Robust Phase Control through Hetero-Seeded Epitaxial Growth for Face-Centered Cubic Pt@Ru Nanotetrahedrons with Superior Hydrogen Electro-Oxidation Activity
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ABSTRACT: Controllable synthesis of metallic nanocrystals (NCs) with tunable phase, uniform shape, and size is of multidisciplinary interests but has still remained challenging. Herein, a robust phase control strategy is developed, in which seeds with a given phase are added to guide the epitaxial growth of the target metal to inherit the seeds’ phase. Through this strategy, M@Ru (M = Pt, Pd) NCs in the face-centered cubic (fcc) phase, a metastable phase for Ru under ambient conditions, were synthesized with the hydrothermal method. The Pt@Ru NCs showed not only the pure fcc phase but also high morphology selectivity to tetrahedrons surrounded by \{111\} facets. As revealed by density functional theory (DFT) calculations, the preferentially epitaxial growth of Ru atom layers on the nonclosest-packed facets of hetero fcc metal seeds led to the formation of fcc Ru shells. Furthermore, the fcc Pt@Ru tetrahedrons/C showed electrocatalytic activity enhancement with more than an order of magnitude toward hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) in acidic electrolyte compared with hydrothermally synthesized Ru/C. Electrochemical measurement combined with DFT calculations revealed that the optimum HOR activity should be achieved on well-crystallized fcc Ru catalysts exposing maximum \{111\} facets.

The surface atomic arrangement of metallic nanocatalysts, which strongly affect the interaction between the substrate molecules and the catalysts’ surface, and consequently their catalytic performance, is determined by both their phase and exposing facets. For instance, face-centered cubic (fcc) Ru nanocrystals (NCs) show higher catalytic activity to CO oxidation than hexagonal closest packed (hcp) ones, because fcc NCs exposed more the closest packed surface of Ru which exhibit higher activity to this reaction.1,2 hcp Co NCs exhibited higher catalytic activity toward Fischer−Tropsch synthesis than fcc ones3,4 since the \{10−11\} facets of hcp Co comprise active sites for CO activation.5 hcp Co NCs exposing more \{10−11\} facets would predictably represent even higher activity. The exposing-facet-control, namely the shape-control synthesis of metallic NCs, has been widely investigated during the past decade, and the development of phase control strategies is attracting increasing attention.1,2,6−10 The existing strategies to tune the phase of metallic NCs contain decreasing particle size,6,7 varying composition,7,8 introducing supports,9 and using an intermediate compound to link up a phase transition.5,6 However, through these strategies, it remains difficult to prepare metallic NCs in the metastable phase together with good crystallinity and uniform shape. Therefore, more robust phase control strategies of metallic NCs are still in demand. Introducing metal seeds with a given phase is a possible route to prepare core−shell NCs with shells inheriting the seeds’ phase. Recently, a great deal of research attention was drawn to the heteronanostructures combined metals with different phases.11−16 For instance, Ru shows an hcp structure under ambient conditions, different from Pt, Pd, Rh, etc. which show an fcc structure.17 As reported by Eichhorn and co-workers, when Pt shells were grown on highly distorted hcp Ru cores, the Pt shells retained the fcc phase with the disordered Pt−Ru interface.12 In the work of Wang and co-workers, when Pt atoms were epitaxially deposited on well crystallized hcp Ru NCs, the hcp-to-fcc transition across the Ru (0001)−Pt (111) interface was observed.13 In these cases, the shell metal maintained its bulk phase rather than followed the seeds’ phase.

In this work, we developed a new phase control strategy of metallic NCs, whereby heterometal seeds were introduced to...
guide a target metal adopting the seeds’ phase, a phase difficult to achieve under ambient conditions for this target metal itself, via epitaxial growth during the hydrothermal synthesis. When fcc Pt or Pd NCs were introduced as seeds for the growth of Ru shells, well crystallized fcc M@Ru (M = Pt, Pd) NCs were obtained. According to XRD data fitting, the phase selectivity of fcc Ru exceeded 90% as long as 10 at. % Pt was introduced. The interface models of Ru ad-layers on various facets of fcc metals (Pt, Pd, Rh) show that the epitaxial deposition of Ru atoms on the nonclosest-packed facets of the fcc metal necessarily lead to the formation of fcc Ru layers. Moreover, the density function theory (DFT) calculations suggested the interface between the nonclosest-packed facets of fcc metals and Ru is thermodynamically more favored, which further facilitates the generation of fcc Ru shells. More importantly, shape control was realized together with phase control in our method. The obtained fcc Pt@Ru NCs showed uniform tetrahedral morphology enclosed by \{111\} facets of fcc Ru, due to the relatively low surface energy and the selective adsorption of water and oxalate on these facets.

