In Situ Oxidation Study of Pt(110) and Its Interaction with CO
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ABSTRACT:

Many interesting structures have been observed for O2-exposed Pt(110). These structures, along with their stability and reactivity toward CO, provide insights into catalytic processes on open Pt surfaces, which have similarities to Pt nanoparticle catalysts. In this study, we present results from ambient-pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, high-pressure scanning tunneling microscopy, and density functional theory calculations. At low oxygen pressure, only chemisorbed oxygen is observed on the Pt(110) surface. At higher pressure (0.5 Torr of O2), nanometer-sized islands of multilayered α-PtO2-like surface oxide form along with chemisorbed oxygen. Both chemisorbed oxygen and the surface oxide are removed in the presence of CO, and the rate of disappearance of the surface oxide is close to that of the chemisorbed oxygen at 270 K. The spectroscopic features of the surface oxide are similar to the oxide observed on Pt nanoparticles of a similar size, which provides us an extra incentive to revisit some single-crystal model catalyst surfaces under elevated pressure using in situ tools.

INTRODUCTION

CO oxidation on transition-metal surfaces is one of the most thoroughly investigated systems in heterogeneous catalysis, due to its importance in automotive exhaust treatment and water-gas shift as well as its ability to shed light on fundamental catalyst properties.1,2 In particular, the study of CO oxidation on Pt(110) has received much attention over the last two decades. Self-sustained oscillations and spatiotemporal patterns form on this surface3–6 as well as a variety of surface oxides.7,8 Furthermore, there is still an ongoing debate regarding the influence of surface structure on CO oxidation kinetics.9,10 To fully understand and model these fascinating phenomena, we need further in situ characterization of adsorbed oxygen and oxide phases of Pt(110) in O2 and CO and under reaction conditions.

Newly developed in situ surface characterization techniques have led to many recent results concerning CO oxidation on Pt(110). These include ambient-pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (AP-XPS),10–12 high-pressure scanning tunneling microscopy (HP-STM),8 surface X-ray diffraction,13 and polarization modulation infrared reflection absorption spectroscopy.14 These new results have provided new insights into whether there is oxide formation during the reaction and whether this oxide is responsible for “superior reactivity”, though no consensus has been reached.9,14

In a previous AP-XPS investigation of CO oxidation on Pt(110), we established that the surface is either covered with chemisorbed CO or chemisorbed O depending on the reaction conditions, with no evidence for a surface oxide under any conditions investigated.12 Herein, we used AP-XPS, HP-STM,
and density functional theory (DFT) calculations to investigate the oxidation of Pt(110) at different temperatures and pressures of pure O₂. Our AP-XPS results show that two different oxygen-related species form on Pt(110) at 0.5 Torr of oxygen pressure. One belongs to an island-structured surface oxide that forms under elevated pressure. The other belongs to chemisorbed oxygen, which has been commonly observed in UHV experiments. HP-STM images under similar conditions confirm the formation of these oxide islands. The identification of the surface oxide species is supported by DFT calculations. CO titration experiments on the oxidized Pt(110) surface demonstrate that both chemisorbed oxygen and the surface oxide react with gas-phase CO at 270 K at similar rates.

Although no consensus has been reached on the CO oxidation mechanism at high O₂/CO ratios, there is agreement regarding the dramatic changes in surface morphology of these metal surfaces at elevated pressure. Surface reconstruction at elevated pressure often leads to the formation of nanometer-sized features that do not form under the low P₀₂ conditions of typical UHV experiments.⁷,¹⁵,¹⁶ Such changes will not affect the bulk properties of the material. They will, however, dramatically modify the surface properties of material, which are crucial in many important applications (such as catalysts, battery/fuel cell electrodes, ...). Furthermore, as the sizes of components decrease to the nanometer scale, surface properties play increasingly important roles in determining the properties. For instance, in electronic devices and interconnects, changes to the metal surfaces under real conditions can even affect some traditional bulk properties such as electron transport (due to the change of surface scattering and electronic structure). Therefore, it is important for researchers to understand how these reconstructions can change the morphology and electronic structure of metal surfaces. We believe these observations provide an opportunity to investigate nanoparticle catalysts and other nanoscale phenomena. The formation of surface oxide islands may build a bridge between single crystals and nanoparticle catalysts. Interestingly, we found that the XPS chemical shift of the Pt 4f peak from the nanostructured Pt(110) surface oxide is similar to that of the Pt oxide peak observed on Pt nanoparticles of similar size (1.5 nm).

