

LECTURE 22-23: WEYL'S LAW

1. FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS OF PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

In sections 1 and 2 we will always assume

- $m \geq 1$ is an order function,
- $p \in S(m)$ is a real-valued symbol,
- $p + i$ is elliptic in $S(m)$.

Under these assumptions we know that

$$P = \widehat{p}^W : H_h(m) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a (densely-defined) self-adjoint operator, and moreover, $P \pm i \cdot \text{Id}$ is invertible for $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0)$ and the inverse is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol in $S(1/m)$.

¶ Helffer-Sjöstrand formula.

In Lecture 8 we mentioned that for a self-adjoint operator P on a Hilbert space H and a Borel measurable function f on \mathbb{R} , one can define a new self-adjoint linear operator $f(P)$ on H using the spectral theorem as follows: By spectral theorem (multiplication form) there is a measurable space (X, μ) , a measurable real-valued function h on X and a unitary isomorphism $V : H \rightarrow L^2(X, \mu)$ so that

$$V \circ P \circ V^* = M_h$$

on $L^2(X, \mu)$. Then the operator $f(P)$ is defined to be

$$f(P) = V^* \circ M_{f(h(x))} \circ V.$$

We notice that by definition,

$$(1) \quad \text{If } |f| \leq C, \text{ then } \|f(P)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq C.$$

We want to answer the following natural question:

Question: Is $f(P)$ a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator if P is a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator and f is a (nice) function?

Unfortunately the construction of $f(P)$ above is a bit too abstract to work with. However, if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is a Schwartz function, then by using the so-called *almost analytic extension* \tilde{f} of f , Helffer-Sjöstrand gave a more concrete formula for $f(P)$, namely

$$(2) \quad f(P) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) (z - P)^{-1} L(dz)$$

using which we will prove that $f(P)$ is a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator, and calculate its symbol expansion. Recall that an almost analytic extension $\tilde{f} \in$

$C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ of a Schwartz function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is by definition a smooth function on \mathbb{C} such that

$$\tilde{f}|_{\mathbb{R}} = f, \quad \text{supp } \tilde{f} \subset \{z : |\text{Im}(z)| \leq 1\}$$

and such that as $|\text{Im}(z)| \rightarrow 0$,

$$\bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) = O(|\text{Im}(z)|^\infty),$$

where as usual, $\bar{\partial}_z = (\partial_x + i\partial_y)/2$ for $z = x + iy$, and $L(dz)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} (we don't use $dxdy$ since x has different meaning below.) To prove formula (2) it is enough to notice the following relation between f and \tilde{f} (c.f. PSet 1):

$$f(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) (z - t)^{-1} L(dz)$$

and use the spectral theorem (multiplication form) for both $(z - P)^{-1}$ and $f(P)$.

In literature there are at least two different ways to construct an almost analytic extension: the first construction is due to Hörmander (who proposed the conception of almost analytic extension in 1968) who adapted the construction in Borel's Lemma by putting

$$\tilde{f}(x + iy) = \sum_k \frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!} (iy)^k \chi(\lambda_k y),$$

where λ_k is a sequence of real numbers that is chosen so that they tends to $+\infty$ sufficiently fast, and χ is a cut-off function. The other way is due to Mather who make use of the Fourier transform (PSet 1):

$$\tilde{f}(x + iy) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \chi(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(y\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi(x+iy)} d\xi.$$

Of course the almost analytic extension is not unique in general. Also note that if f is compactly supported on \mathbb{R} , then we can take \tilde{f} to be compactly-supported in \mathbb{C} [For the first construction this is obvious, for the second construction we may multiply the formula by a cut-off function which is identically one on $\text{supp}(f)$].

¶Symbol of the resolvent $(z - P)^{-1}$.

We want to prove that $f(P)$ is a pseudodifferential operator if f is Schwartz function on \mathbb{R} and P is a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator, and calculate its symbol. In view of the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula (2), we start with the resolvent operator $(z - P)^{-1}$.

