LECTURE 17: JACOBI FIELDS

As we have seen, in the second variational formula the curvature term appears. As a result, the formula will play a crucial role in studying the relation between curvature and topology of Riemannian manifolds. Usually the first step will be: start with a geodesic, and take a special variation (e.g. a geodesic variation, sometimes with one endpoint fixed). Thus the variation field of a geodesic variation will be very important for the remaining of this course.

1. Definition of the Jacobi field

¶ The Jacobi field.

Let γ be a geodesic in (M,g). Suppose $f:[a,b]\times(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\to M$ is a geodesic variation of γ , i.e. each curve

$$\gamma_s = f(\cdot, s)$$

is a geodesic in M. Then for any s,

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t} f_t = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t} \dot{\gamma}_s = 0.$$

As a consequence,

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t}\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t}f_s = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t}\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s}f_t = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t}\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s}f_t - \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s}\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t}f_t = \widetilde{R}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s})f_t.$$

Taking s=0, we see that the variation field V of any geodesic variation satisfies

(1)
$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} V = R(\dot{\gamma}, V) \dot{\gamma}.$$

Definition 1.1. Let X be a smooth vector field X along a geodesic γ . We call X a Jacobi field along γ if the equation (1) holds.

Remark. Let γ be a geodesic. There are two trivial Jacobi fields along γ :

- Obviously $X = \dot{\gamma}$ is a Jacobi field. It is the variation field of $f(t,s) = \gamma(t+s)$.
- $X = t\dot{\gamma}$ is a Jacobi field since

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}(t\dot{\gamma}) = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma} + t\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma}) = 0$$

and $R(\dot{\gamma}, t\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} = 0$. It is the variation field of $f(t, s) = \gamma(t + st)$.

• But $X = t^2 \dot{\gamma}$ is **NOT** a Jacobi field since

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} (t^2 \dot{\gamma}) = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} (2t \dot{\gamma}) = 2 \dot{\gamma} \neq 0.$$

It is not amazing that $t^2\dot{\gamma}$ is no longer a Jacobi field along γ :

Lemma 1.2. Let X be a Jacobi field along γ , then $f(t) = \langle X, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ is a linear function.

Proof. According to the Jacobi field equation,

$$f''(t) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle X, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = \langle R(\dot{\gamma}, X) \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = 0.$$

It follows that $\langle X, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ is a linear function along γ .

¶ Existence and uniqueness of Jacobi field.

So the variation field of any geodesic variation is a Jacobi fields. As a result, the second variation formula for a geodesic variation is very simple. We will show that conversely, any Jacobi field on γ can be realized as the variation field of some geodesic variation of γ . Before we prove it, we need some basic properties of Jacobi fields.

Let's take a closer look of the equation for Jacobi fields. Since it is a differential equation, it is enough to study the equation in a coordinate chart. Although one may work on a general frame, to simply the computation one may use a special frame that are parallel along γ [so that the covariant derivatives of the frame are as simple as possible]. So we start with an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ of T_pM , with $e_1 = \dot{\gamma}(a)$, where $p = \gamma(a)$. Let

$$e_i(t) :=$$
the parallel transport of e_i along γ , $1 \le i \le m$.

According to Proposition 2.1 in Lecture 6,

$$\langle e_i(t), e_j(t) \rangle_{\gamma(t)} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle_{\gamma(a)} = \delta_{ij}.$$

In other words, we get an orthonormal frame $\{e_1(t), \dots, e_m(t)\}$ along γ with $e_1(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)$, and this frame is parallel along γ , i.e.

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}e_k(t) = 0, \quad 1 \le k \le m.$$

Let X be a Jacobi field along γ , then with respect to this orthonormal frame we can write $X = X^{i}(t)e_{i}(t)$, and we get

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X = \dot{X}^i(t) e_i(t)$$
 and $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X = \ddot{X}^i(t) e_i(t)$.

It follows that the Jacobi field equation becomes

$$\ddot{X}^{i}(t)e_{i}(t) - X^{i}(t)R_{1i1}{}^{j}e_{j}(t) = 0.$$

So we arrived at a system of second order homogeneous ODEs,

$$\ddot{X}^{i}(t) - X^{j}(t)R_{1j1}^{i} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le m,$$

Using the basic theory for second order homogeneous ODEs, we get

Theorem 1.3. Let $\gamma:[a,b] \to M$ be a geodesic, then for any $X_{\gamma(a)}, Y_{\gamma(a)} \in T_{\gamma(a)}M$, there exists a unique Jacobi field X along γ so that

$$X(a) = X_{\gamma(a)}$$
 and $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(a)}X = Y_{\gamma(a)}$.

