BEST CONSTANT AND EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS FOR A CLASS HARDY-SOBOLEV-MAZ'YA INEQUALITIES

DAOWEN LIN AND XI-NAN MA

ABSTRACT. We derive an integral identity for a class p-Laplace equation, and then classify all positive finite energy cylindrically symmetric solutions of the equation (1.2) for $3 \le k \le n-1$, with the help of some a prior estimates. Combining this with the result of Secchi-Smets-Willem[22], as a consequence, we obtain the best constant and extremal functions for the related Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the positive extremals for the following Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities when $s=1, k \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.1. [4] Let $n \ge 3$, $2 \le k \le n$, and p, s be real numbers satisfying $1 , <math>0 \le s \le p$ and s < k, There exists a positive constant $S_{p,s} = S(p, s, n, k)$ such that for all $u \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u|^{\frac{p(n-s)}{n-p}}}{|y|^s} dx\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p(n-s)}} \le S_{p,s} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{1.1}$$

where for p > 1, we define the space $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the closure of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the norm

$$||u||_{D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For s = 1, the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to (1.1) is

$$-\Delta_p u = \frac{u^{p^*(1)-1}}{|y|} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$u > 0,$$

$$u \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$
(1.2)

where $p^*(1) = \frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}$, x = (y, z), $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.

If s = p, (1.1) is an extension of the classical Hardy inequalities.

The case s=0 corresponds to the classical Sobolev inequalities, whose finite energy extremal functions have been classified by [21] and [26], or see [12] for the convex cone case via integral by part method and a priori estimates.

In more general case $0 \le s < p$ and k = n, the best constant have been computed in [17], their extremal functions are, up to dilations and translations,

$$U(x) = (1 + |x|^{\frac{p-s}{p-1}})^{-\frac{n-p}{p-s}}$$

More general inequalities have been considered by Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg[6]:

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-bq} u^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C_{a,b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where p > 1, a < b < a+1, $q = \frac{dp}{d-p(1+a-b)}$, $0 \le a < \frac{d}{p} - 1$, $C_{a,b} = C_{a,b}(d,p,a,b,q)$. They turn out to be of the same form as in the case a = 0, for general, we refer to [14] and [11] for the recent progress.

When k < n, extremal functions cannot be anymore radially symmetric. Cylindrical symmetry of some extremal functions has been proved Secchi-Smets-Willem [22], by symmetrizations arguments.

For p=2, s=1, Mancini-Fabbri-Sandeep [19] classified all positive finite energy solutions using moving plane method and a differential identity from [16] with some a prior pointwise estimates.

Independently, Alvino-Ferone-Trombetti also investigated when s=1 in [1], they have a conjecture as follow in their article, but they could only address when n=3, k=2, p=2.

Conjecture 1. [1] Let u_0 be the function given by

$$u_0(x) = u_0(y, z) = C_{p,n,k}[(1+|y|)^2 + |z|^2]^{-\frac{n-p}{2(p-1)}},$$
(1.3)

where $C_{p,n,k} = (k-1)^{\frac{n-p}{p(p-1)}} (n-p)^{\frac{n-p}{p}} (p-1)^{-\frac{n-p}{p}}$. Then u is an extremal function for (1.1) if $u(y,z) = \lambda^{\frac{n-p}{p}} u_0(\lambda y, \lambda z + z_0)$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.

Invoking the cylindrical symmetry results in [22], we generalize the differential identity in [16], which is motivated from the idea by Jerison-Lee [18]. But unfortunately, we can't get the similar a prior point estimates for p-Laplace equations. Since what we need to characterize the cylindrically symmetric solutions of (1.2) are almost integral estimates, with the help of sharp C^0 estimate in [15], the gradient estimates using the ideas from Xiang [27] and Antonini-Ciraolo-Farina[2]. We can tackle some cases:

Theorem 1.2. For $s=1, n \geq 4, 3 \leq k \leq n-1$, u is a cylindrically symmetric solution of (1.2) then $u(y,z) = \lambda^{\frac{n-p}{p}} u_0(\lambda y, \lambda z + z_0)$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.

From the above theorem, we can give a positive answer for the conjecture by Alvino-Ferone-Trombetti[1] when $k \geq 3$. Since we can't get the a priori estimates, the case k = 2 is still open.

As we had remarked that the best constant of Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities (1.1) achieve at some cylindrically symmetric functions [22].

We give some more reference for the best constant and extremal function on the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities on p=2, please see the recent progress in [[5], [7], [8], [9], [20], [25]] etc., they used the hyperbolic symmetry or the moving plane methods.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we first review the cylindrically symmetric for the extremal function by Secchi-Smets-Willem [22]. Then we give the sharp C^0 estimate by Dutta [15], the gradient estimates using the idea from Xiang [27] and Antonini-Ciraolo-Farina[2]. In section 3, we give a generalization of the differential identity for a class p-Laplace operator via the ideas from Serrin-Zou [23], [16] and [19], as we noted which is motivated from the idea Jerison-Lee [18] for the Laplace operator case. Then, using this

generalized differential identity and the integral estimates in section 2, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. CYLINDRICALLY SYMMETRY PROPERTIES AND A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this sections, we first show briefly that the best constant of Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities achieve at some cylindrically symmetric functions in Secchi-Smets-Willem[22], and we state the C^0 estimates by Dutta [15]. Then we mainly obtain the integral gradient estimates in Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, where we use the ideas from Xiang [27] and Antonini-Ciraolo-Farina[2]. These integral estimates will be used in next section to get the proof of the main theorem.

As in [22], we consider the minimization problem

$$S_{p,s} = S(p, s, n, k) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p | u \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u|^{p^*(1)}}{|y|} = 1 \right\}.$$
 (2.1)

Let $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a non-negative function. Let us denote by $u^*(*,z)$ the Schwarz symmetrization of u(*,z) and $u^{**}(y,*)$ the Schwarz symmetrization of $u^*(y,*)$. It is clear that u^{**} depends only on (|y|,|z|). Let us define

$$D_{**}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ u \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) | u = u^{**} \},\$$

and

$$S_{p,s}^{**} = S^{**}(p, s, n, k) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p | u \in D_{**}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u|^{p^*(1)}}{|y|} = 1 \right\}.$$
 (2.2)

From [22], first we have the following property.

Proposition 2.1. [22] $S_{p,s} = S_{p,s}^{**}$

Then we know the best constant of Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities (1.1) achieve at some cylindrically symmetric functions.

Now we state a priori C^0 estimates for the Euler-Lagrange equation (1.2) from Dutta [15].

Proposition 2.2. [15] Let u be a solution of (1.2), then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there are universal constants c, C such that

$$\frac{c}{1+|x|^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}} \le u(x) \le \frac{C}{1+|x|^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}}.$$
(2.3)

We state a a priori gradient estimates for a class p-Laplace equation from Xiang [27].

Proposition 2.3. [27] Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n and $f \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Let $w \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to equation

$$-\Delta_p w = f$$

in Ω , that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi, \ \forall \, \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

Then, for any ball $B_{2\delta}(x_0) \subset \Omega$, there holds

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x_0)} |\nabla w| \le C \left(\delta^{-n} \int_{B_{\delta}(x_0)} |\nabla w|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C \delta^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ||f||_{\infty, B_{\delta}(x_0)}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$
 (2.4)

We shall use the Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 to get the following integral estimates (2.6) when k > 2p.