The carbon black supported fcc Pt@Ru nanotetrahedrons served as highly active electrocatalysts toward hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) in acidic electrolyte, the anodic half reaction of proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC).\(^\text{18–22}\) The HOR specific activity of the most active sample was 18 times that of hydrothermally synthesized poorly crystallized Ru nanospheres (NSs)/C and 2.5 times that of the hcp Ru NSs/C annealed at 500 °C, indicating that the phase and crystallinity of the Ru catalysts have great influence on their catalytic performance. Moreover, DFT calculation was used to help us to understand how the crystallinity, phase, and exposing facets of the Ru catalyst influence the catalytic activity toward HOR. HOR catalysts with high activity should have moderate adsorption energy of hydrogen.\(^\text{23}\) The calculation results showed the surfaces of amorphous Ru NCs and the open facets of the Ru crystal tend to be partially passivated by oxygen at the potential for HOR and the adsorption of hydrogen is too weak on these surfaces, while \{111\} facets of fcc Ru are more inert to the adsorption of oxygen and show moderate adsorption energy of hydrogen.\(^\text{24}\) The calculation results showed the surfaces of amorphous Ru NCs and the open facets of the Ru crystal tend to be partially passivated by oxygen at the potential for HOR and the adsorption of hydrogen is too weak on these surfaces, while \{111\} facets of fcc Ru are more inert to the adsorption of oxygen and show moderate adsorption energy of hydrogen.\(^\text{24}\) The calculation results showed that tetrahedrons rather than triangular plates were obtained. A rounded high-contrast region is shown in the center, corresponding to the Pt core of the particle. Furthermore, the X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) mapping (Figure 1f) and line profile (Figure 1b) of this particle clearly show the Pt@Ru core–shell structure with rounded Pt core and compact Ru shell. Only one set of lattice fringes can be seen in each HRTEM and HAADF-STEM image (Figure 1b–e), and no Moire fringes were observed, indicating that the Ru shells were epitaxially grown on all of the exposing surface of fcc Pt cores, and the Pt cores and the Ru shells shared the same lattice parameter.

Pt@Ru NCs with other compositions were synthesized by changing the feeding ratio of K\(_2\)PtCl\(_4\) and RuCl\(_3\), EDS and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) analyses showed that the Pt:Ru ratio of all samples agreed well with the loading ratio between two metals (Table S1), indicating both precursors were reduced completely. Figure S1 shows the TEM and HRTEM images of Pt\(_{10}\)Ru\(_{90}\), Pt\(_{20}\)Ru\(_{80}\), and Pt\(_{50}\)Ru\(_{50}\). Similar to Pt\(_{10}\)Ru\(_{90}\), Pt\(_{20}\)Ru\(_{80}\), and Pt\(_{50}\)Ru\(_{50}\) were mainly composed of tetrahedral single crystals enclosed by \{111\} facets, and Pt\(_{50}\)Ru\(_{50}\) comprised single-crystalline octahedrons and truncated ones. The average size of Pt@Ru NCs (defined as the distance from a vertex to the opposite base plane for tetrahedrons and the length between two parallel surfaces for NCs in Pt\(_{10}\)Ru\(_{90}\)) decreased from 10.5 to 5.9 nm as the Pt content increased from 5 at. % to 50 at. % (Figure S2). As indicated by HAADF-STEM and EDS mapping images (Figure S3), all samples showed the Pt@Ru core–shell structure with rounded Pt cores and compact Ru shells. For Pt\(_{10}\)Ru\(_{90}\) in Figure 1f, the thickness of Ru shells varied from ~1 nm at the centers of triangular surfaces to ~4 nm at corners, and the shell thickness decreased as the Ru content decreased (Figure S3).

Figure 2 shows the XRD refinement of Pt@Ru NCs with different compositions, and the fitting results were listed in Table 1. Three phases, fcc Ru, fcc Pt, and hcp Ru, were included in the refinement, and the lattice parameters of fcc phases were...
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Figure 2. XRD data (circles) and Rietveld refinement (red lines) of Pt@Ru NCs with different Pt:Ru ratios. The contribution of the fcc phase and the hcp phase to the spectrum of Pt5@Ru95 were shown as blue and green lines, respectively. The gray vertical lines indicate the standard diffraction peaks of fcc Ru (No. 88-2333).

Table 1. Rietveld Analysis of Pt@Ru NCs with Different Pt:Ru Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Lattice Constant (nm)</th>
<th>wt %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt5@Ru95</td>
<td>fcc Pt</td>
<td>3.838(8)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fcc Ru</td>
<td>3.831(4)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcp Ru</td>
<td>3.854(6)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt50@Ru50</td>
<td>fcc Pt</td>
<td>3.850(6)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fcc Ru</td>
<td>3.857(8)</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcp Ru</td>
<td>3.850(6)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt20@Ru80</td>
<td>fcc Pt</td>
<td>3.860(9)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fcc Ru</td>
<td>3.857(8)</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcp Ru</td>
<td>3.850(6)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt10@Ru90</td>
<td>fcc Pt</td>
<td>3.900(4)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fcc Ru</td>
<td>3.898(6)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcp Ru</td>
<td>3.898(6)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

allowed to relax. Figure S4 summarized the contribution of each phase and the detailed fitting parameters. The contribution of hcp Ru mainly appeared as a very broad peak around 44° (green line for hcp Ru composition of Pt5@Ru95 in Figure 2), suggesting that poorly crystallized hcp Ru NCs might coexist in the sample. The contribution of hcp Ru decreased as the amount of fcc Pt cores increased, and the selectivity of the fcc phase was higher than 90% as long as the content of Pt was higher than 10 at. %. The fitted lattice parameters of fcc Ru and fcc Pt were quite close for each sample, in accordance with the HRTEM observation that the Pt cores and Ru shells share the same lattice parameter. Since the lattice constant of fcc Pt (a = 3.93 Å) is larger than that of fcc Ru (a = 3.83 Å, calculated23), Pt cores were compressed and Ru shells extended, and as the Pt content increased, the lattice parameters of core–shell NCs increased.

