Surface and interface design for heterogeneous catalysis
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Surface and interface designs are an efficient strategy to fabricate innovative and advanced catalysts. A prerequisite for this is a fundamental understanding of the structure–performance relations of catalyst nanoparticles, which, however, remains a formidable challenge due to the complexity of heterogeneous catalysis. Recent progresses in catalytic nanocrystals with uniform and well-defined structures, in situ characterization techniques, and theoretical calculations have offered opportunities for the fundamental studies of heterogeneous catalysis, and the achieved outputs are turning the innovation of efficient catalysts via surface and interface designs into a reality. Herein, the recent advances in the fundamental-understanding-directed rational surface and interface designs for heterogeneous catalysis, including crystal phase design, morphology/facet design, and size design, are presented. Perspectives are also discussed for the innovation of efficient catalysts via the fundamental-understanding-directed surface and interface designs followed by controlled synthesis.

1. Introduction

Catalysts can accelerate a chemical reaction to reach equilibrium (catalyst activity) and selectively accelerate a desirable chemical reaction from a complex network of competing chemical reactions (catalyst selectivity) without compositional and structural changes (catalyst stability). Catalysis has been playing important and versatile roles in energy, environment, and chemical industries and is one of the key sciences and technologies to overcome the challenges of serious energy and resource shortages and environmental pollutions encountered during the sustainable development of our society. The core issue is to develop efficient, green, and economic catalysts and corresponding catalytic processes. An ideal approach to realize these goals is the rational structural design of catalysts followed by controlled synthesis.

A rational structural design of catalysts requires a fundamental understanding of their structure–performance relationship.

Received 10th September 2018, Accepted 28th November 2018
DOI: 10.1039/c8cp05717f

Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, Key Laboratory of Materials for Energy Conversion of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Department of Chemical Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, P. R. China. E-mail: huangwx@ustc.edu.cn, wxli70@ustc.edu.cn

Weixin Huang is currently a Changjiang professor in the physical chemistry unit of the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC). He received his BS degree from USTC in 1996 and his PhD degree from the Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics in 2001; subsequently, he worked at the University of Texas at Austin as a postdoctoral fellow and at Fritz-Haber-Institut der MPG as an AvH fellow. He joined the USTC as a full professor in 2004. His research interests include surface chemistry and the catalysis of solid catalysts with well-defined structures ranging from single crystals to nanocrystals.

Wei-Xue Li received his PhD degree from the Chinese Academy of Sciences in 1998. He did his postdoctoral research at Fritz-Haber Institut der MPG and University of Aarhus from 1999 to 2004. He joined the Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics and led the “Theoretical Catalysis Group” at the State Key Laboratory of Catalysis from 2004 to 2015. Since 2015, he is the Professor of Chemistry at USTC. His research focuses on the computational investigation of heterogeneous catalysis, particularly on the Fischer–Tropsch synthesis and structure evolution of supported metal particles.
The catalytic functions of solid catalysts originate from coordination-unsaturated atoms on the surfaces that have the thermodynamic tendency to bind molecules in the environment, resulting in the activation of molecules. Therefore, it is the surface structure of solid catalysts that determines the catalytic performance. The catalytic cycle on catalyst surfaces consists of at least three distinct steps: adsorption of reactants on the catalyst surfaces, chemical reactions of adsorbed reactants to form adsorbed products on the catalyst surfaces, and desorption of adsorbed products from the catalyst surfaces. A unit ensemble of the surface atoms that is capable of turning over the catalytic cycle is defined as the active site in heterogeneous catalysis. It is noteworthy that the structure of the active sites on a catalyst surface varies with the molecules involved in the catalytic reactions that need to be adsorbed and activated. Most solid catalysts are polycrystalline and expose different facets with different surface atomic structures, edges, corners, and even defects; therefore, it is normal to expect that a catalyst particle surface exposes many types of active sites and its catalytic performance is a sum of the individual catalytic performance of all the types of active sites. Such complexity and poor uniformness of surface structures of the solid catalysts make it very challenging to identify the structures and densities of various active sites and align their catalytic performances. Moreover, active sites must be principally studied under catalytic reaction conditions due to the likely surface reconstruction of working solid catalysts, but in situ studies are difficult due to the lack of surface structure characterization techniques able to work under catalytic reaction conditions. Therefore, the identification and counting of active sites have always been the holy grail of heterogeneous catalysis.

An effective strategy for active site studies is to simplify the complexity and poor uniformness of the surface structures of solid catalysts by using model catalysts with uniform and well-defined surface structures. Traditional model catalysts are single-crystal-based model catalysts. Employing such model catalysts and surface-sensitive electron spectroscopic and microscopic characterization techniques, considerable progresses have been achieved in the fundamental understanding of structure–performance relationships with the identified active sites. However, due to the existence of material gaps and progresses have been achieved in the fundamental understanding of solid catalysts by using model catalysts with uniform and complex and poor uniformness of the surface structures the holy grail of heterogeneous catalysis.

To work under catalytic reaction conditions. Therefore, the lack of surface structure characterization techniques able to work under catalytic reaction conditions. Therefore, the identification and counting of active sites have always been the holy grail of heterogeneous catalysis.