Lemma 1.1. *Under the previous assumptions, for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, the operator $z - P$ is invertible, and there exists $r_z \in S(1/m)$ such that $\widehat{r}_z^W = (z - P)^{-1}$.*

Proof. This is just a consequence of ellipticity: for any fixed $z = a + bi$ ($b \neq 0$), we have

$$\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|z - t|}{|t + i|} > 0.$$

We need a more explicit lower bound below. So let's try to find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \frac{|z - t|}{|t + i|} \geq bC, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$(a - t)^2 + b^2 - (bC)^2(t^2 + 1) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will take C small enough so that $bC < 1$. By calculating the discriminant and simplifying it, we get the condition on C :

$$\frac{1 - C^2}{C^2}(1 - C^2b^2) \geq a^2.$$

As a consequence, if we assume $|z| < C_0$, then we can find a constant C so that (3) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

It follows that

$$|z - p| \geq C|p + i| \geq cm$$

and thus $z - p$ is elliptic in $S(m)$. □

To apply Helffer-Sjöstrand formula, we need to study the dependence of r_z on z . For this purpose, we introduce the following variation of Beals's theorem.

Recall that in Lecture 14 we have seen that any continuous linear operator $A : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ can be written as $A = \widehat{a}^W$ for some $a = a(x, \xi, \hbar) \in \mathcal{S}'$, and Beals's theorem tells us $a \in S(1)$ if and only if

$$\|\text{ad}_{\widehat{l}_1^w} \circ \cdots \circ \text{ad}_{\widehat{l}_N^w} A\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = O(\hbar^N)$$

for any N and any linear functions l_1, \dots, l_N on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Of course the main part in the proof of Beals's theorem is to prove the condition above implies $a \in S(1)$, namely, to prove $|\partial_{x,\xi}^\alpha a| \leq C_\alpha$.

Proposition 1.2 (Beals's Estimate with Parameter). *Suppose $a = a(x, \xi, z; \hbar)$, i.e. a depends on a parameter z . Let $\delta = \delta(z)$ be a function valued in $(0, 1]$ such that*

$$\|\text{ad}_{\widehat{l}_1^w} \circ \cdots \circ \text{ad}_{\widehat{l}_N^w} A\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = O(\delta^{-N} \hbar^N)$$

holds for any N and any linear functions l_1, \dots, l_N on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Then there exists a universal constant M such that for any α ,

$$|\partial_{x,\xi}^\alpha a(x, \xi, z; \hbar)| \leq C_\alpha \max(1, \sqrt{\hbar}/\delta)^M \delta^{-|\alpha|}.$$

We will leave the proof as an exercise. [c.f. Helffer-Sjöstrand, *Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-Classical Limit*, Prop. 8.4.]

Using this result, we will prove the following resolvent symbol estimate:

Theorem 1.3. Fix $C_0 > 0$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ with $|z| < C_0$, we have

$$|\partial_{x,\xi}^\alpha r_z| \leq C_\alpha \max(1, \hbar^{1/2} |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-1})^M |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-1-|\alpha|}.$$

where $r_z \in S(1/m)$ is the symbol of the resolvent of P , namely $(z - P)^{-1} = \widehat{r}_z^W$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.2, we only need to prove

$$(4) \quad \|\operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_1^W} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_N^W} (z - P)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = O(|\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-1-N} \hbar^N).$$

Using the formulae

$$\operatorname{ad}_A(B^{-1}) = -B^{-1}(\operatorname{ad}_A B)B^{-1}$$

and

$$\operatorname{ad}_A(BC) = (\operatorname{ad}_A B)C + B\operatorname{ad}_A C$$

we can write $\operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_1^W} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_N^W} (z - P)^{-1}$ as a summation of terms of the form

$$\pm (z - P)^{-1} \operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_1^W}^{\alpha_1}(P) (z - P)^{-1} \operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_2^W}^{\alpha_2}(P) \cdots (z - P)^{-1} \operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_N^W}^{\alpha_N}(P) (z - P)^{-1},$$

where $\alpha_j = \{\alpha_{j,1}, \dots, \alpha_{j,n_j}\}$ such that $\{\alpha_{j,l} \mid \forall j, l\} = \{1, \dots, N\}$. Note that

$$p \in S(m) \implies \{l, p\} \in S(m) \implies \operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_j^W}^{\alpha_j}(P) = \widehat{p}_j^W \text{ for some } p_j \in \hbar^{n_j} S(m).$$