The evolution of Pt@Ru NCs during the one-step hydrothermal synthesis was monitored by TEM and ICP-AES characterizations, as shown in Figure 3a-c. The Pt precursor was reduced rapidly, and rounded Pt nanosingle-crystals formed in the first 0.5 h. The reduction efficiency of Pt reached 100% within 1 h, while the Ru precursor was almost unreduced during the first 2 h, and the particle size maintained around 3 nm from 0.5 to 2 h. The reduction efficiency of Ru rose to ~100% from 2 to 4 h together with the increase in particle size, corresponding to the growth of Ru shells on the as-formed Pt seeds. The size and composition of the Pt@Ru NCs became stable in the period from 4 to 8 h. The above phenomena indicated that the formation of Pt@Ru NCs followed the seeded growth process, as summarized in Figure 2d. C2O42− ions and Ru0+ ions (n = 2, 3) could form stable complexes,25 and the reduction rate was essentially slowed down.26 Therefore, the generation of Pt0 and Ru0 monomers was totally separated in time. Pt NCs formed first followed by the formation of Ru shells, which inherited the fcc structure of the Pt cores. The slow forming rate of Ru0 monomers also avoided the self-nucleation of Ru atoms and facilitated the epitaxial growth. When presynthesized Pt cores were introduced instead of those formed in situ, as denoted as a two-step method, similar fcc Pt@Ru NCs were obtained, as shown by Figure S5.

It is interesting that the rounded Pt seeds evolved into tetrahedral Pt@Ru NCs after the growth of Ru shells, suggesting that {111} facets of fcc Ru were the most favored surfaces under the hydrothermal conditions. The DFT calculation showed that the surface formation energy of the (111) surface of fcc Ru (0.147 eV Å−2) was much lower than that of the (100) surface (0.188 eV Å−2), and water molecules can form compact honeycomb-type adsorption layer on the closest packed surface of Ru27 which may further stabilize the (111) facets. Additionally, C2O42− ions which specifically stabilize {111} facets of the fcc PtPd alloy in the synthesis of PtPd alloy tetrahedrons28 might also stabilize {111} facets of fcc Ru. Ru shells in Pt50@Ru50 were not thick enough to construct a tetrahedron. Hence, most particles in this sample were octahedrons or truncated ones (Figures S1e and S3c).

If Na2PdCl4 was used instead of K2PtCl4 in the one-step synthesis, fcc Pd NCs with multi-twinned structure and hcp Ru nanoplates were separately generated (Figure S6a, c). Since the reduction potential of PdCl42− (φθ = 0.591 V) was lower than that of PtCl42− (φθ = 0.73 V),29 the generation of Pd0 and Ru0 monomers might be simultaneous, which was a probable reason why core–shell NCs were not synthesized. hcp Rh–Ru alloy nanoplates were obtained when RhCl3 and RuCl3 were coreduced through the one-step route, as revealed by EDS mapping and XRD refinement (Figure S6b, c). Lower DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b04587 J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 17697−17706

Figure 3. Monitoring of the NCs evolution during the synthesis of Pt5@Ru95. (a) HRTEM images, (b) mean size of the NCs, and (c) the reduction efficiency of Pt and Ru obtained from ICP-AES at different reaction times. All the images in panel (a) share the same scale bar. The (111) lattice fringes of fcc Pt cores were labeled in the first three images. (d) Scheme of the formation mechanism of Pt5@Ru NCs in one-step synthesis.
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reduction potential of RhCl₃ (φ₀ = 0.431 V)²⁹ compared with the PdCl₂ precursor would lead to the coreduction of Rh and Ru precursors. More importantly, based on DFT calculation, the energies to imbed an M atom (M = Pt, Pd, and Rh) into the hcp Ru lattice to form the M–Ru alloy were 0.297, 0.442, eV and ~0.012 eV, respectively, indicating that the formation of the Rh–Ru alloy was thermodynamically more favored than that of Pt–Ru and Pd–Ru alloys, in agreement with the observations above.

If presynthesized fcc Pd and fcc Rh NCs (Figure S7) were used as seeds in the two-step method (the molar ratio of M:Ru (M = Pd, Rh) was 20:80 in the feedstock), Pd@Ru and Rh–Ru alloy NCs with the fcc structure were obtained, as validated by the EDS mapping images (Figures 4a,b and S7c–e) and XRD spectra (Figure 4c). Since both single-crystalline and twinned NCs existed in the Pd and Rh seeds introduced for the deposition of Ru, the obtained bimetallic NCs adopted both kinds of structures (Figures 4 and S7). The molar ratios of M:Ru in the products were close to the loading ratios of two kinds of structures (Figures 4 and S7). The molar ratios of the deposition of Ru, the obtained bimetallic NCs adopted both fcc and hcp structures (Figures 4 and S7). The fitting results were shown in Table S3.