Lemma 2.4. Let u be a solution of (1.2), for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, we have

$$|\nabla u(y,z)| \le \frac{C}{|y|} \tag{2.5}$$

as $|y| \to 0$.

If k > 2p, then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $\gamma \in R$, we have the following integral estimates.

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^{2p} u^{\gamma} dz dy \le C \left(1 + R^{n - \frac{2p(n-1)}{p-1} - \frac{\gamma(n-p)}{p-1}}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. For $K \geq 1$, we define $v(y,z) = K^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}u(Ky,Kz)$, then $v \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash B_1(0))$ independent of K by Proposition 2.3 and v satisfies

$$-\Delta_p v = \frac{v^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n-p}}}{K|y|} \text{ in } \{1 < |y| < 4\} \times \{|z| < 2\}.$$
 (2.7)

By standard regularity of p-Laplace equation [13] or [24], we have

$$|\nabla v| \le C \text{ in } \{2 < |y| < 3\} \times \{|z| < 1\},$$

where C is independent of K, which is

$$|\nabla u(Ky, Kz)| \le CK^{-\frac{n-1}{p-1}} \text{ in } \{2 < |y| < 3\} \times \{|z| < 1\}.$$

And since

$$2K \le |(Ky, Kz)| \le \sqrt{10}K,$$

in other word,

$$|\nabla u(x)| \le \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}}},$$

for |y| > 2.

For $0<|y_0|\leq 2$, we consider (2.7) in $\{|y|<3\}\times\{1<|z|<4\}$. At $x_0=(y_0,z_0)$, to use Proposition 2.3 we take $\delta=\frac{|y_0|}{8}$. By scaling the standard cut-off function, we have a smooth function $\eta(x)$ satisfies $\eta=1$ in $B_\delta(x_0)$, $0\leq \eta\leq 1$, $\eta=0$ outside $B_{2\delta}(x_0)$ and $|\nabla\eta|\leq \frac{C}{\delta}$. By testing (2.7) with

$$\psi = v\eta^p.$$

We have

$$\int_{B_{2\delta}(x_0)} |\nabla v|^p \eta^p dx \le \int_{B_{2\delta}(x_0)} \frac{v^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}} \eta^p}{|y|} + p \int_{B_{2\delta}(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p-1} \eta^{p-1} |\nabla \eta| v.$$

By Young's inequality, we arrive at

$$\int_{B_{2\delta}(x_0)} |\nabla v|^p \eta^p dx \le C \left[\int_{B_{2\delta}(x_0)} \frac{v^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}} \eta^p}{|y|} + \int_{B_{2\delta}(x_0)} v^p |\nabla \eta|^p \right] \le C \delta^{n-p},$$

Then from the estimates (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x_0)} |\nabla v| \le C\delta^{-1},$$

which implies

$$|\nabla v(y_0, z_0)| \le \frac{C}{|y_0|}.$$
 (2.8)

Let K = 1, which is

$$|\nabla u(y,z)| \le \frac{C}{|y|}, \quad \text{as } |y| \to 0.$$

From (2.8), we get

$$|\nabla v|^{2p} \le \frac{1}{|y|^{2p}}, \text{ if } k > 2p.$$

We combine it with the estimates (2.3), for any $\gamma \in R$, and k > 2p, we have

$$\int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} |\nabla v|^{2p} v^{\gamma} \le C,$$

up to scaling which is

$$\int_{B_{2K}\setminus B_K} |\nabla u|^{2p} u^{\gamma} dx \le CK^{n-\frac{2p(n-1)}{p-1}-\gamma\frac{n-p}{p-1}}.$$

Let K = 1, arguing as above in $\{|y| < 3\} \times \{|z| < 4\}$. we get

$$\int_{B_1} |\nabla u|^{2p} u^{\gamma} dx \le C.$$

Combining these, it follows that

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^{2p} u^{\gamma} dx \le C \left(1 + R^{n - \frac{2p(n-1)}{p-1} - \gamma \frac{n-p}{p-1}}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

For the other case $p \ge \frac{k}{2} \ge \frac{3}{2}$, we shall use the technique from Antonini-Ciraolo-Farina[2] to get the integral gradient estimates.

Lemma 2.5. Let u be a solution of (1.2), for $p \ge \frac{k}{2} \ge \frac{3}{2}$, we have $|\nabla u|^q \in L^1_{loc}$, and for any $q > 1, \gamma \in R$, we have the following estimates.

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q u^{\gamma} dx \le C(1 + R^{n - \frac{q(n-1)}{p-1} - \gamma \frac{n-p}{p-1}}). \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. We show it by iteration. As Lemma 3.1 in [2], we consider the following equation.

$$div((\varepsilon + |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) = f_{\varepsilon} \text{ in } B_{1},$$

$$u_{\varepsilon} = u \text{ on } \partial B_{1},$$
(2.11)

where the boundary condition is to be intended as

$$u_{\varepsilon} - u \in W_0^{1,p}(B_1).$$

Here $f=\frac{u^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}}}{|y|}\in L^{k-\nu}(B_1)$ for any $k>\nu>0$. Let $f_{\varepsilon}=\min\{f,\varepsilon^{-1}\},\ f_{\varepsilon}\in L^{\infty}(B_1), |f_{\varepsilon}|\leq |f|$. Then $f_{\varepsilon}\to f$ in $L^{\sigma}(B_1)$, where

$$\sigma = 2, \text{ if } p \ge \frac{2n}{n+2},$$

$$\sigma = \frac{np}{np-n+p}, \text{ if } \frac{k}{2} \le p < \frac{2n}{n+2},$$

since $\frac{np}{np-n+p} < \frac{np}{np-n} = \frac{p}{p-1} \le 3$.

We apply [[2] Lemma 3.1] to our case, we have for $\varepsilon \in [0,1)$, there is a unique solution in $W_u^{1,p}(B_1) = u + W_0^{1,p}(B_1)$ and

$$u_{\varepsilon} \to u$$
 strongly in $W^{1,p}(B_1)$,

up to a subsequence, we have $u_{\varepsilon} \to u, \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \to \nabla u$ a.e.

Anagin by [[2] Lemma 3.2], we also have

$$u_{\varepsilon} \in H^2_{loc}(B_1) \cap C^1(B_1), \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}_{loc}(B_{1}; \mathbb{R}^{n}). \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.11), it follows that

$$-\partial_i (A^{ij}(\nabla u_{\varepsilon})\partial_{jm}u_{\varepsilon}) = \partial_m f_{\varepsilon}$$

where $A^{ij}=(\varepsilon+|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\delta_{ij}+(p-2)(\varepsilon+|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\partial_i u_{\varepsilon}\partial_j u_{\varepsilon}.$ The above equation is understood in the sense that for all $\psi\in C_0^{\infty}(B_1)$,

$$\int_{B_1} A^{ij}(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \partial_{jm} u_{\varepsilon} \partial_i \psi = \int_{B_1} \partial_m f_{\varepsilon} \psi.$$
 (2.14)

In (2.14), we shall set $\psi = w^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \partial_m u_{\varepsilon} \eta^2$, where $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(B_1)$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $w = \varepsilon + |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2$. From the regularity of u_{ε} (2.12) and (2.13), the above equality (2.14) also holds for ψ . First we have

$$\partial_i \psi = w^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \partial_{im} u_{\varepsilon} \eta^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} w^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} \partial_i w \partial_m u_{\varepsilon} \eta^2 + 2w^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \partial_m u_{\varepsilon} \eta \partial_i \eta. \tag{2.15}$$

