



# Superharmonicity of curvature function for the convex level sets of harmonic functions

Xi-Nan Ma<sup>1</sup> · Wei Zhang<sup>2</sup>

Received: 5 February 2021 / Accepted: 18 May 2021

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

## Abstract

We prove that the combination of the norm of gradient and the Gaussian curvature for the convex level sets of harmonic function is superharmonic.

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 35B45 · 35B50

## 1 Introduction

The study of the convexity of level sets of solutions to elliptic partial differential equations has a long history. For instance, about one hundred years ago people knew that the level curves of the Green's function of a convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are convex (see the book by Ahlfors [1]). In 1957, Gabriel [8] obtained the analogues in three dimensions. Later, these results were extended to high dimensions and more general elliptic PDEs such as  $p$ -harmonic functions and some semilinear elliptic equations by Lewis [15], Caffarelli and Spruck [5]. For more related topics on convexity of level sets in qualitative nature, please see such as these papers [2,3,9,13,27].

Note that convexity of solutions imply convexity of level sets. To obtain the convexity of level sets, one may attempt to prove the convexity of the solution  $u$  itself, or at least the convexity of  $g(u)$ . Here  $g$  is a suitable monotone function of one variable. In this direction, we refer the readers to [4,7,10–12,14,18,19,22], and the references therein.

---

Communicated by S. A. Chang.

---

The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 11871255 and 11721101, National Key Research and Development Project SQ2020YFA070080. The second author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 11871255 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant lzujbky-2019-78.

---

✉ Wei Zhang  
zhangw@lzu.edu.cn

Xi-Nan Ma  
xinan@ustc.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, Anhui Province, China

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, Gansu Province, China

In the following, we will turn to the quantitative convexity estimates of the curvature of level sets. In 1983, Talenti [25] got the following result for harmonic functions of two variables. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a domain and  $u$  be a harmonic function with no critical points in  $\Omega$ . Then the function  $\kappa/|\nabla u|$  is harmonic in  $\Omega$ . Here

$$\kappa = \frac{2u_1u_2u_{12} - u_1^2u_{22} - u_2^2u_{11}}{|\nabla u|^3}$$

is the curvature of the level sets of  $u$ . Throughout the paper we use subscripts to represent the derivatives with respect to any orthonormal frames. Similar results can also be seen in [16, 23]. By maximum principle, one can easily obtain the convexity estimates of level sets of 2-dimensional harmonic functions. Recently, Ma, Ou and Zhang [17] generalized the above results to  $n$ -dimensional harmonic functions ( $n \geq 2$ ) and obtain the convexity estimates of their level sets. More precisely, they proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (Ma, Ou and Zhang [17]). *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $u$  be a harmonic function defined on  $\Omega$ . Assume that there are no critical points of  $u$  in  $\Omega$  and all the level sets of  $u$  are strictly convex. Let  $K$  be the Gaussian curvature of the level sets of  $u$ . Set*

$$\psi_0 = |\nabla u|^{n-3} K.$$

*Then the function  $\psi_0$  satisfies the differential inequality*

$$\Delta \psi_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n b_{\alpha} \psi_{0,\alpha} \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (1.1)$$

*Here  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  are smooth functions.*

For more extensions on convexity estimate of level sets of harmonic functions and solutions to other elliptic PDEs, please see [6, 20, 21, 24, 26, 28–30].

The proof of inequality (1.1) in [17] is much more involved. In this paper, by considering a certain power of the function  $\psi_0$ , we prove this new curvature function is superharmonic. Now we state our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $u$  be a harmonic function defined on  $\Omega$ . Assume that there are no critical points of  $u$  in  $\Omega$  and all the level sets of  $u$  are strictly convex. Let  $K$  be the Gaussian curvature of the level sets of  $u$ . Set*

$$\psi = (|\nabla u|^{n-3} K)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

*Then the function  $\psi$  is superharmonic in  $\Omega$ . Namely, the function  $\psi$  perfectly satisfies the differential inequality*

$$\Delta \psi \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Note that the function  $\psi$  in Theorem 1.2 was first introduced in the paper Ma and Zhang [20], where by the method of support function they studied the concavity of the Gaussian curvature of level sets of harmonic functions with respect to the height of the functions.