Thus in view of the fact $P + i$ has symbol in $S(1/m)$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_j^W}^{\alpha_j}(P) (z - P)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} &\leq \|\operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{l}_j^W}^{\alpha_j}(P) (P + i)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \cdot \|(P + i)(z - P)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \\ &\leq O(\hbar^{n_j} |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used Calderon-Vaillancourt theorem for the first term, and

$$\|(P + i)(z - P)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-1}$$

for the second term, which is a consequence of

- The fact (1) at the beginning of this lecture, which is a consequence of the spectral theorem,
- The argument in the proof of Lemma 1.1, i.e. if we assume $|z| < C_0$, then there exists a universal constant C that is independent of z such that (3) holds. In other words,

$$\frac{|z - t|}{|t + i|} \leq C |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-1}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\|(z - P)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-1},$$

so the estimate (4) holds, which completes the proof. \square

¶ The functional calculus.

Now we are ready to prove that the operator $f(P)$ is also a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator:

Theorem 1.4. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f(P) = \widehat{a}^W$, where $a \in S(m^{-k})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we have an asymptotic expansion*

$$a(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{k \geq 0} \hbar^k a_k(x, \xi),$$

where $a_0(x, \xi) = f(p(x, \xi))$, and in general,

$$a_k(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2k)!} (\partial_t)^{2k} [f(t)q_k(x, \xi, t)] \Big|_{t=p(x, \xi)}.$$

Proof. Using Helffer-Sjöstrand formula, we see $f(P) = \widehat{a}^W$, where

$$a(x, \xi) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} (\bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z)) r_z(x, \xi) L(dz),$$

where \tilde{f} is an almost analytic extension of f . Although the (x, ξ) -derivatives of r_z is unbounded as $\text{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0$, the unboundedness is controlled by Theorem 1.3. So by using the fact $\bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) = O(|\text{Im}(z)|^\infty)$ we see $a \in S(1)$. More generally, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if we apply the above arguments to $f_k(t) = f(t)(t+i)^k$, we can prove that $f_k(P) = (P+i)^k f(P)$ is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol in $S(1)$, which implies $a \in S(m^{-k})$.

We also need an asymptotic expansion of a . For this purpose we start with the asymptotic expansion of r_z . Recall that by construction (Lecture 14), $r_z = \tilde{r}_z \star (1-u)$ for some $u \in \hbar^\infty S(1)$, and \tilde{r}_z can be solved from the equation

$$(z-p) \star \tilde{r}_z - 1 = O(\hbar^\infty)$$

inductively, which has the form (exercise)

$$(5) \quad r_z \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k \frac{q_k(x, \xi, z)}{(z-p(x, \xi))^{2k+1}}$$

where q_k is a degree $2k$ polynomial in z (and thus is holomorphic in z):

$$q_k(x, \xi, z) = \sum_{j=0}^{2k} q_{k,j}(x, \xi) z^j$$

with $q_0 = 1, q_1 = 0$ and in general, $q_{k,j} \in S(m^{2k-j})$.

Again the expansion (5) is an expansion for each fixed z , and is not a good one as $\text{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0$. However, we may resolve this problem by fixing a $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$

and considering the two region $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq \hbar^\delta$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \geq \hbar^\delta$ separately. Since $\bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) = O(|\operatorname{Im}(z)|^\infty)$, we see

$$-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|\operatorname{Im}(z)| < \hbar^\delta} \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) r_z(x, \xi) dx dy \in \hbar^\infty S(1/m).$$

For $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| > \hbar^\delta$, Theorem 1.3 implies that $r_z \in \hbar^\delta S_\delta(1/m)$. So the expansion (5) is an expansion in $\hbar^\delta S_\delta(1/m)$ in this case, and we thus we get from Helffer-Sjöstrand formula the asymptotic expansion

$$a(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{k \geq 0} \hbar^k \tilde{a}_k(x, \xi)$$

in $\hbar^\delta S_\delta(1/m)$, where

$$\tilde{a}_k(x, \xi) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|\operatorname{Im}(z)| > \hbar^\delta} \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) \frac{q_k(x, \xi, z)}{(z - p(x, \xi))^{2k+1}} L(dz).$$