**Experimental Section**

AP-XPS experiments were performed at Beamlines 11.0.2 and 9.3.2 at the Advanced Light Source. Both endstations consist of an analysis and a preparation chamber. Detailed descriptions of the AP-XPS endstations used in this study can be found in previous reports.¹⁷,¹⁸ The HP-STM experiments were performed on a UHV system with a chamber for single-crystal preparation and characterization and a smaller HP-STM chamber.¹⁹ A Pt(110) single crystal from Matek, polished on both sides, was used in this study. The sample cleaning process included repeated cycles of Ar⁺ sputtering and oxygen annealing and a final annealing at 1000 K under vacuum in the sample preparation chamber. The clean sample was transferred under vacuum to the analysis chamber where in situ experiments were performed. The surface was checked with XPS or AES to confirm the absence of contaminants.

Self-consistent total energy DFT calculations on various oxygen-covered and CO-covered Pt(110) and Pt oxide surfaces were performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) with projector augmented wave potentials and generalized gradient approximation in the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof formalism for the exchange-correlation functional.²⁰,²¹ A cutoff energy of 400 eV was used for the plane-wave expansion for all calculations. Optimized bulk lattice constants were used throughout the present work: a = 3.98 Å for fcc Pt; a = 3.15 Å, c = 4.03 Å for α-PtO₂; a = 4.61 Å, b = 4.55 Å, c = 3.19 Å for β-PtO₂; a = 4.58 Å, c = 3.29 Å for rutile PtO₂. The Pt(110)-(1×2) missing row, reconstructed Pt(110)-(12×2)-22O chemisorption structure, α-PtO₂-(0001)-(2×2), β-PtO₂(110)-(2×1), and rutile-PtO₂(110)-(2×1) surfaces (Figure 1) were used to simulate various surface structures. The core-level binding energy shifts were calculated including final state effects. The details used in these calculations have been reported previously.²²