2. Surface and interface structural effects on heterogeneous catalysis

The structural effect of active sites on catalytic performance is usually discussed in terms of electronic structure effect and geometric structure effect. The electronic structure effect refers to the energetic profile of the density of states (DOS) of the active sites, while the geometric structure effect refers to the spatial profile of the DOS. The electronic and geometric structures of the active sites and their effects on heterogeneous catalysis are closely related with the surface and interface structures of a catalyst particle.

The surface composition of a catalyst particle is the most important factor determining the electronic structure of the active site. The size of a catalyst particle becomes the key factor when it enters the quantum size range. The electronic structure of a crystal is strongly modulated when one or more dimensions of the crystal approaches the interatomic distances or the electron’s wavelength because the electron experiences the effects of the crystal boundaries in addition to the periodic potential. The potential outside the solid is drastically different from that on the inside. The role of the boundaries is to severely restrict the allowed wave vectors that electrons can adopt inside the crystal. The electronic structure of very fine particles consisting of a limited number of atoms, such as quantum dots and clusters, exhibit tunable energy band structures or discrete energy level structures.

The crystal phase structure and exposed facets on a crystalline particle determine the surface atomic arrangements, and therefore, the geometric structure. According to Wulff construction, the facets exposed on an ideal crystallite depend on its morphology and size.
Surface atomic arrangements with different interatomic distances can modify the electronic structure. Moreover, oxide crystallites with different crystal phase structures and exposed facets generally exhibit different surface compositions. Therefore, crystal phase structure and exposed facets of a catalyst particle also exert influences on the electronic structure.

Therefore, without changes in the catalyst compositions, the active site structure of a single-component catalyst particle can be tuned by the size, morphology, and crystal phase structure, and the active site structures and catalytic performance of a multicomponent catalyst particle can be tuned by the size, morphology, and crystal phase structure of each component and the interfacial structure between the different components. It is worth noting that these surface and interface structural factors are generally dependent on each other. For example, the morphology and crystal phase of a particle likely vary with its size. In addition, the active site density of a catalyst NP generally increases with decreasing particle size. The active site structure determines the activity, selectivity, and stability of a catalyst, while the active site density determines the activity of a catalyst.

3. Crystal phase structure design

Catalyst NCs with different crystal phases have different bulk symmetries and exhibit different structures and densities of active sites. Therefore, controlling the crystal phase of catalyst NCs provides a promising strategy to optimize the catalytic performance. Fischer–Tropsch synthesis (FTS) reaction, which converts syngas (a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen) from coal, shale gas, and biomass mainly into liquid fuel and chemicals, is one of the most important and complex catalytic reactions. Recently, combined studies involving theoretical (DFT) calculations and the controlled synthesis of catalyst NCs have demonstrated the manner in which the crystal phase of cobalt (Co), ruthenium (Ru), and nickel (Ni) NCs can be tuned to yield high activity and selectivity in the FTS reaction.

Co bulk exhibits a favorable hexagonal close-packed (HCP) phase structure under ambient conditions, but transits to a face-centered cubic (FCC) phase structure at 400 °C. HCP Co also tends to transit to FCC Co with a decrease in its particle size. The actual crystal phase structure of metallic Co NPs in working FTS catalysts depends on the supports, promoters, and pretreatment/activation of the catalyst. HCP Co was reported to exhibit higher FTS activity than FCC Co, whereas with regard to selectivity, HCP Co exhibits a slightly higher olefin selectivity in the C2–C4 hydrocarbon range and a lower rate of CH4 formation than FCC Co. A comprehensive DFT calculation approach was developed to investigate the intrinsic reactivity of HCP and FCC Co NPs. Possible facets exposed on HCP and FCC Co NPs were approximately derived by the Wulff construction based on the clean surface energies calculated by DFT. HCP and FCC Co NPs exhibit very different morphologies (Fig. 1A),

Fig. 1  (A) Equilibrium morphologies of HCP Co and FCC Co based on the Wulff construction from DFT. (B) Top view of the optimized transition states for CO dissociation on HCP(11–21), FCC(311), HCP(10–11), and FCC(100). The blue and small red and grey balls represent the Co, O, and C atoms, respectively. (C) Relative reaction rates for CO dissociation on HCP Co and FCC Co for low coverage. All the dimensionless rates are normalized to HCP(0001) (unit: s⁻¹ site⁻¹). (D) Calculated CO dissociation barriers (in eV) for the direct route (red) and overall dissociation barriers for the H-assisted route (blue) calculated on HCP Co(11–21), (10–11), and (10–12) facets, and FCC Co(110), (311), and (100) facets, respectively. Reproduced with permission from ref. 56. Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society.
where HCP Co is mainly populated by open facets and FCC Co is populated by the closed-packed (111) terrace. CO activation barriers on all the exposed facets of HCP and FCC Co were computed and the CO dissociation rates were estimated. As shown in Fig. 1B, at least four facets of HCP Co including (1121), (1011), (1012), and (1120) exhibit higher CO dissociation rates than the most active (100) facet of FCC Co. This compellingly confirms that HCP Co NPs are more active than FCC Co NPs. The B5 site (Fig. 1C) is the most active site for CO dissociation, and HCP Co NPs show more B5 sites than FCC Co NPs. It was also found that the CO activation reaction pathways are dependent on the crystal phases. CO prefers a direct dissociation pathway on more active HCP Co, whereas CO prefers an H-assisted dissociation pathway on less active FCC Co (Fig. 1D).

