1/m})^W \circ \widehat{a}^W u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies \widehat{a}^W u \in H_h(1/m).$$

By Lecture 14,  $\widehat{a}^W$  admits a left inverse and a right inverse, namely, there exists  $b, c \in S(1/m)$  so that at least on  $\mathcal{S}$ , we have

$$\widehat{a}^W \circ \widehat{b}^W = \text{Id}, \quad \widehat{c}^W \circ \widehat{a}^W = \text{Id}.$$

Since each operator maps  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ , we get  $\widehat{b}^W = \widehat{c}^W$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since  $m \leq 1$ , we have  $1/m \geq 1$ . So both  $\widehat{b}^W$  and  $\widehat{c}^W$  can be extended to continuous linear operators from  $H_h(1/m)$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense in  $H_h(1/m)$ , we conclude  $\widehat{b}^W = \widehat{c}^W$ . So  $\widehat{b}^W$  is the inverse of  $\widehat{a}^W$ . This also implies  $\widehat{a}$  is bijective onto  $H_h(1/m)$ . □

¶ **The generalized Sobolev spaces  $H_h(m)$  for any  $m$  (with  $m \in S(m)$ ).**

For an order function  $m$  with  $m \in S(m)$ , we define

**Definition 2.4.** The *generalized Sobolev space* associated with  $m$  is

$$(3) \quad H_h(m) := \{u \in \mathcal{S}' \mid \widehat{m}^W u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

with the Sobolev norm

$$(4) \quad \|u\|_{H_h(m)} = \|\widehat{m}^W u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Note that this coincides with our earlier definition. Also note that for any  $m$  and any  $a \in S(m)$ , we have  $\widehat{a}^W : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ . So all the following expressions make sense as tempered distributions.

By definition, if  $m \geq 1$ , one may think of  $H_h(m)$  as a function space whose elements have more regularity than those in  $H_h(1) = L^2$ , while if  $m \leq 1$ , one may think of  $H_h(m)$  as a “function space” whose elements have less regularity than those in  $H_h(1) = L^2$ . We have just seen how an operator of the form  $\widehat{a}^W$  with  $a \in S(m)$  will increase or decrease the regularity according to whether  $m \geq 1$  or  $m \leq 1$ . It turns out that this is true for any two order functions:

**Proposition 2.5.** *Suppose  $m$  and  $m'$  are order functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . For any  $a \in S(m)$ , we have*

$$\widehat{a}^W \in \mathcal{L}(H_h(m'), H_h(m'/m)),$$

with the operator norm bound uniform in  $\hbar$ .

*Proof.* Since  $m'$  is elliptic in  $S(m')$ , we can find  $b \in S(1/m')$  such that

$$\widehat{b}^W \circ \widehat{m}'^W = \text{Id} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{S}.$$

Since  $(m'/m) \star a \star b \in S(1)$ , we conclude that for any  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$\|\widehat{a}^W u\|_{H_h(m'/m)} = \|\widehat{m}'/m^W \circ \widehat{a}^W \circ \widehat{b}^W \circ \widehat{m}'^W u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{H_h(m')},$$

where the constant  $C = \|\widehat{m}'/m^W \circ \widehat{a}^W \circ \widehat{b}^W\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)}$  is uniform in  $\hbar$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense in each  $H_h(m)$  (prove this!),  $\widehat{a}^W$  extends to a bounded linear operator from  $H_h(m'/m)$  to  $H_h(m')$  with operator norm bounded by the same constant  $C$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, we see

**Corollary 2.6.** *If  $a \in S(m)$  is elliptic, then there exists  $b \in S(1/m)$  such that for any  $m'$ ,*

$$\widehat{b}^W = (\widehat{a}^W)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H_h(m'/m), H_h(m')).$$

*Proof.* We have seen that there exist  $b, c \in S(1/m)$  such that

$$\widehat{b}^W \circ \widehat{a}^W = \text{Id} = \widehat{a}^W \circ \widehat{c}^W \quad \text{on } \mathcal{S}.$$

It follows  $\widehat{b}^W = \widehat{c}^W$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ . But  $\widehat{b}^W, \widehat{c}^W \in \mathcal{L}(H_h(m'/m), H_h(m'))$ , so they must coincide on  $\mathcal{L}(H_h(m'/m))$  since  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense.  $\square$

With the “well-defined inverse” on suitable space, many earlier computations extends to all  $m$ . For example, Corollary 1.3 now holds for any  $m, m'$ . Also we can say

$$H_h(m) = (\widehat{m}^W)^{-1} L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

generalizing the similar formula at the top of page 2 for the ordinary Sobolev space  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We list several other results, and leave the proofs as an happy exercise:

- The  $L^2$  dual of  $H_h(m)$  is  $H_h(1/m)$ .
- $\mathcal{S} = \cap_m H_h(m)$  and  $\mathcal{S}' = \cup_m H_h(m)$ .

¶ **Compactness of  $\widehat{a}^W$  for  $a \in S(m)$ .**

We have the following result which generalize our earlier results for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

**Proposition 2.7.** *Suppose  $m$  and  $m'$  are order functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . If*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} m(z) = 0,$$

*then for any  $a \in S(m)$ , the operator*

$$\widehat{a}^W : H_h(m') \rightarrow H_h(m')$$

*is compact.*

*Proof.* The condition implies  $m'/m \geq Cm'$  and thus

$$\widehat{a}^W : H_h(m') \rightarrow H_h(m'/m) \subset H_h(m').$$

The conclusion is equivalent to the fact that the map

$$\widehat{m}'^W \circ a^W \circ (\widehat{m}'^W)^{-1} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is compact, which is true because  $m' \star a \star b \in S(m)$ . □

Finally we remark that there exists Sobolev space version of Beals’s theorem and Sharp Garding inequality, for “classical symbols”, a subset of  $S(\langle \xi \rangle^k)$  that consists of symbols  $a = a(x, \xi)$  in  $S(\langle \xi \rangle^k)$  so that for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha, \beta}$  such that

$$(5) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - |\beta|}.$$

Such symbols has the nice property that they are invariant under coordinate change and thus can be defined on manifolds.