The oxidation of the Ir(111) surface was studied by in situ surface X-ray diffraction (SXRD) in an oxygen pressure range of $10^{-6}$–100 mbar at temperatures from 575 to 875 K, in combination with density functional theory calculations. Depending on the partial pressure and temperature, the formation of a series of oxide phases is observed. At a sample temperature of 575 K, a single O–Ir–O trilayer forms at oxygen pressures of $10^{-3}$ to 1 mbar, which grows into a hexagonal multilayer surface oxide at pressure of 100 mbar. Both surface oxides are only kinetically stabilized structures according to DFT calculations. The multilayer surface oxide is kinetically very stable against decomposition in vacuum and further oxidation at low temperature of 575 K as revealed by SXRD. At higher sample temperatures (775 and 875 K), O–Ir–O trilayers form at pressures around 1 mbar, whereas at a pressure of 100 mbar, bulklike rutile IrO$_2$ grows with predominant orientations of (110) and (100) along the normal of Ir(111).

1. Introduction

In recent years, much research effort has been devoted to achieve a fundamental understanding of the oxidation of late transition and noble metals at high oxygen pressures and elevated temperatures. This interest was triggered by the finding that surface oxide phases can play a crucial role in oxidation catalysis. As the oxides of noble metals form only at rather high oxygen partial pressures and elevated temperatures, they have often been overlooked when metal surfaces are studied under ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) conditions. Combining UHV based and atmospheric pressure compatible experimental techniques with state-of-the-art density functional theory (DFT) calculations, the oxidation of a number of noble metals such as Ru, Rh, Pd, and Pt have been carefully studied, resolving not only the complex structure of the formed surface or bulk oxides as well as their electronic and reactivity properties but also providing us with an atomic-scale understanding on the initial oxidation processes.

Iridium is considered as a promising candidate for the catalytic reduction of NO to N$_2$ under excess oxygen conditions which is encountered in the after-treatment of the exhaust gases of energy-efficient engines. Unfortunately, the oxidation of Ir impairs this reduction propensity so that a detailed understanding of the oxidation process of Ir is mandatory for the application of iridium in future three-way catalytic converters. Although oxygen chemisorption on the Ir(111) has been fairly well studied, oxidation of the Ir(111) has hitherto been rarely investigated. In the present work we have studied the initial oxidation of the Ir(111) surface using in situ surface X-ray diffraction (SXRD) at oxygen partial pressures ranging from $10^{-6}$ to 100 mbar. For studying the oxidation at pressures beyond UHV or high vacuum regime, conventional surface science techniques may still be used, but have to be ex situ, i.e., oxidizing the sample at high pressures but characterizing in UHV. The recently developed technique of high-pressure SXRD is well suited for in situ characterization of surface changes under high pressure environments due to a negligible interaction between the X-rays and the gas atmosphere. With SXRD, we followed in situ and on the atomic scale the evolution of the Ir(111) surface under oxygen pressures ranging from $10^{-6}$ mbar up to ambient pressure at various temperatures. The oxidation process of Ir(111) starts with a single O–Ir–O trilayer, which is followed by a hexagonal multilayer oxide and finally results in the formation of bulklike rutile IrO$_2$.

Our experimental results are complemented by DFT calculations.

2. Experimental and Computational Details

The SXRD measurements were carried out at the dedicated MPI-MF beamline at Ångström Quelle Karlsruhe (ANKA), Germany. A photon energy of 9 keV ($\lambda = 1.3776 \text{ Å}$) was used, and the experiments were conducted in a six-circle diffraction mode. The incident angle was fixed at 0.48°, close to the Ir total reflection angle. The crystal basis used to describe the (H, K, L) diffraction is a hexagonal basis set ($a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$), with $a_1$ and $a_2$ lying in the surface plane and being of equal length $a_0\sqrt{2}$, and with $a_3$ directing out of plane with length $3a_0$ ($a_0$ (Ir) = 3.84 Å), cf. Figure 1. The sample was mounted on an Inconel sample holder in a UHV compatible X-ray diffraction chamber, which allows oxygen partial pressures of up to $10^3$ mbar and temperatures up to 1100 K using an Al$_2$O$_3$ encapsulated radiative heater. The temperature was measured by a type K thermocouple spot-welded to the sample (uncertainty ± 3 K). The Ir(111) sample surface was cleaned by Ar-ion sputtering followed by annealing at ≈1050 K for a few minutes. The oxidation of the Ir(111) surface was monitored in situ by SXRD while exposing the sample to various O$_2$ partial pressures.
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Density functional theory calculations were carried out using the ultrasoft pseudopotential plane wave code (DACAPO), in which Ir 5d electrons were treated as part of the valence electrons, and wave functions were expanded by the plane wave basis set with a kinetic cutoff of 25 Ry.20 The generalized-gradient Perdew–Wang91 functional was used to approximate the exchange-correlation interaction.21 The surface Brillouin zone sampling was carried out with a (4 × 4 × 1) grid in the Monkhorst-Pack22 scheme for the (2 × 2) unit cell and adjusted for other surfaces with different unit cells accordingly. We used a five-layer slab geometry with an optimized lattice constant of 3.86 Å (compared to experimental value 3.84 Å) to model various structures, and the top two Ir layers and adsorbates were fully relaxed in response to their surface environment, whereas the rest of the atoms was fixed at their bulk positions.23 All total energies have been extrapolated to \(k_B T = 0\) eV. The oxygen adsorption energy (averaged) is defined by