Recently, a direct CO dissociation pathway was calculated to be preferable on (321) and (221) of FCC Co. Nevertheless, even considering these FCC sites, HCP Co is still more active than FCC Co. Crystal-phase sensitivity of cobalt FTS catalysts sheds a new light on their notable size effect. Turnover frequency (TOF) of Co FTS catalysts increases with the Co particle size but becomes constant when the particle size is larger than a certain value. The size of the inflection typically falls in the range of ~6–10 nm. The origin of the Co particle size effect in the FTS is still controversial. The decrease in the Co FTS activity at small particle sizes was previously proposed to result from the oxidation of metallic Co, disappearance of B5 active sites, and CO poisons. The above DFT calculation results indicate that the phase transition from HCP Co to less active FCC Co at small particle sizes could not be excluded.

The in situ formation of cobalt carbide (Co2C) of working Co FTS catalysts was observed typically under carbon-rich reaction conditions. Different from iron carbides that are the active phase for FTS, Co2C was reported to be inactive toward CO dissociation, and its formation was considered to be the sign of deactivation of Co FTS catalysts. However, recently, aliphatic \( \alpha \)-alcohols have been observed as the byproducts of syngas using Co-based FTS catalysts supported on activated carbon. The formation of Co2C during the FTS reaction was proposed as the key to acquire higher alcohols. DFT calculation results demonstrate that the interface between Co and Co2C (Fig. 2A) is the active site for the generation of higher alcohols over Co FTS catalysts. CO only molecularly adsorbs onto Co2C (Fig. 2B). Metallic Co at the interface site is responsible for CO dissociation, formation of monomer, and carbon–carbon chain growth, while Co2C at the interface site provides molecularly adsorbed CO to insert into a CH2 intermediate at the Co–Co2C interface with a barrier of 0.77 eV. The Co–Co2C interface was also found to cooperatively catalyze ethylene hydroformylation reaction, where the metallic Co site acts toward olefin adsorption and activation to form surface carbonaceous species, while the Co2C site acts for CO molecular adsorption, activation, and insertion for ethylene hydroformylation. Recently, Mn-promoted Co2C nanoprisms preferentially enclosed with the (010) facets were synthesized and demonstrated to exhibit excellent FTS performance of selectively producing light olefins (C2–C4 hydrocarbons) with relatively low CH4 selectivity under mild FTS reaction conditions.

Ni FTS catalysts are highly selective toward CO methanation to form CH4. Bulk Ni adopts a FCC phase structure under ambient conditions. However, FCC Ni can transform into HCP Ni when the Ni particle size decreases to 4 nm. Meanwhile, HCP Ni NPs could also be directly synthesized via chemical methods. CO activation on Ni is the first crucial step in methanation reaction, and undercoordinated step/edge sites are very active for CO dissociation. The influences of the crystal phase of Ni NPs on CO activation were studied by DFT calculations, and CO dissociation was demonstrated to be very sensitive to the crystal phase structure. CO dissociation assisted by hydrogen is kinetically favored over the direct dissociation pathway, irrespective of the crystal phases. As shown in...
Fig. 3. (311) and (10\%12) are the most active facets for FCC and HCP Ni, respectively, and CO dissociation preferentially occurs via a COH intermediate. FCC Ni NPs expose more abundant facets with low barriers for CO dissociation and is more active than HCP Ni NPs.

Ru-Based catalysts are also active in catalyzing FTS reactions. Bulk Ru has a HCP phase structure under ambient conditions. The formation of Ru NPs with mixed HCP and FCC phase structures was first observed when they were used as catalysts for CO oxidation. The higher activity of FCC Ru NPs was attributed to the presence of abundant {111} facets that could be easily oxidized to RuO$_2$(110) during CO oxidation, which is more active than metallic Ru. Pt (core)/Ru (shell) NPs with a FCC phase structure and largely enclosed by {111} facets were also synthesized, and they exhibited much higher catalytic activity in hydrogen evolution reactions than conventional Ru HCP catalysts. Higher activity of FCC Ru NPs than HCP Ru NPs was also observed in the conversion of ammonia-borane, oxygen evolution reactions, hydrogenation reactions, and dinitrogen activation.

Fig. 4. Calculated CO dissociation barriers on various HCP (A) and FCC (B) Ru facets and step edges. Only the favorable reaction pathways are indicated: red for direct dissociation and blue for H-assisted dissociation. Reaction performance of Ru catalysts. (B) The Arrhenius plot of FCC NCs (6.8 nm) and HCP NCs (6.8 nm and 1.9 nm) for FTS at 413 and 433 K, respectively. The reaction was conducted at 3.0 MPa syngas (CO : H$_2$ = 1 : 2 mole ratio), 0.2 mmol catalyst, 800 rpm stirring. (C) Atomic resolution STEM ADF image of a representative FCC NC. (D) Proposed FCC NC model viewed along the [11\%2], [1\%10], and [1\%10] directions, respectively. Exposed facets and normal directions are indicated in the parentheses and square brackets, respectively. Reproduced with permission from ref. 83. Copyright 2017, American Chemical Society.
of HCP Ru NCs. A detailed analysis of the atomic-resolution STEM ADF images of FCC Ru NCs (Fig. 4C) indeed shows the presence of a considerable number of active (311), (211), and (110) facets (Fig. 4D). These experimental results are in good agreement with the predictions from the DFT calculations.