Figure 4. Characterizations of fcc Pd@Ru and fcc Rh–Ru alloy NCs synthesized with the two-step method. HRTEM and EDS mapping images of (a) a single crystalline Pd@Ru tetrahedron and (b) a single crystalline Rh–Ru alloy tetrahedron. Red regions represent Pd or Rh and green regions represent Ru. (c) XRD spectra (circles) and Rietveld refinement (red lines) of Pd@Ru and Rh–Ru alloy NCs. The fitting results were shown in Table S3.

The dihedral angle formed by the Ag underpotential deposition (upd) test discussed later. These phenomena implied the interaction between Pd and Ru was weaker than that between Pt and Ru, which was verified by DFT calculation in the discussion later. More intriguingly, Rh and Ru formed fcc alloy NCs even when presynthesized Rh seeds were used, suggesting that the energy barrier for the interdiffusion between Rh and Ru in NCs was low enough for the formation of the alloy at 160 °C. The NCs kept the fcc structure during the gradual alloying process between fcc Rh seeds and newly deposited Ru atoms.

Some previous works have investigated the structure of Ru layers deposited on extended fcc metal substrates.³⁰⁻³² When polycrystalline Ru was deposited on the Pt surface, the Pt–Ru interface was unmatched and Ru still adopted the hcp phase.³⁰ When Ru films were epitaxially grown on the (111) facet, the closest packed facet, of Au or Pt, the Ru thin films retained the hcp phase with the closest packed surface, the (0001) facet, attached to the substrate.³¹,³² To understand why these Ru films retained the hcp phase but Ru shells copied the fcc phase from the cores in our case, we compared the structures of the closest packed interface and nonclosest packed ones between Ru and fcc metals.

The difference between fcc and hcp structures is the stacking sequence of the closest packed atomic layers. The fcc phase adopts lABCIAABC type stacking, while the hcp phase adopts l ACIA type one. The transition among the closest packed layers ‘A’, ‘B’, and ‘C’ can be realized by the lateral shift of the layers. Figure 5a shows the epitaxial Ru layers on the (111) facet of the fcc metal. Since the closest packed layers are parallel to the interface, as indicated by the black arrows, the interface has no effect on the stacking type of the closest packed layers of Ru, namely both the fcc phase with lABCIAABC type stacking or the hcp phase with lACIA type stacking can be adopted by the Ru layers. Under this circumstance, thermodynamically more favored the hcp phase of Ru³³ are more likely to form. However, when Ru atoms are epitaxially deposited on the (100) facet of the fcc metal, as shown in Figure 5b, the closest packed layers of Ru intersect with the interface. Supposing hcp Ru layers with lACIA type stacking form through the shift of the closest packed layers in the structure in Figure 5b, the interface will crack. Therefore, the fcc phase of Ru layers is “locked” on the (100) facet of the fcc metal. This conclusion can also be understood from the view of symmetry. Both the (111) facet of the fcc phase and the (0001) facet of the hcp phase show C3 symmetry, therefore hcp Ru layers match the (111) facet of the fcc metal. Whereas, the (100) facet of the fcc phase represents C4 symmetry but no facet of the hcp phase shows such symmetry, therefore hcp Ru cannot form the matched interface with the (100) facet of the fcc metal. Generally, except for the (111) facet, other facets of the fcc
metal could only form the perfectly matched interface with fcc Ru, such as the case on the (110) facet shown in Figure S9.

Based on the analyses above, when Ru shells were epitaxially grown on various facets of the fcc cores, especially the nonclosest-packed facets, they would follow the fcc structure of the cores. In this work, near-spherical fcc Pt NCs were used as seeds for the growth of Ru shells. Most of the Pt seeds adopted cuboctahedral morphology exposing both {111} and {100} facets, as illustrated by the HRTEM images and 3-D models in Figure 4d. During the formation of the Pt@Ru NCs, compact Ru shells formed all over the exposing facets of the Pt seeds, especially the considerable amount of nonclosest-packed facets. Hence, the Ru shells were guided into fcc structure. Moreover, according to DFT calculations, the (100) interfaces of Pt–Ru and Pd–Ru have lower formation energy than the (111) interfaces (Figure 5c), which may further facilitate the epitaxial growth of Ru layers on {100} facets of the seeds and the formation of fcc Ru shells. Additionally, the Pt–Ru interface was much more stable than the Pd–Ru interface, which was a probable reason why complete Ru shells were formed in Pt@Ru NCs while part of the Pd surface was uncovered in some Pd@Ru NCs (Figure S8d). A precise control of the reduction rate of RuCl₃ was essential to ensure the deposition of Ru⁰ monomers on the surface of fcc seeds and, consequently, is indispensable for the formation of fcc Ru shells, especially on Pd cores. In our synthetic strategy, Na₂C₂O₄ ions were used to form complexes with Ru⁰⁺ ions and HCl was added to lower the reducing capacity of HCHO. Both reagents were aimed to slow down the reduction rate of Ru precursor.