\[
E_{\text{ads}}(\theta) = [E(O,\text{Ir}) - 0.5N\Delta E(O_2) - E(\text{Ir, slab})]/N
\]

where \(E(O,\text{Ir})\), \(E(O_2)\), and \(E(\text{Ir, slab})\) are the total energy of adsorbate–substrate system, oxygen molecules, and Ir substrates, respectively. \(N\) is the number of adsorbed oxygen atoms. Here a positive (negative) value indicates that adsorption is endothermic (exothermic). The effects of temperature and pressure were considered by so-called ab initio thermodynamics,24,25 and the Gibbs free energy of formation per Å² for oxygen adsorption on Ir(111) was approximated by

\[
\gamma(T, p, \theta) = \theta[E_{\text{ads}}(\theta) - 0.5\Delta\mu(T, p)]/A
\]

where \(\theta\) is the oxygen coverage and \(A\) is area per (1 × 1) unit cell. \(\Delta\mu(T, p) = \Delta\mu(T, p_0) + kT \ln(p/p_0)\) is the chemical potential of oxygen molecules, which is a function of \(T\) and oxygen partial pressure \(p\). \(\Delta\mu(T, p_0)\) is the temperature dependent oxygen chemical potential at one atmospheric pressure \(p_0\), which is compiled in thermodynamic tables.26

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. In Situ SXRD Investigations. We performed a reciprocal space exploration at various oxygen pressures and temperatures ranging from 575 to 875 K. The main findings are summarized in Table 1. Figure 2a depicts the in-plane \(K\) scan (keeping \(L\) fixed at 0.15 reciprocal lattice units (r.l.u.) when exposing the sample to \(8 \times 10^{-2}\) mbar of \(O_2\) at 575 K. At oxygen partial pressures higher than \(10^{-3}\) mbar, a diffraction peak is observed at \((0, 0.87, 0.15)\) indicating the formation of a new structure on the surface. In addition, a peak is observed in the \(H + K\) scan at \(H = K = 0.87\), implying the formation of a hexagonal structure. While exposing the Ir(111) sample to \(10^{-6} - 10^{-3}\) mbar of \(O_2\) at 575 K, a gradual increase of the peak signal in the \(K\) scan is detected. The 6-fold symmetry is directly confirmed by a \(\theta\) scan at \((0, 0.87, 0.15)\), rotating the sample around its surface normal, as shown in the inset of Figure 2a. To probe the 3D structure of the newly formed layer, a scan along \(L\) was performed at \((0, 0.87)\), as indicated in Figure 2b (red line). Upon progressing oxidation, a clear increase of the signal can be observed over the whole \(L\) range. For comparison, a reference scan for the clean surface at 575 K is given (black line). The peak close to \(L = 2\) is a tail of the Ir bulk Bragg reflection at \((0, 1.2)\). After subtraction of the Ir Bragg peak tail measured on the clean surface, the resulting signal is given in the inset of Figure 2b. The absence of well-defined peaks and the smooth decrease of the intensity with increasing \(L\) are indicative of a single Ir layer being involved in the diffraction process. It is therefore likely (and will be confirmed later) that a single O–Ir–O trilayer with 6-fold symmetry grows on the Ir(111) surface under such conditions, resembling the initial phase of oxidation.