**Remark 1.3** For  $n = 2$ , the assumption of strictly convex level sets can be dropped and we recover Talenti's result. For  $n = 3$ , under the conditions of Theorem 1.2, in fact we proved that the function  $\sqrt{K}$  is superharmonic in  $\Omega$ .

As a consequence, we can give a brief proof for the convexity estimates of level sets of harmonic functions.

**Corollary 1.4** (Ma, Ou and Zhang [17]). *Let  $u$  satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0, \\ u = 1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_1$  are bounded smooth strictly convex domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_0$ . Let  $K$  be the Gaussian curvature of the level sets. Then we have the following estimate

$$\min_{\bar{\Omega}} K \geq \min_{\partial\Omega} K \left( \frac{\min_{\partial\Omega_0} |\nabla u|}{\max_{\partial\Omega_1} |\nabla u|} \right)^{n-3}.$$

We will focus on the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Sect. 2. The main technique in the proof consists of regrouping terms involving third order derivatives and maximizing them in each group.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain and  $u$  be a harmonic function with no critical points in  $\Omega$ . Assume that all the level sets of  $u$  are strictly convex with respect to the upward normal direction  $\mathbf{n} = \frac{|u_n|}{|\nabla u|} (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n)$ , see for example [2, 17], it is well known that the Gaussian curvature  $K$  of the level sets of  $u$  can be expressed as

$$(-1)^{n-1} K = |\nabla u|^{-(n+1)} \sum_{\alpha\beta=1}^n \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta}} u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}. \quad (2.1)$$

In this paper, we make the convention that the Latin indices  $i, j, k$  run from 1 to  $n-1$ , and the Greek indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \xi, \eta$  run from 1 to  $n$ .

Set

$$\psi = (|\nabla u|^a K)^b,$$

where  $K$  is the Gaussian curvature of the level sets and  $a, b$  are real numbers which will be determined later. For a suitable choice of  $a$  and  $b$ , we shall prove that  $\psi$  is superharmonic in  $\Omega$ , i.e. the function  $\psi$  satisfies

$$\Delta \psi \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (2.2)$$

Later, we will see that the perfect candidates for  $a$  and  $b$  are

$$a = n - 3, \quad b = \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

In order to prove inequality (2.2) at an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , we shall choose the principal coordinates such that

$$u_i(x_0) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad u_n(x_0) = |\nabla u|(x_0) > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$u_{ij}(x_0) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, i \neq j), \quad u_{ii}(x_0) < 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1). \quad (2.4)$$

For the sake of simplicity, we introduce the following notations

$$\sigma_1(\lambda) = \sum_i \lambda_i, \quad \sigma_2(\lambda) = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j, \quad \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) = \prod_i \lambda_i, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\lambda_i = u_{ii}(x_0)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ). Before proving Theorem 1.2, we summarize the first and second derivatives of the Hessian determinant of  $u$  in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain and  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  be a function. For each  $x_0 \in \Omega$  fixed, we choose the principal coordinates as above. Then at the point  $x_0$ , there hold*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{ii}} = -\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \left( \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ni}^2 - u_{nn} \right), & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1; \\ \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{ij}} = \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{ni} u_{nj}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, i \neq j; \\ \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{in}} = \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{ni}} = -\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ni}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1; \\ \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{nn}} = \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda), & \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{kn} \partial u_{ii}} = -\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda_k \lambda_i} u_{nk}, & \text{for } 1 \leq k, i \leq n-1, k \neq i; \\ \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{kn} \partial u_{ik}} = \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda_k \lambda_i} u_{ni}, & \text{for } 1 \leq k, i \leq n-1, k \neq i; \\ \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{kn} \partial u_{nk}} = -\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda_k}, & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1; \\ \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{nn} \partial u_{ii}} = \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda_i}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1; \\ \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha n} \partial u_{\mu v}} = 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