Since $|\partial_m f_{\varepsilon}| \leq |\partial_m f|$, and

$$\partial_m f = \frac{p(n-1)}{n-p} \frac{u^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n-p}}}{|y|} \partial_m u + u^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}} \partial_m \left(\frac{1}{|y|}\right). \tag{2.16}$$

We know u is bounded from (2.3), $|\partial_m u| \leq \frac{C}{|y|}$ from (2.5) and $|\partial_m (|y|^{-1})| \leq C|y|^{-2}$. It follows that

$$|\partial_m f_{\varepsilon} \psi| \le C \frac{w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}}{|y|^2}. \tag{2.17}$$

From [[2] Proposition 4.3], for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and for any open ball $B_{2r} \subset B_1$, we have

$$\int_{B_r} ||\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \le C \left[r^{-n} \left(\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} \right)^2 + r^2 \int_{B_{2r}} f_{\varepsilon}^2 \right], \tag{2.18}$$

BEST CONSTANT AND EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS FOR A CLASS HARDY-SOBOLEV-MAZ'YA INEQUALITIES

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}} |\nabla(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon})|^2 dx \le C \left[r^{-n-2} \left(\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx \right)^2 + \int_{B_{2r}} f_{\varepsilon}^2 dx \right], \quad (2.19)$$

where C is only depending on n, p.

Since $k \geq 3$, $f \in L^2_{loc}$ and $\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \to \nabla u$ in L^p , so from (2.18), (2.19) we have

$$|||\nabla u_{\varepsilon}||^{p-2}\nabla u_{\varepsilon}||_{H^{1}_{loc}} \leq C,$$

C is independent of ε . Therefore, by Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities (1.1) with p=2 and s=0 or s=2 to $|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2}\nabla u_{\varepsilon}$, we get

$$|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \in L_{loc}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \cap L_{loc}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \frac{|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2p-2}}{|y|^2} \in L_{loc}^1,$$

then

$$w \in L_{loc}^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n-2}} \cap L_{loc}^{\frac{p}{2}}, \frac{w^{p-1}}{|y|^2} \in L_{loc}^1,$$
 (2.20)

and the norms are independent of ε .

Summing up all m = 1, ..., n, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &A^{ij}(\nabla u_{\varepsilon})\partial_{jm}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{i}\psi\\ =&w^{\frac{\alpha+p-2}{2}}|\partial_{im}u_{\varepsilon}|^{2}\eta^{2}+(p-2)w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}}(\partial_{im}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{i}u_{\varepsilon})^{2}\eta^{2}\\ &+\frac{\alpha}{2}w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}}\partial_{i}w\partial_{m}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{im}u_{\varepsilon}\eta^{2}+\frac{\alpha(p-2)}{2}w^{\frac{\alpha+p-6}{2}}\partial_{jm}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{i}w\partial_{m}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{i}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{j}u_{\varepsilon}\eta^{2}\\ &+2w^{\frac{\alpha+p-2}{2}}\partial_{im}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{m}u_{\varepsilon}\eta\partial_{i}\eta+2(p-2)w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}}\partial_{jm}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{m}u_{\varepsilon}\eta\partial_{i}\eta\partial_{i}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{j}u_{\varepsilon}\\ =&w^{\frac{\alpha+p-2}{2}}|\nabla^{2}u_{\varepsilon}|^{2}\eta^{2}+\frac{\alpha+p-2}{4}w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}}|\nabla w|^{2}\eta^{2}+\frac{\alpha(p-2)}{4}w^{\frac{\alpha+p-6}{4}}|\nabla w\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2}\eta^{2}\\ &+2w^{\frac{\alpha+p-2}{2}}\partial_{im}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{m}u_{\varepsilon}\eta\partial_{i}\eta+2(p-2)w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}}\partial_{jm}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{m}u_{\varepsilon}\eta\partial_{i}\eta\partial_{i}u_{\varepsilon}\partial_{j}u_{\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

Since $\partial_i w = 2\partial_j u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{ij} u_{\varepsilon}$, $|\nabla w|^2 = 4|\partial_j u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{ij} u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \le 4|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2|\nabla^2 u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \le 4w|\nabla^2 u_{\varepsilon}|^2$. And for $\alpha \ge 0$, $p \ge \frac{3}{2}$, using Cauchy inequality we get

$$\frac{\alpha}{8}w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}}|\nabla w|^2\eta^2 + \frac{\alpha(p-2)}{4}w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}}|\nabla w \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2\eta^2 \ge \frac{\alpha(p-\frac{3}{2})}{4}|\nabla w \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2\eta^2 \ge 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}w^{\frac{\alpha+p-2}{2}}|\nabla^2 u_{\varepsilon}|^2\eta^2 + \frac{p-2}{4}w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}}|\nabla w|^2\eta^2 \ge \frac{p-\frac{3}{2}}{4}w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}}|\nabla w|^2\eta^2 \ge 0.$$

It follows that there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depend on p such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} A^{ij}(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \partial_{jm} u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{i} \psi \ge c_{1} w^{\frac{\alpha+p-2}{2}} |\nabla^{2} u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \eta^{2} + c_{2} w^{\frac{\alpha+p-4}{2}} |\nabla w|^{2} \eta^{2} - C w^{\frac{\alpha+p}{2}} |\nabla \eta|^{2}$$
(2.21)

Then we get

$$\int_{B_1} |\nabla(\eta w^{\frac{\alpha+p}{4}})|^2 \le C \left(\int_{B_1} w^{\frac{\alpha+p}{2}} |\nabla \eta|^2 + \int_{B_1} \frac{w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}}{|y|^2} \right)$$
 (2.22)

For $\alpha_1 = 0$, then from (2.20), $w^{\frac{p}{2}}, \frac{w^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|y|^2} \in L^1(B_{\frac{3}{4}})$, applying (1.1) with p = 2, s = 2 to $\eta w^{\frac{p}{4}}$, we have

$$\frac{w^{\frac{p}{2}}}{|y|^2} \in L^1(B_{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

and applying (1.1) with p=2, s=0 to $\eta w^{\frac{p}{4}}$, we also have

$$w^{\frac{np}{2(n-2)}} \in L^1(B_{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Then if $w^{\frac{\alpha+p}{2}}, \frac{w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}}{|y|^2} \in L^1(B_r)$, we have $w^{\frac{(\alpha+p)n}{2(n-2)}}, \frac{w^{\frac{\alpha+p}{2}}}{|y|^2} \in L^1(B_{\sigma r})$, where $r, \sigma < 1$. Let $\alpha_{i+1} = \min\{\frac{n\alpha_i}{n-2} + \frac{2p}{n-2}, \alpha_i + p - 1\}$, then

$$\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i \ge \min\left\{\frac{2p}{n-2}, p-1\right\} \tag{2.23}$$

Then $\alpha_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$, after scaling we have $w^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^1(B_1)$ for any q > 1. By Fatou Lemma,

$$\int_{B_1} |\nabla u|^q u^{\gamma} = \int_{B_1} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{q}{2}} u^{\gamma} \le \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_1} w^{\frac{q}{2}} u^{\gamma} < C.$$

A scaling argument completes our proof.