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**Figure 1.** (a) Schematic illustration of the Ir(111) surface in real space and the corresponding reciprocal lattice (b). The real-space and reciprocal lattice vectors, 2.715 Å and \(2\pi/\sqrt{3/2}\) Å⁻¹ in length, respectively, as well as the K-scan and H+K-scan directions probed during the SXRD experiments are indicated.
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**Figure 2.** In situ SXRD reciprocal space scans of the Ir(111) surface when exposing the sample to \(8 \times 10^{-2}\) mbar \(O_2\) at 575 K. (a) In-plane \(K\) scan with \(H = 0\) and \(L = 0.15\) r.l.u. and (b) out-of-plane \(L\) scan at \((H, K) = (0, 0.87)\) from the oxidized sample (red line) are shown in comparison with those from the clean Ir(111) surface (black line). The inset in (a) is a rocking scan around \((0, 0.87, 0.15)\) from the oxidized surface. The inset in (b) presents the difference \(L\) scan of the oxidized and the clean surface, i.e., the \(L\) scan from the formed surface oxide layer. The solid line represents the calculated intensity for an O–Ir–O trilayer, based on the DFT atomic positions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(T/\text{K})</th>
<th>(P(\text{O}_2)/\text{mbar})</th>
<th>phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>575</td>
<td>(10^{-6} - 10^{-3})</td>
<td>chemisorbed O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10^{-3}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O–Ir–O trilayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10^{-3}</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>bulklike IrO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775 (875)</td>
<td>(10^{-5} - 10^{-2})</td>
<td>chemisorbed O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775 (875)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O–Ir–O trilayer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Summary of the Oxidation Conditions and the Resulting Phases on the Ir(111) as Observed by SXRD

---

Oxidation of Ir(111)
that a (0001) oriented corundum Ir$_2$O$_3$ layer is formed with SXRD. From the position of the peaks it can be derived as will be shown below,\textsuperscript{31} but the multilayer oxide formed on 5.168 Å and 3.12 Å is the in-plane Ir(111) interatomic distance. Thus, the layer can be described as a coincidence lattice (6×6) hexagonal O−Ir−O trilayer on a (7×7) Ir(111) surface unit cell, which was considered as the initial input for the DFT calculations. The peak width (∼0.14) of the reflection at (0, 0.87) corresponds to an in-plane domain size (2.715/0.14 = 19.4 Å) of about one coincidence lattice unit cell which is 19 Å, demonstrating that the layer is laterally poorly ordered. This is also reflected in a θ scan peak width of 8.3°. At 775 K (cf. Table 1), the ordering of the layer is slightly improved, but it is still much less ordered compared to the O−Rh−O coincidence trilayer formed on the Rh(111) surface.\textsuperscript{6}

To gain further insight into the atomic structure of the formed surface oxide, out-of-plane crystal truncation rods (CTR) of the clean and oxygen-covered Ir(111) surface were recorded at 575 K, as compiled in Figure 3a.\textsuperscript{27} A fit of the data reveals that the Ir(111) surface is bulk terminated with an inward relaxation of the first and second layers by −1.2% and −0.6%, respectively (cf. Figure 3b for the structural model), in good agreement with a low energy electron diffraction (LEED) $I−V$ curve analysis.\textsuperscript{28} In addition, the mean square vibrational amplitudes of the surface layer and the subsurface layer are strongly enhanced as compared to the bulk value, in line with a high surface mobility of the Ir atoms at 575 K.

Upon formation of the surface oxide coincidence layer, the CTRs change only slightly, as can be inferred from Figure 3a, lower part. This observation indicates that the surface oxide formation does not involve enhanced mass transport perpendicular to the surface which would result in a roughening induced decrease of the CTR amplitude. The simulation of the structure factor for a (6×6) hexagonal O−Ir−O trilayer on a (7×7) Ir(111) surface unit cell as motivated by the DFT calculations discussed below, is shown in Figure 3a, lower part (solid lines). In addition the scattered intensity was calculated for the surface rod shown in the inset of Figure 2(b).\textsuperscript{29} The good agreement between the measured and calculated rods provides further support for the formation of an O−Ir−O trilayer.

The O−Ir−O trilayer is stable up to an oxygen partial pressure of at least 1 mbar at 575 K. With further increase of the O$_2$ pressure to 100 mbar, the diffraction signal from the Ir(111) surface (cf. Figure 4) indicates the formation of a multilayer hexagonal oxide.\textsuperscript{30} A θ scan at (0, 0.91, 0.15) reveals still a 6-fold symmetry of the present structure. The well-defined peak at $L = 3.12$ in the out-of-plane L-scan at $(H, K) = (0, 0.91)$, see Figure 4(b), indicates that several Ir layers are now contributing to the diffraction signal. From the peak width (∼0.46) the multilayer oxide thickness can be estimated to be 6.649/0.46 = 14.5 Å (6.649 Å is the as-defined out-of-plane lattice vector $\sqrt{3}a_{0}$). The signal from the surface O−Ir−O layer is still present in the L-scan, compatible with a Stranski−Krastanov like growth mode of oxide islands, involving a wetting layer at the surface. Thus, the formation of a multilayer surface oxide (involving several Ir layers) on the Ir(111) surface at low sample temperature but high O$_2$ partial pressure is clearly identified with SXRD. From the position of the peaks it can be derived that a (0001) oriented corundum Ir$_2$O$_3$ layer is formed with $a = 5.168$ Å and $c = 12.78$ Å, which is energetically meta-stable, as will be shown below,\textsuperscript{31} but the multilayer oxide formed on oxidation of Rh(111), where a hexagonal O−Rh−O trilayer surface oxide was identified at a sample temperature above 575 K and O$_2$ pressures between 10$^{-3}$ and 1 mbar.\textsuperscript{6}