From now on, all the calculations will be done at the fixed point  $x_0$  unless otherwise specified. By taking first derivatives of  $\psi$ , we have

$$\psi_\gamma = ab |\nabla u|^{ab-2} K^b \sum_\delta u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} + b |\nabla u|^{ab} K^{b-1} K_\gamma. \quad (2.6)$$

Differentiating (2.6) once more, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\gamma\gamma} &= ab(ab-2) |\nabla u|^{ab-4} K^b \sum_{\mu} u_\mu u_{\mu\gamma} \sum_{\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} + ab |\nabla u|^{ab-2} K^b \sum_{\delta} u_{\delta\gamma}^2 \\ &\quad + ab |\nabla u|^{ab-2} K^b \sum_{\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma\gamma} \\ &\quad + 2ab^2 |\nabla u|^{ab-2} K^{b-1} \sum_{\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} K_\gamma \\ &\quad + b(b-1) |\nabla u|^{ab} K^{b-2} K_\gamma^2 + b |\nabla u|^{ab} K^{b-1} K_{\gamma\gamma}; \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \psi &= ab(ab-2) |\nabla u|^{ab-4} K^b \sum_{\gamma\mu} u_\mu u_{\mu\gamma} \sum_{\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} + ab |\nabla u|^{ab-2} K^b \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_{\delta\gamma}^2 \\ &\quad + ab |\nabla u|^{ab-2} K^b \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma\gamma} \\ &\quad + 2ab^2 |\nabla u|^{ab-2} K^{b-1} \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} K_\gamma \\ &\quad + b(b-1) |\nabla u|^{ab} K^{b-2} |\nabla K|^2 + b |\nabla u|^{ab} K^{b-1} \Delta K. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{b\psi} \Delta \psi &= a(ab-2)|\nabla u|^{-2} \sum_{\gamma\mu} u_\mu u_{\mu\gamma} \sum_{\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} + a \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_{\delta\gamma}^2 + a \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma\gamma} \\ &\quad + 2abK^{-1} \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} K_\gamma + (b-1)|\nabla u|^2 K^{-2} |\nabla K|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 K^{-1} \Delta K. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $u$  is harmonic. By (2.3)–(2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{b\psi} \Delta \psi &= a(ab-2) \sum_{\gamma} u_{n\gamma}^2 + a \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_{\delta\gamma}^2 + 2abu_n K^{-1} \sum_{\gamma} u_{n\gamma} K_\gamma \\ &\quad + (b-1)u_n^2 K^{-2} |\nabla K|^2 + u_n^2 K^{-1} \Delta K \\ &= a^2 b \sum_k u_{nk}^2 + a^2 b \sigma_1(\lambda)^2 - 2a\sigma_2(\lambda) + 2abu_n K^{-1} \sum_{\gamma} u_{n\gamma} K_\gamma \quad (2.7) \\ &\quad + (b-1)u_n^2 K^{-2} |\nabla K|^2 + u_n^2 K^{-1} \Delta K \\ &\triangleq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= a^2 b \sum_k u_{nk}^2 + a^2 b \sigma_1(\lambda)^2 - 2a\sigma_2(\lambda), & I_2 &= 2abu_n K^{-1} \sum_{\gamma} u_{n\gamma} K_\gamma, \\ I_3 &= (b-1)u_n^2 K^{-2} |\nabla K|^2, & I_4 &= u_n^2 K^{-1} \Delta K. \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the terms  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$  and  $I_4$ , respectively. Taking derivatives on both sides of formula (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1} K_\gamma &= -(n+1)|\nabla u|^{-(n+3)} \sum_{\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta}} u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} \\ &\quad + |\nabla u|^{-(n+1)} \sum_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta} \partial u_{\mu\nu}} u_{\mu\nu\gamma} u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} \quad (2.8) \\ &\quad + 2|\nabla u|^{-(n+1)} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta}} u_{\alpha\gamma} u_{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the relations (2.3)–(2.4) and applying Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1} K &= u_n^{-(n-1)} \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda), \\ (-1)^{n-1} K_k &= -(n+1)u_n^{-n} \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) u_{nk} + u_n^{-(n-1)} \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iik}, \\ &\quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ (-1)^{n-1} K_n &= (n-1)u_n^{-n} \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \sigma_1(\lambda) + u_n^{-(n-1)} \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin} \\ &\quad - 2u_n^{-n} \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ni}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$u_n K^{-1} K_k = -(n+1)u_{nk} + u_n \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ik}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