The as-obtained bimetallic NCs can be well dispersed on carbon black (Vulcan XC-72R) by ultrasound treatment as electrocatalysts, as shown in Figure S10. All of the potentials given in this work were against reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). Figure 6a compares the cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of commercial Pt/C, hydrothermally synthesized Ru/C, and Pt₁₀@Ru₉₀/C in 0.1 M HClO₄ solution, in which the current densities were normalized to the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) of the samples determined by Cu underpotential deposition (upd) stripping curves (Figure S11). The broad peak in the cathodic scan of the CV curve of Pt/C at ~0.75 V corresponded to the reduction of adsorbed oxygen species on the Pt surface, while this process occurred on the Ru surface mainly at potential lower than 0.6 V, as marked in Figure 6a. Then, Ag underpotential deposition (upd) stripping voltammetry could be used to determine the surface composition of the catalysts: The catalysts were first preoxidized at 1.1 V followed by the deposition of Ag atoms at 0.66 V. At this deposition potential, most Pt sites on the NCSs were reduced, while Ru sites were still passivated by oxygen species. Therefore, Ag atoms were selectively deposited on Pt sites during this process. The deposited Ag atoms were stripped in an anodic sweep from the deposition potential, and the stripping current was an indication of Pt sites on the surface of NCSs. As shown in Figure 6b–d and Figure S12, the stripping current was detected on commercial Pt/C and commercial PtRu alloy/C and was negligible on Ru/C and Pt@Ru NCs/C, validating that the surface of Pt@Ru NCs was dominated by Ru sites. Therefore, the electrocatalytic activity of Pt@Ru NCs discussed later purely came from the Ru sites on the surface of fcc Ru shells. Additionally, considerable stripping current was observed on Pd@Ru NCs/C (Figure S12), indicating part of the Pd core surface was not covered by Ru shells. Rh–Ru alloy NCs/C did not show obvious stripping current because the Rh sites were also passivated by oxygen species at the deposition potential.

Figure 7a shows the HOR polarization curves obtained on fcc Pt@Ru NCs/C (solid curves), hydrothermally synthesized Ru/C (as-prepared, black dashed curves), and commercial Pt/C (gray dashed curves) loaded on glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (RDE) with the rotation speed of 2500 rpm in H₂ saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solution. Figure S13 shows the Koutecky–Levich plot of HOR on Pt₁₀@Ru₉₀/C, and the exchange electron number (n) of HOR was determined to be 1.9, which was quite close to two. The kinetic currents (jₒ) of HOR for all samples were also deduced from Koutecky–Levich analysis. Figures 7b and 7c show the curves of the kinetic current densities normalized to the total mass of Pt and Ru (jₚ) and to the ECSA (Figure 7d) deduced from Cu upd stripping curves (jₚ), respectively, in the linear-current potential region near 0 V, and the exchange current densities (jₒ) were calculated from these curves by using the approximate Butler–Volmer equation:

\[ j_k = j_0 (\eta F/RT) \]

where the exchange electron number (n) equals two, \( \eta \) is the overpotential, and \( R \) and \( F \) have the conventional meaning. The fitting results reflected the mass activity and specific activity respectively, as shown in Figures 7e and 7f. Significantly higher HOR activity was achieved on fcc Pt@Ru NCs/C than on Ru/C, and Pt₁₀@Ru₉₀/C showed the highest activity among the core–shell samples, i.e. 0.19 A·mg⁻¹ and 0.30 mA·cm⁻². These values are more than an order of magnitude higher than those on as-prepared Ru/C (0.016 A·mg⁻¹ and 0.017 mA·cm⁻²) and comparable with those of commercial Pt/C (0.23 A·mg⁻¹ and 0.27 mA·cm⁻²). Figure 14 shows the TEM image of Pt₁₀@Ru₉₀/C.
Ru0/C after the electrochemical test, in which the NCs still maintained the tetrahedral morphology, indicating the catalyst was stable during the HOR test. The catalytic performances of Pd@Ru NCs/C and Rh−Ru alloy NCs/C toward HOR were also tested, as shown in Figure S15. However, since both Ru sites and Pd (or Rh) sites could be the origin of HOR activity\(^{40,41}\) of these samples, it is difficult to extract the contribution of Ru sites to the overall activity.

The Ru NSs after hydrothermal synthesis were poorly crystallized particles with the average size of 3.8 nm, as shown in Figure S16a-c. To investigate whether crystallinity or phase is the key factor determining the HOR activity of Ru nanocatalysts, we prepared well crystallized Ru/C by annealing the as-prepared Ru/C in N\(_2\) at 500 °C for 5 h. The obtained catalyst aggregated significantly and clearly shows the hcp structure (Figure S16d-g). Enhanced specific activity (0.12 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) as shown in Figure 7f) was achieved on the annealed Ru/C, but this value was still much lower than that of Pt@Ru/C, indicating that well crystallized Ru catalysts showed higher HOR activity than poorly crystallized ones and fcc Ru catalysts enclosed by \{111\} facets were more active than the hcp Ru catalysts.

Figure 7. (a) HOR polarization curves with iR-compensation obtained on Pt@Ru NCs/C (solid lines), as-prepared Ru/C (black dashed line), 500 °C annealed Ru/C (brown dashed line), and commercial Pt/C (gray dashed line) in 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) aqueous solution saturated with H\(_2\). Sweep rate: 5 mV s\(^{-1}\); rotation speed: 2500 rpm; temperature: 25 °C; loading of total metal mass: 3 μg. Curves of the kinetic current densities of all samples normalized to (b) total metal mass and (c) ECSA depending on overpotential in the linear-current potential region near 0 V. (d) Specific surface area (SSA) deduced from Cu upd stripping curves and ICP-AES and (e) mass-normalized and (f) ECSA-normalized exchange current densities of all samples.