Now we turn to the formation of rutile IrO$_2$ at higher temperatures, i.e., 775 K and above. In a wide O$_2$ partial pressure range of 10$^{-5}$−10$^{-2}$ mbar, no oxide formation was observed.
This finding precludes the oxidation of Ir(111) under typical UHV conditions. At 1 mbar, a single O—Ir—O trilayer grows. Further increase of the O\textsubscript{2} pressure to 100 mbar leads to the formation of stable IrO\textsubscript{2} bulk oxide with rutile structure in (110) and (100) orientations, as we will demonstrate in the following paragraphs. All observed reflections are summarized in Table 2. In the K scan, as presented in Figure 5a, distinct diffraction maxima appear at $K = 0.73$ and 1.47, corresponding to IrO\textsubscript{2}(110) and (220) reflections. The out-of-plane periodicity is determined from the peak at $L = 2.1$ in the L scan at $(H, K) = (0, 0.73)$ (see Figure 5c), corresponding to the IrO\textsubscript{2}(200) reflection. The d spacing between the IrO\textsubscript{2} planes parallel to the surface can be inferred to be $6.649/2.1 = 3.17 \text{ Å}$, in good agreement with the bulk layer spacing of IrO\textsubscript{2}(110) planes ($3.18 \text{ Å}$). These findings point to the epitaxial growth of IrO\textsubscript{2} in (110) orientation (domain type I), with three rotational in-plane domains due to the hexagonal symmetry of the underlying Ir(111) substrate. Additional evidence for the (110) oriented domains comes from the $H + K$ scan exhibiting a dominant peak at $H = K = 0.86$ corresponding to the IrO\textsubscript{2} (002) reflection (not shown here). From the reciprocal-space lattice parameters $K = 0.735$ and $H = K = 0.86$, we derive the (real space) in-plane lattice parameters of the IrO\textsubscript{2}(110) domains to be $3.16 \times 6.39 \text{ Å}$. These values correspond nicely to the bulk-truncated surface unit cell of IrO\textsubscript{2}(110), namely, $3.15 \times 6.36 \text{ Å}$, as expected for an unstrained IrO\textsubscript{2}(110) film on the Ir(111) surface. The in-plane reflections from domain type I are plotted in the reciprocal lattice in Figure 6a as green circles. The half-width of the maximum at 0.73 in the K scan is 0.02. Therefore the averaged lateral dimension of the IrO\textsubscript{2}(110) domains along the [1\overline{1}0] direction is approximately $2\pi/(2.672 \times 0.02) = 118 \text{ Å}$. The peak width at 0.86 in the $H + K$ scan is 0.009 which corresponds to a mean domain size of approximately $2\pi/(2.672 \times \sqrt{3} \times 0.009) = 151 \text{ Å}$ along the [001] direction. From the fwhm of (0.08) of the peak (0, 0.73, 2.1) in the L scan the average thickness of the IrO\textsubscript{2}(110) domains is estimated to be $6.649/0.08 = 83 \text{ Å}$, i.e., consisting of about 26 IrO\textsubscript{2} layers.

Domain type II exhibits (100) orientation, which is evidenced from the θ scan at (0, 0.91, 0.15), see Figure 5b. The scan exhibits a splitting into two (011) type reflections, which overlap along the (01) surface direction. The L scan at $K = 0.91$ (Figure 5c, gray line) exhibits a new reflection close to $L = 3$, corresponding to a tail from the IrO\textsubscript{2}(211) reflection of domain type II (see in-plane reciprocal lattice in Figure 6a). The in-plane (020) peak from the (100) domains is detected as a shoulder ($K = 1.04$) of the (01) CTR from the Ir(111) substrate, which is shown in the inset of Figure 5a. Both types of domains (I + II) are oriented with their [001] direction along the substrate surface (1, 1, 1) direction. In addition, small reflections are observed in all scans, corresponding to IrO\textsubscript{2} powder diffraction peaks.

