

Super Connectivity of Line Graphs and Digraphs

Min Lü¹, Jun-Ming Xu²

¹Department of Computer Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China (E-mail: lvmin05@ustc.edu.cn)

²Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China (E-mail: xujm@ustc.edu.cn)

Abstract The h -super connectivity κ_h and the h -super edge-connectivity λ_h are more refined network reliability indices than the connectivity and the edge-connectivity. This paper shows that for a connected balanced digraph D and its line digraph L , if D is optimally super edge-connected, then $\kappa_1(L) = 2\lambda_1(D)$, and that for a connected graph G and its line graph L , if one of $\kappa_1(L)$ and $\lambda_2(G)$ exists, then $\kappa_1(L) = \lambda_2(G)$. This paper determines that $\kappa_1(B(d, n))$ is equal to $4d - 8$ for $n = 2$ and $d \geq 4$, and to $4d - 4$ for $n \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$, and that $\kappa_1(K(d, n))$ is equal to $4d - 4$ for $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ except $K(2, 2)$. It then follows that $B(d, n)$ and $K(d, n)$ are both super connected for any $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$.

Keywords Line graphs, super connectivity, super edge-connectivity, de Bruijn digraphs, Kautz digraphs
2000 MR Subject Classification 05C40

1 Introduction

It is well-known that when the underlying topology of an interconnection network is modelled by a connected graph or strongly connected digraph $G = (V, E)$, where V is the set of processors and E is the set of communication links in the network, the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ or the edge-connectivity $\lambda(G)$ of G is an important measurement for fault-tolerance of the network. In general, the larger $\kappa(G)$ or $\lambda(G)$ is, the more reliable the network is. It is well known that $\kappa(G) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$, where $\delta(G)$ is the minimum degree of G . A graph (digraph) G is called to be maximally connected if $\kappa(G) = \delta(G)$ and maximally edge-connected if $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$. One might be interested in more refined indices of reliability. As more refined indices than the connectivity and the edge-connectivity, the super connectivity and the super edge-connectivity were proposed in [1,2]. A graph (digraph) G is super connected if every minimum vertex-cut isolates a vertex of G . A super edge-connected graph (digraph) is similarly defined. Since then it has been found that many well-known graphs are super connected or super edge-connected. In particular, Soneoka^[10] showed that the de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$ is super edge-connected for any $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$; Fábrega and Fiol^[5] proved that the Kautz digraph $K(d, n)$ is super edge-connected for any $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$.

A quite natural problem is that if a (strongly) connected (di)graph G is super connected or super edge-connected, then how many vertices or edges must be removed to disconnect G such that every (strongly) connected component of the resulting graph contains no isolated vertices. This problem results in the concept of the super (edge-) connectivity, introduced in [6].

For a given nonnegative integer h , a vertex-set S of G is called an h -super vertex-cut if $G - S$ is not (strongly) connected and every (strongly) connected component contains at least $(h + 1)$ vertices. In general, h -super vertex-cuts do not always exist. The h -super connectivity $\kappa_h(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of an h -super vertex-cut in G if h -super vertex-cuts exist, and, by convention, is ∞ otherwise. It is clear that $\kappa_0(G) = \kappa(G)$. It is easy to see if $\kappa_1(G) > \kappa(G)$ then G is super connected. Usually, we call a super vertex-cut and the super connectivity for a 1-super vertex-cut and the 1-super connectivity, respectively.

We can similarly define an h -super edge-cut and the h -super edge-connectivity $\lambda_h(G)$ for a (strongly) connected (di)graph G . It is also clear that if $\lambda_1(G) > \lambda(G)$, then G is super edge-connected. A (di)graph G is said to be optimally super edge-connected if $\lambda_1(G)$ exists and there is a minimum super edge-cut F such that $G - F$ has exactly two (strongly) connected components.

For λ_1 , it has been extensively studied, (see, for example, [4,9,11,15]), and it is termed the restricted edge-connectivity denoted by the notation λ' . For κ_h , Esfahanian^[3] determined $\kappa_1(Q_n) = 2n - 2$, and this was further generalized by Latifi et al.^[8] to $\kappa_h(Q_n) = (n - h)2^h$ for $n \geq 3$ with $0 \leq h \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, where Q_n is the n -cube. Up to now, however, we have not seen any results on κ_1 for digraphs in the literature.