Modulo $\hbar^\infty S(1/m)$, we may replace \tilde{a}_k by

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(x, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) \frac{q_k(x, \xi, z)}{(z - p(x, \xi))^{2k+1}} L(dz) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(2k)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial}_z \left(\tilde{f}(z) q_k(x, \xi, z) \right) (-\partial_z)^{2k} \frac{1}{(z - p(x, \xi))} L(dz) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(2k)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial}_z (\partial_z)^{2k} \left(\tilde{f}(z) q_k(x, \xi, z) \right) \frac{1}{(z - p(x, \xi))} L(dz) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2k)!} (\partial_t)^{2k} (f(t) q_k(x, \xi, t)) \Big|_{t=p(x, \xi)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that q_k is a polynomial and thus is analytic in z , and the fact $\tilde{f}(z) q_k(x, \xi, z)$ is an almost analytic extension of $f(t) q_k(x, \xi, t)$. In particular,

$$a_0(x, \xi) = f(p(x, \xi)).$$

□

Remark. Suppose $p \sim p_1 + \hbar p_2 + \dots$, then using $q_1 = 0$ we easily get

$$a \sim f(p_1) + \hbar f'(p_1) p_2 + \dots$$

2. WEYL'S LAW FOR \hbar -PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS¶ **A trace formula.**

Now we are ready to prove

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $I = (a, b)$ is a finite interval and suppose*

$$\liminf_{(x,\xi) \rightarrow \infty} p(x, \xi) > b.$$

Then for any $f \in C_0^\infty(I)$, the operator $f(P)$ is a trace class operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{tr} f(P) \sim (2\pi\hbar)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} a_j(x, \xi) dx d\xi,$$

where the leading term $a_0(x, \xi) = f(p(x, \xi))$.

Proof. Let $p_1 \in S(m)$ be a real-valued symbol such that

$$p - p_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf p_1 > b.$$

Then $p_1 + i$ is also elliptic in $S(m)$. As a consequence, both $P = \widehat{p}^W$ and $P_1 = \widehat{p}_1^W$ are densely defined self-adjoint operator mapping $H_\hbar(m) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, there is an open neighborhood Ω of $\bar{I} = [a, b]$ such that $(z - P_1)^{-1}$ is holomorphic for z in Ω .

For any $f \in C_0^\infty(I)$, we let \tilde{f} be an almost holomorphic extension of f such that $\operatorname{supp}(\tilde{f}) \subset \Omega$. Since $\operatorname{Spec}(P_1) \cap I = \emptyset$, we have $\tilde{f}(P_1) = 0$.¹

For $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, from $z - P_1 = z - P + P - P_1$ we get the following resolvent identity

$$(z - P)^{-1} = (z - P_1)^{-1} + (z - P)^{-1}(P - P_1)(z - P_1)^{-1}.$$

It follows from the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula that

$$f(P) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) [(z - P)^{-1}(P - P_1)(z - P_1)^{-1}] L(dz).$$

Since $p - p_1$ is compactly supported, the operator $P - P_1$ is trace class. It follows that $f(P)$ has finite trace norm and thus is also trace class. It follows from Lecture 13 that

$$\operatorname{tr} f(P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \int a(x, \xi) dx d\xi.$$

Now the conclusion follows. □

¹This is also a consequence of Helffer-Sjöstrand formula.