Figure 8. (a) The free energy to form adsorbed oxygen at 0 V vs RHE (ΔG\(_O\)) and (b) the dissociated adsorption energy of hydrogen (ΔG\(_H\)) on the bare surfaces (blue) and oxygen-passivated surfaces (brown) of fcc and hcp Ru slabs and amorphous Ru clusters. The insets of panel (a) show the top-views of the supercells of Ru slabs and a Ru\(_{50}\) amorphous cluster used in the DFT calculations. The coverage of oxygen on slabs involved in this figure was 50%. (c) Wulff polyhedrons of the hcp phase (left) and the fcc phase (middle) and an fcc-phase tetrahedron. Blue regions are the closest packed surface and brown regions are the open surface. (d) Plots of ΔG\(_O\) (upper) and ΔG\(_H\) (lower) on the bare (111) slab of fcc Ru depending on the lateral stretching ratio. The gray vertical line indicates the situation that the lattice parameter of the slab equals that of fcc Pt.
Some previous works have shown that the adsorption of oxygen species strongly suppresses the HOR activity of fcc Ru catalysts, and the crystallinity and surface oxidation level of Ru nanocatalysts have a great effect on their HOR activity in the alkaline electrolyte. XPS analyses of Ru-3p electrons (Figure S17) indicated that the as-prepared Ru NSs showed a higher surface oxidation level than the Pt@Ru NCs also synthesized with the hydrothermal method, and among the CV curves collected in N₂ saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solution (Figure 6a and Figure S18), the as-prepared Ru/C showed much higher oxidation current density in the anodic scan from 0.4 to 1.0 V compared with other well crystallized samples. These results suggested that the surface of the poorly crystallized Ru/C catalyst was more likely to be deeply oxidized in air or at high potential under electrochemical conditions, and the well crystallized catalysts were comparatively more inert to oxidation. However, what is the relationship between the surface oxidation level and the catalytic activity and how the crystallinity and the phase of the catalysts affect these properties are still open questions.

DFT calculations were then used to help us to understand the structure–activity correlations. We calculated the free energy for oxygen adsorption at 0 V vs RHE (ΔGₒ, corresponding to * + H₂O → O* + 2H⁺ + 2e⁻) and the dissociated adsorption free energy of a hydrogen atom on the surface (ΔGₜₜ, corresponding to * + 1/2H₂ → H*) with and without the adsorption of oxygen on different slabs of hcp and fcc Ru and amorphous Ru₅₀ clusters. Ru₅₀ amorphous clusters were constructed by ab initio molecular dynamics (MD) at 3000 K followed by ionic relaxations with the conjugate gradient (CG) method, and the average data on five different clusters were finally used. We were only concerned with the situation on Ru slabs adsorbed half-cover oxygen adatoms, since the free energies to form full-cover oxygen layers are calculated to be positive at 0 V on all Ru slabs (Table S4). The (0001) facet of hcp Ru and the (111) facet of fcc Ru showed positive ΔGₒ, as shown in Figure 8a and Table S5, indicating that these surfaces are comparably inert at 0 V. On the contrary, due to the existence of the undercoordinated sites, the open surfaces of Ru slabs and amorphous Ru clusters showed negative ΔGₜₜ, indicating these surfaces tended to be partially passivated by oxygen at 0 V. Similarly, the adsorption of hydrogen was stronger on these surfaces, especially on amorphous Ru clusters, than on the closest packed surface, as shown in the lower part of Figure 8b and Table S6. The (111) facet of fcc Ru adsorbed hydrogen a little weaker than the (0001) facet of hcp Ru, probably because the lateral interatomic distance in the (111) facet of fcc Ru (2.70 Å) is slightly smaller than that in the (0001) facet of hcp Ru (2.72 Å). Oxygen and hydrogen atoms preferentially occupied the same kind of sites on a given surface, such as the triple sites on the (10–11) surface and the bridge sites on the (10–10) surface (Figures S20 and S21). When oxygen atoms were adsorbed on the surfaces, ΔGₜₜ increased from negative to positive on all the surfaces (upper part of Figure 8b and Table S7), since the surfaces became crowded for hydrogen adsorption. More importantly, opposite to the situation on bare Ru surfaces, ΔGₜₜ on open surfaces became higher than that on the closest packed surfaces, because the available sites for hydrogen adsorption on open surfaces were no longer the preferential adsorption sites on the bare surfaces.

