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#### Abstract

The augmented cube $A Q_{n}$, proposed by Choudum and Sunitha [S.A. Choudum, V. Sunitha, Augmented cubes, Networks 40 (2) (2002) 71-84], is a $(2 n-1)$-regular $(2 n-1)$-connected graph $(n \neq 3)$. This paper determines that the super connectivity of $A Q_{n}$ is $4 n-8$ for $n \geqslant 6$ and the super edge-connectivity is $4 n-4$ for $n \geqslant 5$. That is, for $n \geqslant 6$ (respectively, $n \geqslant 5$ ), at least $4 n-8$ vertices (respectively, $4 n-4$ edges) of $A Q_{n}$ are removed to get a disconnected graph that contains no isolated vertices. When the augmented cube is used to model the topological structure of a large-scale parallel processing system, these results can provide more accurate measurements for reliability and fault tolerance of the system.
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## 1. Introduction

An interconnection network is usually represented by an undirected simple graph $G=(V(G), E(G))$, where $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ are the vertex set and the edge set, respectively, of $G$. In this paper, we use a graph and a network interchangeably. For graph terminology and notation not defined here we follow [15].

It is well known that interconnection networks play an important role in parallel computing/communication systems. The connectivity $\kappa(G)$ or the edge-connectivity $\lambda(G)$ of a graph $G$ is an important measurement for

[^0]fault-tolerance of the network, and the larger $\kappa(G)$ or $\lambda(G)$ is, the more reliable the network is. It is well known that $\kappa(G) \leqslant \lambda(G) \leqslant \delta(G)$, where $\delta(G)$ is the minimum degree of $G$. As more refined indices than connectivity and edge-connectivity, super connectivity and super edge-connectivity were proposed in [1,3].

A subset $S \subset V(G)$ (respectively, $F \subset E(G)$ ) is called a super vertex-cut (respectively, super edge-cut) if $G-S$ (respectively, $G-F$ ) is not connected and every component contains at least two vertices. In general, super vertex-cuts or super edge-cuts do not always exist. The super connectivity $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ (respectively, super edge-connectivity $\left.\lambda^{\prime}(G)\right)$ is the minimum cardinality over all super vertex-cuts (respectively, super edge-cuts) in $G$ if any, and, by convention, is $+\infty$ otherwise. The super connectivity has been studied for many networks,

Table 1
Results of some networks

| Networks | Supper connectivity | Supper edge-connectivity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{n}$ | $2 n-2(n \geqslant 3)$ | $2 n-2(n \geqslant 3)$ |
| $C Q_{n}$ | $2 n-2(n \geqslant 3)$ | $2 n-2(n \geqslant 3)$ |
| $M Q_{n}$ | $2 n-2(n \geqslant 3)$ | $2 n-2(n \geqslant 3)$ |
| $A Q_{n}$ | $4 n-8(n \geqslant 6)$ | $4 n-4(n \geqslant 5)$ |

such as $[3,5,7,8,10-14,16,17]$. Some of the results are listed in Table 1.

It is well known that the hypercube is one of the most popular interconnection networks for parallel computer/communication system. As an enhancement on the hypercube $Q_{n}$, the augmented cube $A Q_{n}$, proposed by Choudum and Sunitha [2], not only retains some of the favorable properties of $Q_{n}$ but also possesses some embedding properties that $Q_{n}$ does not (see, for example, $[6,9])$. In this paper, we prove that $\kappa^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right)=4 n-8$ for $n \geqslant 6$ and $\lambda^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right)=4 n-4$ for $n \geqslant 5$.

For a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a subset $S \subset V(G)$, we set $N_{G}(S)=\{X \in V(G) \backslash S: \exists U \in S$ such that $(U, X) \in$ $E(G)\}$. Let $N_{G}[S]=N_{G}(S) \cup S$. If $S=\{U\}$, we write $N_{G}(U)$ and $N_{G}[U]$ instead of $N_{G}(S)$ and $N_{G}[S]$, respectively. We will write $N(S)$ (respectively, $N[S]$ ) instead of $N_{G}(S)$ (respectively, $\left.N_{G}[S]\right)$ if there is no ambiguity. The minimum edge-degree of $G$ is $\xi(G)=$ $\min \{d(U)+d(V)-2: \quad(U, V) \in E(G)\}, d(U)=$ $|N(U)|$ standing for the degree of a vertex $U$.