Moreover, the set of Jacobi fields along γ is a linear space of dimension 2m (which is canonically isomorphic to $T_{\gamma(a)}M \oplus T_{\gamma(a)}M$).

The following consequence is fundamental:

Corollary 1.4. If X(t) is a Jacobi field along γ , and X is not identically zero, then the zeroes of X are discrete.

Proof. If X has a sequence of zeroes that converges to t_0 , then $X^1(t) = \cdots = x^m(t) = 0$ for a sequence of points t_k converging to $\gamma(t_0)$. It follows that $X^i(t_0) = 0$ and $\dot{X}^i(t_0) = 0$ for all i, i.e. $X(t_0) = 0$, $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t_0)}X = 0$. By uniqueness, $X \equiv 0$.

¶ Jacobi fields as variational fields of geodesic variation.

Now we prove that each Jacobi field X along a geodesic γ can be realized as the variation field of a geodesic variation of γ (So the space of all the Jacobi fields along γ describes all possible ways that γ can vary in "the space of all geodesics" infinitesimally):

Theorem 1.5. A vector field X along a geodesic γ is a Jacobi field if and only if X is the variation field of some geodesic variation of γ .

Proof. We have seen that the variation field of any geodesic variation of γ is a Jacobi field. Now we suppose X is a Jacobi field along γ and construct the desired geodesic variation. For simplicity we parameterize γ as $\gamma:[0,1]\to M$, so $\gamma(t)=\exp_{\gamma(0)}(t\dot{\gamma}(0))$ is defined for $0 \le t \le 1$. It follows that for any (p,Y_p) in a small neighborhood of $(\gamma(0),\dot{\gamma}(0))$, the exponential map $\exp_p(tY_p)$ is defined for $0 \le t \le 1$.

Let $\xi:(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\to M$ be the geodesic with initial conditions

$$\xi(0) = \gamma(0), \quad \dot{\xi}(0) = X_{\gamma(0)}.$$

Let T(s), W(s) be parallel vector fields along ξ with

$$T(0) = \dot{\gamma}(0)$$
 and $W(0) = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} X$.

Define $f:[0,1]\times(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\to M$ by

$$f(t,s) = \exp_{\xi(s)}(t(T(s) + sW(s))).$$

As we mentioned above, for ε small enough, f is well-defined. Moreover, $f(t,0) = \gamma(t)$, so f is a geodesic variation of γ . Let V be the variation field of f. Since both V and X are Jacobi fields along γ , to show V = X, it is enough to show

$$V(0) = X_{\gamma(0)}$$
 and $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}V = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}X$.

The first one follows from

$$V(0) = f_s(0,0) = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(0,s) = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \xi(s) = X_{\gamma(0)}.$$

For the second one, we start with the fact $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t} f_s = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s} f_t$. Evaluate the left hand side at (0,0) we get

$$\left. \left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t} f_s \right)_{0,0} = \left. \left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial t} f_s(t,0) \right) \right|_{t=0} = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} V,$$

and evaluate the right hand side at (0,0) and use the fact

$$f_t(0,s) = (d \exp_{\xi(s)})_0 \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} (t(T(s) + sW(s))) = T(s) + sW(s)$$

we get

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s}f_t\right)_{0,0} = \left.\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial s}f_t(0,s)\right)\right|_{s=0} = \nabla_{X_{\gamma(0)}}(T(s) + sW(s)) = W(0) = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}X.$$

So we get $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}V = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}X$ and thus completes the proof.

Note that given any Jacobi field V along a geodesic γ , there exist many geodesic variations of γ whose variation fields are V [analogue: given any vector v at a point p, there exist many curves whose tangent vector at p is v]. In the proof above we give an explicit formula for one such geodesic variations, namely,

(2)
$$f(t,s) = \exp_{\xi(s)}(t(T(s) + sW(s))),$$

where ξ is a geodesic with $\xi(0) = \gamma(0)$ and $\dot{\xi}(0) = V(0)$, and T, W are parallel vector fields along ξ with $T(0) = \dot{\gamma}(0)$ and $W(0) = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}V$.

2. Jacobi Fields with special conditions

¶ Normal Jacobi fields.

The obviously Jacobi fields $\dot{\gamma}$, $t\dot{\gamma}$ [and their linear combinations] along γ are both tangent to γ and are not so interesting in applications. Very often we need to rule out them and mainly consider normal Jacobi fields.

Definition 2.1. A Jacobi field along γ is called a *normal Jacobi field* if it is perpendicular to $\dot{\gamma}$ along γ .