In this paper, we consider the relationship between the h -super edge-connectivity of a graph and the super connectivity of its line graph. To be precise, we show that for a connected balanced digraph D and its line digraph L , if D is optimally super edge-connected, then $\kappa_1(L) = 2\lambda_1(D)$, and that for a connected graph G and its line graph L , if one of $\kappa_1(L)$ and $\lambda_2(G)$ exists, then $\kappa_1(L) = \lambda_2(G)$. We determine that $\kappa_1(B(d, n))$ is equal to $4d - 8$ for $n = 2$ and $d \geq 4$, and to $4d - 4$ for $n \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$, and that $\kappa_1(K(d, n))$ is equal to $4d - 4$ for $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ except $K(2, 2)$. As consequences, we show that $B(d, n)$ and $K(d, n)$ are both super connected for any $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$.

2 Line Digraphs

We follow^[13] for graph-theoretical terminologies and notations unless otherwise stated. Let $D = (V, E)$ be a strongly connected digraph, in which parallel edges are not allowed. The line digraph of D , denoted by $L(D)$, or L for short, is a digraph with vertex set $V(L) = E(D)$, and a vertex (x, y) is adjacent to a vertex (w, z) in L if and only if $y = w$ in D . Many properties of line digraphs can be found in [12], one of which is the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let D be a digraph with order at least two. Then D is strongly connected if and only if the line digraph $L(D)$ is strongly connected.*

For a subset $E' \subseteq E(D)$, we use $D[E']$ to denote the edge-induced subgraph of D by E' . Let L_1 be a subgraph of $L(D)$ and $E_1 = V(L_1)$. Define $D_1 = D[E_1]$.

Lemma 2.2. *Using the above notations, we have that if L_1 is a strongly connected subgraph of L with at least two vertices, then the subgraph $D_1 \subseteq D$ is strongly connected.*

Proof. Assume that x and y are any two vertices of D_1 . There is an edge e of D_1 such that x is incident with the edge e . Without loss of generality, we can denote the edge e by (x, z) . If $z = y$, then x can reach y by the edge e . If $z \neq y$, then y is incident with another edge e' . Without loss of generality, we can assume the edge $e' = (w, y)$. So, $e = (x, z)$ and $e' = (w, y)$ are two vertices in L_1 . Since L_1 is strongly connected, there is a directed path in L_1 from (x, z) to (w, y) : $((x, z), (z, z_1), (z_1, z_2), \dots, (z_k, w), (w, y))$. The corresponding edges $(x, z), (z, z_1), (z_1, z_2), \dots, (z_k, w), (w, y)$ form a directed walk in D_1 from x to y : $(x, z, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k, w, y)$. So x can reach y in D_1 .

On the other hand, there is also a directed path in L_1 from (w, y) to (x, z) : $((w, y),$

$(y, y_1), (y_1, y_2), \dots, (y_l, x), (x, z)$, from which we can construct a directed walk in D_1 from y to x : $(y, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_l, x)$. So y can reach x . Therefore, x and y are strongly connected. So, D_1 is strongly connected.

A digraph D is called balanced if the out-degree $d_D^+(x)$ is equal to the in-degree $d_D^-(x)$ for any vertex x of D . The following property of balanced digraphs is useful, and the proof of which is simple; see Example 1.4.1 in [13].

Lemma 2.3. *If D is a balanced digraph then $|E(X, Y)| = |E(Y, X)|$ for any non-empty proper subsets X and $Y = V(D) \setminus X$, where $E(X, Y)$ denotes the set of edges from X to Y in D .*

Theorem 2.4. *Let D be a connected and balanced digraph and $L = L(D)$.*

- (a) *If $\kappa_1(L)$ exists, then $\lambda_1(D) \leq \frac{1}{2} \kappa_1(L)$.*
- (b) *If D is optimally super edge-connected, then $\kappa_1(L) = 2\lambda_1(D)$.*

Proof. We first note that D is strongly connected by Lemma 2.3.