**Results and Discussion**

To study the oxidation of Pt(110) under elevated oxygen pressure, we exposed a clean Pt(110) surface to increasing O₂ pressure while monitoring the Pt 4f, O 1s, and C 1s core level shifts. The Pt 4f spectrum taken after sputtering and annealing in UHV is composed of a peak from bulk Pt at 71.2 eV and a surface peak at lower binding energy (70.8 eV, CLS = 0.4 eV). Under 0.05 Torr of O₂ an additional peak at 71.9 eV (CLS = 0.7 eV) appears. This peak corresponds to surface Pt atoms bonded to the oxygen atom. When the O₂ pressure is increased to 0.5 Torr, a third peak at 73.4 eV is observed, corresponding to the formation of a surface oxide. STM images taken under similar conditions (clean surface and under 0.2 Torr of O₂ at 423 K) are shown as inserts. The high-pressure image shows a roughened surface with nanometer islands (see the Supporting Information for additional STM data).
peaks. The Pt 4f\(_{7/2}\) region for clean Pt(110) can be fitted with two peaks (Figure 2a, 230 eV photon energy), corresponding to bulk Pt (71.2 ± 0.1 eV) and surface Pt with a surface core level shift (CLS) of 0.4 eV (70.8 ± 0.1 eV).\(^{23}\) The surface peak arises from under-coordinated Pt atoms in the topmost atomic layer, indicating a clean surface. This was confirmed by the absence of any spectral features other than Pt peaks. In a separate experiment using the same single crystal, the clean surface was characterized using STM (Figure 2a insert and Figure S-1 (Supporting Information)). The image shows flat terraces separated by single-atom steps. The terraces have parallel rows resulting from the (1 × 2) missing row reconstruction. There are also point defects of oxygen atoms, which appear as depressions in STM images.\(^{24}\) The Pt 4f surface CLS changes from 0.4 to +0.7 eV after 10\(^{-8}\) Torr of O\(_2\) exposure, corresponding to surface Pt atoms bonded to chemisorbed oxygen atoms. Increasing the pressure to 0.05 Torr of O\(_2\) leads to an increase in the intensity of the Pt 4f peak at 71.9 eV from Pt surface atoms bound to chemisorbed oxygen (Chem-O). This Chem-O shoulder is well-resolved from the bulk Pt 4f peak at 71.2 eV (Figure 2b). There is also a slight intensity increase on the high-binding-energy (BE) side of the Chem-O peak. Increasing the pressure to 0.5 Torr further increases the Chem-O peak intensity relative to that of the bulk Pt peak and a third peak at 73.4 eV arises, which is assigned to a surface oxide (Surf-O) (Figure 2c). Under these conditions, there are two peaks in the O 1s region: a peak at 529.7 eV corresponding to Chem-O and a high-BE shoulder at 530.8 eV that we ascribe to the Surf-O (Figure S-2, Supporting Information). Similar Pt 4f spectra were also obtained at 373 and 473 K (Figure 3). STM images at 0.2 Torr of O\(_2\) and 423 K indicate a roughened surface with 1 nm lateral features that are 0.2 nm in apparent height (Figure 2c insert and Figure S-1), which is in agreement with previous STM experiments up to 1 atm of O\(_2\) or after atomic oxygen exposure.\(^{27}\) The surface oxide forms upon exposure to an elevated pressure of oxygen in the absence of the X-ray beam but increases dramatically upon high-intensity X-ray exposure (see the Supporting Information). Once formed, the Surf-O is stable at low pressure and remains on the surface after evacuating to UHV.

The formation of surface oxide distinct from chemisorbed oxygen has been claimed by numerous reports on various Pt surfaces, especially on Pt(111), using XPS. Some early reports were found to be associated with Si or Ca impurities in the Pt crystals.\(^{25-27}\) To our knowledge, fewer such claims have been made for Pt(110).\(^{28,29}\) A Pt 4f peak with a BE very similar to our current report was observed by Bonzel et al.\(^{28}\) after exposing a Pt(110) surface to atomic oxygen, but no identification was provided. A recent STM and temperature-programmed desorption study on the Pt(110) surface reported nanostructured island formation after atomic oxygen or high-pressure molecular oxygen exposure. With the help of DFT calculations, the authors associated these islands with patches of a surface oxide phase. In that study, a reconstructed Pt(110)-(12 × 2)-22O chemisorption
The presence of 0.5 Torr of O2 (Figure 3). Since the inelastic mean
energy references are metallic bulk Pt and O 1s of on-top CO on
the Pt(110)-(1 \times 2) surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt 4f CLS (eV)</th>
<th>O 1s CLS (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt(110)-(1 \times 2)</td>
<td>-0.42 (Pt^{4f_{7/2}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(110)-(12 \times 2)-22O</td>
<td>0.66 (Pt^{4f_{7/2}}) -2.40 to -2.60 (O^{1s_{chem}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-PtO2(0001)-(2 \times 2)</td>
<td>2.65 (Pt^{4f_{7/2}}) -1.80 (O^{1s_{chem}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-PtO2(110)-(2 \times 1)</td>
<td>2.25 (Pt^{4f_{7/2}}) -3.98 (O^{1s_{chem}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rutile PtO2(110)-(2 \times 1)</td>
<td>1.20 (Pt^{4f_{7/2}}) -2.32 (O^{1s_{chem}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15 (Pt^{4f_{7/2}}) -2.39 (O^{1s_{chem}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The energy references are metallic bulk Pt and O 1s of on-top CO on
the Pt(110)-(1 \times 2) surface.