$$u_n K^{-1} K_n = (n-1)\sigma_1(\lambda) + u_n \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{in} - 2 \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ni}^2.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= 2abu_n \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ik} u_{nk} - 2abu_n \sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{in} \\ &\quad - 2(n+1)ab \sum_k u_{nk}^2 - 2(n-1)ab\sigma_1(\lambda)^2 + 4ab\sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= (b-1)u_n^2 \sum_k \left( \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ik} \right)^2 - 2(n+1)(b-1)u_n \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ik} u_{nk} \\ &\quad + (n+1)^2(b-1) \sum_k u_{nk}^2 \\ &\quad + (b-1)u_n^2 \left( \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{in} \right)^2 + 2(n-1)(b-1)u_n \sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{in} \\ &\quad + (n-1)^2(b-1)\sigma_1(\lambda)^2 \\ &\quad - 4(n-1)(b-1)\sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ni}^2 - 4(b-1)u_n \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{in} u_{nj}^2 \\ &\quad + 4(b-1) \left( \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ni}^2 \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

To compute the term  $I_4$ , we differentiate (2.8) once more. Summing with respect to  $\gamma$  and using the harmonicity of  $u$ , we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1} \Delta K &= (n+1)(n+3) |\nabla u|^{-(n+5)} \sum_{\gamma\mu} u_\mu u_{\mu\gamma} \sum_\delta u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta}} u_\alpha u_\beta \\ &\quad - (n+1) |\nabla u|^{-(n+3)} \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_{\delta\gamma}^2 \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta}} u_\alpha u_\beta \\ &\quad - 2(n+1) |\nabla u|^{-(n+3)} \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} \sum_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta} \partial u_{\mu\nu}} u_{\mu\nu\gamma} u_\alpha u_\beta \\ &\quad - 4(n+1) |\nabla u|^{-(n+3)} \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_\delta u_{\delta\gamma} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta}} u_{\alpha\gamma} u_\beta \\ &\quad + |\nabla u|^{-(n+1)} \sum_{\gamma\alpha\beta\mu\nu\xi\eta} \frac{\partial^3 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta} \partial u_{\mu\nu} \partial u_{\xi\eta}} u_{\mu\nu\gamma} u_{\xi\eta\gamma} u_\alpha u_\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 4|\nabla u|^{-(n+1)} \sum_{\gamma\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta} \partial u_{\mu\nu}} u_{\mu\nu\gamma} u_{\alpha\gamma} u_{\beta\gamma} \\
& + 2|\nabla u|^{-(n+1)} \sum_{\gamma\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta}} u_{\alpha\gamma} u_{\beta\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Keeping (2.3) in mind, combining (2.1) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= (n+1)(n+3) \sum_{\gamma} u_{n\gamma}^2 - (n+1) \sum_{\gamma\delta} u_{\delta\gamma}^2 - \frac{2(n+1)}{\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda)} u_n \sum_{\gamma} u_{n\gamma} \sum_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{nn} \partial u_{\mu\nu}} u_{\mu\nu\gamma} \\
& - \frac{4(n+1)}{\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda)} \sum_{\gamma} u_{n\gamma} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha n}} u_{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda)} u_n^2 \sum_{\gamma\mu\nu\xi\eta} \frac{\partial^3 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{nn} \partial u_{\mu\nu} \partial u_{\xi\eta}} u_{\mu\nu\gamma} u_{\xi\eta\gamma} \\
& + \frac{4}{\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda)} u_n \sum_{\gamma\alpha\mu\nu} \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha n} \partial u_{\mu\nu}} u_{\mu\nu\gamma} u_{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{2}{\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda)} \sum_{\gamma\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{\alpha\beta}} u_{\alpha\gamma} u_{\beta\gamma} \\
& \triangleq I_{41} + I_{42} + I_{43} + I_{44} + I_{45} + I_{46} + I_{47}.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