From the second estimates for the p-Laplace equation in [3] and [10], we have the following regularity result.

Lemma 2.6. Let u be a solution of (1.2), then for $k \geq 3$, $|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. For $k \geq 3$, we have $\frac{u^{p*(1)-1}}{|y|} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using the standard result in [3] or [10], we have done.

Lemma 2.7. Let u be a solution of (1.2), and $Z := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla u(x) = 0\}$ and $W_{\varepsilon} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| > \varepsilon\}$, then $|Z \cap W_{\varepsilon}| = 0$ and $u \in C^{\infty}(W_{\varepsilon} \setminus Z)$.

Proof. We have $\frac{u^{p*(1)-1}}{|y|} \in L^{\infty}(W_{\varepsilon})$, so from [2], $u \in C^{1,\beta}(W_{\varepsilon})$ and Z has zero measure since $\frac{u^{p*(1)-1}}{|y|} > 0$. By a bootstrap argument, $u \in C^{\infty}(W_{\varepsilon} \setminus Z)$.

3. CLASSIFICATION OF CYLINDRICALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. First, we derive an identity. Let u be a cylindrically symmetric solution of (1.2), r = |y|, t = |z| and we define

$$u(y,z) = \kappa \phi(r,t)^{-\frac{n-p}{p}},$$

where κ is constant to be determined later. Then ϕ shares the regularities with u. In the coordinate r, s, then

$$\Delta_p u = (p-2)|\nabla u|^{p-4}[u_r^2 u_{rr} + 2u_r u_s u_{rs} + u_s^2 u_{ss}] + |\nabla u|^{p-2}[u_{rr} + \frac{k-1}{r}u_r + u_{ss}^2 + \frac{n-k-1}{s}u_s].$$
(3.1)

It follows that

$$\Delta_p \phi + \frac{k-1}{r} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r + \frac{n-k-1}{t} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_t = \frac{n(p-1)}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} + \frac{a}{r}.$$
 (3.2)

where $a = \frac{\kappa^{\frac{p(p-1)}{n-p}}p^{p-1}}{(n-p)^{p-1}}$, and we will compute κ by a.

We define
$$X^i = |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_i, X^i_j = (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_i)_j, E^i_j = X^i_j - \frac{tr(X^i_j)}{2} \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = r, t,$$

$$h = r^{k-1} t^{n-k-1}, h_r = \frac{k-1}{r} h, h_t = \frac{n-k-1}{t} h.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we do the following calculations in $W_{\varepsilon} \backslash Z$ since ϕ is smooth in $W_{\varepsilon} \backslash Z$. Now, we begin to compute,

$$\begin{split} (E_{j}^{i}X^{j}\phi^{1-n}h)_{i} = & E_{j}^{i}E_{i}^{j}\phi^{1-n}h + (E_{j}^{i})_{i}|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{j}\phi^{1-n}h + \\ & (1-n)E_{j}^{i}|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{j}\phi_{i}\phi^{-n}h + E_{j}^{i}|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{j}\phi^{1-n}h_{i} \\ = & I + II + III + IV. \end{split}$$

To deal with II, we note that

$$(E_j^i)_i = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_p \phi)_j.$$

Let

$$\Delta_p \phi = \frac{n(p-1)}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} + f,$$

where

$$f = \frac{a}{r} - \frac{k-1}{r} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r - \frac{n-k-1}{t} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_t.$$

Then

$$(\Delta_{p}\phi)_{j}|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{j}\phi^{1-n}h = n(p-1)|\nabla\phi|^{2p-4}\phi_{i}\phi_{j}\phi_{ij}\phi^{-n}h - \frac{n(p-1)}{p}|\nabla\phi|^{2p}\phi^{-1-n}h + f_{j}|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{j}\phi^{1-n}h.$$

For the second term II,

$$II = n(p-1)|\nabla \phi|^{2p-4}\phi_i\phi_j\phi_{ij}\phi^{-n}h - \frac{n(p-1)}{p}|\nabla \phi|^{2p}\phi^{-1-n}h + f_j|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_j\phi^{1-n}h - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_p\phi)_j|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_j\phi^{1-n}h.$$

And for the third term III,

$$III = (1 - n)(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_i)_j \phi_i \phi_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi^{-n} h - \frac{1 - n}{2} \Delta_p \phi |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{-n} h$$

$$= (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_i)_j \phi_i \phi_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi^{-n}h - n(p-1)|\nabla \phi|^{2p-4}\phi_i \phi_j \phi_{ij}\phi^{-n}h$$
$$-\frac{1-n}{2}\Delta_p \phi |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{-n}h.$$

Since

$$(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_i)_j \phi_i \phi_j = (p-2)|\nabla \phi|^{p-4}\phi_l \phi_l \phi_i \phi_i \phi_j + |\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_{ij}\phi_i \phi_j$$
$$= (p-1)|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_{ij}\phi_i \phi_j,$$

and

$$IV = (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_i)_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_j h_i \phi^{1-n} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_p \phi |\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_i h_i \phi^{1-n}.$$

It follows that we have

$$\begin{split} (E_j^i X^j \phi^{1-n} h)_i = & E_j^i E_i^j \phi^{1-n} h - \frac{n(p-1)}{p} |\nabla \phi|^{2p} \phi^{-1-n} h + f_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_j \phi^{1-n} h \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_p \phi)_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_j \phi^{1-n} h + (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_i)_j \phi_i \phi_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi^{-n} h \\ & - \frac{1-n}{2} \Delta_p \phi |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{-n} h \\ & + (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_i)_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_j h_i \phi^{1-n} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_p \phi |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_i h_i \phi^{1-n} \\ = & E_j^i E_i^j \phi^{1-n} h - \frac{n(p-1)}{p} |\nabla \phi|^{2p} \phi^{-1-n} h + f_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_j \phi^{1-n} h \\ & + (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_i)_j \phi_i \phi_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi^{-n} h + (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_i)_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_j h_i \phi^{1-n} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} [\Delta_p \phi |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_i \phi^{1-n} h]_i + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_p \phi)^2 \phi^{1-n} h, \end{split}$$

since

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{p}\phi)_{i}|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{i}\phi^{1-n}h$$

$$=-\frac{1}{2}[(\Delta_{p}\phi)|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{i}\phi^{1-n}h]_{i}+\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{p}\phi)^{2}\phi^{1-n}h$$

$$+\frac{1-n}{2}\Delta_{p}\phi|\nabla\phi|^{p}\phi^{-n}h+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{p}\phi|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{i}h_{i}\phi^{1-n}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{split} & \left[\frac{p-1}{p}|\nabla\phi|^p(|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_i)\phi^{-n}h\right]_i\\ = & \frac{p-1}{p}(p|\nabla\phi|^{2p-4}\phi_{ij}\phi_j\phi_i\phi^{-n}h + |\nabla\phi|^p\Delta_p\phi\phi^{-n}h\\ & + |\nabla\phi|^{2p-2}\phi_ih_i\phi^{-n} - n|\nabla\phi|^{2p}\phi^{-1-n}h), \end{split}$$

then

$$(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_{i})_{j}\phi_{i}\phi_{j}|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi^{-n}h = (p-1)|\nabla \phi|^{2p-4}\phi_{i}\phi_{j}\phi_{ij}\phi^{-n}h$$

$$= [\frac{p-1}{p}|\nabla \phi|^{p}(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_{i})\phi^{-n}h]_{i} - \frac{p-1}{p}|\nabla \phi|^{p}\Delta_{p}\phi\phi^{-n}h$$

$$-\frac{p-1}{p}|\nabla\phi|^{2p-2}h_i\phi_i\phi^{-n} + \frac{n(p-1)}{p}|\nabla\phi|^{2p}\phi^{-1-n}h.$$

