

LECTURE 23: INTEGRATION ON MANIFOLDS

1. TOP FORMS AND ORIENTABILITY

¶ Top forms on manifolds.

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension m . As we have known, $\Omega^k(M) = 0$ for $k > m$. Thus we will call any smooth m -form a *top form* on M . Now let $p \in M$ and (φ, U, V) a local chart near p . Then $dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m$ is a top form on U . Note that for any $q \in U$, $(dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m)_q \neq 0$ since at any $q \in U$,

$$(dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m)_q(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m) = \det(dx^i(\partial_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} = 1.$$

Moreover, since $\dim \Lambda^m T_p M = 1$, we see that for any top form ω on U and any $q \in U$, there is a real number λ_q such that

$$\omega_q = \lambda_q(dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m)_q.$$

Also by smoothness of ω , the coefficient λ , as a function on U , is smooth. So up to multiplication by functions, the “canonical top form” is the “only essential” top form in the chart. (However, this conclusion is not true globally on M : For two top forms $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^m(M)$, it may happen that $\omega_p = 0, \omega_q \neq 0$ while $\eta_p \neq 0, \eta_q = 0$, and thus there exists no function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\omega = f\eta$ or $\eta = f\omega$.)

In particular, if we change coordinates from $(x_\alpha^1, \dots, x_\alpha^m)$ to $(x_\beta^1, \dots, x_\beta^m)$ on U , then we will get two top forms, $dx_\alpha^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\alpha^m$ and $dx_\beta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\beta^m$. They should be related by a smooth function on U . It is not hard to find out this coordinate-change factor. We first prove

Lemma 1.1. *If $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a diffeomorphism and $y = \varphi(x)$, then*

$$\varphi^*(dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^m) = \det(d\varphi_x) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m$$

Proof. If we denote $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^m)$, then $\varphi^*y^i = y^i \circ \varphi = \varphi^i$. So

$$\varphi^*(dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^m) = d\varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi^m.$$

But since

$$d\varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi^m(\partial_1^x, \dots, \partial_m^x) = \det(d\varphi_x),$$

we conclude

$$d\varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi^m = \det(d\varphi_x) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m. \quad \square$$

Now let $(\varphi_\alpha, U, V_\alpha)$ and $(\varphi_\beta, U, V_\beta)$ be two coordinate systems on U . Then the coordinate change map is $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$, which maps $\varphi_\alpha(x)$ to $y = \varphi_\beta(x)$. So we get

$$(\varphi_{\alpha\beta})^*(\varphi_\beta^{-1})^* dx_\beta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\beta^m = \det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta})(\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^* dx_\alpha^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\alpha^m.$$

Since $(\varphi_{\alpha\beta})^*(\varphi_\beta^{-1})^* = (\varphi_\beta^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\alpha\beta})^* = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$, we arrive at

$$dx_\beta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\beta^m = \det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}) dx_\alpha^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\alpha^m.$$

¶ **The need of orientability.**

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n , and let $\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$ be a smooth n -form. We want to define the integral $\int_M \omega$. For simplicity let's suppose ω is supported on a chart (φ, U, V) with coordinates $\{x^1, \dots, x^m\}$. Then we can write

$$\omega = f(\varphi(x)) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m,$$

where f is a smooth function on V . With the help of the Euclidean differential form $f(x) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m$ on V , it is natural to define

$$(1) \quad \int_U \omega := \int_V f(x) dx^1 \dots dx^m.$$

Then as usual one needs to check that the integral in the right hand side is independent of the choice of coordinate charts.

