

LECTURE 14: EXISTENCE OF SHORTEST GEODESICS

By using ODEs (or equivalently, vector fields on tangent or cotangent bundles), we have proved local existence of geodesics as well as the local length-minimizing property. In what follows we turn to global aspects of geodesics.

1. LENGTH MINIMIZING CURVES ON COMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

¶ Length minimizing curves are geodesics.

Last time we showed that near any point p , there is a neighborhood U so that for any two points $q_1, q_2 \in U$, there is a unique normal geodesics γ in U that connects q_1 and q_2 , and more over, γ is the only shortest curve connecting q_1 and q_2 . As a consequence, one gets

Proposition 1.1. *Let p, q be two points on (M, g) . If $\gamma : [0, l] \rightarrow M$ is a piecewise smooth curve that connects $p = \gamma(0)$ and $q = \gamma(l)$ and is parametrized by arc-length [so $l = \text{Length}(\gamma)$], and if $l = d(p, q)$, then γ is smooth and is a geodesics.*

Proof. First note that by definition of the Riemannian distance function d , there is no piecewise smooth curve connecting p and q of length less than l .

By compactness of $\gamma([0, l])$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ so that any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, l]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \varepsilon$, there is a unique arc-length parametrized length-minimizing curve γ_{t_1, t_2} connecting $\gamma(t_1)$ and $\gamma(t_2)$ which is a normal geodesic. If $\gamma_{t_1, t_2} \neq \gamma|_{[t_1, t_2]}$, then γ_{t_1, t_2} is strictly shorter than $\gamma|_{[t_1, t_2]}$, and we may replace $\gamma|_{[t_1, t_2]}$ by γ_{t_1, t_2} to get a piecewise smooth curve which is shorter than γ , a contradiction. So for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, l]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \varepsilon$, $\gamma|_{[t_1, t_2]}$ is smooth and satisfies the geodesic equation. It follows that γ is smooth and satisfies the geodesic equation on the whole $[0, l]$. \square

So the shortest curve between any two points on a connected Riemannian manifold must be a geodesic. Here are two natural subsequent questions:

Question 1: Given $p, q \in M$, does there exist a smooth curve of length $d(p, q)$ between p and q [which then becomes a shortest geodesic]?

Question 2: Given $p, q \in M$, is the length-minimizing curve the only geodesics connecting p and q ?

By studying very simple examples, it is quite obvious that the answers to both questions are **NO**. However, as usual a simple “no” is not a satisfied answer. In the next couple lectures we will give more in-depth answer to these two questions. For example, under which condition the answer to **Question 1** is yes? For **Question 2**, how does a geodesic change from length minimizing to non-minimizing?

¶ Length minimizing curves on compact Riemannian manifolds.

For the remaining of this lecture, we focus on **Question 1**, or more generally, on conditions for the existence of various “global shortest geodesics”. So let’s start with a simple counterexample to **Question 1**:

Example. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ be the punctured plane, equipped with the standard Euclidean flat metric. Then there is no smooth curve of length 2 connecting the points $(-1, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$.

One can easily find the issue in this example: there are many curves of length $2 + \varepsilon$ connecting $(-1, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$, but their “limit curve” does not exist as a curve in M because of the puncture. In fact, this is always the case for those examples that **Question 1** has answer “NO”: Given any connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) and any $p, q \in M$, by definition there exist piecewise smooth curves γ_ε of length no more than $d(p, q) + \varepsilon$. By using the technique in the proof of Proposition 1.1 one can even assume these curves to be “piecewise geodesics” [i.e. piecewise smooth with each piece a geodesic]. But these curves cannot converge to a piecewise smooth curve in M : If they converge (in the uniform convergence topology) to a piecewise smooth curve in M , then by the lower semi-continuity of the length functional [c.f. Problem 1 in PSet 1], the limit curve must have length $d(p, q)$ and thus by Proposition 1.1, must be a shortest geodesic connecting p and q .

Now suppose M is compact. As one can imagine, in this case such a sequence will converge, and thus give us a YES to **Question 1**. The key observation is:

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\gamma_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a family of piecewise smooth curve parametrized with constant speed [i.e. with $|\dot{\gamma}_i(t)| = \text{Length}(\gamma_i)$ at all smooth points t of γ_i], such that $\text{Length}(\gamma_i) < L$ for some constant L , then the family $\{\gamma_i\}$ is equicontinuous.*

Proof. For any $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, if we take $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{L}$, then for $|x - x_0| < \delta$,

$$d(\gamma_i(x), \gamma_i(x_0)) = |x - x_0| \text{Length}(\gamma_i) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall i,$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

Now we prove

Theorem 1.3. *If (M, g) is a compact connected Riemannian manifold, then for any p, q on M , there is a geodesic of length $d(p, q)$ connecting p and q .*