No we get

$$(E_{j}^{i}X^{j}\phi^{1-n}h)_{i}$$

$$=E_{j}^{i}E_{i}^{j}\phi^{1-n}h - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{p}\phi|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{i}\phi^{1-n}h)_{i} + \frac{p-1}{p}[|\nabla\phi|^{p}(|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{i})\phi^{-n}h]_{i}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{p}\phi)^{2}\phi^{1-n}h - \frac{p-1}{p}\Delta_{p}\phi|\nabla\phi|^{p}\phi^{-n}h + A,$$
(3.3)

where,

$$A = -\frac{p-1}{p} |\nabla \phi|^{2p-2} h_i \phi_i \phi^{-n} + (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_i)_j |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} h_i \phi_j \phi^{1-n} + f_i \phi_i |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi^{1-n} h$$

$$= -\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{k-1}{r} |\nabla \phi|^p |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r \phi^{-n} h - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{n-k-1}{t} |\nabla \phi|^p |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_t \phi^{-n} h$$

$$+ \frac{k-1}{r^2} |\nabla \phi|^{2p-4} \phi_r^2 \phi^{1-n} h - \frac{a}{r^2} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r \phi^{1-n} h + \frac{n-k-1}{t^2} |\nabla \phi|^{2p-4} \phi_t^2 \phi^{1-n} h.$$

If we multiply (3.2) with $|\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{1-n} h$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{p}\phi)^{2}\phi^{1-n}h - \frac{p-1}{p}\Delta_{p}\phi|\nabla\phi|^{p}\phi^{-n}h + A$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{p}\phi)^{2}\phi^{1-n}h - \frac{n(p-1)^{2}}{p^{2}}|\nabla\phi|^{2p}\phi^{-1-n}h - \frac{p-1}{p}\frac{a}{r}|\nabla\phi|^{p}\phi^{-n}h$$

$$+ \frac{k-1}{r^{2}}|\nabla\phi|^{2p-4}\phi_{r}^{2}\phi^{1-n}h - \frac{a}{r^{2}}|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{r}\phi^{1-n}h + \frac{n-k-1}{t^{2}}|\nabla\phi|^{2p-4}\phi_{t}^{2}\phi^{1-n}h.$$
(3.4)

To eliminate $\frac{a}{r} |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{-n} h$, we introduce

$$B = \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \phi^{1-n} h - (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r)_r \phi^{1-n} h \right\}_r - \{(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_t)_r \phi^{1-n} h\}_t$$
 (3.5)

Differentiating (3.2) with respect to r, we get

$$B = \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi}\right)_r \phi^{1-n}h + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{k-1}{r} |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{-n}h + \frac{p-1}{p} (1-n) \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \frac{\phi_r}{\phi} \phi^{1-n}h$$

$$+ \left\{ -\frac{k-1}{r^2} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r - \frac{n(p-1)}{p} (\frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi})_r + \frac{a}{r^2} \right\} \phi^{1-n}h$$

$$- (1-n) \{ (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r)_r \frac{\phi_r}{\phi} + (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_t)_r \frac{\phi_t}{\phi} \} \phi^{1-n}h$$

$$= \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{k-1}{r} |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{-n}h - \frac{k-1}{r^2} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r \phi^{1-n}h + \frac{a}{r^2} \phi^{1-n}h,$$
(3.6)

if we show

$$\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{|\nabla\phi|^p}{\phi}\right)_r + \frac{p-1}{p}\frac{|\nabla\phi|^p}{\phi}\frac{\phi_r}{\phi} - (|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_r)_r\frac{\phi_r}{\phi} - (|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_t)_r\frac{\phi_t}{\phi} = 0.$$

Indeed, note that

$$\left(\frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi}\right)_r = \frac{p|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}(\phi_r\phi_{rr} + \phi_t\phi_{tr})}{\phi} - \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi}\frac{\phi_r}{\phi}$$

it is equivalent to verify that

$$(p-1)|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}(\phi_r \phi_{rr} + \phi_t \phi_{tr}) = (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r)_r \phi_r + (\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_t)_r \phi_t$$

which is correct by direct computation.

So we combine (3.3) and (3.6), $(3.3) + \frac{a}{k-1}B$ gives

$$(E_{j}^{i}X^{j}\phi^{1-n}h)_{i} + \frac{1}{2}[\Delta_{p}\phi|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{i}\phi^{1-n}h]_{i} - \frac{p-1}{p}(|\nabla\phi|^{p}|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{i}\phi^{-n}h)_{i}$$

$$+ \frac{a}{k-1}\left\{\left[\frac{p-1}{p}\frac{|\nabla\phi|^{p}}{\phi}\phi^{1-n}h - (|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{r})_{r}\phi^{1-n}h\right]_{r} - [(|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{t})_{r}\phi^{1-n}h]_{t}\right\}$$

$$= E_{j}^{i}E_{i}^{j}\phi^{1-n}h + \frac{n}{2(n-2)}\left(\Delta_{p}\phi - \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{|\nabla\phi|^{p}}{\phi}\right)^{2}\phi^{1-n}h$$

$$+ \frac{(k-1)(n-k-1)}{n-2}\left[\frac{|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{t}}{t} - \frac{|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\phi_{r}}{r} + \frac{a}{(k-1)r}\right]^{2}\phi^{1-n}h,$$
(3.7)

by

$$\frac{1}{n-2} \left(\Delta_p \phi - \frac{n(p-1)}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_p \phi)^2 - \frac{n(p-1)^2}{p^2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2p}}{\phi^2} \\
= \frac{n}{2(n-2)} \left(\Delta_p \phi - \frac{2(p-1)}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \right)^2,$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{n-2} \left[(n-k-1) \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_t}{t} + (k-1) \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r}{r} - \frac{a}{r} \right]^2$$

$$+ \frac{k-1}{r^2} |\nabla \phi|^{2p-4} \phi_r^2 - \frac{2a}{r^2} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r + \frac{n-k-1}{t^2} |\nabla \phi|^{2p-4} \phi_t^2 + \frac{a^2}{(k-1)r^2}$$

$$= \frac{(k-1)(n-k-1)}{(n-2)} \left[\frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_t}{t} - \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r}{r} + \frac{a}{(k-1)r} \right]^2.$$

Therefore, if we define

$$F = X_r^r X^r + X_t^r X^t - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} X^r + \frac{a}{k-1} \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} - X_r^r \right), \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$G = X_r^t X^r + X_t^t X^t - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} X^t - \frac{a}{k-1} X_r^t,$$
 (3.9)

and let Y be vector field

$$Y = (F\phi^{1-n}h, G\phi^{1-n}h) = \left(X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right) \left(X^j - \frac{a}{k-1} \delta_{rj}\right) \phi^{1-n}h.$$
 (3.10)

We have the following key differential identity.