So we let $(\varphi_\alpha, U, V_\alpha)$ and $(\varphi_\beta, U, V_\beta)$ be two coordinate systems on U , with transition map $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} : V_\alpha \rightarrow V_\beta$ which maps $x_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(x)$ to $x_\beta = \varphi_\beta(x)$. Then

$$\omega = f_\beta(x_\beta) dx_\beta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_\beta^m = f_\beta(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x_\alpha)) \det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}) dx_\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_\alpha^m$$

So for the definition to be well-defined, we need

$$\int_{V_\beta} f_\beta(x_\beta) dx_\beta^1 \dots dx_\beta^m = \int_{V_\alpha} f_\beta(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x_\alpha)) \det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x_\alpha)) dx_\alpha^1 \dots dx_\alpha^m.$$

Unfortunately this is not always true: In calculus we learned that for the integrals of multi-variable functions

$$\int f(x) dx^1 \dots dx^m,$$

if $\varphi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is a diffeomorphism, then we have the *change of variable formula*: $(y = \varphi(x))$

$$(2) \quad \int_{V_2} f(y) dy^1 \dots dy^m = \int_{V_1} f(\varphi(x)) |\det(d\varphi)(x)| dx^1 \dots dx^m.$$

So we only have

$$\int_{V_\beta} f_\beta(x_\beta) dx_\beta^1 \dots dx_\beta^m = \int_{V_\alpha} f_\beta(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x_\alpha)) |\det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x_\alpha))| dx_\alpha^1 \dots dx_\alpha^m.$$

In other words, for the definition to be independent of the choice of charts, we need to assume

$$\det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}) > 0$$

for all charts. In fact, we have seen this condition in PSet 2-1-3:

Definition 1.2. Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n .

- (1) Two charts $(\varphi_\alpha, U_\alpha, V_\alpha)$ and $(\varphi_\beta, U_\beta, V_\beta)$ are *orientation compatible* if the transition map $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ satisfies

$$\det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta})_p > 0$$

for all $p \in \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$.

- (2) An *orientation* of M is an atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{(\varphi_\alpha, U_\alpha, V_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ whose charts are pairwise orientation compatible.
- (3) We say M is *orientable* if it has an orientation.

Remark. Let U be a chart with coordinates $\{x^1, \dots, x^m\}$. We use the notation $-U$ to represent the same coordinate chart U but with “twisted” coordinates $\{-x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m\}$. Then $-U$ and U are not orientation compatible. Let \tilde{U} be any other coordinate chart such that $\tilde{U} \cap U \neq \emptyset$ is *connected*. Then either

- \tilde{U} and U are orientation compatible,

or

- \tilde{U} and $-U$ are orientation compatible.

As a consequence, we immediately see

Corollary 1.3. *If M is connected and orientable, then M admits exactly two different orientations.*

Example. For the real projective space \mathbb{RP}^n , we have constructed an atlas consisting of $n + 1$ charts. We have seen that \mathbb{RP}^n is orientable for odd n . It turns out that \mathbb{RP}^n is not orientable for even n .

2. INTEGRATIONS ON SMOOTH MANIFOLDS

¶ Integrations of top forms on smooth manifolds.

Now assume M is a smooth orientable m -manifold and fix an orientation \mathcal{A} on M . Let ω be any smooth m -form on M . To define $\int_M \omega$, we first assume that ω is supported in a coordinate chart (φ, U, V) which is orientation compatible with \mathcal{A} . In this case there is a function f supported in U such that

$$\omega = f(\varphi(x))dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m.$$

In this case we simply define

$$(3) \quad \int_U \omega := \int_V f(x)dx^1 \dots dx^m,$$

where the right hand side is the Lebesgue integral on $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. We will assume f is integrable. In fact, in what follows the function f involved are compactly supported.

To integrate a general m -form $\omega \in \Omega^m(M)$, we take a locally finite cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of M that are compatible with the orientation \mathcal{A} . Let $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_\alpha\}$. Now since each ρ_α is supported in U_α , each $\rho_\alpha \omega$ is supported U_α also. We define

$$(4) \quad \int_M \omega := \sum_\alpha \int_{U_\alpha} \rho_\alpha \omega.$$

We say that ω is *integrable* if the right hand side converges absolutely for any such cover and any such P.O.U. This is true, for example, if ω is compactly supported.