(a) Assume $\kappa_1(L)$ exists and that E_0 is a super vertex-cut of L with $|E_0| = \kappa_1(L)$. Then $L - E_0$ is partitioned into several strongly connected components L_1, L_2, \dots, L_t with $|V(L_i)| \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Let D_i be the edge-induced subgraph of D by $E_i = V(L_i)$ and $V_i = V(D_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. So V_i is nonempty clearly. By Lemma 2.2, D_i is strongly connected. Since $|E_i| = |V(L_i)| \geq 2$ and there is at most one loop at every vertex in D_i , $|V_i| \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

We claim $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and $i \neq j$. Suppose to the contrary that $y \in V_i \cap V_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and $i \neq j$. Since D_i is strongly connected and $|V_i| \geq 2$, there are vertices $x, z \in V_i$ such that $(x, y), (y, z) \in E(D_i)$ (maybe $x = z$). Then (x, y) and (y, z) , as vertices, are in L_i . Similarly, there are vertices $x', z' \in V_j$ such that $(x', y), (y, z') \in E(D_j)$ (maybe $x' = z'$). Then (x', y) and (y, z') , as vertices, are in L_j . By the construction of L , there is an edge $((x, y), (y, z'))$ from $V(L_i)$ to $V(L_j)$ and an edge $((x', y), (y, z))$ from $V(L_j)$ to $V(L_i)$. So, $L_i \cup L_j$ is strongly connected, a contradiction. Therefore, $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and $i \neq j$.

Let $\bar{V}_1 = V(D) \setminus V_1$. Then the edge-sets $E(V_1, \bar{V}_1)$ and $E(\bar{V}_1, V_1)$ are both super edge-cuts in D and hence $\lambda_1(D)$ exists.

To prove $2\lambda_1(D) \leq \kappa_1(L)$, we first show $E(V_1, \bar{V}_1) \cup E(\bar{V}_1, V_1) \subseteq E_0$. Suppose to the contrary that there is an edge $(x, y) \in E(V_1, \bar{V}_1) \cup E(\bar{V}_1, V_1)$ but $(x, y) \notin E_0$. Without loss of generality, we suppose $(x, y) \in E(V_1, \bar{V}_1)$. So as a vertex of L , $(x, y) \in E - E_0$. But E_0 is a minimum super vertex-cut of L , so the vertex (x, y) is in and only in one of the t strongly connected components of $L - E_0$. Without loss of generality, assume that (x, y) is in L_1 , then by the definition of D_1 , the edge (x, y) is in D_1 , contradicting to our assumption that $(x, y) \in E(V_1, \bar{V}_1)$. So $E(V_1, \bar{V}_1) \cup E(\bar{V}_1, V_1) \subseteq E_0$.

Since D is balanced, $|E(V_1, \bar{V}_1)| = |E(\bar{V}_1, V_1)|$ by Lemma 2.3. So we have

$$2\lambda_1(D) \leq 2|E(V_1, \bar{V}_1)| = |E(V_1, \bar{V}_1) \cup E(\bar{V}_1, V_1)| \leq |E_0| = \kappa_1(L). \quad (1)$$

(b) Assume that D is optimally super edge-connected. Then $\lambda_1(D)$ exists and there is a super edge-cut F of D with $|F| = \lambda_1(D)$ such that $G - F$ has exactly two strongly connected components, say D_1 and D_2 . Let $X = V(D_1)$ and $Y = V(D_2)$. Then $|X| \geq 2$ and $|Y| \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, assume $F = E(X, Y)$. Since D is a connected balanced digraph, $E(Y, X)$ is also a super edge-cut and $|E(Y, X)| = |F| = |E(X, Y)|$ by Lemma 2.3. By Lemma 2.1, $L(D_1)$ and $L(D_2)$ are strongly connected and disjoint. Since D_1 and D_2 are strongly connected with at least two vertices, D_1 and D_2 both have at least two edges, which implies that $L(D_1)$ and $L(D_2)$ both contain at least two vertices. So $E(X, Y) \cup E(Y, X)$ is a super vertex-cut of L . It follows that $\kappa_1(L)$ exists and

$$\kappa_1(L) \leq |E(X, Y) \cup E(Y, X)| = 2|E(X, Y)| = 2\lambda_1(D). \quad (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2) yields $\kappa_1(L) = 2\lambda_1(D)$. The theorem then follows.