To identify the nature of the peak at 73.4 eV, Pt 4f spectra were
collected at photon energies of 180, 340, and 475 eV in the
presence of 0.5 Torr of O2 (Figure 3). Since the inelastic mean
free path of photoelectrons increases with kinetic energy in the
energy range of these experiments, decreasing the photon energy
causes the spectra to be more sensitive to the topmost surface
layer. The spectra in Figure 3 had a Shirley background sub-
tracted and were fitted with an asymmetric Voigt profile.30 The
fitting was performed with three doublet peaks: bulk Pt
(71.2 eV), Pt bound to chemisorbed oxygen (71.9 eV), and Pt in
the surface oxide phase (73.4 eV), where the BE refers to that of
Pt 4f/2. At photon energies of 180, 340, and 475 eV, the Pt 4f
photoelectrons have inelastic mean free paths of 4, 6, and 7 Å,
respectively.31 As the photon energy increased from 180 to
475 eV, the relative area of the Chem-O induced Pt 4f peak
decreased with respect to the bulk Pt 4f peak while that of the
surface oxide phase remained constant. The relative areas mea-
sured at 300, 373, and 473 K are plotted in Figure 3d. The decrease
in relative peak area of Pt bound to Chem-O with increasing energy
is expected, since these atoms are only found in the surface layer.
The Surf-O peak, however, does not decrease appreciably with
photon energy, indicating that it is a subsurface phase.

The peak at 73.4 eV clearly corresponds to Pt atoms
coordinated to Chem-O atoms but those located below the
surface. For simplicity, we refer to it as a Surf-O following ref 7.
From the intensity ratio of the Surf-O and Chem-O peaks, we
estimate the coverage of the surface oxide to be 0.15 ML at 0.5
Torr. On the basis of our STM and XPS results, we conclude that
nanometer-sized islands observed in this and previous
studies4 are a surface oxide associated with a multilayer (≥ 2)
of PtO. This surface oxide is stable at 473 K under vacuum and
completely decomposes by 573 K. The formation of this surface
oxide was also found to be defect dependent, forming more
readily on surfaces prepared with a short final annealing step,
which increases the step edge density. These observations are
consistent with the results in ref 7.

To elucidate the structure and reactivity of such a surface
oxide, we calculated Pt 4f and O 1s (Table 1) core level shifts for a
clean Pt surface (Pt(110)-(1 \times 2)-missing row), a Pt surface
bound to chemisorbed oxygen (Pt(110)-(12 \times 2)-22O), and three bulk Pt oxide structures (α-PtO2(0001)-(2 \times 2), β-PtO2-
(110)-(2 \times 1), and rutile PtO2(110)-(2 \times 1)) using DFT. The
oxide structures contain Pt atoms coordinated to five and six
oxygen atoms (Pt^{4f_{7/2}} and Pt^{4f_{9/2}}). In comparison to bulk metallic Pt,
the Pt 4f CLSs for the six-oxygen coordinated surface (Pt^{4f_{7/2}})
for α-PtO2(0001)-(2 \times 2), β-PtO2(110)-(2 \times 1), and rutile PtO2-
(110) are 2.65, 2.25, and 2.15 eV, respectively. The five-oxygen
cordinated surface (Pt^{4f_{7/2}}) 4f CLSs are 1.20 and 1.15 eV for β-
PtO2(110)-(2 \times 1) and rutile PtO2(110)-(2 \times 1), respectively. We also calculated Pt 4f CLSs of the clean metallic surface:
Pt(110)-(1 \times 2) (Pt^{4f_{7/2}}), the oxygen chemisorbed surface
Pt(110)-(12 \times 2)-22O (Pt^{4f_{9/2}}), and the CO covered surface
Pt(110)-(1 \times 2) with on-top CO. These CLSs are -0.42, 0.66,
and 0.95 eV, respectively. The calculated Pt^{4f_{7/2}} 4f CLS (0.66 eV)
for Pt(110)-(12 \times 2)-22O agrees well with our measured
chemisorbed oxygen related Pt 4f peak at 71.9 eV (0.70 eV CLS).