4. Morphology/facet design

Facets exposed on a catalyst particle affect both the geometric and electronic structures of the active sites. The active facets of metal catalysts have been extensively studied using single-crystal model catalysts. For example, Fe(211) and (111) facets were found to be much more active in catalyzing the ammonia synthesis reaction than the Fe(110) facet. However, the facet effects of oxide catalysts have been much less explored due to the difficulties encountered in acquiring oxide single crystals or single-crystal thin films exposing various facets, unsuitability of semiconducting and insulating bulk-oxide single crystals for selecting detection-based surface science techniques, and structural complexity of polar oxide surfaces. Recent progresses in colloidal synthesis techniques have realized the morphology-controlled synthesis of uniform catalytic NCs by using capping ligands including ions/molecules/polymers whose adsorption on a particle surface can change the order of free energies, and subsequently, the relative growth rates for different crystallographic planes. Depending on the phase structure and morphology, uniform catalytic NCs selectively enclosed by one or two types of facets can be acquired and used as model catalysts for the identifications of catalytically active facets. In particular, cubic phase NCs with octahedral, cubic, and rhombic dodecahedral morphologies exclusively expose the {111}, {100}, and {111} facets, respectively, and are very suitable for investigating facet effects. High-surface-area SiO$_2$-supported capping-ligand-free tetrahedral and cubic Pt NCs exposing the {111} and {100} facets, respectively, were prepared and enhanced selectivity toward the trans-to-cis isomerization of olefins of SiO$_2$-supported tetrahedral Pt NCs was observed. These results of the Pt NC model catalysts are consistent with the previous results of Pt single-crystal model catalysts. The emergence of uniform oxide NCs has revealed rich facet effects of oxide catalysis that have not been previously recognized. CeO$_2$ rods enclosed by the {110} and {100} facets were more active than CeO$_2$ cubes and octahedra enclosed with {100} and {111} facets, respectively, in catalyzing CO oxidation, while the enclosed Co$_3$O$_4$ rods exhibited extremely high activity and stability. Copper oxides and copper are widely used as catalysts for methanol synthesis reaction, water–gas shift (WGS) reaction, propylene partial oxidation reaction, and CO oxidation reaction; therefore, it is very important to study their facet effects in these catalytic reactions and identify the active facets. Cuprous oxide (Cu$_2$O) has a cubic phase structure. Uniform capping-ligand-free Cu$_2$O cubes (denoted as c-Cu$_2$O), poly(vinylpyrrolidone)-capped Cu$_2$O octahedra, and oleic-acid-capped Cu$_2$O rhombic dodecahedra were successfully synthesized; furthermore, the capping ligands on the as-synthesized Cu$_2$O octahedra and rhombic dodecahedra were successfully removed via a controlled oxidation strategy without morphological, compositional, and structural changes to acquire capping-ligand-free Cu$_2$O octahedra (denoted as o-Cu$_2$O) and rhombic dodecahedra (denoted as d-Cu$_2$O). Fig. 5A shows the SEM images of uniform c-Cu$_2$O,

![Fig. 5](image_url)
o-Cu$_2$O, and d-Cu$_2$O NCs.\textsuperscript{84} According to Wulff construction,\textsuperscript{45} c-Cu$_2$O, o-Cu$_2$O, and d-Cu$_2$O NCs selectively expose the Cu$_2$O{100}, {111}, and {110} facets, respectively. The optimized surface structures of Cu$_2$O(100), (111), and (110) surfaces are shown in Fig. 5B.\textsuperscript{96,97} Bulk Cu$_2$O exhibits twofold-coordinated Cu(i) (Cu$_{CSA}$) and fourfold-coordinated oxygen (O$_{CSA}$) atoms with a Cu–O bond length of 1.85 Å. The topmost layer of Cu$_2$O(100) consists of twofold-coordinated O (O$_{2c}$) atoms and the second layer consists of Cu$_{CSA}$ atoms, and the O$_{2c}$–Cu$_{CSA}$ bond length is 1.76 Å. The topmost layer of Cu$_2$O(111) consists of threefold-coordinated O (O$_{3c}$) atoms with an open structure and the second layer consists of Cu$_{CSA}$ (75%) and onefold-coordinated Cu (Cu$_{1c}$) (25%) atoms, and the O$_{3c}$–Cu$_{CSA}$ and Cu$_{1c}$–O$_{CSA}$ bond lengths are 1.83 and 1.91 Å, respectively. The topmost layer of Cu$_2$O(110) consists of O$_{3c}$ and Cu$_{CSA}$ atoms and the second layer consists of Cu$_{CSA}$ atoms, and the O$_{3c}$–Cu$_{CSA}$ bond length is 1.82 Å.