The following of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the definition of augmented cube and its properties. The main results are given in Section 3. Finally, we conclude our paper in Section 4.

## 2. Augmented cube and its properties

The $n$-dimensional augmented cube $A Q_{n}(n \geqslant 1)$ can be defined recursively as follows.

Definition 1. $A Q_{1}$ is a complete graph $K_{2}$ with the vertex set $\{0,1\}$. For $n \geqslant 2, A Q_{n}$ is obtained by taking two copies of the augmented cube $A Q_{n-1}$, denoted by $A Q_{n-1}^{0}$ and $A Q_{n-1}^{1}$, and adding $2 \times 2^{n-1}$ edges between the two as follows:

Let $V\left(A Q_{n-1}^{0}\right)=\left\{0 u_{n-1} \ldots u_{2} u_{1}: u_{i}=0\right.$ or 1$\}$ and $V\left(A Q_{n-1}^{1}\right)=\left\{1 u_{n-1} \ldots u_{2} u_{1}: u_{i}=0\right.$ or 1$\}$. A vertex $U=0 u_{n-1} \ldots u_{2} u_{1}$ of $A Q_{n-1}^{0}$ is joined to a vertex $W=$ $1 w_{n-1} \ldots w_{2} w_{1}$ of $A Q_{n-1}^{1}$ if and only if either
(i) $u_{i}=w_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$; or
(ii) $u_{i}=\bar{w}_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.


Fig. 1. Two augmented cubes $A Q_{2}$ and $A Q_{3}$.

The augmented cubes $A Q_{2}$ and $A Q_{3}$ are shown in Fig. 1.

According to Definition 1 of augmented cubes, we write this recursive construction of $A Q_{n}$ symbolically as $A Q_{n}=L \oplus R$, where $L \cong A Q_{n-1}^{0}$ and $R \cong A Q_{n-1}^{1}$. We call the edges between $L$ and $R$ crossed edges. Clearly every vertex of $A Q_{n}$ is incident with two crossed edges.

For an $n$-bit binary string $U=u_{n} u_{n-1} \ldots u_{1}$, we use $U_{i}$ (respectively, $\bar{U}_{i}$ ) to denote the binary string $u_{n} \ldots \bar{u}_{i} \ldots u_{1}$ (respectively, $u_{n} \ldots \bar{u}_{i} \ldots \bar{u}_{1}$ ) which differs with $U$ in the $i$ th bit position (respectively, from the first to the $i$ th bit positions). It is clear that $U_{1}=\bar{U}_{1}$. We use $U_{1}$ rather than $\bar{U}_{1}$.

An alternative definition of $A Q_{n}$ is given in the following.

Definition 2. The augmented cube $A Q_{n}$ of dimension $n$ has $2^{n}$ vertices. Each vertex is labeled by a unique $n$-bit binary string as its address. Two vertices $U=$ $u_{n} u_{n-1} \ldots u_{1}$ and $W=w_{n} w_{n-1} \ldots w_{1}$ are joined iff either
(i) There exists an integer $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, such that $W=$ $U_{i}$; in this case, the edge is called a hypercube edge of dimension $i$, denoted by $\left(U, U_{i}\right)$, or
(ii) There exists an integer $i, 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, such that $W=$ $\bar{U}_{i}$; in this case, the edge is called a complement edge of dimension $i$, denoted by $\left(U, \bar{U}_{i}\right)$.

It has been shown that $A Q_{n}(n \neq 3)$ is a $(2 n-1)$ regular $(2 n-1)$-connected graph in [2]. The following two properties are derived directly from Definition 2.

Property 1. If $\left(U, U_{i}\right)$ is a hypercube edge of dimension $i(2 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$, then
$N_{A Q_{n}}(U) \cap N_{A Q_{n}}\left(U_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}\left\{\bar{U}_{i}, \bar{U}_{i-1}\right\} & \text { if } i>1, \\ \left\{\bar{U}_{2}, U_{2}\right\} & \text { if } i=1,\end{cases}$
that is, $U$ and $U_{i}$ have exactly two common neighbors in $A Q_{n}$ and $\left|N_{A Q_{n}}\left(\left\{U, U_{i}\right\}\right)\right|=4 n-6$.