For all three oxides considered, the O 1s CLSs were also
considered for both bridging oxygen (O^{1s_{Bri}}) and oxygen
coordinated to three platinum atoms (O^{1s_{Chem}}) and reference to the O 1s peak of CO at an on-top site on the Pt(110) surface. The O 1s
CLSs of β-PtO2 and rutile PtO2 are -3.98 and 4.02 eV for O^{1s_{Bri}}
and -2.32 and -2.39 eV for O^{1s_{Chem}}, which are large compared to
the CLSs of experimentally measured surface oxide shifts (2.9 ±
0.2 and 1.8 ± 0.2 eV, respectively (Figure 5). The absence of a
peak at a CLS of -4 eV is a clear evidence that β-PtO2 and rutile
PtO2 are not consistent with the experimental data. This can
safely rule out β-PtO2 and rutile PtO2 as the majority phase of the
observed surface oxide. Therefore, we assign the surface oxide to
a structure similar to α-PtO2. The difference in the Pt 4f CLSs
between the calculated Pt^{4f_{7/2}} for α-PtO2 and the measured Pt 4f
of the surface oxide (2.65 vs 2.2 ± 0.1 eV) could be attributed to
the role of the metal support or particle size effect of the
surface oxide islands, which are not considered in the calculation.
As the surface oxide grows, the BE of the Surf-O peak shifts from
73.4 to 73.6 eV (see the Supporting Information). Thus, the CLS
of the Surf-O peak increases from 2.2 eV to 2.4 eV, approaching
the calculated value of 2.65 eV. As the nanoscale oxide islands
grow, the metal support and particle size effects of the surface
oxide islands become less significant. This also may explain that
the CLS values measured here are smaller than the bulk oxide
values measured before.32

Such α-PtO2-like nanoscale surface oxide islands may have electronic and chemical properties different from those of the bulk α-PtO2, which is assumed to be inert to CO. The present XPS measurements and calculations corroborate previous STM and DFT studies,4 in which the nanoscale islands were proposed to be α-PtO2-like in character.

We have confirmed the formation of nanometer-sized surface
oxide islands on Pt(110) at elevated oxygen pressure. These
islands are similar in size to catalytically relevant Pt nanoparticles. An interesting question is whether there is a connection between these oxide islands on Pt(110) and the oxide on catalytically relevant Pt nanoparticles. As-prepared Pt nanoparticles have often been found in oxidized form (see the Supporting Information). It has been shown that the dissociation pressure of oxide thin films and nanoparticles can be several orders of magnitude lower than for the same bulk material.33,34

In Figure 4, we show the Pt 4f spectrum of as-prepared solution-phase synthesized 1.5 nm monodispersed platinum nanoparticles with a poly(vinylpyrrolidone) capping.35 The monolayer films of particles were then prepared in a Langmuir—Blodgett trough. The spectrum was fit with two Pt 4f doublets and a Shirley background. Unlike the Pt 4f peak fitting on the Pt(110) surface, we used a symmetric Voigt line shape here to reflect the difference in final state effects between bulk and nanoparticles.36 The BE values of the two Pt 4f7/2 peaks are 71.9 and 73.4 eV. It has been suggested that the 73.4 eV peak belongs to Pt oxide37 or a peak induced by the capping of Pt NPs.38 Unlike the dendrimer samples used in refs 37 and 38, the nanoparticles used here were prepared through a different method and different capping. This indicates that the similarity in XPS peaks is less likely due to the capping layer. Therefore, we assign the higher energy peak to the Pt oxide; its BE is identical with the surface oxide island peak we observed on Pt(110). This indicates that the surface oxide formed under high-pressure oxygen exposure is similar to the NP oxide. The formation of surface oxide islands under near-ambient conditions observed here and in previous studies suggests a link between single crystals and nanoparticle catalysts. This provides additional motivation to revisit single-crystal surfaces at elevated pressure. The 71.9 eV peak has the same BE as a Chem-O peak. It is likely to result from Pt atoms coordinated to less than six oxygen atoms, which is similar to Chem-O. We would like to point out that the smaller Pt clusters can have higher BE than that of bulk metallic Pt as shown in ref 36.