Proposition 3.1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the following identity holds in $W_{\varepsilon} \setminus Z$.

$$divY = E_{j}^{i} E_{i}^{j} \phi^{1-n} h + \frac{n}{2(n-2)} \left(\Delta_{p} \phi - \frac{2(p-1)}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p}}{\phi} \right)^{2} \phi^{1-n} h$$

$$+ \frac{(k-1)(n-k-1)}{n-2} \left[\frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_{t}}{t} - \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_{r}}{r} + \frac{a}{(k-1)r} \right]^{2} \phi^{1-n} h$$

$$= \left(X_{j}^{i} - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p}}{\phi} \delta_{ij} \right) \left(X_{i}^{j} - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p}}{\phi} \delta_{ij} \right) \phi^{1-n} h$$

$$+ \frac{n}{2(n-2)} \left(\Delta_{p} \phi - \frac{2(p-1)}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p}}{\phi} \right)^{2} \phi^{1-n} h$$

$$+ \frac{(k-1)(n-k-1)}{n-2} \left[\frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_{t}}{t} - \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_{r}}{r} + \frac{a}{(k-1)r} \right]^{2} \phi^{1-n} h \geq 0$$
(3.11)

Proof. From (3.7), we get the differential identity in (3.11), in order to prove the right of (3.11) is nonnegative, it suffices to show

$$\left(X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right) \left(X_i^j - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right) \ge c \left(X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right)^2,$$

for some c > 0. From the Lemma 4.5 in [10], we only need to show that

$$X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij} = (N_1 N_2)_{ij}, \tag{3.12}$$

where N_1 is positive define and N_2 is symmetric.

First,

$$X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij} = N_3 N_4 + N_5,$$

where $N_4 = |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_{ij}$, $N_3 = (p-2) \frac{\phi_i \phi_j}{|\nabla \phi|^2} + \delta_{ij}$ and $N_5 = \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij}$. Then N_3 is positive define with eigenvalues 1 and p-1. $N_3^{-1} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{p-2}{p-1} \frac{\phi_i \phi_j}{|\nabla \phi|^2}$.

It follows that

$$X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij} = N_3(N_4 + N_3^{-1} N_5)$$

where $N_4 + N_3^{-1} N_5$ is symmetric.

Setting
$$N_1 = N_3$$
, $N_2 = N_4 + N_3^{-1}N_5$, we have done.

In order to get the integral estimates, we need the following estimates.

Lemma 3.2. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\left(X_{j}^{i} - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p}}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right) \left(X^{j} - \frac{a}{k-1} \delta_{rj}\right) \zeta_{j} \leq \epsilon \left(X_{j}^{i} - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p}}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right) \left(X_{i}^{j} - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p}}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right) + C(\epsilon)|\zeta_{j}|^{2} (|X^{i}|^{2} + 1).$$
(3.13)

Proof. The same notations as above, from (3.12), we have

$$X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij} = AC^T BC,$$

where C is a orthogonal matrix,

$$C^{-1} = C^T.$$

A is a symmetric matrix, $(B)_{ij} = (p-2)\frac{\phi_i\phi_j}{|\nabla\phi|^2} + \delta_{ij}$ where B is positive define with eigenvalues 1 and p-1, and $(BC)_{ij} = \lambda_i\delta_{ij}$, $\lambda_1 = p-1$, $\lambda_i = 1$, i=2,...,n. It follows that

$$tr(AC^{T}BCD)$$

$$=(AC^{T})_{ij}(BC)_{jk}D_{ki}$$

$$=(AC^{T})_{ij}\lambda_{j}D_{ji}$$

$$\leq \epsilon(AC^{T})_{ij}^{2} + C(\epsilon)D_{ij}^{2}$$

$$\leq \epsilon tr(AC^{T}(AC^{T})^{T}) + C(\epsilon)tr(D^{2})$$

and

$$tr(AC^TBCAC^TBC) = (AC^T)_{ij}\lambda_i(AC^T)_{ji}\lambda_i \ge \lambda^2 tr(AC^T(AC^T)^T)$$

where $\lambda = \min\{1, p-1\}$. Then we have

$$tr(AC^TBCD) \le \epsilon tr(AC^TBCAC^TBC) + C(\epsilon)tr(D^2)$$
 (3.14)

Substituting $(D)_{ij} = (X^j - \frac{a}{k-1}\delta_{rj})\zeta_i$ and using

$$tr(D^2) = (X^i - \frac{a}{k-1}\delta_{ir})\zeta_j(X^j - \frac{a}{k-1}\delta_{jr})\zeta_i \le C(|X^i|^2 + 1)|\zeta_j|^2,$$

we have completed the proof.

Now we begin the proof of the main Theorem 1.2, as in the paper by Mancini-Fabbri-Sandeep [19], we shall use the key differential identity (3.11), the C^0 estimates (2.3), the integral gradient estimates in (2.6) and (2.10).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let R > 0 and define

$$\Omega_R = \{(r, t) : 0 < r^2 + t^2 < 4R^2\}.$$

Let $\zeta(r,t)$ be cut-off function in \mathbb{R}^2 with $\zeta=1$ in $(r^2+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq R$, $\zeta=0$ in $(r^2+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\geq 2R$ and $|\nabla\zeta|\leq \frac{C}{R}$.

$$T_{\varepsilon} = \{ (r, t) : 0 < r < \varepsilon \},$$

Let $\varphi(r,t)$ be a smooth function in \mathbb{R}^2 with $\varphi=0$ in T_{ε} , $\varphi=1$ outside $T_{2\varepsilon}$ and $\varphi_t=0$, $|\varphi_r|\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$.

Since Z has zero measure, for the vector field Y in (3.10), integration by parts gives

$$\int_{\Omega_R} \varphi^l \zeta^m div Y = \int_{\partial \Omega_R} \varphi^l \zeta^m Y \cdot \nu dH^1 - \int_{\Omega_R} \nabla (\varphi^l \zeta^m) \cdot Y, \tag{3.15}$$

where m,l>2 are big, and ν is the outward normal to $\partial\Omega_R$ and dH^1 is the surface measure on the boundary.

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_R} \varphi^l \zeta^m Y \cdot \nu dH^1 = -\int_{\Gamma_1} \varphi^l \zeta^m G \phi^{1-n} h dH^1 - \int_{\Gamma_2} \varphi^l \zeta^m F \phi^{1-n} h dH^1 + \int_{r^2 + t^2 = 4R^2} \varphi^l \zeta^m Y \cdot \nu dH^1, \tag{3.16}$$

where $\Gamma_1 = \partial \Omega_R \cap \{t = 0\}, \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega_R \cap \{r = 0\}.$

From the symmetry of ϕ , we have $\phi_t(r,0)=0$. We observe that G=0 on Γ_1 since $|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_t=(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_t)_r=0$ on Γ_1 , $\varphi=0$ on Γ_2 and $\zeta=0$ on $r^2+t^2=4R^2$, therefore

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_R} \varphi^l \zeta^m Y \cdot \nu dH^1 = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Now we need to deal with

$$-\int_{\Omega_R} \nabla(\varphi^l \zeta^m) \cdot Y = -l \int_{\Omega_R} \varphi^{l-1} \zeta^m \nabla \varphi \cdot Y - m \int_{\Omega_R} \varphi^l \zeta^{m-1} \nabla \zeta \cdot Y. \tag{3.18}$$

By the definition of $Y = \left(X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right) \left(X^j - \frac{a}{k-1} \delta_{rj}\right) \phi^{1-n} h$ and using Lemma 3.2 we have,

$$m\varphi^{l}\zeta^{m-1}|\nabla\zeta\cdot Y| \leq 0.1 \left(X_{j}^{i} - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla\phi|^{p}}{\phi}\delta_{ij}\right) \left(X_{i}^{j} - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla\phi|^{p}}{\phi}\delta_{ij}\right) \varphi^{l}\zeta^{m}\phi^{1-n}h$$

$$+ \frac{C}{R^{2}}(|\nabla\phi|^{p-1} + 1)^{2}\phi^{1-n}h\varphi^{l}\zeta^{m-2},$$
(3.19)

in the support set of $\nabla \zeta \subset \Omega_R \backslash \Omega_{\frac{R}{2}}$.