The surface compositions and structures of optimized Cu$_2$O(100), (111), and (110) surfaces are supported by the adsorption and chemical reaction behaviors of c-Cu$_2$O, o-Cu$_2$O, and d-Cu$_2$O NCs.\textsuperscript{96–103} CO and CO$_2$ adsorptions at the Cu(i) sites were observed on o-Cu$_2$O and d-Cu$_2$O, but not on c-Cu$_2$O (Fig. 5C),\textsuperscript{98} which is in good agreement with the presence of Cu$_{3c}$ sites on Cu$_2$O(110), but not on Cu$_2$O(100). Although terminated with O atoms, Cu$_2$O(100) exhibits an open surface structure that allows the Cu(i) sites at the second layer to become accessible toward CO and CO$_2$ adsorption. Cu$_2$O(100) exhibits a shorter surface Cu–O bond, and therefore, higher stability than Cu$_2$O(111), whereas Cu$_2$O(111) in the presence of Cu$_{1c}$ sites exhibits stronger adsorption ability toward CO and H$_2$ than Cu$_2$O(100), and therefore, c-Cu$_2$O NCs are much more difficult to be reduced either by CO or by H$_2$ than o-Cu$_2$O NCs (Fig. 5D).\textsuperscript{96} The higher stability of Cu$_2$O(100) surface than Cu$_2$O(111) and (110) surfaces also yields the observations that the {100} facets develop on o-Cu$_2$O and d-Cu$_2$O NCs, respectively, at the expense of the original {111} and {110} facets, while the {100} facets remain exposed on the c-Cu$_2$O NCs during the oxidative dissolution reaction in an acetic acid aqueous solution (Fig. 5E).\textsuperscript{97}

Uniform c-Cu$_2$O, o-Cu$_2$O, and d-Cu$_2$O NCs with identified surface structures constitute suitable model catalysts for studies involving their facet effects in heterogeneous catalysis. Facet-dependent catalytic activities of Cu$_2$O NCs have been observed in CO oxidation with stoichiometric O$_2$ and C$_3$H$_6$ oxidation reactions,\textsuperscript{95,104} wherein o-Cu$_2$O NCs are the most active (Fig. 6A).
This can be attributed to the presence of coordination-unsaturated Cu\textsubscript{3c} sites on Cu\textsubscript{2}O(111), which exhibit higher adsorption and activation abilities toward reactants than the other sites on the Cu\textsubscript{2}O surfaces. Facet-dependent catalytic selectivity of Cu\textsubscript{2}O NCs was also observed in C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} oxidation reactions.\textsuperscript{95} o-Cu\textsubscript{2}O and c-Cu\textsubscript{2}O NCs are selective in producing acrolein and CO\textsubscript{2}, respectively, while d-Cu\textsubscript{2}O NCs show comparative selectivities in producing acrolein, CO\textsubscript{2}, and propylene oxide (PO) (Fig. 6B). By means of combined experimental and theoretical calculation studies, the active sites and surface active species on Cu\textsubscript{2}O surfaces catalyzing the productions of acrolein, PO, and CO\textsubscript{2} were identified as C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} adsorbed onto Cu\textsubscript{1c} sites of Cu\textsubscript{2}O(111) (denoted as Cu\textsubscript{1c}–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a)), C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} bridge-adsorbed onto neighboring Cu\textsubscript{c} sites of Cu\textsubscript{2}O(110) (denoted as (Cu\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{3c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a)), and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} bridge-adsorbed onto two neighboring O\textsubscript{2c} sites of Cu\textsubscript{2}O(100) (denoted as (O\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{2c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a)), respectively. Cu\textsubscript{1c}–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) species exhibits a slightly weakened C–C bond and undergoes the C–H bond-breaking reaction to produce acrolein, while (Cu\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{3c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) and (O\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{2c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) species exhibit significantly weakened C–C bonds and undergo the C=C breaking reaction. However, due to the more electrophilic characteristic of O\textsubscript{2c} sites than that of O\textsubscript{3c} sites, (O\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{2c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) species undergoes a complete C–C bond-breaking and combustion reaction, while (Cu\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{3c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) species undergoes a partial C–C bond-breaking and epoxidation reaction. These results demonstrate the delicate and sensitive surface-structure-controlled catalytic performance of a catalyst particle that can be tuned by facet designing.

During heterogeneous catalytic reactions operating under pressures up to hundreds of atmospheres and at temperatures up to hundreds of degrees Celsius, catalyst particles can easily undergo restructuring to adopt a structure having thermodynamic equilibrium with the reaction atmosphere. It remains unknown whether there are connections between the structures of the as-synthesized and restructured catalyst particles or not. The surfaces of c-Cu\textsubscript{2}O and o-Cu\textsubscript{2}O–PVP NCs in CO oxidation with excess O\textsubscript{2} were observed to be oxidized into a CuO thin film, and the CuO thin film formed on o-Cu\textsubscript{2}O exhibits a much higher catalytic activity and lower apparent activation energy than that formed on c-Cu\textsubscript{2}O (Fig. 6C).\textsuperscript{105} DFT calculation results demonstrate that the surface structures of Cu\textsubscript{2}O thin films on Cu\textsubscript{2}O surfaces catalyzing the reactions of acrolein, PO, and CO\textsubscript{2} were identified as C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} adsorbed onto Cu\textsubscript{1c} sites of Cu\textsubscript{2}O(111) (denoted as Cu\textsubscript{1c}–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a)), C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} bridge-adsorbed onto neighboring Cu\textsubscript{c} sites of Cu\textsubscript{2}O(110) (denoted as (Cu\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{3c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a)), and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} bridge-adsorbed onto two neighboring O\textsubscript{2c} sites of Cu\textsubscript{2}O(100) (denoted as (O\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{2c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a)), respectively. Cu\textsubscript{1c}–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) species exhibits a slightly weakened C–C bond and undergoes the C–H bond-breaking reaction to produce acrolein, while (Cu\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{3c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) and (O\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{2c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) species exhibit significantly weakened C–C bonds and undergo the C=C breaking reaction. However, due to the more electrophilic characteristic of O\textsubscript{2c} sites than that of O\textsubscript{3c} sites, (O\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{2c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) species undergoes a complete C–C bond-breaking and combustion reaction, while (Cu\textsubscript{2c},O\textsubscript{3c})–C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}(a) species undergoes a partial C–C bond-breaking and epoxidation reaction. These results demonstrate the delicate and sensitive surface-structure-controlled catalytic performance of a catalyst particle that can be tuned by facet designing.