A highly active HOR catalyst should balance the chemisorption of hydrogen and oxidation-desorption of adsorbed hydrogen atom and, hence, show ΔGₜₜ close to zero. When amorphous Ru clusters were used as HOR catalysts, the surface was passivated by oxygen, and the value of ΔGₜₜ of this surface was much higher than 0. The values of ΔGₜₜ of all hcp and fcc slabs were closer to 0 than that of amorphous clusters. We also calculated the values of ΔGₒ on fcc Ru₆₉ tetrahedrons enclosed by (111) facets and hcp Ru₆₉ trigonal bipyramid enclosed by (10–11) facets which adopted the same adsorption configuration of the (111) slab of fcc Ru and the (10–11) slab of hcp Ru, respectively (Figure S22). The adsorption of hydrogen on these clusters was only slightly stronger than that on the corresponding slab models (Table S8), suggesting that the difference between the values of ΔGₒ on the amorphous Ru₅₀ clusters and on the Ru slabs mainly resulted from different crystallinity. Therefore, well crystallized Ru catalysts should exhibit higher HOR activity than amorphous or poorly crystallized Ru catalysts. On the other hand, the values of ΔGₒ on the closest packed facets were closer to 0 than those on the open surfaces, no matter if the surfaces were partially covered by oxygen or not. Since the fcc structure shows higher symmetry than the hcp phase, more of the closest packed surface are possible to be exposed on fcc particles, as illustrated by the Wulff polyhedrons in Figure 8c. Moreover, fcc Pt@Ru tetrahedrons maximize the exposure of {111} facets. Therefore, it is comprehensible that fcc Pt@Ru tetrahedrons/C exhibited higher HOR activity than hcp Ru/C catalysts.

According to the XRD refinement, 18 wt % of poorly crystallized Ru particles existed in Pt₁₆@Ru₅₀, which might depress the overall HOR activity of this sample. Moreover, the existence of Pt cores led to the lattice extension of the fcc Ru shells and as the Pt content increased the extension increased, which also affected the adsorption behaviors and the HOR activity. The d-band of surface Ru atoms became narrow and rose as the lattice expanded, leading to stronger adsorption of hydrogen and oxygen, as shown by Figure 8d and Table S9. ΔGₒ of (111) slab of fcc Ru was still positive as the lattice parameter increased to that of fcc Pt, suggesting that the surface of fcc Pt@Ru tetrahedrons was not passivated by oxygen. The lattice expansion led to more negative ΔGₒ value which was detrimental to HOR. Therefore, HOR activity fcc Pt@Ru tetrahedrons/C decreased as the Pt content increased and Pt₁₆@Ru₅₀/C exhibited the highest HOR activity.

**Conclusion**

A new phase control strategy was developed to prepare fcc Ru nanocatalysts, in which Ru shells were epitaxially grown on the surfaces of fcc Pt and Pd seeds and the seeds guided the Ru shells inheriting the fcc structure. Specifically, monodisperse fcc Pt@Ru tetrahedrons enclosed by {111} facets were prepared with a one-step hydrothermal method. The theoretical analysis of bimetallic interface structures showed that the epitaxial growth of Ru layers on diverse facets of the fcc metal seeds, especially on the nonclosest-packed facets, led to the formation of fcc Ru shells. Moreover, fcc Pt@Ru tetrahedrons/C exhibited greatly enhanced electrocatalytic activity toward HOR compared with Ru/C catalysts. Electrochemical measurements combined with DFT calculations revealed that the high crystallinity and the maximum exposure of {111} facets of fcc Ru imparted fcc Pt@Ru tetrahedrons/C HOR activity enhancement. This work provided a facile method to prepare well crystallized fcc Ru nanocatalysts with uniform morphology and achieved the optimized catalytic activity through the phase and...
morphology control. This method is promising to prepare more nanomaterials with metastable phases and investigate the phase, shape, and size effects on their catalytic performances.

### METHODS

#### Chemicals.
K₂PtCl₆, RuCl₃·xH₂O, RhCl₃, PdCl₂ (all the noble metal precursors were in A.R. grade and purchased from Shenyang Institute of Nonferrous Metal), sodium oxalate (Na₂C₂O₄, A.R.), poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP; Mw ∼ 29,000, Sigma-Aldrich), formaldehyde aqueous solution (HCHO, 40%, A.R.), hydrochloric acid (HCl, A.R.), acetone (A.R.), carbon black (Vulcan XC-72R, Cabot), the Pt/C catalyst (20 wt % Pt, Shanghai Hesen Electric Co. Ltd.), perchloric acid (HClO₄, A.R.), ethanol (A.R.), and Na₂PdCl₄ solution was prepared by drying a drop of ethanol dispersion of the NCs supported by a copper grid. TEM and XPS characterizations, and the sample dispersed in 5 mL of ethanol was used for TEM characterization and washed by water − acetone (v/v = 1:3) mixed solution for 3 times. Vacuum dried black powders were used for XRD measurements at 0.1 V with the potential amplitude of 10 mV were applied with frequencies from 100 kHz to 1 Hz on a PGSTAT302N (Autolab Corp., Switzerland) electrochemical analyzer with an impedance module was used for the electrochemical measurements, combined with a glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (RDE, 5 mm in diameter) setup (Pine, U.S.) as a work electrode, a saturated Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and a Pt foil as counter electrode. The potentials given in this work were against RHE. The as-prepared ink containing 3 μg of metal was dropped on the RDE and dried, followed by UV-ozone treatment by illuminating the RDE with a UV lamp (10 W, with 185 and 254 nm emissions) at the distance of ∼5 mm for 5 h and rinsing the RDE with ultrapure water. All the electrochemical measurements were carried out at 25 °C. 40 cycles of CV sweep between 0.0 and 1.0 V in N₂ saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solution was first carried out to obtain stable CV curves. Then the HOR test, the Cu upd stripping test, and the Ag upd stripping test were carried out in sequence.