It turns out that for any Jacobi field, the tangential components must be a linear combination of $\dot{\gamma}$ and $t\dot{\gamma}$:

Proposition 2.2. For any Jacobi field X along γ , there exists $c^1, d^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$X^{\perp} = X - c^1 t \dot{\gamma} - d^1 \dot{\gamma}$$

is a normal Jacobi field along γ .

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, $\langle X, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ is a linear function along γ , i.e.

$$\langle X, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = c_1 t + d_1$$

for some constant $c_1, d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Now we let

$$X^{\perp} = X - c^1 t \dot{\gamma} - d^1 \dot{\gamma}$$

with $c^1 = \frac{c_1}{|\dot{\gamma}|^2}$, $d^1 = \frac{d_1}{|\dot{\gamma}|^2}$. Then it is a Jacobi field along γ since it is a linear combination of Jacobi fields along γ , and it is normal since

$$\langle X^{\perp}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = c_1 t + d_1 - c^1 t |\dot{\gamma}^2| - d^2 |\dot{\gamma}|^2 = 0.$$

Note that if X^{\perp} is a normal Jacobi field along γ , then

$$\langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X^{\perp}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle X^{\perp}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle - \langle X^{\perp}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} \rangle = 0$$

and thus $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X^{\perp} \perp \dot{\gamma}$. It follows

Corollary 2.3. A Jacobi field X along γ is normal if and only if

$$\langle X(a), \dot{\gamma}(a) \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(a)} X, \dot{\gamma}(a) \rangle = 0.$$

In particular, the set of normal Jacobi fields form a linear space of dimension 2m-2.

Proof. With $X = X^{\perp} + c^1 t \dot{\gamma} + d^1 \dot{\gamma}$, we have

$$\langle X(a), \dot{\gamma}(a) \rangle = (c^1 a + d^1) |\dot{\gamma}|^2,$$

$$\langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(a)} X, \dot{\gamma}(a) \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(a)} (c^1 t \dot{\gamma} + d^1 \dot{\gamma}), \dot{\gamma}(a) \rangle = c^1 |\dot{\gamma}|^2.$$

The conclusion follows.

Corollary 2.4. Let X be a Jacobi field so that

$$\langle X(t_1), \dot{\gamma}(t_1) \rangle = \langle X(t_2), \dot{\gamma}(t_2) \rangle = 0$$

for two distinct numbers t_1, t_2 . Then X is a normal Jacobi field.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 1.2, i.e. $\langle X, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ is a linear function along γ , and the fact that a linear function has no more than one zero unless it is identically zero. \Box

¶ Normal Jacobi fields on spaces with constant sectional curvature.

Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature k, i.e.

$$R(X,Y)Z = -k(\langle X,Z\rangle Y - \langle Y,Z\rangle X).$$

Let γ be a normal geodesic in M, and X a normal Jacobi field along γ . Then

$$R(\dot{\gamma}, X)\dot{\gamma} = -k(\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle X - \langle X, \dot{\gamma} \rangle \dot{\gamma}) = -kX.$$

So the equation for a normal Jacobi field X along γ becomes

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X + kX = 0.$$

Again we take an orthonormal frame $\{e_i(t)\}$ along γ so that

- $e_1(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)$,
- each $e_i(t)$ is parallel along γ ,

as we did in the proof of Theorem 1.3, and write

$$X = \sum_{i=2}^{m} X^{i}(t)e_{i}(t),$$

then the equation for the coefficient $X^{i}(t)$ becomes

$$\ddot{X}^i(t) + kX^i(t) = 0, \qquad 2 \le i \le m.$$

The solution to this equation is

$$X^{i}(t) = \begin{cases} c^{i\frac{\sin(\sqrt{k}t)}{\sqrt{k}}} + d^{i}\cos(\sqrt{k}t), & \text{if } k > 0, \\ c^{i}t + d^{i}, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ c^{i\frac{\sinh(\sqrt{-k}t)}{\sqrt{-k}}} + d^{i}\cosh(\sqrt{-k}t), & \text{if } k < 0, \end{cases}$$

where c^i, d^i are constants, and

$$\cosh(t) = \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2}, \quad \sinh(t) = \frac{e^t - e^{-t}}{2}$$

are the hyperbolic cosine and hyperbolic sine functions. Some times people denote

$$sn_k(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(\sqrt{k}t)}{\sqrt{k}}, & k > 0\\ t, & k = 0\\ \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{-k}t)}{\sqrt{-k}}, & k < 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } cn_k(t) = sn'_k(t) = \begin{cases} \cos(\sqrt{k}t), & k > 0\\ 1, & k = 0\\ \cosh(\sqrt{-k}t), & k < 0 \end{cases}$$

so that we can write $X^{i}(t) = c^{i} s n_{k}(t) + d^{i} c n_{k}(t)$.