Using Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 (with q = 2p) we have

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^{2p-2} u^{\gamma} \le \left(\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^{2p} u^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_R} u^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C(1 + R^{n-2(n-1) - \frac{\gamma(n-p)}{p-1}}). \quad (3.20)$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla \phi|^{2p-2} \phi^{1-n} r^{k-1} t^{n-k-1} = C \int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^{2p-2} u^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p} - \frac{(2p-2)n}{n-p}} \le C(1 + R^{2 - \frac{n-p}{p-1}}), \tag{3.21}$$

Besides, by Proposition 2.2 we have $c_1(1+r^2+t^2)^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}} \leq \phi \leq c_2(1+r^2+t^2)^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}$, then

$$\int_{\Omega_R} \phi^{1-n} r^{k-1} t^{n-k-1} \le C(1 + R^{-\frac{n-p}{p-1}}). \tag{3.22}$$

So by (3.21) and (3.22), we get

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \int_{\Omega_R \setminus \Omega_{\frac{R}{4}}} \varphi^l \zeta^{m-2} (|\nabla \phi|^{p-1} + C)^2 \phi^{1-n} h \le C R^{-\tau}, \tag{3.23}$$

where $\tau = \min\{\frac{n-p}{p-1}, 2\}$.

Let

$$Q_{\varepsilon} = \Omega_R \cap \{T_{2\varepsilon} \setminus T_{\varepsilon}\} \subset \Omega_R \tag{3.24}$$

Next, we estimate

$$\int_{\Omega_R} \varphi^{l-1} \zeta^m \nabla \zeta \cdot Y = \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} \varphi^{l-1} \zeta^m \varphi_r F \phi^{1-n} r^{k-1} t^{n-k-1}. \tag{3.25}$$

For $k \ge 3$, the same as (3.19),

$$\varphi^{l-1}\zeta^{m}|\varphi_{r}F|\phi^{1-n}h \leq 0.01 \left(X_{j}^{i} - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla\phi|^{p}}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right) \left(X_{i}^{j} - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla\phi|^{p}}{\phi} \delta_{ij}\right) \varphi^{l}\zeta^{m}\phi^{1-n}h + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}} (|\nabla\phi|^{p-1} + 1)^{2}\varphi^{l-2}\zeta^{m}\phi^{1-n}h,$$

$$(3.26)$$

in the support set of $\varphi_r \subset Q_{\varepsilon}$.

We have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} \phi^{1-n} h \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{2\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{2R} (1+r^{2}+t^{2})^{\frac{p(1-n)}{2(p-1)}} t^{n-k-1} dt r^{k-1} dr$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{2\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{2R} (1+t^{2})^{-\frac{(n-1)p}{2(p-1)}} t^{n-k-1} dt r^{k-1} dr \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{2\varepsilon} r^{k-1} dr \leq C\varepsilon^{k-2}.$$
(3.27)

Here we use $-\frac{(n-1)p}{p-1} + n - k - 1 = -\frac{n-1}{p-1} - k$, so $\int_0^\infty (1+t^2)^{-\frac{(n-1)p}{2(p-1)}} t^{n-k-1} dt \le C$. And by Holder's inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \phi|^{2p-2} \phi^{1-n} h \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \phi|^q \phi^{1-n} h \right)^{\frac{2p-2}{q}} \left(\int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} \phi^{1-n} h \right)^{\frac{q-2p+2}{q}}. \tag{3.28}$$

For k > 2p, we set q = 2p, if $k \le 2p$, we set $q = \frac{2(p-1)k}{k-2.1}$, by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 it follows that

$$\int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \phi|^{q} \phi^{1-n} h \le \int_{\Omega_{R}} |\nabla \phi|^{q} \phi^{1-n} r^{k-1} t^{n-k-1} = C \int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^{q} u^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p} - \frac{qn}{n-p}} dx \le C(R).$$
(3.29)

Thus, by (3.28) and (3.27),

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \phi|^{2p-2} \phi^{1-n} h \le \frac{C(R)}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} \phi^{1-n} h \right)^{\frac{q-2p+2}{q}} \le \frac{C(R)}{\varepsilon^2} \varepsilon^{\frac{k(q-2p+2)}{q}} = C(R) \varepsilon^{\mu}, \tag{3.30}$$

where

$$\mu = \frac{k(q - 2p + 2)}{q} - 2. \tag{3.31}$$

For k > 2p, q = 2p, then $\mu = \frac{k}{p} - 2 > 0$. For $k \le 2p$, $q = \frac{2(p-1)k}{k-2.1}$, then

$$\mu = \frac{k(\frac{2pk-2k}{k-2.1} - \frac{2pk-4.2p}{k-2.1} + \frac{2k-4.2}{k-2.1})}{\frac{2(p-1)k}{k-2.1}} - 2 = \frac{\frac{4.2(p-1)k}{k-2.1}}{\frac{2(p-1)k}{k-2.1}} - 2 = 2.1 - 2 = 0.1,$$

we have $\mu > 0$ in both cases.

Combing (3.30) and (3.27).

$$\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} (|\nabla \phi|^{p-1} + 1)^2 \phi^{1-n} h \le C(R) \varepsilon^{\mu}, \tag{3.32}$$

Using the fact (3.24), then we arrive at

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega_R} \varphi^l \zeta^m div Y \\ &= \int_{\Omega_R} \varphi^l \zeta^m \left(X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij} \right) \left(X_i^j - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij} \right) \phi^{1-n} h \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-2} \varphi^l \zeta^m \left(\Delta_p \phi - \frac{2(p-1)}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \right)^2 \phi^{1-n} h \\ &\quad + \frac{(k-1)(n-k-1)}{n-2} \varphi^l \zeta^m \left[\frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_t}{t} - \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \phi_r}{r} + \frac{a}{(k-1)r} \right]^2 \phi^{1-n} h \\ &= - \int_{\Omega_R} \nabla (\varphi^l \zeta^m) \cdot Y = -m \int_{\Omega_R} \varphi^l \zeta^{m-1} \nabla \zeta \cdot Y - l \int_{Q_\varepsilon} \varphi^{l-1} \zeta^m \varphi_r F \\ &\leq 0.11 \int_{\Omega_R} \left(X_j^i - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij} \right) \left(X_i^j - \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi} \delta_{ij} \right) \varphi^l \zeta^m \phi^{1-n} h \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{\Omega_R} (|\nabla \phi|^{p-1} + 1)^2 \phi^{1-n} h \varphi^l \zeta^{m-2} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{Q_\varepsilon} (|\nabla \phi|^{p-1} + 1)^2 \phi^{1-n} h \varphi^{l-2} \zeta^m. \end{split}$$