¶ **Weyl's law.**

Denote

$$N_h(P, [a, b]) = \#(\text{Spec}(P) \cap [a, b])$$

be the number of eigenvalues of P_h in the interval $[a, b]$. To estimate $N_h(P, [a, b])$, we approximate the characteristic function of the interval $[a, b]$ by smooth functions both from below and from above. Thus it is natural to introduce

$$\underline{V}([a, b]) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Vol}(p^{-1}([a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]))$$

and

$$\overline{V}([a, b]) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Vol}(p^{-1}([a - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon])).$$

As a direct consequence of the trace formula, we get

Theorem 2.2 (Weyl's law). *For any $a < b$, as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we have*

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} (\underline{V}([a, b]) + o(1)) \leq N_h(P, [a, b]) \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} (\overline{V}([a, b]) + o(1)).$$

Proof. Pick two sequence of compactly supported smooth functions $\underline{f}_\varepsilon, \overline{f}_\varepsilon$ (e.g. by regularization via convolution) that approaches the characteristic function $\chi_{[a,b]}$ of the interval $[a, b]$ from below and from above, namely

$$1_{[a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon]} \leq \underline{f}_\varepsilon \leq 1_{[a,b]} \leq \overline{f}_\varepsilon \leq 1_{[a-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon]}.$$

Then we have

$$\text{tr} \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P) \leq N_h(P, [a, b]) \leq \text{tr} \overline{f}_\varepsilon(P).$$

So the conclusion follows from the trace formula we just proved. □

In particular, for Schrödinger operator $P = -\hbar^2 \Delta + V$, where V satisfying the “polynomial growth” and “almost elliptic” conditions that we mentioned last time, we have the following Weyl's law for Schrödinger operators:

Theorem 2.3 (Weyl's law for Schrödinger operator on \mathbb{R}^n). *For any $a < b$,*

$$(8) \quad N_h(P, [a, b]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} (\text{Vol}\{(x, \xi) \mid a \leq |\xi|^2 + V(x) \leq b\} + o(1)).$$

Note that a special case of this theorem (namely P is the harmonic oscillator) has been proven in Lecture 3.

3. WEYL'S LAW FOR $\Psi(M)$

In this section we always assume

- (M, g) is a compact Riemannian manifold,
 - $m > 0$ is a positive integer,
 - $P : H_{\hbar}^m(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ is a self-adjoint pseudodifferential operator in $\Psi^m(M)$,
 - the principal symbol $p = \sigma_m(P)$ is real-valued and almost elliptic in $S^m(T^*M)$.
- Moreover, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{Vol}[p^{-1}(a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon)] = 0$.

¶ **Basic properties of eigenvalues/eigenfunctions.**

By adapting the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 in Lecture 21 to the setting of compact Riemannian manifolds, we have

Proposition 3.1. *Under the above assumptions,*

- (1) P has discrete real spectrum

$$\text{Spec}(P) : \lambda_1(\hbar) \leq \lambda_2(\hbar) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(\hbar) \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty.$$

- (2) Each eigenfunction $\varphi_j(x)$ is a smooth function, and $\{\varphi_j(x)\}$ can be taken to be an L^2 -orthonormal basis.

¶ **The functional calculus.**

As we have seen, to prove Weyl's law, the crucial ingredient is the following

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $f(P) \in \Psi^{-\infty}(M)$ with principal symbol²*

$$\sigma(f(P)) = f(p(x, \xi)).$$

Proof. Idea: We first prove $f(P) \in \Psi^0(M)$. According to Proposition 2.2 in Lecture 20, it is enough to prove

- (a) For any coordinate patch $(\varphi_\alpha, U_\alpha, V_\alpha)$, there exists $\chi \in C_0^\infty(U_\alpha)$ such that $(\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* M_\chi f(P) M_\chi (\varphi_\alpha)^* \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (b) For any $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\text{supp}(\chi_1) \cap \text{supp}(\chi_2) = \emptyset$, we want to prove $M_{\chi_1} f(P) M_{\chi_2} \in \hbar^\infty \Psi^{-\infty}(M)$.

The passing from $\Psi^0(M)$ to $\Psi^{-\infty}$ is standard: one only need to apply the previous result to $(P + i)^k f(P) = g_k(P)$, where $g(t) = (t + i)^k f(t) \in \mathcal{S}$. Finally we calculate the principal symbol of $f(P)$ via the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula.