Proof. Let γ_i be a sequence of piecewise smooth curves with

$$\gamma_i(0) = p, \quad \gamma_i(1) = q, \quad \text{Length}(\gamma_i) < d(p, q) + \frac{1}{i},$$

parametrized with “constant speed”. By Lemma 1.2, $\{\gamma_i\}$ is equicontinuous. It is also pointwise precompact since M is a compact metric space. Applying Arzela-Ascoli theorem we know γ_i has a subsequence that converges to a continuous map $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$. To get a piecewise smooth curve out of γ , we fix $\varepsilon_0 < \text{inj}(M, g)$, and

fix N such that $\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{d(p,q)+1}$. Split each γ_i into N pieces, $\gamma_i^j = \gamma_i|_{[\frac{j}{N}, \frac{j+1}{N}]}$. Then γ_i^j converges to $\gamma^j = \gamma|_{[\frac{j}{N}, \frac{j+1}{N}]}$. Denote $p_j = \gamma(\frac{j}{N})$. Then

$$d(p_j, p_{j+1}) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Length}(\gamma_i^j) \leq \frac{d(p, q)}{N} < \varepsilon_0.$$

Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the piecewise geodesic obtained by connecting each p_j to p_{j+1} by the shortest geodesic (which exists since $\varepsilon_0 < \text{inj}(M, g)$). Since by definition $p_0 = p, p_N = q$ and

$$\text{Length}(\tilde{\gamma}) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} d(p_j, p_{j+1}) \leq d(p, q),$$

we conclude from Proposition 1.1 that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the shortest geodesic from p to q (whose length is $d(p, q)$). \square

¶ Length minimizing curves in given path-homotopy class.

With a little bit more work, one can find geodesics between p and q that are not absolutely length minimizing, but only “relatively length minimizing”:

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold, and p, q are two points in M . Then in each path-homotopy class of curves γ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q$, there is a length-minimizing curve and the curve is a geodesic.*

Proof. Let l_0 be the infimum of length of all piecewise smooth curves in the given path homotopy class, which is positive (at least $d(p, q)$) since $p \neq q$. Again take a sequence of piecewise smooth curves γ_i in the given path homotopy class so that $\text{Length}(\gamma_i) < l_0 + \frac{1}{i}$. By Arzela-Ascoli theorem as above, γ_i has a convergent subsequence whose limit is a continuous curve γ . We take ε_0 small so that each geodesic ball $B(p, 2\varepsilon_0)$ is strongly convex (as in Whitehead theorem). Again we may divide each γ_i into N pieces, and let $p_j = \gamma(\frac{j}{N})$. Then we still have $d(p_j, p_{j+1}) < \varepsilon_0$. As a result, $\gamma|_{[\frac{j}{N}, \frac{j+1}{N}]}$ is path-homotopic to the shortest geodesic connecting p_j to p_{j+1} . So if we let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the piecewise geodesic obtained by connecting each p_j to p_{j+1} by shortest geodesic, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is path homotopic to γ and has shortest length in the given homotopy class. Finally by Proposition 1.1, each sufficiently small part in $\tilde{\gamma}$ must be geodesic. So $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a geodesic. \square

Remark. It was proved by Serre in 1951 that in any compact Riemannian manifold, there are infinitely many geodesics joining any pair of points. [Note that for the sphere, the geodesics could contain a whole great circle which repeat many times]

¶ Length minimizing closed curves in given free homotopy class.

One may also apply the same argument to the case $p = q$, i.e. consider closed curves with base point p . There are two issues:

- (1) If the homotopy class is trivial, then “the shortest curve” is a single point and thus is not interesting.

- (2) In each non-trivial homotopy class of curves with base point p , by the same argument one gets:

Proposition 1.5. *There is a shortest curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = p$ in the given homotopy class with base point p , and it is a geodesic.*

However, in general γ is not smooth at the point p (i.e. $\dot{\gamma}(0) \neq \dot{\gamma}(1)$). Such a curve is called a *geodesic loop* with base point p .

Note that although a geodesic loop γ is a closed curve on M , it is not closed if we take an “upstairs” point of view: the integral curve in S^*M that corresponds to γ is not a closed curve in S^*M . In applications those geodesics that are “not only closed on M , but also closed on S^*M ” are more important:

Definition 1.6. We say a geodesic $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is a *closed geodesic* if $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \dot{\gamma}(1)$.

So closed geodesics are projections of closed integral curves in S^*M to M , and they can also be regarded as smooth maps $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow M$ that satisfies the geodesic equation for all $t \in S^1$. Here are some simple examples:

- Any geodesic on S^m is a closed geodesic.
- On the standard cylinder $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, a geodesic is either a closed geodesic (“horizontal circles”) or a non-self-intersecting geodesic that “goes to infinity” in both direction. [Similar for the standard torus $S^1 \times S^1$].
- For the one-sheet hyperboloid $x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 1$, there is a unique closed geodesic (the circle with $z = 0$), and many geodesic loops based at points not on the closed geodesic, as well as many “unbounded geodesics”.

As motivated by the last example, to get a closed geodesic one cannot use curves in the “homotopy class with base point p ” any more. Instead, one should look at the free homotopy class of closed curves. By adjusting the proofs above, one has

Theorem 1.7. *Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold which is not simply connected. Then in each free homotopy class, there is a length-minimizing curve and the curve is a geodesic.*

Remark. The theorem fails for non-compact connected Riemannian manifold (even if we add completeness assumption).

Remark. For compact simply-connected Riemannian manifold, one can also prove the existence of a closed geodesic: It was proved by Birkhoff for Riemannian 2-spheres (with any Riemannian metric), and later by Lusternik-Fet for any compact simply connected Riemannian manifold. It was further proved by Gromoll-Meyer in 1971 that for simply connected closed manifolds whose cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is not generated by a single element, there are always infinitely many closed geodesics.