From (3.23), (3.32), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_R} \varphi^l \zeta^m div Y \le CR^{-\tau} + C(R)\varepsilon^{\mu}. \tag{3.34}$$

For any R > 0, let $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_R} \zeta^m div Y \le CR^{-\tau},\tag{3.35}$$

then let $R \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{r>0} div Y = 0. {(3.36)}$$

Therefore by Proposition 3.1,

$$divY = 0, (3.37)$$

which means

$$E_j^i = 0, (3.38)$$

$$\Delta_p \phi = \frac{2(p-1)}{p} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^p}{\phi}.$$
(3.39)

Now we focus on Z^c since $\phi \in C^{\infty}(Z^c \cap \{(r,t) : r > 0, t > 0\})$. From (3.38), we have

$$(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_r)_t = 0, (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_t)_r = 0, \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_r)_r = (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_t)_t = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_p\phi.$$
 (3.41)

If we differentiate (3.40), then there is a constant b such that

$$(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_r)_r = (|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_t)_t := b,$$

then

$$|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_r = br + d_1, |\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_t = bt + d_2,$$

for some constants d_1, d_2 .

By (3.39) and (3.2),

$$d_1 = \frac{a}{k-1}, d_2 = 0,$$

which is

$$|\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_r = br + \frac{a}{k-1}, |\nabla \phi|^{p-2}\phi_t = bt.$$
 (3.42)

By (3.39)

$$\phi = \frac{p-1}{pb} |\nabla \phi|^p.$$

If we take $a = (k-1)(\frac{p}{p-1})^{p-1}$, then

$$\phi = \frac{p-1}{pb} [(bt)^2 + (br + (\frac{p}{p-1})^{p-1})^2)]^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}$$
$$= \lambda^{-1} [(\lambda t)^2 + (\lambda r + 1)^2]^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}},$$

where $\lambda = b(\frac{p-1}{p})^{p-1}$. And

$$\kappa = (k-1)^{\frac{n-p}{p(p-1)}} (n-p)^{\frac{n-p}{p}} (p-1)^{-\frac{n-p}{p}},$$

then

$$u(y,z) = \lambda^{\frac{n-p}{p}} u_0(\lambda y, \lambda z),$$

where u_0 is as in Theorem1.2 in Z^c . Since u is continuous and Z has zero measure, then $u(y,z)=\lambda^{\frac{n-p}{p}}u_0(\lambda y,\lambda z)$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Acknowledgement. The authors was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 12141105) and National Key Research and Development Project (grants SQ2020YFA070080).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alvino, V. Ferone, G. Trombetti. *On the best constant in a Hardy-Sobolev inequality*. Appl. Anal. 85(1-3), 2006, 171-180.
- [2] C. A. Antonini, G. Ciraolo. A. Farina. *Interior regularity results for inhomogeneous anisotropic quasilinear equations*. Math. Ann. 387, 2023, 1745-1776.
- [3] B. Avelin, T. Kuusi, and G. Mingione. *Nonlinear Calderón-Zygmund Theory in the Limiting Case*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.,227(2), 2018, 663-714.
- [4] M. Badiale, G. Tarantello. A Sobolev-Hardy inequality with applications to a nonlinear elliptic equation arising in astrophysics., Arch Rational Mech. Anal. 163 (4), 2002, 259-293.
- [5] R.D. Benguria, R.L. Frank and M. Loss, *The sharp constant in the Hardy–Sobolev–Maz'ya inequality in the three dimensional upper half-space*, Math. Res. Lett. 15(4), 2008, 613–622.
- [6] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg. *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*. Composito Math. 53, 1984, 259-275.
- [7] D. Cao, Y.Y. Li. Results on positive solutions of elliptic equations with a critical Hardy–Sobolev operator,. Methods Appl. Anal., Vol. 15(1), 2008, 081–096.
- [8] D. Castorina, I. Fabbri, G. Mancini, K. Sandeep. *Hardy–Sobolev inequalities and hyperbolic symmetry*. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 19 (3), 2008, 189–197.
- [9] D. Castorina, I. Fabbri, G. Mancini, K. Sandeep. *Hardy–Sobolev inequalities, hyper-bolic symmetry and the Webster scalar curvature problem.* J. Differential Equations 246, 2009, 1187–1206.
- [10] A. Cianchi, V. Maz'ya. Second-Order Two-Sided Estimates in Nonlinear Elliptic Problems. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 229, 2018, 569-599.
- [11] G. Ciraolo, R. Corso. Symmetry for positive critical points of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities. Nonlinear Anal. 216, 2022, Paper No. 112683, 23 pp.
- [12] G. Ciraolo, A. Figalli, A. Roncoroni. *Symmetry results for critical anisotropic p-Laplacian equations in convex cones.* Geom. Funct. Anal., 30,2020, 770-803.
- [13] E. DiBenedetto. $C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. Nonlinear Anal. (8)7, 1983, 827-850.
- [14] J. Dolbeault, M. Esteban, M. Loss. *Rigidity versus symmetry breaking via nonlinear flows on cylinders and Euclidean spaces*. Invent. Math. 44, 2016, 397-440.
- [15] R. Dutta. *A priori decay estimates for Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya equations*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 61(1), 2022, Paper No.14, 36 pp.
- [16] N. Garofalo, D. Vassilev. Symmetry properties of positive entire solutions of Yamabetype equations on groups of Heisenberg type. Duke Math. J.106(3), 2001, 411-448.
- [17] N. Ghoussoub, C. Yuan. *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352(12) ,2000, 5703-5743.
- [18] D. Jerison, J. Lee. *The Yamabe Problem on CR manifolds*. J. Diff. Geom. **25**, 1987, 167-197.
- [19] G. Mancini, I. Fabbri, K. Sandeep. A Classification of solutions of a critical Hardy-Sobolev operator. J. Differential Equations, 224(2), 2006, 258-276.

- [20] G.Mancini, K. Sandeep. On a semilinear elliptic equation in H^n . Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 7, 2008. 635–671.
- [21] B. Sciunzi. Classification of positive $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -solutions to the critical p-Laplace equation in \mathbb{R}^n . Advances in Mathematics, 291,2016, 12-23.
- [22] S. Secchi, D. Smets, M. Willem. *Remarks on a Hardy-Sobolev inequality*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 336, 2003, 811-815.
- [23] J. Serrin, H. Zou, Cauchy-Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities. Acta Math. 189 (2002), no.1 79-142.
- [24] P. Tolksdorf. *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations.* J. Differential Equations, 51, 1984, 126-150.
- [25] Vassilev, D..Lp estimates and asymptotic behavior for finite energy solutions of extremals to Hardy-Sobolev inequalities. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363. 2011, 37–62.
- [26] J. Vétois. A priori estimates and application to the symmetry of solutions for critical p-Laplace equations. J. Differential Equations, 260, 2016, 149-161.
- [27] C.-L. Xiang. Gradient estimates for solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth and hardy potential. Acta Math Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.) 37, 2017, 58-68.

GEOMETRIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS UNIT, OKINAWA INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY GRADUATE UNIVERSITY, 1919-1 TANCHA, ONNA-SON, KUNIGAMI-GUN, OKINAWA, 904-0495, JAPAN

Email address: daowen.lin@oist.jp

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui Province, China, 230026

Email address: xinan@ustc.edu.cn