5. Size design

In the 1960s and early 1970s, it was found that several hydrocarbon reactions like alkane hydrogenolysis\textsuperscript{107} and isomerization\textsuperscript{108} over platinum catalysts were strongly dependent on the Pt particle size, while reactions like cyclopropane ring opening\textsuperscript{109} and olefin hydrogenation\textsuperscript{110} were independent of the Pt particle size. Boudart classified the former reaction as structure-sensitive and the latter as structure-insensitive.\textsuperscript{111} Further, structure sensitivity and structure insensitivity have been widely used to distinguish between heterogeneous catalytic reactions.\textsuperscript{112–114} Structure sensitivity reflects a complex nature of the size effect of a catalyst NP on its catalytic performance. First, the density of active sites (dispersion) on a catalyst NP generally increases with a decrease in its size. Recently, the fabrications of NPs with hollow and porous structures involving novel approaches to enhance the dispersion via the creation and utilization of interior surfaces have been discussed.\textsuperscript{115,116} Second, the phase transition of a catalyst NP likely occurs with a decrease in its size.\textsuperscript{49,70,76} Third and most importantly, the geometric and electronic structures of the active sites on a catalyst NP vary with its size in undefined trends due to the size-dependent morphology/facet and atomic number.

Au nanocatalysis is a representative system of structure-sensitive catalysis. Au has been considered to be catalytically inert until Haruta and Hutchings reported their pioneering works in the 1980s.\textsuperscript{117–120} Since then, supported Au NPs with sizes below 10 nm have been demonstrated to actively catalyze a wide array of reactions, wherein their catalytic performance are sensitively size-dependent. Low-temperature CO oxidation is the most representative and extensively studied system of Au nanocatalysis. Au NPs supported on TiO\textsubscript{2}, Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, and CoO\textsubscript{2} below 5 nm were first observed to exhibit sharply increased catalytic activity,\textsuperscript{121} and subsequently, the existence of the optimal size was reported for Au NPs supported on various oxides.\textsuperscript{122–125}
However, the structure of supported Au NPs with the optimal size and nature of the active site of supported catalysts remain ambiguous. Size-dependent Au–metal oxide perimeter interface length,126 lattice contraction and structural changes of Au NPs,113 density and structure of low-coordinated Au atoms on supported Au NPs,127 and metal-to-nonmetal transitions122 have been proposed to be responsible for the structure sensitivity of Au nanocatalysis.

An effective approach to study the structure sensitivity of intrinsic Au nanocatalysis is to use SiO2-supported Au NPs as model catalysts to exclude the contributions of active oxide supports.128–132 A series of Au/SiO2 catalysts with the same Au loading (1.8 wt%) but different Au NP size distributions were acquired by calcining (in air) or reducing (in H2) the catalyst precursor at different temperatures. Correlations among the HRTEM, Au 4f XPS, and Au L3-edge XANES characterization results of these catalysts suggest that Au NPs supported on SiO2 that are larger than 3 nm exhibit bulk-Au-like electronic structures, whereas those finer than 3 nm exhibited electronic structures somewhat deviating from that of bulk Au.132 CO adsorption at low-coordinated Au atoms of supported Au NPs in Au/SiO2 catalysts was probed with in situ DRIFTS spectroscopy and their amounts were found to be proportional to the populations of supported Au NPs (3–4.5 nm). The catalytic activity of Au/SiO2 catalysts in CO oxidation at RT was in line with the CO adsorption amount, which is reasonable because catalytic CO oxidation requires the adsorption of CO onto Au surfaces. Supported Au NPs larger than 4.5 nm expose few low-coordinated Au atoms due to their large size and likely smooth surfaces, and therefore, barely adsorb CO. However, the weaker CO adsorption ability of supported Au NPs finer than 3 nm than those of 3–4.5 nm is in contrast to the general assumption that finer Au NPs expose a higher density of low-coordinated Au atoms. This was associated with their electronic structure deviating from the electronic structure of bulk Au. Therefore, from the viewpoint of CO adsorption, low-coordinated Au atoms on Au NPs with bulk-Au-like electronic structure are the active sites for catalyzing CO oxidation at RT, and the optimal size of Au NPs supported on SiO2 is 3–4.5 nm. As schematically illustrated in Fig. 7, with the decrease in the size of Au NPs, the density of low-coordinated Au atoms generally increases, while the electronic structure eventually deviates from bulk-Au-like electronic structure; therefore, the intrinsic catalytic activity of Au NPs in catalyzing low-temperature CO oxidation will inevitably exhibit a volcano-shaped dependence on their size with the critical size of around 3 nm for Au NPs supported on SiO2.