One need to check that the definition (4) above is independent of the choices of orientation-compatible coordinate charts, and is independent of the choices of partition of unity.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose ω is compactly supported, or more generally, ω is integrable. The expression (4) is independent of the choices of $\{U_\alpha\}$ and the choices of $\{\rho_\alpha\}$.*

Proof. We first show that (3) is well-defined. The argument is essentially the same as in the Euclidian case: if ω is supported in U , and if $\{x_\alpha^i\}$ and $\{x_\beta^i\}$ are two orientation-compatible coordinate systems on U , so that

$$\omega = f_\alpha dx_\alpha^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\alpha^m = f_\beta dx_\beta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\beta^m,$$

then we want to prove

$$\int_{V_\alpha} f_\alpha dx_\alpha^1 \cdots dx_\alpha^m = \int_{V_\beta} f_\beta dx_\beta^1 \cdots dx_\beta^m.$$

This is true, because

$$dx_\beta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\beta^m = \det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}) dx_\alpha^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\alpha^m$$

implies $f_\alpha = \det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}) f_\beta$. Since $\det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}) > 0$, the conclusion follows from the change of variable formula in \mathbb{R}^n .

To prove (4) is well-defined, we suppose $\{U_\alpha\}$ and $\{U_\beta\}$ are two locally finite cover of M consisting of orientation-compatible charts, and $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ and $\{\rho_\beta\}$ are partitions of unity subordinate to $\{U_\alpha\}$ and $\{U_\beta\}$ respectively. Then $\{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta\}$ is a new locally finite cover of M , and $\{\rho_\alpha \rho_\beta\}$ is a partition of unity subordinate to this new cover. It is enough to prove

$$\sum_\alpha \int_{U_\alpha} \rho_\alpha \omega = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} \rho_\alpha \rho_\beta \omega.$$

This is true because for each fixed α ,

$$\int_{U_\alpha} \rho_\alpha \omega = \int_{U_\alpha} \left(\sum_\beta \rho_\beta \right) \rho_\alpha \omega = \sum_\beta \int_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} \rho_\beta \rho_\alpha \omega.$$

□

¶ Change of variable formula.

Finally we extend the change of variable formula from \mathbb{R}^m to manifolds.

Definition 2.2. Let M, N be orientable smooth n -manifolds, with orientations \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} respectively. A diffeomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be *orientation-preserving* if for each $(\psi_\beta, X_\beta, Y_\beta) \in \mathcal{B}$, the chart $(\psi_\beta \circ \varphi, \varphi^{-1}(X_\beta), Y_\beta)$ on M is orientation compatible with \mathcal{A} .

Suppose M, N are connected. It is easy to see that a diffeomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is orientation-preserving if and only if there exists one chart $(\psi_\beta, X_\beta, Y_\beta) \in \mathcal{B}$, such that the chart $(\psi_\beta \circ \varphi, \varphi^{-1}(X_\beta), Y_\beta)$ on M is orientation compatible with \mathcal{A} . Similarly if there exists one chart $(\psi_\beta, X_\beta, Y_\beta) \in \mathcal{B}$, such that the chart $(\psi_\beta \circ \varphi, \varphi^{-1}(X_\beta), Y_\beta)$ on M is incompatible with \mathcal{A} , then for every chart $(\psi_\beta, X_\beta, Y_\beta) \in \mathcal{B}$, the chart $(\psi_\beta \circ$

$\varphi, \varphi^{-1}(X_\beta, Y_\beta)$ on M is incompatible with \mathcal{A} . In this case we say φ is *orientation-reverting*.