¶ Jacobi fields with V(0) = 0.

For simplicity let a=0 for the defining interval [a,b] of γ . In many applications we need geodesic variations that fix one end, i.e. with $\gamma_s(0) = \gamma(0)$ for all s. Of course the Jacobi field for such geodesic variations satisfies V(0) = 0. Conversely, if V is a Jacobi field along γ with V(0) = 0, then in (2) we may take

$$\xi(s) \equiv \gamma(0), \quad T(s) \equiv \dot{\gamma}(0), \quad W(s) \equiv \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} V$$

and get an explicit geodesic variation with one end fixed, whose variation field is V:

Proposition 2.5. If V is a Jacobi field along geodesic γ with V(0) = 0, then

$$f(t,s) = \exp_{\gamma(0)}(t(\dot{\gamma}(0) + s\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}V)).$$

is a geodesic variation of γ with $\gamma_s(0) = \gamma(0)$ and whose variation field is V.

In particular, by calculating the variation field of the above variation via its formula, we get

Corollary 2.6. If V is a Jacobi field along geodesic γ with V(0) = 0, then

$$V(t) = f_s(t,0) = (d \exp_{\gamma(0)})_{t\dot{\gamma}(0)} (t\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} V).$$

¶ Taylor's expansion of the Jacobi field with V(0) = 0.

Now let V, W be Jacobi fields along a geodesic γ with

$$V(0) = 0, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}V = X_p \in T_pM$$
 and $W(0) = 0, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}V = Y_p \in T_pM$.

According to Corollary 2.6, we have

$$V(t) = (d \exp_p)_{t\dot{\gamma}(0)}(tX_p)$$
 and $W(t) = (d \exp_p)_{t\dot{\gamma}(0)}(tY_p)$.

Let $f(t) = \langle V(t), W(t) \rangle$. Then we have

$$f(0) = \langle V(0), W(0) \rangle = 0,$$

$$f'(0) = \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} V, W(0) \rangle + \langle V(0), \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} W \rangle = 0,$$

$$f''(0) = \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} V, W(0) \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} V, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} W \rangle + \langle V(0), \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} W \rangle = 2 \langle X_p, Y_p \rangle.$$

To compute more derivatives, we note that in view of V(0) = 0,

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} V = R(\dot{\gamma}(0), V(0)) \dot{\gamma}(0) = 0,$$

and similarly $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}W=0$. So [We abbreviate the k^{th} composition $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\cdots\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}$ to $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(k)}$]

$$f'''(0) = \sum_{l=0}^{3} {3 \choose l} \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(3-l)} V, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(l)} W \rangle(0) = 0,$$

$$f''''(0) = \sum_{l=0}^{4} {4 \choose l} \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(4-l)} V, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} W \rangle(0) = 4 \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(3)} V, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} W \rangle(0) + 4 \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} V, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(3)} W \rangle(0).$$

To calculate the third order derivative, we note that if we take the $(k-2)^{th}$ covariant derivative of the Jacobi field equation for V, then

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(k)}V - \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} {k-2 \choose l} (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(k-2-l)}R)(\dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(l)}V)\dot{\gamma} = 0,$$

where we used the facts

 $\nabla_W(R(X,Y)Z) = (\nabla_W R)(X,Y)Z + R(\nabla_W X,Y)Z + R(X,\nabla_W Y)Z + R(X,Y)\nabla_W Z$ and $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = 0$. Taking k = 3, we get

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(3)}V - (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}R)(\dot{\gamma}, V)\dot{\gamma} - R(\dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}V)\dot{\gamma} = 0.$$

Evaluate at t=0, and use V(0)=0, we get $(\nabla^{(3)}_{\dot{\gamma}}V)(0)=R(\dot{\gamma}(0),X_p)\dot{\gamma}(0)$. Thus $f''''(0)=4\langle R(\dot{\gamma}(0),X_p)\dot{\gamma}(0),Y_p\rangle+4\langle X_p,R(\dot{\gamma}(0),Y_p)\dot{\gamma}(0)\rangle=-8Rm(\dot{\gamma}(0),X_p,\dot{\gamma}(0),Y_p)$. So we get

$$\langle V(t), W(t) \rangle = \langle X_p, Y_p \rangle t^2 - \frac{1}{3} Rm(\dot{\gamma}(0), X_p, \dot{\gamma}(0), Y_p) t^4 + O(t^5).$$

In particular, if we take W = V and assume $|X_p| = 1$, then

$$|V(t)|^2 = t^2 - \frac{1}{3}Rm(\dot{\gamma}(0), X_p, \dot{\gamma}(0), X_p)t^4 + O(t^5).$$