Step 1. We first prove (b), namely for any $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\text{supp}(\chi_1) \cap \text{supp}(\chi_2) = \emptyset$, we want to prove $M_{\chi_1} f(P) M_{\chi_2} \in \hbar^N \Psi^{-N}(M)$ for any N . According to Beals's theorem, it is enough to prove

$$\|M_{\chi_1} f(P) M_{\chi_2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_{\hbar}^{-N}, H_{\hbar}^N)} = O(\hbar^N).$$

²Note that in our definition, $\Psi^{-\infty}(M)$ is not negligible, and the principal symbol of an element in $\Psi^{-\infty}(M)$ is an element in $S^{-\infty}(T^*M)$. Only elements in $\hbar^\infty \Psi^{-\infty}(M)$ are negligible and has zero principal symbol of any order.

According to the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula

$$f(P) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) (z - P)^{-1} \mathcal{L}(dz),$$

it is enough to prove

$$(9) \quad \|M_{\chi_1} (z - P)^{-1} M_{\chi_2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_h^{-N}, H_h^N)} = O(\hbar^N |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-K_N})$$

for some $K_N > 0$. [We can't conclude (9) directly since we don't know where the resolvent $(z - P)^{-1}$ is a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator or not. So the idea is: approximate $(z - P)^{-1}$ by a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator so that we can control both the norm of the expression (9) with $(z - P)^{-1}$ replaced by the semiclassical pseudodifferential operator, and the norm of the remainder.]

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, we let $Q_0(z) = \operatorname{Op}((z - p)^{-1}) \in \Psi^{-m}(M)$. According to Proposition 2.5 in Lecture 20,

$$\sigma_0(\operatorname{Id} - (z - P)Q_0) = 1 - \sigma_m(z - P)\sigma_{-m}(Q_0) = 0$$

and thus

$$(z - P)Q_0 = \operatorname{Id} - R_1$$

for some $R_1(z) \in \hbar\Psi^{-1}(M)$. According to the Calderon-Vailancourt theorem, the operator norm of $\|R_1(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_h^{-N}, H_h^{-N+1})}$ is controlled by finitely many derivatives of $r_1(z)$, which, by using the Moyal product formula in local charts, together with the resolvent estimate, namely Theorem 1.3, is controlled by $\operatorname{Im}(z)^{-K_1}$ for some $K_1 > 0$:

$$\|R_1(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_h^{-N}, H_h^{-N+1})} = O(\hbar |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-K_1}).$$

If we replace $Q_0(z)$ by

$$Q_L(z) = Q_0 + Q_0 R_1 + \cdots + Q_0 R_1^L \in \Psi^{-m}(M),$$

and denote $R_L = (R_1)^L \in \hbar^L \Psi^{-L}(M)$ we will get

$$(z - P)Q_L = (\operatorname{Id} - R_1)(\operatorname{Id} + R_1 + \cdots + R_1^L) = \operatorname{Id} - R_{L+1}(z)$$

with

$$\|R_L(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_h^{-N}, H_h^{-N+L})} = O(\hbar^L |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-K'_L})$$

for some $K'_L > 0$. and similarly, since the estimates for $Q_L(z)$ blow up as $\operatorname{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0$ only polynomially,

$$\|M_{\chi_1} Q_L M_{\chi_2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_h^{-N}, H_h^N)} = O(\hbar^N |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-K''_L})$$

for some $K''_L > 0$. As a consequence,

$$(z - P)^{-1} = Q_L + (z - P)^{-1} R_{L+1}(z),$$

and if we take L large enough, we will get

$$\|M_{\chi_1} (z - P)^{-1} M_{\chi_2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_h^{-N}, H_h^N)} = O(\hbar^N |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-K_N})$$

as desired.