Now we state:

Theorem 2.3 (The change of variable formula.). *Suppose M, N are n -dimensional orientable smooth manifolds, and $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism.*

(1) *If φ is an orientation-preserving, then*

$$\int_M f^* \omega = \int_N \omega.$$

(2) *If φ is an orientation-reverting, then*

$$\int_M f^* \omega = - \int_N \omega.$$

Proof. It is enough to prove this in local charts, in which case this is merely the change of variable formula in \mathbb{R}^m . \square

Remark. If ω is a compactly supported k -form on M , where $k < m = \dim M$, then one cannot integrate ω over M . However, for any k -dimensional orientable submanifold $X \subset M$, one can define $\int_X \omega$ by setting it to be $\int_X \iota^* \omega$, where $\iota : X \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion map. By this way we get a “pairing” between k -forms on M and k -dimensional orientable submanifolds in M .

Remark. If M is not orientable, one cannot define integrals of differential forms as above. However, we can still integrate via *densities*. (c.f. J. Lee, Introduction to smooth manifolds, page 427-432.)

¶ Volume form and volume measure.

Next we show that orientability can be characterized via the existence of specific top forms:

Theorem 2.4. *An m -dimensional smooth manifold M is orientable if and only if M admits a nowhere vanishing smooth m -form μ .*

Proof. First let μ be a nowhere vanishing smooth m -form on M . Then on each local chart (U, x^1, \dots, x^m) (where U is always chosen to be connected), there is a smooth function $f \neq 0$ so that $\mu = f dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m$. It follows that

$$\mu(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m) = f \neq 0.$$

We can always take such a chart near each point so that $f > 0$, otherwise we can replace x^1 by $-x^1$. Now suppose $(U_\alpha, x_\alpha^1, \dots, x_\alpha^m)$ and $(U_\beta, x_\beta^1, \dots, x_\beta^m)$ be two such charts, so that on the intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ one has

$$f dx_\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_\alpha^m = \mu = g dx_\beta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_\beta^m = g \det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}) dx_\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_\alpha^m.$$

where $f, g > 0$. It follows that $\det(d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}) > 0$. So the atlas constructed by this way is an orientation.

Conversely, suppose \mathcal{A} is an orientation. For each local chart U_α in \mathcal{A} , we let

$$\mu_\alpha = dx_\alpha^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_\alpha^m.$$

Pick a partition of unity $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ subordinate to the open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$. We claim that

$$\mu := \sum_{\alpha} \rho_\alpha \mu_\alpha$$

is a nowhere vanishing smooth m -form on M . In fact, for each $p \in M$, there is a neighborhood U of p so that the sum $\sum_{\alpha} \rho_\alpha \mu_\alpha$ is a finite sum $\sum_{i=1}^k \rho_i \mu_i$. It follows that near p ,

$$\mu(\partial_1^1, \dots, \partial_m^1) = \sum_{i=1}^k (\det d\varphi_{1i}) \rho_i > 0.$$

So $\mu \neq 0$ near p . □

Definition 2.5. A nowhere vanishing smooth m -form μ on an m -dimensional smooth manifold M is called a *volume form*.

Remark. If M is orientable, and μ is a volume form, then the two orientations of M are represented by μ and $-\mu$ respectively. We denote the two orientations by $[\mu]$ and $[-\mu]$.

Remark. Let μ be a volume form on M , and the orientation on M is chosen to be $[\mu]$. Then we can define a linear functional

$$I : C_c(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f \mapsto \int_M f \mu.$$

(Here, $C_c(M)$ represents the space of continuous functions with compact supports on M . Obviously the integrals above still make sense even if f is not smooth.) Since the orientation on M is chosen to be $[\mu]$, we see the functional I is positive, i.e. $I(f) \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$. Since any manifold is both locally compact and σ -compact, the Riesz representation theorem implies that there exists a unique Radon measure (=a locally finite, regular measure defined on all Borel sets) m_μ such that

$$I(f) = \int_M f dm_\mu.$$

Using the measure $d\mu$, one can define function spaces like $L^p(M, \mu)$.

Remark. In particular, on any Lie group, one can define concepts like left-invariant differential forms. Since any Lie group is orientable ([Exercise](#)), there exists left-invariant volume form on any Lie group G . The measures associated to left-invariant volume form on Lie groups are called *Haar measures*.