In the following, we will deal with the above seven terms consecutively. First of all, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{41} + I_{42} &= (n+1)(n+3) \left( \sum_k u_{nk}^2 + \sigma_1(\lambda)^2 \right) - 2(n+1) \left( \sum_k u_{nk}^2 + \sigma_1(\lambda)^2 - \sigma_2(\lambda) \right) \\
&= (n+1)^2 \sum_k u_{nk}^2 + (n+1)^2 \sigma_1(\lambda)^2 + 2(n+1) \sigma_2(\lambda).
\end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Then by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$I_{43} = -2(n+1)u_n \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iik} u_{nk} + 2(n+1)u_n \sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$I_{44} = -4(n+1)\sigma_1(\lambda)^2 - 4(n+1)\sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2. \quad (2.15)$$

For the term  $I_{45}$ , we simply have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{45} &= u_n^2 \sum_k \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{iik} u_{jjk} + u_n^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{iin} u_{jjn} - 2u_n^2 \sum_{i \neq k} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_k} u_{ik}^2 \\
& - u_n^2 \sum_{i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{ijk}^2 - u_n^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{ijn}^2.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Again by Lemma 2.1, direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{46} &= -4u_n \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_k \lambda_i} u_{ii\gamma} u_{nk} u_{k\gamma} + 4u_n \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_k \lambda_i} u_{ik\gamma} u_{ni} u_{k\gamma} \\
& - 4u_n \sum_{\gamma} \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk\gamma} u_{k\gamma} + 4u_n \sum_{\gamma} \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ii\gamma} u_{n\gamma} \\
& = 8u_n \sum_{ki} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{iik} u_{nk} - 4u_n \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_k \lambda_i} u_{iin} u_{nk}^2 + 4u_n \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_k \lambda_i} u_{ikn} u_{ni} u_{nk}
\end{aligned}$$

$$-4u_n \sum_i u_{iin} - 4u_n \sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin}. \quad (2.17)$$

Here we have used the relation

$$u_{nnk} = - \sum_i u_{iik}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

For the last term  $I_{47}$ , with Lemma 2.1 in hand, after some trivial simplification we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{47} = \frac{2}{\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda)} & \left( \sum_i \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{ii}} \lambda_i^2 + 2 \sum_i \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{in}} \lambda_i u_{ni} + \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{ij}} u_{ni} u_{nj} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{nn}} \sum_i u_{ni}^2 + 2u_{nn} \sum_i \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{in}} u_{in} + \frac{\partial \det D^2 u}{\partial u_{nn}} u_{nn}^2 \right) \quad (2.18) \\ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (2.13)–(2.18) into (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 = & u_n^2 \sum_k \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{iik} u_{jjk} + u_n^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{iin} u_{jjn} - 2u_n^2 \sum_{i \neq k} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_k} u_{iik}^2 - u_n^2 \sum_{i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{ijk}^2 \\ & - u_n^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{ijn}^2 - 2(n+1)u_n \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iik} u_{nk} + 2(n+1)u_n \sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin} \\ & + 8u_n \sum_{ki} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{iik} u_{nk} - 4u_n \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_k \lambda_i} u_{iin} u_{nk}^2 + 4u_n \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_k \lambda_i} u_{ikn} u_{ni} u_{nk} - 4u_n \sum_i u_{iin} \\ & - 4u_n \sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin} + (n+1)^2 \sum_k u_{nk}^2 + (n+1)(n-3)\sigma_1(\lambda)^2 + 2(n+1)\sigma_2(\lambda) \\ & - 4(n+1)\sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2. \quad (2.19) \end{aligned}$$