Step 2. We then prove (a), namely for any coordinate patch $(\varphi_\alpha, U_\alpha, V_\alpha)$, there exists $\chi \in C_0^\infty(U_\alpha)$ such that $(\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* M_\chi f(P) M_\chi (\varphi_\alpha)^* \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

According to Beals's Theorem (for manifolds), it is enough to prove

$$(10) \quad \|\text{ad}_{\widehat{l}_1^W} \circ \cdots \circ \text{ad}_{\widehat{l}_N^W} (\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* M_\chi f(P) M_\chi (\varphi_\alpha)^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = O(\hbar^N)$$

holds for any N and any linear functions l_1, \dots, l_N on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

By definition one can check

$$\text{ad}_{\widehat{l}^W} [(\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* M_\chi (z - P)^{-1} M_\chi (\varphi_\alpha)^*] = (\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* \text{ad}_{\widehat{L}} [M_\chi (z - P)^{-1} M_\chi] (\varphi_\alpha)^*$$

where

$$\widehat{L} = (\varphi_\alpha)^* M_{\widetilde{\chi}} \widehat{l}^W M_{\widetilde{\chi}} (\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^*$$

for some $\widetilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(V_\alpha)$ such that $\varphi_\alpha^* \widetilde{\chi} = 1$ on $\text{supp}(\chi)$. Since

$$\|\text{ad}_{\widehat{L}} [(z - P)^{-1}]\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = \|(z - P)^{-1} \text{ad}_{\widehat{L}}(P) (z - P)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = O(\hbar |\text{Im}(z)|^2),$$

we conclude from the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula that

$$\|\text{ad}_{\widehat{l}^W} [(\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* M_\chi f(P) M_\chi (\varphi_\alpha)^*]\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = O(\hbar).$$

Similarly one can prove (10) for all N and the conclusion follows.

Step 3. Now we prove $f(P) \in \Psi^{-\infty}(M)$.

By Step 1 and Step 2, we have seen $f(P) \in \Psi^0(M)$. If we replace f by $g_k(t) = (t + i)^k f(t) \in \mathcal{S}$, we will get

$$g_k(P) = (P + i)^k f(P) : L^2(M) \rightarrow H_h^k(M)$$

for any k and thus $f(P) \in \Psi^{-\infty}(M)$.

Step 4. Finally we calculate the principal symbol of $f(P)$.

Again this is a consequence of Helffer-Sjöstrand formula. In Step 1 we have seen

$$\text{Op}((z - p)^{-1})(z - P) = I + O(\hbar |\text{Im}(z)|^{-K_1})$$

which implies

$$(z - P)^{-1} = \text{Op}((z - p)^{-1}) + O(\hbar |\text{Im}(z)|^{-K_1 - 1}).$$

Thus

$$f(P) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial}_z \widetilde{f}(z) \text{Op}((z - p)^{-1}) \mathcal{L}(dz) + O(\hbar) = \text{Op}(f(p(x, \xi))) + O(\hbar).$$

So by definition, $\sigma(f(P)) = f(p(x, \xi))$. \square

Note that in this setting the trace formula is even simpler: Under the ellipticity assumption on $p = \sigma(P)$ in $S^m(T^*M)$ with $m > 0$, locally the “principal symbol” of $f(P)$ is a Schwartz function. As a consequence, we get the following trace formula for $P \in \Psi^m(M)$ satisfying the conditions at the beginning of this section:

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $f(P)$ is a trace class operator on $L^2(M)$ and*

$$(2\pi\hbar)^n \text{Tr}(f(P)) = \int_{T^*M} f(p(x, \xi)) dx d\xi + O(\hbar).$$

¶ **Generalized Weyl's law.**

By playing the same trick, namely by approximating $\chi_{(a,b)}$ both from above and below via smooth functions, one can easily prove the following Weyl's law for $P \in \Psi^m(M)$ satisfying the conditions at the beginning of this section:

Theorem 3.4 (Weyl's law on manifolds). *For any $a < b$, as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we have*

$$N_\hbar(P, [a, b]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \left(\text{Vol}(p^{-1}([a, b])) + o(1) \right).$$

In particular, if we take $P = -\hbar^2 \Delta + V$, we will get Weyl's law for Schrödinger operator on manifolds,

$$N_\hbar(P, [a, b]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \left(\text{Vol}\{(x, \xi) \mid a \leq |\xi|^2 + V(x) \leq b\} + o(1) \right).$$

Remark. In particular, if we take $V = 0$, we get Weyl's law for the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on (M, g) :

$$\#\{j \mid \lambda_j \leq \lambda\} = \frac{\omega_n \text{Vol}(M)}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{n/2} + o(\lambda^{n/2})$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