#### Hydrothermal Synthesis of Nanocrystals.
The hydrothermal synthesis was carried out in 25 mL Teflon-lined containers sealed in stainless steel autoclaves. In the one-step synthesis of Pt@Ru NCs, K₂PtCl₆ and RuCl₃·xH₂O (the total amount of the Pt and Ru precursors was 0.24 mmol), 100 mg of PVP, 80 mg of Na₂C₂O₄, 0.1 mL of HCHO solution, and 0.062 mL of 1 M HCl solution were dissolved in ultrapure water, diluted to 15 mL, loaded into the container, and sealed in the autoclave. The autoclave was then transferred into an oven kept at 160 °C for 4 h, and the Pt seeds were collected by centrifugation and dispersed in water at room temperature under stirring overnight. AgClO₄ and Cu(ClO₄)₂·3H₂O (the total amount of Ag and Cu precursors was 0.24 mmol, 100 mg) were used as received. Na₂PdCl₄ solution was prepared by dialysis for 2 days in ultrapure water. The NCs dispersion (5 mL) of the metal NCs, followed by the addition of 5 times of cyclohexane, and the mixture was treated with ultrasound for 5 h to deposit the NCs on carbon black. The supported NCs were then collected by centrifugation and dispersed in ultrapure solution containing 0.2% Naion to form ink with 1 mg mL⁻¹ of NCs/C, and the ink was treated with ultrasound for 30 min to form a homogeneous suspension. The content of metal in this ink was then analyzed by ICP-AES. A PGSTAT302N (Autolab Corp., Switzerland) electrochemical analyzer with an impedance module was used for the electrochemical measurements, combined with a glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (RDE, 5 mm in diameter) setup (Pine, U.S.) as a work electrode, a saturated Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and a Pt foil as counter electrode. The potentials given in this work were against RHE. The as-prepared ink containing 3 μg of metal was dropped on the RDE and dried, followed by UV-ozone treatment by illuminating the RDE with a UV lamp (10 W, with 185 and 254 nm emissions) at the distance of ∼5 mm for 5 h and rinsing the RDE with ultrapure water.

#### Electrochemical Tests.
Carbon black (Vulcan XC-72R) with 4 times the mass of metal was added to the ethanol dispersion (5 mL) of the metal NCs, followed by the addition of 5 drops of cyclohexane, and the mixture was treated with ultrasound for 5 h to deposit the NCs on carbon black. The supported NCs were then collected by centrifugation and dispersed in ultrapure solution containing 0.2% Naion to form ink with 1 mg mL⁻¹ of NCs/C, and the ink was treated with ultrasound for 30 min to form a homogeneous suspension. The content of metal in this ink was then analyzed by ICP-AES. A PGSTAT302N (Autolab Corp., Switzerland) electrochemical analyzer with an impedance module was used for the electrochemical measurements, combined with a glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (RDE, 5 mm in diameter) setup (Pine, U.S.) as a work electrode, a saturated Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and a Pt foil as counter electrode. The potentials given in this work were against RHE. The as-prepared ink containing 3 μg of metal was dropped on the RDE and dried, followed by UV-ozone treatment by illuminating the RDE with a UV lamp (10 W, with 185 and 254 nm emissions) at the distance of ∼5 mm for 5 h and rinsing the RDE with ultrapure water. All the electrochemical measurements were carried out at 25 °C. 40 cycles of CV sweep between 0.0 and 1.0 V in N₂ saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solution was first carried out to obtain stable CV curves. Then the HOR test, the Cu upd stripping test, and the Ag upd stripping test were carried out in sequence. To correct the iR-drop in the HOR tests, impedance measurements at 0.1 V with the potential amplitude of 10 mV were applied with frequencies from 100 kHz to 1 Hz on a logarithmic scale. All the electrochemical properties reported in this paper were obtained from three independent measurements, which showed good repeatability.

#### DFT Calculation Methods.
All DFT calculations were performed by using projected augmented wave (PAW) potentials and the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP).

#### Characterization.
The sample for TEM characterization was prepared by drying a drop of ethanol dispersion of the NCs on an ultrathin carbon film supported by a copper grid. TEM and HRTEM images were taken on an FEI Tecnai F30 operated at 300 kV. HAADF-STEM and EDS mapping images were obtained from an FEI TITAN Cs-corrected ChemiSTEM operated at 200 kV, which incorporated a probe corrector and a super-X EDS system. XRD spectra were collected on an X pers pro diffractometer (Philips, Netherland) using Cu Kα radiation with a scan speed of 2° min⁻¹. The contribution of 2θ line was subtracted. ICP-AES analysis was used to quantify the mass of metal in NCs and was conducted on a Profile Spec ICP-AES spectrometer (Leeman, U.S.). The XPS spectra were obtained from an Axis Ultra (Kratos, Japan) imaging photoelectron spectrometer.
with the size of 30 Å in the calculations. Amorphous Ru clusters were constructed by ab initio MD at 300 K followed by ionic relaxations with the CG method. More calculation details are in the Computation Section of the Supporting Information, including calculation results (Tables S4–S9) and the structure of supercells (Figures S19–S22).
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