Let us put (2.9), (2.10) and (2.19) into (2.7). Then we regroup the terms in (2.7) as follows:  $\Pi_1$ , the terms involving  $u_{iik}$  ( $1 \leq i, k \leq n-1$ );  $\Pi_2$ , the terms involving  $u_{iin}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ );  $\Pi_3$ , the terms involving  $u_{ijn}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, i \neq j$ ) and  $u_{ijk}$  ( $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1, i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i$ );  $\Pi_4$ , all the rest of the terms. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1 = & \sum_k \left\{ (b-1) \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{kkk} \right)^2 + \sum_{i \neq k} \left( b-1 - \frac{2\lambda_i}{\lambda_k} \right) \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iik} \right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + 2b \sum_{i < j} \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iik} \right) \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} u_{jjk} \right) + 2 \sum_i \left[ (a-n-1)b + \frac{4\lambda_i}{\lambda_k} \right] u_{nk} \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iik} \right) \right\}, \\ \Pi_2 = & (b-1) \sum_i \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin} \right)^2 + 2b \sum_{i < j} \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin} \right) \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} u_{jjn} \right) \\ & + 2b \left[ (-a+n-1)\sigma_1(\lambda) - 2 \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2 \right] \sum_i \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin} \right) - 4 \sum_i \left( \lambda_i - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ni}^2 \right) \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin} \right), \\ \Pi_3 = & - \sum_{i \neq j} \left( u_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j}} u_{ijn} \right)^2 + 4 \sum_{i \neq j} \left( u_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j}} u_{ijn} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j}} u_{ni} u_{nj} \right) - u_n^2 \sum_{i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{ijk}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the remaining terms are

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_4 &= (a-n-1)^2 b \sum_k u_{nk}^2 + [(a-n+1)^2 b - 4] \sigma_1(\lambda)^2 - 2(a-n-1) \sigma_2(\lambda) \\ &\quad + 4[(a-n+1)b - 2] \sigma_1(\lambda) \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2 + 4(b-1) \left( \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2 \right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{b\psi} \Delta \psi = \Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_3 + \Pi_4. \quad (2.20)$$

To maximize the terms  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ , we need the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer, and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$  be negative numbers. Let  $a = n-3$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{n-1}$ , and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}$  be constants. Define two quadratic polynomials*

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= (b-1)x_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left( b-1 - \frac{2\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right) x_i^2 + 2b \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} x_i x_j \\ &\quad + 2c_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ (a-n-1)b + \frac{4\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right] x_i,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= (b-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 + 2b \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} x_i x_j \\ &\quad + 2b \left[ (-a+n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} c_k^2 \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i - 4 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \lambda_i - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} c_i^2 \right) x_i.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\leq 8 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k \right) c_1^2, \\ g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\leq \frac{4}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left[ \left( \lambda_i - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} c_i^2 \right) - \left( \lambda_j - \frac{1}{\lambda_j} c_j^2 \right) \right]^2.\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** It is easy to see that the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} b-1 & b & \cdots & b \\ b & b-1 - \frac{2\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} & \cdots & b \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ b & b & \cdots & b-1 - \frac{2\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is negative definite. So the polynomial  $f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$  has a unique maximum point. At this maximum point, there hold

$$2(b-1)x_1 + 2b \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} x_i + 2c_1 [(a-n-1)b + 4] = 0,$$

$$2\left(b - 1 - \frac{2\lambda_k}{\lambda_1}\right)x_k + 2b \sum_{1 \leq i \neq k \leq n-1} x_i + 2c_1 \left[(a - n - 1)b + \frac{4\lambda_k}{\lambda_1}\right] = 0,$$

for each  $k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ . Equivalently, we have

$$x_1 = 6c_1, \quad x_2 = 2c_1, \dots, x_{n-1} = 2c_1;$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\leq f_1(6c_1, 2c_1, \dots, 2c_1) \\ &= 8\left(1 - \frac{2}{n-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k\right) c_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the polynomial  $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$ , we directly have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= -\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} (x_i - x_j)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left[ \left( \lambda_i - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} c_i^2 \right) - \left( \lambda_j - \frac{1}{\lambda_j} c_j^2 \right) \right] \cdot (x_i - x_j) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left[ \left( \lambda_i - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} c_i^2 \right) - \left( \lambda_j - \frac{1}{\lambda_j} c_j^2 \right) \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