Property 2. If $\left(U, \bar{U}_{i}\right)$ is a complement edge of dimension $i(2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1)$, then $N_{A Q_{n}}(U) \cap N_{A Q_{n}}\left(\bar{U}_{i}\right)=$ $\left\{U_{i}, U_{i+1}, \bar{U}_{i-1}, \bar{U}_{i+1}\right\}$, that is, $U$ and $\bar{U}_{i}$ have exactly four common neighbors in $A Q_{n}$ and $\left|N_{A Q_{n}}\left(\left\{U, \bar{U}_{i}\right\}\right)\right|=$ $4 n-8$. If $\left(U, \bar{U}_{n}\right)$ is a complement edge of dimension $n$, then $N_{A Q_{n}}(U) \cap N_{A Q_{n}}\left(\bar{U}_{n}\right)=\left\{\bar{U}_{n-1}, U_{n}\right\}$, that is, $U$ and $\bar{U}_{n}$ have exactly two common neighbors in $A Q_{n}$ and $\left|N_{A Q_{n}}\left(\left\{U, \bar{U}_{n}\right\}\right)\right|=4 n-6$.

Note that $A Q_{n}$ can expressed as $A Q_{n}=L \oplus R$, where $L \cong A Q_{n-1}^{0}$ and $R \cong A Q_{n-1}^{1}$. We can also obtain the following property from Definition 1.

Property 3. If two vertices $U$ and $W$ in $L$ (respectively, $R$ ) have common neighbors in $R$ (respectively, $L$ ), then $W=\bar{U}_{n-1}$ and they have exactly two common neighbors $U_{n}$ and $\bar{U}_{n}$ in $R$.

With the above properties, we can obtain the following property which is useful to us.

Property 4. Any two vertices in $A Q_{n}$ have at most four common neighbors for $n \geqslant 3$.

Proof. We prove the conclusion by induction on $n$. It is trivially true for $A Q_{3}$ (see Fig. 1). Suppose that the result is true for $A Q_{n-1}$ with $n \geqslant 4$. We will prove the result is true for $A Q_{n}$ according to the location of the two vertices.

Case 1. Both vertices $U$ and $W$ are in $L$ or $R$. Without loss of generality, we may assume they are in $L$.

If $U$ and $W$ have no common neighbor in $R$, by the induction hypothesis, they have at most four neighbors in $L$. The conclusion is true.

If $U$ and $W$ have common neighbors in $R$, by Property $3, W=\bar{U}_{n-1}$ and they have exactly two common neighbors in $R$. Then ( $U, W$ ) is a complement edge of dimension $(n-1)$ in $L \cong A Q_{n-1}^{0}$. By Property 2 , they have exactly two common neighbors in $L$. Thus, $U$ and $W$ have exactly four common neighbors in $A Q_{n}=$ $L \oplus R$.

Case 2. One of the two vertices is in $L$, the other is in $R$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $U \in L$ and $W \in R$. Since $U$ (respectively, $W$ ) has exactly two neighbors in $R$ (respectively, $L$ ), they have at most four common neighbors in $A Q_{n}$.

## 3. Main results

Lemma 1. $\kappa^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right) \leqslant 4 n-8$ for $n \geqslant 6$.
Proof. Let $S=\left\{U, \bar{U}_{i}\right\}(2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1)$. By Property 2 , we have $|N(S)|=4 n-8$. We will prove that $N(S)$ is a
super vertex-cut, which means $\kappa^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right) \leqslant 4 n-8$. To the end, we need to prove that $A Q_{n}-N[S]$ has no isolated vertex.

Let $W$ be a vertex in $A Q_{n}-N[S]$. By Property 4 , $U$ (respectively, $\bar{U}_{i}$ ) and $W$ have at most four common neighbors. Hence, $|N(W) \cap N[S]| \leqslant 10$. Since $n \geqslant 6$, we have $|N(W)| \geqslant 11$. Thus, $W$ has at least one neighbor in $A Q_{n}-N[S]$. The lemma follows.

Theorem 1. $\kappa^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right)=4 n-8$ for $n \geqslant 6$.
Proof. By Lemma 1, we only need to prove $\kappa^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right) \geqslant$ $4 n-8$. Let $S$ be an arbitrary set of vertices in $A Q_{n}$ such that $|S| \leqslant 4 n-9$ and there are no isolated vertices in $A Q_{n}-S$. We will prove that $A Q_{n}-S$ is connected.