The in-plane and out-of-plane lattice parameters of the (100) domains are $3.16 \times 4.52 \text{ Å}$ and $2.22 \text{ Å}$, respectively. These values compare well with $3.15 \times 4.50 \text{ Å}$ and $2.25 \text{ Å}$ for the bulk-terminated IrO\textsubscript{2}(100) structure. From the fwhm of the (0, 0.91, 0.15) peak in the K scan (0.037) and that of the peak (0, 0.91, 3.0) in the L scan (0.13), the average in-plane size and thickness of the (100) domains are estimated to be $2\pi/(2.672 \times 0.037) = 64 \text{ Å}$ and $6.649/0.13 = 51 \text{ Å}$, respectively. The reciprocal lattice of Ir(111) is shown in Figure 6 for $L = 0$. The lattices of both IrO\textsubscript{2} (110) and (100) domains are included in the figure. The superposition of (110) and (100) oriented domains of rutile IrO\textsubscript{2} explains all scans shown in Figure 5, confirming that three rotational (100) domains (rotated by 120° with each other) coexist with the three rotational IrO\textsubscript{2}(110) domains, as shown in Figure 6b in real space.

The IrO\textsubscript{2} film formed on the Ir(111) surface at high temperature and high O\textsubscript{2} partial pressure is similar to the RuO\textsubscript{2} film grown on Ru(0001). Thicker RuO\textsubscript{2} films also grow in both (110) and (100) orientations on Ru(0001) with three rotational domains. The IrO\textsubscript{2} film has a rutile structure and is predominantly oriented along (110) with the following epitaxial relationship relative to the Ir(111) substrate and high O\textsubscript{2} partial pressure is similar to the RuO\textsubscript{2} film grown on Ru(0001). Thicker RuO\textsubscript{2} films also grow in both (110) and (100) orientations on Ru(0001) with three rotational domains. The IrO\textsubscript{2} film grows with the following epitaxial relationship relative to the Ir(111) substrate and high O\textsubscript{2} partial pressure is similar to the RuO\textsubscript{2} film grown on Ru(0001). Thicker RuO\textsubscript{2} films also grow in both (110) and (100) orientations on Ru(0001) with three rotational domains.

Figure 4. K and L scans of the Ir(111) surface at 120 mbar O\textsubscript{2} and 575 K (dashed, blue lines) in comparison to the scans taken at 8 × 10\textsuperscript{-7} mbar of O\textsubscript{2} (solid, red lines). (a) In-plane K scans at $(H, L) = (0, 0.15)$: the multilayer oxide exhibits a smaller in-plane lattice constant as compared to the surface oxide. (b) Out-of-plane L scans at $(H, K) = (0, 0.91)$ for the multilayer oxide and $(0, 0.87)$ for the surface oxide. In the scan of the multilayer oxide, additional Bragg peaks arise at $L \approx 0$ and $L = 3.12$. The tail of the Ir(01,2) Bragg reflection is more pronounced, since the scan is closer to $K = 1$ as compared to the scan for the surface oxide.
TABLE 2: Identification of the XRD Peaks Shown in the $K$, $H + K$, and $L$ Scan for the Bulklike IrO$_2$ Formed at Higher Oxidation Temperature\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>scan</th>
<th>coordination in reciprocal space</th>
<th>domain</th>
<th>corresponding reflection (planes in real space)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K$ scan at $(H,L) = (0, 0.15)$; Figure 5a</td>
<td>(0.073,0.15)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>(110) of IrO$_2$(110)-domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.091,0.15)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>tail from (011) of IrO$_2$(100)-domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.10,0.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(01) of IrO$_2$ powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.147,0.15)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>(220) of IrO$_2$(110)-domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.166,0.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(112) of IrO$_2$ powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H + K$ scan at $L = 0.15$ (not shown)</td>
<td>(0.43,0.43,0.15)</td>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>(002) of IrO$_2$(110) and of IrO$_2$(100)-domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.80,0.80,0.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(110) of IrO$_2$ powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.86,0.86,0.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(211) of IrO$_2$ powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$ scan at $(H,K) = (0, 0.73)$; Figure 5c</td>
<td>(0.073,0.33)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>(110) of IrO$_2$ powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.73,1.51)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(101) of IrO$_2$ powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.73,2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(211) of IrO$_2$ powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.73,3.31)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(101) of IrO$_2$ powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.91,0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(200) of IrO$_2$ powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.91,1.51)</td>
<td></td>
<td>tail of Ir(0,1,2) Bragg peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.91,2.14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>tail from (211) of IrO$_2$(100)-domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.91,3.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Note that the rutile structure fulfills the quasi selection rule for body centered tetragonal (bct) lattices, namely that the sum of $h + k + l$ for the rutile bulk Miller indices must be even for strong XRD Bragg reflections.