Supported Au NPs on reducible oxides exhibit strong Au–support interaction and charge transfer from the oxygen vacancy sites of reducible oxides that stabilize fine Au NPs and modify the electronic structure to retain the bulk-Au-like electronic structure, respectively.133–138 Experimental results for supported Au NPs on reducible oxides with electronic structures deviating from the bulk-Au-like electronic structure are still deficient, and the corresponding critical sizes in the volcano-shaped performance-size dependence are not identified (Fig. 7).

This will rely on the ability to synthesize uniform finely sized Au NPs and even clusters supported on reducible oxides.

The size effects of Au nanocatalysis vary with the active sites and catalytic reaction mechanisms on Au surfaces. In Au/CeO2 catalysts for low-temperature CO oxidation, CeO2 not only acts as the support but also directly participates in the catalytic reaction. Au/CeO2 catalysts with Au NP sizes ranging from 1.7 to 3.7 nm were fabricated and comprehensively investigated.137 By monitoring the reactivity of various surface species during CO oxidation at RT with time-resolved in situ spectroscopy, size-dependent reaction pathways and contributions toward catalytic activity were revealed. The intrinsic oxidation reactivity of CO adsorbed onto Au surfaces does not considerably depend on the Au particle size, whereas the intrinsic oxygen-assisted decomposition reactivities of carbonate, bicarbonate, and formate species strongly depend on the Au particle size and are facilitated over large Au particles. Therefore, the size effects of supported Au NPs on CO2 formation by CO(a) oxidation and oxygen-assisted decomposition of carbonate, bicarbonate, and formate species are to affect the specific density of surface Au adsorption sites for CO(a) and to open the decomposition reaction pathways on large supported Au NPs, respectively. These results demonstrate the complex origin of size-dependent Au nanocatalysis in CO oxidation and indicate the importance of active-site-based size design of efficient Au catalysts.

### 6. Interface structure design

Interfacial active sites consisting of multicomponents generally usually exhibit superior catalytic performances when compared with mono-component active sites due to the synergetic effect of the multicomponents.139 Further, interfacial active sites afford additional freedom in interface design involving their densities and structures. Maximizing the length of the interface by decreasing the sizes of the individual components or both the components can effectively increase the density of the interfacial active sites, and subsequently, the catalytic activity. The size of the metal component in metal-involved interfacial active
sites has been successfully pushed to the single-atom limit to fabricate the so-called “single-atom” or “single-site” catalysts.\textsuperscript{140,141} Decreasing the sizes of both Au NPs and oxide NPs in Au-oxide interfacial active sites was found to be more effective in enhancing the catalytic activity than only decreasing the Au NP size.\textsuperscript{142}

The structures of the interfacial active sites can also be tuned by modifying the structures of the individual components or both the components. In multicomponent catalysts, it is usually more facile to modify the structure of a major component (support) than to modify the structure of a minor component. A key factor with interfacial active sites is the generation of a suitable interfacial interaction between the involved components to facilitate co-operative interfacial catalysis. The oxygen vacancies in reducible oxides, such as CeO\textsubscript{2}, play an important role in regulating the metal/oxide-support interaction of oxide-supported catalysts. CeO\textsubscript{2} NPs, typically including CeO\textsubscript{2} rods (predominantly enclosed with the {110} and {100} facets), CeO\textsubscript{2} cubes enclosed with the {100} facets, and CeO\textsubscript{2} octahedra enclosed with the {111} facets, were demonstrated to exhibit morphology/facet-dependent oxygen vacancy concentrations/structures.\textsuperscript{143–145} The oxygen vacancies are located on the surface and near-surface regions of CeO\textsubscript{2} rods and cubes, but in the deeper regions of CeO\textsubscript{2} octahedra. Further, CeO\textsubscript{2} cubes mainly have large oxygen vacancy clusters, while CeO\textsubscript{2} rods have both small oxygen vacancies and large oxygen vacancy clusters. CeO\textsubscript{2}-NC-supported catalysts exhibit CeO\textsubscript{2} morphology/facet-dependent metal/oxide–CeO\textsubscript{2} interaction, structures, and catalytic performances of metal/oxide–CeO\textsubscript{2} and CeO\textsubscript{2} interface active sites. Small oxygen vacancies on r-CeO\textsubscript{2} were found to be capable of stabilizing the supported metal cations and positively charged metal clusters. Au(I) and Au(II) cationic species dominate the Au/CeO\textsubscript{2}-rods catalyst, while metallic Au is the main species in Au/CeO\textsubscript{2}-cubes catalyst. Further, the Au cation–CeO\textsubscript{2} rod interfacial sites are more active in catalyzing the WGS reaction than metallic Au–CeO\textsubscript{2} cube interfacial sites.\textsuperscript{146} Positively charged Ag\textsuperscript{+} clusters could be stabilized on CeO\textsubscript{2} rods, while only supported Ag NPs form on CeO\textsubscript{2} cubes. Furthermore, the Ag NP–CeO\textsubscript{2} cube interface is more active than the Ag\textsuperscript{+}–CeO\textsubscript{2} rod interface to catalyze CO oxidation and CeO\textsubscript{2} cubes are a better support than CeO\textsubscript{2} rods to prepare Ag/CeO\textsubscript{2} catalysts with high Ag mass-specific catalytic activities.\textsuperscript{147} The Pt NP–CeO\textsubscript{2} interface was also found to be more active than the Pt\textsuperscript{2+}–CeO\textsubscript{2} interface to catalyze CO oxidation and PROX reaction; however, the Pt\textsuperscript{3+}–CeO\textsubscript{2} interface is more active than the Pt NP–CeO\textsubscript{2} interface in catalyzing the oxidation of CO following the H\textsubscript{2}-assisted CO oxidation mechanism.\textsuperscript{147} CeO\textsubscript{2}-NC-supported oxide catalysts were also prepared and used as catalysts for propane oxidation reactions.\textsuperscript{148–150} Different specifications of Ni species (Ni\textsuperscript{2+} dissolved in CeO\textsubscript{2}, highly dispersive NiO, and NiO aggregates) and oxygen species (strongly, medially, and weakly activated oxygen species) were observed in various Ni/CeO\textsubscript{2} NC catalysts. The Ni–CeO\textsubscript{2} rod interfacial sites with the largest strongly activated oxygen species are much more catalytically active in the propane combustion reaction, while Ni–CeO\textsubscript{2} cube interfacial sites with the largest amount of weakly activated oxygen species exhibit the best catalytic performance in the oxidative hydrogenation reaction of propane. Therefore, engineering the morphology of CeO\textsubscript{2} support offers a facile strategy to fabricate CeO\textsubscript{2}-supported catalysts for both fundamental understandings of the interface active sites and structural optimizations without changing the catalyst compositions.