In fact, with a bit more work, we can prove the following generalized Weyl's law (which reduced to Weyl's law above if we take $B = Id$):

Theorem 3.5 (Generalized Weyl's law). *Suppose $B \in \Psi^0(M)$ and $a < b$. Under the assumptions at beginning of this section, as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we have*

$$(11) \quad (2\pi\hbar)^n \sum_{a \leq \lambda_j \leq b} \langle B\varphi_j, \varphi_j \rangle \rightarrow \iint_{a \leq p \leq b} \sigma(B) dx d\xi.$$

Proof. Fix a and b and let $\Pi : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ be the orthogonal projection from $L^2(M)$ onto the subspace spanned by eigenfunction φ_j 's of P associated to eigenvalues in the interval $[a, b]$. Then by definition,

$$\sum_{a \leq \lambda_j \leq b} \langle B\varphi_j, \varphi_j \rangle = \text{Tr}(\Pi B \Pi).$$

As before we pick two sequence of compactly supported smooth functions $\underline{f}_\varepsilon$ and \bar{f}_ε that approaches the characteristic function $\chi_{[a,b]}$ from below and above, namely

$$1_{[a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon]} \leq \underline{f}_\varepsilon \leq 1_{[a,b]} \leq \bar{f}_\varepsilon \leq 1_{[a-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon]}.$$

Then $\underline{f}_\varepsilon(P)$ and $\bar{f}_\varepsilon(P)$ are pseudo-differential operators in $\Psi^{-\infty}(M)$ with

$$\Pi \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P) = \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P) \Pi = \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P), \quad \bar{f}_\varepsilon(P) \Pi = \Pi \bar{f}_\varepsilon(P) = \Pi,$$

and thus

$$\Pi B = \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P) B + (\Pi - \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P)) B = \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P) B + \Pi(\bar{f}_\varepsilon(P) - \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P)) B.$$

It follows

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\Pi B \Pi) = \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi B) = \mathrm{Tr}(\underline{f}_\varepsilon(P) B) + \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi(\bar{f}_\varepsilon(P) - \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P)) B).$$

Since $0 \leq \bar{f}_\varepsilon - \underline{f}_\varepsilon \leq 1$ and since $\bar{f}_\varepsilon(P) - \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P)$ is self-adjoint, the definition of the trace norm together with Theorem 3.4 implies

$$\|\bar{f}_\varepsilon(P) - \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P)\|_{tr} \leq \#\{j \mid \lambda_j \in (a-\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon) \cup (b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon)\} \leq C(A_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon(\hbar)) \hbar^{-n},$$

where $A_\varepsilon = \mathrm{Vol}[p^{-1}((a-\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon) \cup (b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon))]$ and $\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} B_\varepsilon(\hbar) = 0$. It follows ³

$$(2\pi\hbar)^n \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi(\bar{f}_\varepsilon(P) - \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P)) B) \leq (2\pi\hbar)^n \|\Pi(\bar{f}_\varepsilon(P) - \underline{f}_\varepsilon(P)) B\|_{tr} \leq C(A_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon(\hbar))$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi\hbar)^n \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi B \Pi) &= (2\pi\hbar)^n \mathrm{Tr}(\underline{f}_\varepsilon(P) B) + C(A_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon(\hbar)) \\ &= \int_{T^*M} f_\varepsilon(p) \sigma_0(B) dx d\xi + O_\varepsilon(\hbar) + C(A_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon(\hbar)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\liminf_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} (2\pi\hbar)^n \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi B \Pi) = \int_{T^*M} f_\varepsilon(p) \sigma_0(B) dx d\xi + O(A_\varepsilon)$$

and

$$\limsup_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} (2\pi\hbar)^n \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi B \Pi) = \int_{T^*M} f_\varepsilon(p) \sigma_0(B) dx d\xi + O(A_\varepsilon).$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the conclusion follows. □

³Here we used the following fact: If A is compact and B is bounded, then their singular values satisfy $s_j(AB) \leq s_j(A) \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}}$. This fact can be proved by using the min-max characterization of the eigenvalues of positive (self-adjoint) compact operators.