The similarity between the surface oxide islands on Pt(110) and the oxide on Pt nanoparticles motivated us to evaluate the reactivity of different oxygen species on Pt(110) toward identifying reactive oxygen species in nanoparticle catalysis. We checked the reactivity toward CO oxidation by exposing the nanometer sized surface oxide on Pt(110) to a low pressure of CO (10⁻⁶ Torr) at 270 and 275 K while monitoring with continuous fast XPS scans. In this CO titration study we investigate the oxide layer within the limits of the pressure and time constraints of ambient-pressure XPS. As has been shown in previous work, the oxide phase rapidly reacts under catalytic conditions for CO oxidation on time scales too short for XPS studies.8,9,12,14 Due to the limitations in low coverage and short time scales, we have monitored both the formation of the oxide phase in the presence of pure oxygen as well as its removal by CO at a decreased temperature and low pressure, allowing direct in situ characterization by XPS. While this is not the optimal regime for the active

Figure 5. (a) O 1s spectra recorded every 12 s from the O-covered Pt(110) surface in the presence of 10⁻⁶ Torr CO. (b, c) Selected O 1s spectra taken at t = 36 and 276 s after dosing CO into the chamber. Calculated O 1s shifts of reconstructed chemisorption and α-PtO₂(0001) with respect to on-top CO on Pt(110) are indicated in (c). Please note the scale difference of the vertical axes in (b) and (c).
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Table 2. Reaction Rates Measured for O 1s Peaks at 270 and 275 K$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>270 K</th>
<th>275 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta$29.7 eV</td>
<td>$\delta$30.8 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chem-O</td>
<td>$1.9$</td>
<td>$1.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surf-O</td>
<td>$6.2$</td>
<td>$3.9$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Rates given in $\times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$.

Figure 6. O and CO coverage of a Pt(110) surface exposed to 0.5 Torr of O$_2$ and then exposed to $10^{-6}$ Torr of CO at 270 K.

catalyst, it does allow direct measurement of the stability and activity of the oxide phase.

The Pt(110) surface containing both chemisorbed and surface oxide species was prepared by exposure to 0.5 Torr of O$_2$. Although beam damage was negligible during spectroscopy measurements, it was pronounced when we increased the photon flux (see the Supporting Information). This beam-induced oxidation was used to increase the signal-to-noise ratio by preparing a higher initial surface oxide coverage using 230 eV X-rays with an intensity 10 times higher than that used for our aforementioned and subsequent spectroscopy measurements. The surface oxidation induced by the X-ray source and the consequent secondary electrons is likely a result of the defect and kinetic restrictions to surface oxide formation described by Li et al.$^7$ After the surface oxide had been prepared, the sample was cooled to the titration temperature and O$_2$ was evacuated from the chamber to a base pressure of $<10^{-9}$ Torr. The reaction between oxygen species and gas-phase CO was performed by introducing 10$^{-6}$ Torr CO at either 270 or 275 K and monitoring the O 1s or Pt 4f signal versus time. We chose these temperatures and CO pressure to ensure the titration experiments were not limited by CO flux, but were sufficiently slow to capture good statistics.

The O 1s spectra taken during the titration are shown in Figure 5a. It takes 12 s to collect each spectrum. The spectra (Figure Sb,c) were fit with three peaks at $\delta$29.7 ± 0.2 eV (CLS = $\delta$2.9 eV), $\delta$30.8 ± 0.2 eV (CLS = $\delta$1.8 eV), and $\delta$32.6 ± 0.2 eV (CLS = 0 eV), belonging to chemisorbed O, surface oxide, and on-top CO, respectively. These observed CLSs are in good agreement with the DFT calculated values of $\delta$2.4 to $\delta$2.60 eV (O$_{\text{Chem}}^{\delta}$) for chemisorbed oxygen and $\delta$1.8 eV (O$_{\text{SC}}^{\delta}$) for $\alpha$-PtO$_2$(0001)-(2 × 2) (Table 1). However, the surface oxide peak has a significantly larger fwhm than the other two peaks.

indicates the surface oxide is less well-defined. This effect may also contribute to the difference that we found between measured and calculated Pt 4f Surf-O CLSs.