We finished the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Let us return to the proof of Theorem 1.2. From now on, we fix  $a = n-3$  and  $b = \frac{1}{n-1}$ . Firstly, we will analyze the term  $\Pi_1$ . Without loss of generality, we consider the case  $k = 1$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , set  $x_i = u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ii1}$ ,  $c_1 = u_{n1}$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(b-1) \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_1} u_{111} \right)^2 + \sum_{i \neq 1} \left( b - 1 - \frac{2\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right) \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ii1} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 2b \sum_{i < j} \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ii1} \right) \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} u_{jj1} \right) + 2 \sum_i \left[ (a - n - 1)b + \frac{4\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right] u_{n1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ii1} \right) \\ &\leq 8 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \sigma_1(\lambda) \right) u_{n1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we can obtain

$$\Pi_1 \leq 8 \sum_k \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sigma_1(\lambda) \right) u_{nk}^2. \quad (2.21)$$

Secondly, for the term  $\Pi_2$ , we set  $x_i = u_n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{iin}$  and  $c_i = u_{ni}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Then Lemma 2.2 implies

$$\Pi_2 \leq \frac{4}{n-1} \sum_{i < j} \left[ \left( \lambda_i - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ni}^2 \right) - \left( \lambda_j - \frac{1}{\lambda_j} u_{nj}^2 \right) \right]^2. \quad (2.22)$$

Thirdly, it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_3 &\leq -\sum_{i \neq j} \left( u_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j}} u_{ijn} \right)^2 + 4 \sum_{i \neq j} \left( u_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j}} u_{ijn} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j}} u_{ni} u_{nj} \right) \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{ni}^2 u_{nj}^2,\end{aligned}\quad (2.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_4 &= \frac{16}{n-1} \sum_k u_{nk}^2 + 4 \left( \frac{1}{n-1} - 1 \right) \sigma_1(\lambda)^2 + 8\sigma_2(\lambda) \\ &\quad - 8 \left( \frac{1}{n-1} + 1 \right) \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2 + 4 \left( \frac{1}{n-1} - 1 \right) \left( \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2 \right)^2.\end{aligned}\quad (2.24)$$

Summarizing (2.20)–(2.24), we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{b\psi} \Delta \psi &\leq 8 \sum_k \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sigma_1(\lambda) \right) u_{nk}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{n-1} \sum_{i < j} \left[ \left( \lambda_i - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_{ni}^2 \right) - \left( \lambda_j - \frac{1}{\lambda_j} u_{nj}^2 \right) \right]^2 \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} u_{ni}^2 u_{nj}^2 + \frac{16}{n-1} \sum_k u_{nk}^2 + 4 \left( \frac{1}{n-1} - 1 \right) \sigma_1(\lambda)^2 + 8\sigma_2(\lambda) \\ &\quad - 8 \left( \frac{1}{n-1} + 1 \right) \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2 + 4 \left( \frac{1}{n-1} - 1 \right) \left( \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} u_{nk}^2 \right)^2 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## References

1. Ahlfors, L.V.: Conformal Invariants. Topics in Geometric Function Theory. Reprint of the 1973 original. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence (2010)
2. Bian, B., Guan, P., Ma, X.-N., Xu, L.: A constant rank theorem for quasiconcave solutions of fully nonlinear partial differential equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **60**(1), 101–119 (2011)
3. Bianchini, C., Longinetti, M., Salani, P.: Quasiconcave solutions to elliptic problems in convex rings. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **58**(4), 1565–1589 (2009)
4. Brascamp, H.J., Lieb, E.H.: On extensions of the Brunn–Minkowski and Prékopa–Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation. *J. Funct. Anal.* **22**(4), 366–389 (1976)
5. Caffarelli, L.A., Spruck, J.: Convexity properties of solutions to some classical variational problems. *Comm. Partial Differ. Equ.* **7**(11), 1337–1379 (1982)
6. Chang, S.-Y.A., Ma, X.-N., Yang, P.: Principal curvature estimates for the convex level sets of semilinear elliptic equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **28**(3), 1151–1164 (2010)
7. Crasta, G., Fragalà, I.: On the Dirichlet and Serrin problems for the inhomogeneous infinity Laplacian in convex domains: regularity and geometric results. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **218**(3), 1577–1607 (2015)
8. Gabriel, R.M.: A result concerning convex level surfaces of 3-dimensional harmonic functions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **32**, 286–294 (1957)