3 de Bruijn and Kautz Digraphs

For an integer n , the n -th iterated line digraph of D is recursively defined as $L^n(D) = L(L^{n-1}(D))$ with $L^0(D) = D$. In the design of communication networks, line digraphs of some special digraphs are often used as the topology, for they meet many requirements such as small delays and high reliability [12]. The well-known de Bruijn networks and Kautz networks are two of such examples.

For any integers $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, the de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$ can be defined by the $(n-1)$ -th iterated line digraph of K_d^+ , where K_d^+ is a complete digraph of order d plus a loop at every vertex, that is, $B(d, 1) = K_d^+$ and $B(d, n) = L^{n-1}(K_d^+) = L(B(d, n-1))$; the Kautz digraph $K(d, n)$ can be defined by the $(n-1)$ -th iterated line digraph of K_{d+1} , where K_{d+1} is a complete digraph of order $d+1$, that is, $K(d, 1) = K_{d+1}$ and $K(d, n) = L^{n-1}(K_{d+1}) = L(K(d, n-1))$. In this section, we will determine the super connectivity κ_1 of $B(d, n)$ and $K(d, n)$.

Lemma 3.1^[14]. *The removal of the edges incident with the two end-vertices of a pair of symmetric edges of $B(d, n)$ or $K(d, n)$ results in exactly two strongly connected components.*

Lemma 3.2^[14]. *For any de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$ with $n \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$,*

$$\lambda_1(B(d, n)) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ and } 2 \leq d \leq 3, \text{ or } n = d = 2; \\ 2d - 4, & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ and } d \geq 4; \\ 2d - 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.3. *For the de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$ with $n \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$,*

$$\kappa_1(B(d, n)) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{for } n = 1, \text{ or} \\ & n = 2 \text{ and } 2 \leq d \leq 3, \text{ or} \\ & n = 3 \text{ and } d = 2; \\ 4d - 8, & \text{for } n = 2 \text{ and } d \geq 4; \\ 4d - 4, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that $B(d, n) = L(B(d, n-1))$. It is easy to check that $\kappa_1(B(d, n))$ does not exist when d and n take some small values. Combining Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.2, we can immediately obtain the theorem if we can prove that $B(d, n)$ is optimally super edge-connected. To this end, by Lemma 3.2, we only need to show that there is a minimum super edge-cut F such that $B(d, n) - F$ contains exactly two strongly connected components when $\lambda_1(B(d, n))$ exists. Choose a pair of symmetric edges with end-vertices $\{x, y\}$. Let $X = \{x, y\}$, $\bar{X} = V(B(d, n)) \setminus X$ and $F = E(X, \bar{X})$. Then $B(d, n) - X$ contains exactly two strongly connected components by Lemma 3.1. Thus, we only need to check that $|F| = \lambda_1(B(d, n))$.

When $n = 1$, $B(d, 1) = K_d^+$. Thus, when $d \geq 4$, $|F| = |E(X, \bar{X})| = 2d - 4 = \lambda_1(B(d, 1))$ since there are loops at x and y respectively.

When $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$, since there are no loops at x and y , $|F| = |E(X, \bar{X})| = 2d - 2 = \lambda_1(B(d, n))$.

Corollary 3.4. *The de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$ is super connected for any $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Since $B(d, 1)$ is a complete digraph of order d with a loop at every vertex, it is clear that $B(d, 1)$ is super connected for any $d \geq 2$. It is easy to see that $B(2, 2)$, $B(3, 2)$ and $B(2, 3)$

are super connected. By Theorem 3.3, for $d \geq 4$ and $n = 2$, $\kappa_1(B(d, 2)) = 4d - 8 > d - 1 = \kappa(B(d, n))$, which means that $B(d, 2)$ is super connected for $d \geq 4$. Similarly, for $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ except $B(2, 3)$, $\kappa_1(B(d, n)) = 4d - 4 > d - 1 = \kappa(B(d, n))$, which means that $B(d, n)$ is super connected for $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 3.5^[14]. For any Kautz digraph $K(d, n)$ with $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, $\lambda_1(K(d, n)) = 2d - 2$ except $K(2, 1)$.