3.2. DFT Calculations. We now switch to the DFT calculations and thermodynamic analysis of the Ir oxidation. First, the oxygen chemisorption on Ir(111) was studied in a coverage range from 1/9 to 1 ML, and the calculated adsorption energies $E_{\text{ads}}$ are given in Table 3 and plotted in Figure 7. Only oxygen adsorption at fcc-hollow sites was considered in the present calculations.\textsuperscript{34} At low coverage of [1/9 ML, 1/4 ML], a slight attraction between adsorbates, which may be mediated through the substrate, is found. With increasing coverage, the (average) adsorption energy decreases monotonically due to the electrostatic (dipole) repulsion between the adsorbates, as reflected by the concomitant increase of the work function for instance by $0.18$ eV (1/4 ML), $0.42$ eV (1/2 ML), and $0.84$ eV (2/3 ML), respectively. Destabilization of oxygen adsorption on Ir(111) at high coverage tends to facilitate the incorporation of oxygen into the subsurface region, preceding the formation of surface oxide and bulk oxide. The transition from chemisorption to bulk oxide will be initiated, according to King’s theory, once the differential adsorption energy of oxygen on Ir(111) at a given coverage is less than the heat of formation of corresponding bulk oxide.\textsuperscript{35,36} In Figure 7, the calculated differential adsorption energies at different coverages considered are plotted together with a horizontal line at $-1.42$ eV/O atom, the calculated heat of formation of bulk IrO$_2$ (rutile), which compares well with the experimental value of $-1.29$ eV/O.\textsuperscript{37} It is found that the crossover occurs at coverage of 0.50 ML, namely, surface oxygen adsorption on Ir(111) is thermodynamic favorable when the coverage is less than 0.50 ML. Oxygen occupation in the subsurface region is energetically unfavorable. At a coverage of 0.50 ML, with a quarter ML of oxygen placed on subsurface tetrahedral sites, the total energy increased dramatically by about 2.8 eV per (2 × 2) cell. At higher coverage, however, the energy gain for further oxygen adsorption on the surface will be less than the energy gain for bulk oxide formation, and oxygen may penetrate into the subsurface region as a nucleation site for formation of the surface oxide and bulk oxide, respectively.

A O–Me–O trilayer with hexagonal symmetry was found to be a structural motif for surface oxides on various transition metal basal planes.\textsuperscript{5,36,38} The optimized in-plane lattice constant of a free-standing O–Me–O trilayer is normally very different from that of the metal host. This generates certain superstructures, whose periodicity and detailed interfactual structures are determined by the intrinsic stability of the O–Me–O trilayer and the interfacial coupling between the O–Me–O trilayer and substrate underneath. In the present work, a single O–Ir–O trilayer with ($6 \times 6$) hexagonal symmetry over a Ir(111)–(7 × 7) was identified by SXRD when oxygen partial pressure exceeds $10^{-3}$ mbar at 575 K or 1 mbar at 775 K. Full exploration of the huge unit cell is computationally demanding. Therefore the O–Me–O trilayer was approximated by IrO$_2$ with ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) periodicity over Ir(111)–(2 × 2) surface (corresponding to oxygen coverage of 1.50 ML). It was found that the energetics of various O–Me–O trilayers considered are less sensitive to the detailed interfactual structures. Furthermore, the lower lying oxygen atoms tend to bond singly to the metal substrate underneath, which results from the preference of oxygen to bind to three and four metal atoms in metal oxides. Based on the insights obtained from this small supercell, calculations with realistic ($6 \times 6$) trilayer lattice with coverage of 1.47 ML were performed. The optimized structure, shown schematically in Figure 3c, was used for the calculation of the X-ray structure factors and intensities in Figures 2b and 3a, where a good agreement with the experiment was achieved. The calculated adsorption energy of resultant structure is $-1.17$ eV/O.