9. Hamel, F., Nadirashvili, N., Sire, Y.: Convexity of level sets for elliptic problems in convex domains or convex rings: two counterexamples. *Am. J. Math.* **138**(2), 499–527 (2016)
10. Kennington, A.U.: Power concavity and boundary value problems. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **34**(3), 687–704 (1985)
11. Korevaar, N.: Capillary surface convexity above convex domains. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **32**(1), 73–81 (1983)
12. Korevaar, N.: Convex solutions to nonlinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **32**(4), 603–614 (1983)
13. Korevaar, N.: Convexity of level sets for solutions to elliptic ring problems. *Comm. Partial Differ. Equ.* **15**(4), 541–556 (1990)
14. Kulczycki, T.: On concavity of solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the equation  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi = 1$  in convex planar regions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **19**(5), 1361–1420 (2017)
15. Lewis, J.L.: Capacitary functions in convex rings. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **66**(3), 201–224 (1977)
16. Longinetti, M.: Convexity of the level lines of harmonic functions. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (6)* **2**(1), 71–75 (1983)
17. Ma, X.-N., Ou, Q., Zhang, W.: Gaussian curvature estimates for the convex level sets of  $p$ -harmonic functions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **63**(7), 935–971 (2010)
18. Ma, X.-N., Shi, S., Ye, Y.: The convexity estimates for the solutions of two elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differ. Equ.* **37**(12), 2116–2137 (2012)
19. Ma, X.-N., Xu, L.: The convexity of solution of a class Hessian equation in bounded convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *J. Funct. Anal.* **255**(7), 1713–1723 (2008)
20. Ma, X.-N., Zhang, W.: The concavity of the Gaussian curvature of the convex level sets of  $p$ -harmonic functions with respect to the height. *Commun. Math. Stat.* **1**(4), 465–489 (2013)
21. Ma, X.-N., Zhang, Y.: The convexity and the Gaussian curvature estimates for the level sets of harmonic functions on convex rings in space forms. *J. Geom. Anal.* **24**(1), 337–374 (2014)
22. Makar-Limanov, L.G.: The solution of the Dirichlet problem for the equation  $\Delta u = -1$  in a convex region. *Mat. Zametki* **9**, 89–92 (1971)
23. Ortel, M., Schneider, W.: Curvature of level curves of harmonic functions. *Can. Math. Bull.* **26**(4), 399–405 (1983)
24. Rosay, J.-P., Rudin, W.: A maximum principle for sums of subharmonic functions, and the convexity of level sets. *Mich. Math. J.* **36**(1), 95–111 (1989)
25. Talenti, G.: On functions, whose lines of steepest descent bend proportionally to level lines. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci* **10**, 587–605 (1983)
26. Wang, P., Zhang, W.: Gaussian curvature estimates for the convex level sets of solutions for some nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations. *J. Partial Differ. Equ.* **25**(3), 239–275 (2012)
27. Wang, X.-J.: Counterexample to the convexity of level sets of solutions to the mean curvature equation. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **16**(6), 1173–1182 (2014)
28. Weinkove, B.: Convexity of level sets and a two-point function. *Pac. J. Math.* **295**(2), 499–509 (2018)
29. Xu, J., Zhang, W.: Geometric properties of level curves of harmonic functions and minimal graphs in 2-dimensional space forms. *Pac. J. Math.* **288**(1), 217–239 (2017)
30. Zhang, T., Zhang, W.: On convexity of level sets of  $p$ -harmonic functions. *J. Differ. Equ.* **255**(7), 2065–2081 (2013)