The metal–oxide interface is traditionally formed with a metal-on-oxide structure in oxide-supported catalysts. However, it can also be formed with oxide-on-metal structures in which the metal acts as the support (oxide/metal catalysts). The metal–oxide interfacial interaction in oxide/metal catalysts probably creates unique oxide structures at the interface with excellent catalytic performance. For example, the deposition of FeO on a Pt surface leads to FeO/Pt catalysts with the formation of coordination-unsaturated Fe\textsuperscript{(n)} sites (Fe\textsuperscript{(n)CUS}) at the FeO–Pt interfaces, which are highly active for low-temperature O\textsubscript{2} activation and stable under reaction conditions of the preferential oxidation of CO in excess H\textsubscript{2} (PROX), and FeO/Pt catalysts with Fe\textsuperscript{(n)CUS}–Pt active sites exhibit excellent activity and stability in catalyzing low-temperature PROX reactions.\textsuperscript{151–153} The catalytic performance of oxide/metal catalysts can be tuned by changing the structural designs of the metal supports. Cu/ZnO/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts are commercial catalysts for WGS reactions and the Cu–ZnO interface is the active site.\textsuperscript{154} Inspired by the findings of the morphology/facet-dependent catalytic performance of Cu NPs, Cu–ZnO/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts were commercial catalysts for WGS reactions, and the Cu–ZnO interface is the active site.\textsuperscript{154} The Cu{100} facet is the most active Cu facet for WGS reactions.\textsuperscript{154} The Cu{100} structure. These results demonstrate a successful experimental strategy of using catalyst NCS that can realize an all-chain investigation of the heterogeneous catalysis from the fundamental understanding of the active site and the reaction mechanism to the structural design and realization of highly efficient catalysts.

7. Summary and outlook

The structure and density of the active sites on a catalyst particle are determined by the surface or interface structures, including the surface phase, morphology/facet, and size. Therefore, the catalytic performance of a catalyst particle can be optimized by surface and interface designs. A rational structural design of a catalyst particle followed by its controlled synthesis is an ideal approach to fabricate innovative, efficient, green, and economic catalysts. A combination of model catalyst strategy with updated NC-based model catalysts, in situ characterization techniques,
and theoretical calculations can principally establish the structure–performance relationship for a catalyst particle under working conditions and facilitate rational structural designs. However, caution must be exercised regarding the structural complexity of NCs. Edge sites and corner sites, in addition to terrace sites, are exposed on three-dimensional NCs, and their densities increase with a decrease in the NC size. Capping ligands, surface hydroxyl groups, and carbon oxygenates generally exist on NCs synthesized by wet-chemistry methods. These add to the uncertainty of identifying the active sites on a catalyst particle, and therefore, the fundamental studies of active sites need to be comprehensive. Meanwhile, massive capping-ligand-free synthesis methods and uniform catalyst NCs must be developed to realize a catalyst with designed surface and interface structures. Uniform composition and structure with a prevailing number of targeted active sites are the prerequisites for fabricating a highly selective catalyst, and uniform NCs are suitable candidates. Massive synthesis is indispensable in order to turn novel laboratory-scale catalytic reactions into corresponding industry-scale catalytic processes. In this respect, surface and interface designs of catalyst NCs with a uniform and well-defined structure has become the emerging frontier in heterogeneous catalysis, and the advances in this aspect can facilitate the realization of structural designs and controlled syntheses of novel efficient catalysts—the ultimate goal of fundamental catalysis research.

Conflicts of interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements
This work was financially supported by the National Key R & D Program of MOST (2017YFB0602205), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21525313, 91645202, 91745202, 21761132005), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (QYZDJ-SSW-SLH054), the Changjiang Scholars Program of Ministry of Education of China, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of Ministry of Education of China (WK2060030017) and Collaborative Innovation Center of Suzhou Nano Science and Technology.

References

This journal is © the Owner Societies 2019