For the Ir bulk oxide, there are two possible oxides known: rutile (IrO$_2$) and corundum (Ir$_2$O$_3$), as shown schematically in Figure 8. Calculated lattice constants for rutile IrO$_2$ are $a = 4.51$ Å and $c = 3.16$ Å, which agree well with experimental data of $a = 4.50$ Å and $c = 3.16$ Å from early report\textsuperscript{39} and of $a = 4.52$ Å and $c = 3.16$ Å from the present study. For corundum Ir$_2$O$_3$, the calculated lattice constants are $a = 5.23$ Å and $c = 14.01$ Å, again in nice agreement with the experimental values of $a = 5.168$ Å and $c = 12.78$ Å derived from SXRD. Calculated heats of formation are $-1.42$ eV/O atom for IrO$_2$ and $-0.70$ eV/O atom for Ir$_2$O$_3$, respectively. A significantly larger heat of formation for rutile IrO$_2$ than for corundum Ir$_2$O$_3$ shows that the formation of rutile IrO$_2$ is thermodynamically more favorable than the corundum Ir$_2$O$_3$. This is in-line with the experimental finding that only rutile IrO$_2$ was formed at higher temperatures and higher pressures. The corundum Ir$_2$O$_3$ may however serve as a possible intermediate structure during the transition from the surface oxide to final bulk oxide. Indeed, a single O–Me–O trilayer is observed experimentally at 1 mbar at 575 K, and a multilayer oxide with similar hexagonal symmetry as the O–Me–O trilayer developed with increasing O$_2$ partial pressure to 100 mbar. The assignment
of the multilayer oxide to a corundum-like oxide is reasonable, since corundum Ir$_2$O$_3$(0001) has hexagonal symmetry.

The phase diagram for the oxidation of Ir(111) including the structures discussed above is shown in Figure 9, where a vertical dashed line at $-1.42$ eV indicates the thermodynamic preference of formation of bulk IrO$_2$ (rutile). The chemisorption phases Figure 5. Formation of bulk IrO$_2$ on Ir(111) at 100 mbar O$_2$ and 875 K as revealed by SXRD. The reflections from domains I and II are labeled with indices in real-space IrO$_2$ bulk coordinates, in addition to the polycrystalline component “P”. (a) In-plane K scan with the predominant (110) reflection from domain I. In the inset a zoom in for the region close to $K = 1$ is shown (filled squares). For comparison the signal from the clean surface (solid/red line) is given, in addition to the signal from the multilayer hexagonal oxide (open/green circles). When IrO$_2$ bulk oxide forms, an additional peak grows at $K = 1.04$ close to the substrate (0,1) CTR reflection, corresponding to the (020) reflection from domain II. (b) Rocking scan at $(0, 0.91, 0.15)$: two overlapping reflections are observed, which are symmetrical with respect to the (0,1)$_S$ direction, corresponding to (011) type reflections of domain II. In the inset a LEED pattern obtained after NO$_2$ oxidation is shown, which exhibits the same splitting of the reflections. (c) L scans at $H = 0$, $K = 0.73$ (black line) and $K = 0.91$ (gray line). All reflections can be indexed as described in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>structures</th>
<th>$E_{ads}$</th>
<th>structures</th>
<th>$E_{ads}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chemisorptions</td>
<td>chemisorptions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/9 ML</td>
<td>$-1.85$</td>
<td>3/4 ML</td>
<td>$-1.40$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4 ML</td>
<td>$-1.92$</td>
<td>1.00 ML</td>
<td>$-0.92$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3 ML</td>
<td>$-1.78$</td>
<td>surface oxide</td>
<td>$-1.17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 ML</td>
<td>$-1.70$</td>
<td>1.47 ML (6 $\times$ 7 $\times$ 7)</td>
<td>$-1.26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3 ML</td>
<td>$-1.50$</td>
<td>1.50 ML (r3 $\times$ r3)/(2 $\times$ 2)</td>
<td>$-1.17$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The unit is eV per oxygen atom.

The phase diagram for the oxidation of Ir(111) including the structures discussed above is shown in Figure 9, where a vertical dashed line at $-1.42$ eV indicates the thermodynamic preference of formation of bulk IrO$_2$ (rutile). The chemisorption phases
are the only stable structures before the formation of bulk oxide is thermodynamically favorable. The single $(6 \times 6)$ O–Me–O trilayer oxide identified above constitutes a meta-stable structure, which is stabilized for kinetic reasons, consistent with the experimental findings. At low temperatures (e.g., 575 K), the transition from the chemisorption phase to the bulk oxide is comparably slow, and single O–Ir–O trilayer and multilayer oxide can be formed by gradually increasing the oxygen partial pressure. The calculated transition O$_2$ partial pressure from the chemisorption phases ($\theta = 0.50$ ML) to the O–Me–O trilayer ($\theta = 1.47$ ML) is $10^{-2}$ mbar at 575 K (equivalent to $\Delta \mu = -0.90$ eV), which falls well into the experimental pressure window of $10^{-3}$ mbar to 1 mbar (Table 1) for the formation of single O–Ir–O trilayer. At higher temperatures (775 K and above), the transition from the chemisorption phase to bulk oxide via meta-stable states is facilitated. Indeed, as found in the experiments (Table 1), the pressure window for the formation of single O–Me–O trilayer is narrower, and even the formation of multilayer oxide cannot be captured experimentally. Finally, the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}R30^\circ$ trilayer structure is not observed experimentally, similar to the oxidation of Pt(111) and Ag(111).