We also conducted the CO titration experiment while monitoring the Pt 4f region. The results from these experiments are qualitatively similar. The Pt 4f peaks at $\delta$0.66 and $\delta$2.65 eV corresponding to Chem-O and Surf-O Pt atoms decrease in intensity with time while a peak measured and calculated at $\delta$0.95 eV (Pt coordinated with CO on clean Pt(110) surface) grows in. The two O-coordinated Pt 4f peaks disappear simultaneously, but quantitative fitting is challenging because of the small peak separation between chemisorbed O and chemisorbed CO induced peaks (see the Supporting Information).

On the basis of this peak assignment, we calculated the reaction rate using the disappearance of the O 1s peak at $\delta$29.7 ± 0.2 eV (associated primarily with chemisorbed O) and at $\delta$30.8 ± 0.2 eV (predominantly surface oxide). After the initial period, a linear slope is obtained. A second method is to fit the decay of the O 1s signal to the equation

$$\theta_0 = \frac{\theta_0}{1 - \alpha} - (1 - \alpha)k'(t - t_0)^{1/(1 - \alpha)}$$

where $\theta_0$ is the oxygen coverage at time $t$, $\alpha$ is a constant, and $k' = cv \exp(-E_a/k_b T)$

where $v$ is the pre-exponential factor, $E_a$ is the activation energy, $c$ is a geometrical factor describing the size and shape of O islands, $k_b$ is Boltzmann’s constant, and $T$ is temperature. We used this equation with $\alpha = 0.63$ to obtain a second value of the reaction rate at each temperature.$^{39}$ On the basis of the O 1s peak fitting shown in Figure Sb,c, the rates measured at both temperatures are summarized in Table 2. The rate is higher for the chemisorbed oxygen than for the surface oxide in this temperature range, but by less than a factor of 2. The coverage of each O species and adsorbed CO are plotted in Figure 6 for the reaction at 270 K. These results are consistent with previous theoretical calculations,$^{40,41}$ in which there are small energy barriers for CO oxidation at the reconstructed Pt(110)-(12 × 2)-22O surface and at the (10T6) facet of $\alpha$-PtO$_2$; however, the barrier is large on the perfect $\alpha$-PtO$_2$(0001) surface. The rate calculated for chemisorbed oxygen is higher than that calculated for chemisorbed oxygen on Pt(111) by Denecke et al.$^{39}$ It is unclear whether O atoms in the $\alpha$-PtO$_2$ react directly with CO or if $\alpha$-PtO$_2$ destabilized at interfaces with CO covered Pt and O from this phase decomposes into chemisorbed O on neighboring Pt sites. Another possibility is that the $\alpha$-PtO$_2$ reacts only at the boundary of $\alpha$-PtO$_2$ and metallic Pt.$^{41}$

### CONCLUSION

Oxidation of open surfaces of late transition metals leads to a variety of structures, which have been investigated with respect to their reactivity. In this work we have combined AP-XPS, HP-STM, and DFT calculations to demonstrate that the Pt(110) surface reconstructs into a nanostructured surface when it is exposed to an elevated pressure of O$_2$. This surface contains regions of metallic Pt with chemisorbed oxygen and $\alpha$-PtO$_2$ domains that are $\geq$2 layers thick. Both of these oxygen species react with CO at 273 K and $10^{-6}$ Torr of CO. The rate of disappearance of chemisorbed O is faster than that of $\alpha$-PtO$_2$, but by less than a factor of 2. Finally, the surface oxide formed under high-pressure oxygen exposure is similar to the NP oxide. This...
observation provides us an extra incentive to revisit some single-crystal model catalyst surfaces under elevated pressure using in situ tools.
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