Note that $K(d, n) = L(K(d, n - 1))$. Combining Theorem 2.4, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.5, we immediately obtain a similar result for $K(d, n)$.

Theorem 3.6. $\kappa_1(K(d, n)) = 4d - 4$ for $d \geq 2, n \geq 2$ except $K(2, 2)$.

Corollary 3.7. The Kautz digraph $K(d, n)$ is super connected for any $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$.

4 Line Graphs

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple undirected graph. The line graph of G , denoted by $L(G)$, or L for short, is an undirected graph, in which $V(L(G)) = E(G)$ and two distinct vertices are linked by an edge if and only if they are adjacent as edges of G .

It is not always true that for a strongly connected digraph G , if $\lambda_h(G)$ exists, then there is a minimum super edge-cut F of G such that $G - F$ contains exactly two strongly connected components, but it is not hard to see that for an undirected graph, the following result holds.

Lemma 4.1. For a connected graph G , if $\lambda_h(G)$ exists, then for any minimum h -super edge-cut of G , $G - F$ contains exactly two connected components, whereby, it is optimally h -super edge-connected.

Clearly, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 are valid for an undirected graph. By Lemma 4.1, if $\lambda_2(G)$ exists and F is a minimum 2-super edge-cut of G , then the two connected components of $G - F$ both contain at least three vertices, which implies each connected component contains at least two edges. So their line graphs are connected and both contain at least two vertices. Therefore, $L(F)$ corresponds to a super vertex-cut of $L(G)$. If L_1 is a subgraph of $L(G)$ and connected, then the edge-induced subgraph $G[E_1]$ of G is connected too, where $E_1 = V(L_1)$. In the same manner as the proof of the digraph case, the following theorem can be obtained, and the detailed proof is omitted here.

Theorem 4.2. If G is a connected undirected graph without parallel edges or loops, then $\kappa_1(L)$ exists if and only if $\lambda_2(G)$ exists. Moreover, if one of $\lambda_2(G)$ and $\kappa_1(L)$ exists, then $\kappa_1(L) = \lambda_2(G)$.

References

- [1] Bauer, D., Boesch, F., Suffel, C., Tindell, R. Connectivity extremal problems and the design of reliable probabilistic networks. *The Theory and Application of Graphs*. Wiley, New York, 1981, 45–54
- [2] Boesch, F.T. Synthesis of reliable networks-A survey. *IEEE Trans. Reliability*, 35: 240–246 (1986)
- [3] Esfahanian, A.H. Generalized measures of fault tolerance with application to n -cube networks. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, 38(11): 1586–1591 (1989)
- [4] Esfahanian, A.H., Hakimi, S.L. On computing a conditional edge-connectivity of a graph. *Information Processing Letters*, 27: 195–199 (1988)
- [5] Fábrega, J., Fiol, M.A. Maximally connected digraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 13: 657–668 (1989)
- [6] Fiol, M.A., Fábrega, J., Escudero, M. Short paths and connectivity in graphs and digraphs. *Ars Combin.*, 29B: 253–256 (1990)
- [7] Imase, M., Soneoka, T., Okada, K. Connectivity of regular digraphs with small diameters. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, 34: 267–273 (1985)
- [8] Latifi, S., Hegde, M., Naraghi-Pour, M. Conditional connectivity measures for large multiprocessor systems. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, 43: 218–221 (1994)

- [9] Meng, J.X., Ji, Y.H. On a kind of restricted edge connectivity of graphs. *Discrete Applied Math.*, 117: 183–193 (2002)
- [10] Soneoka, T. Super edge-connectivity of dense digraphs and graphs. *Discrete Applied Math.*, 37/38: 511–523 (1992)
- [11] Wang, M., Li, Q. Conditional edge connectivity properties, reliability comparisons and transitivity of graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 258: 205–214 (2002)
- [12] Xu, J.M. Topological structure and analysis of interconnection networks. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2001
- [13] Xu, J.M. Theory and application of graphs. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2003
- [14] Xu, J.M., Lü, M. The restricted edge-connectivity of de Bruijn digraphs. *Ars Combinatoria*, 75: 490–498 (2005)
- [15] Xu, J.M., Xu, K.L. On restricted edge-connectivity of graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 243: 291–298 (2002)