At high temperature (775 K) and higher O$_2$ partial pressure, the experiments give ample evidence for the formation of bulk rutile IrO$_2$ with (110) and (100) orientations. Since no structural information about the termination of the oxide islands could be provided by the SXRD experiments, we have performed density functional theory calculations supplemented with thermodynamic analysis to determine possible (stable) surface terminations. Symmetric surfaces with various terminations, Ir-termination, O-bridge(bri) termination (stoichiometric) and O-bri/O-coordinativelv unsaturated (cus) termination (fully oxygen covered), were modeled by seven metal layer thick slabs, and the atoms in the first Ir–O layer, O-bri and O-cus on both sides were allowed to relax to their equilibrium positions. The structures are shown schematically in the top of Figure 10. For IrO$_2$(110) and (100) surfaces, calculated in-plane lattice constants in $(1 \times 1)$ cell are $(3.16 \text{ Å} \times 3.68 \text{ Å})$ and $(3.16 \text{ Å} \times 3.68 \text{ Å})$. 

[Figure 7. Calculated average adsorption energy (open circle) and differential adsorption energy (star) for oxygen adsorption on the Ir(111) surface at coverages of $[1/9 \text{ ML}, 1 \text{ ML}]$. The horizontal line is the calculated heat of formation of bulk rutile IrO$_2$ oxide, and dashed lines are plotted to guide the eye.]

[Figure 8. Schematic ball-stick structures of bulk rutile IrO$_2$ (a) and corundum Ir$_2$O$_3$ (b) oxides with calculated lattice constants and heat of formation per oxygen. The red and gray spheres represent O and Ir atoms, respectively.]

[Figure 9. (Bottom) Calculated phase diagram for oxidation of Ir(111), where only favorable structures have been included for simplicity. The vertical dashed line at $-1.42$ eV (heat of formation of rutile IrO$_2$) indicates the condition for the formation of bulk oxide. (Top) Corresponding oxygen partial pressures at 575 and 775 K are indicated for comparison with experiments.]

[Figure 10. (Bottom) Calculated phase diagram for rutile IrO$_2$ (110) and (100) surfaces with different terminations: Ir-termination, O-bri-termination and O-bri/O-cus-termination. The vertical dashed line (left) at $-1.42$ eV represents the lower limit of stable bulk oxide. (Top) Schematic ball-stick structures of rutile IrO$_2$ (110) and (100) surfaces. The red and gray spheres represent O and Ir atoms, respectively.]
Oxidation of Ir(111)

4.51 Å, whereas d spacings between adjacent Ir layers are 3.19 and 2.25 Å, respectively. These data are in excellent agreement with experimental results for the (110)- and (100)-oriented domains of the IrO₂ film with in-plane lattice constants (3.16 Å × 6.39 Å) and (3.16 Å × 4.52 Å), and d spacings of 3.17 and 2.22 Å, respectively. The relative stability of (110) and (100) oriented surfaces with various terminations was calculated and is shown in the bottom of Figure 10. From this plot, it is immediately seen that for both (110) and (100) surfaces, the surfaces with oxygen termination (O-bridge and O-cus) are dominating over a wide range of oxygen chemical potential.
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(23) The vacuum region was 14 Å to prevent unphysical coupling between two adjacent films through the vacuum, and dipole correction was applied since oxygen adsorbed at one side of the slab.
(27) (a) The amplitude of the structure factor was obtained from rocking scans after background subtraction and application of standard correction factors. (b) Vlieg, E. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 30, 532.
(29) Note that the structural perfection of the surface oxide layer is too low to perform a full crystallographic analysis of the interfacial structure. Nevertheless, the recorded data are in good agreement with the structure factor calculated using the trilayer model put forward by theory.
(30) Concurrently, the peak in the H + K scan shifts from H = K = 0.87 for low O₂ pressure to 0.91 (not shown here).
(31) The observed reflection at (0.09,1.0) corresponds to the corundum (1120) reflection and the reflection at (0.09,1.3,12) corresponds to the corundum (1126) reflection.
(32) The real space in-plane lattice constants along the [001] and [11̄0] directions can be derived as 2π/[2 × 2 × 2 × 3.16 Å/2] = 3.16 Å and 2π/[2 × 2 × 2 × 6.39 Å/2] = 6.39 Å, respectively.
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