Note that $A Q_{n}=L \oplus R$ where $L \cong A Q_{n-1}^{0}$ and $R \cong A Q_{n-1}^{1}$. For convenience, let $S_{L}=S \cap L$ and $S_{R}=$ $S \cap R$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\left|S_{L}\right| \geqslant\left|S_{R}\right|$. Then $\left|S_{R}\right| \leqslant\lfloor(4 n-9) / 2\rfloor=2 n-5$.

Since $R \cong A Q_{n-1}^{1}$ and $\kappa(R)=2(n-1)-1=2 n-3$, we have $R-S_{R}$ is connected. It remains to be shown that any vertex in $L-S_{L}$ is connected via a path to a vertex in $R-S_{R}$. Let $U$ be an arbitrary vertex in $L-S_{L}$. Its neighbors in $R$ are $U_{n}$ and $\bar{U}_{n}$. If $\left\{U_{n}, \bar{U}_{n}\right\} \not \subset S_{R}$, we are done. So assume that $\left\{U_{n}, \bar{U}_{n}\right\} \subset S_{R}$ below. Consider the following two cases.

Case $1 . \bar{U}_{n-1} \notin S_{L}$. By Property 2 , we have $\mid N_{L}(\{U$, $\left.\left.\bar{U}_{n-1}\right\}\right) \mid=4 n-10$. Let $X=\left\{X^{i}: X^{i} \in N_{L}(U) \backslash\right.$ $\left.\left\{\bar{U}_{n-1}\right\}\right\}, Y=\left\{Y^{j}: Y^{j} \in N_{L}\left(\bar{U}_{n-1}\right) \backslash N_{L}[U]\right\}$, and $S^{\prime}=$ $S-\left\{U_{n}, \bar{U}_{n}\right\}$. It is not difficult to see that $\left(N_{R}(X) \cup\right.$ $\left.N_{R}(Y)\right) \cap\left\{U_{n}, \bar{U}_{n}\right\}=\emptyset,|X|=2 n-4,|Y|=2 n-6$ and $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 4 n-11$. For each vertex $X^{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n-4$ (respectively, $Y^{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 n-6$ ), let $P_{i}=\left(U, X^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right)$ (respectively, $P_{j}=\left(\bar{U}_{n-1}, Y^{j}, Y_{n}^{j}\right)$ ) be a path joining $U$ (respectively, $\vec{U}_{n-1}$ ) to a vertex in $R$. Note that these paths are vertex disjoint except for $U$ (respectively, $\left.\bar{U}_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left|\left\{P_{i}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n-4\right\}\right|+\mid\left\{P_{j}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant\right.$ $2 n-6\} \mid=4 n-10$. Since $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 4 n-11$ and each vertex in $S^{\prime}$ can correspond to at most one such path, there must exist a path $P_{i}$ or $P_{j}$ such that $V\left(P_{i}\right) \cap S^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or $V\left(P_{j}\right) \cap S^{\prime}=\emptyset$. This implies that in $A Q_{n}-S$, vertex $U$ is connected via a path to a vertex in $R-S_{R}$ (see Fig. 2(a)).

Case 2. $\bar{U}_{n-1} \in S_{L}$. There must exist a neighbor $W$ of $U$ in $L-S_{L}$ since there are no isolated vertices in $A Q_{n}-S$. The two neighbors of $W$ in $R$ is $W_{n}$ and $\bar{W}_{n}$. If $\left\{W_{n}, \bar{W}_{n}\right\} \not \subset S_{R}$, we are done. So assume that $\left\{W_{n}, \bar{W}_{n}\right\} \subset S_{R}$. If $\bar{W}_{n-1} \notin S_{L}$, we can obtain a path joining $W$ or $\bar{W}_{n-1}$ to a vertex in $R-S_{R}$ by using a method similar to the one used in Case 1. Thus, $U$ is connected via a path to a vertex in $R-F_{R}$. Hence, assume $\bar{W}_{n-1} \in S_{L}$ below.


Fig. 2. Illustrations for the proof of Theorem 1.

By Property 4, we have $\mid\left\{N_{L}(\{U, W\}) \backslash\left\{\bar{U}_{n-1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\bar{W}_{n-1}\right\} \mid \geqslant 4 n-14$. Let $X=\left\{X^{i}: X^{i} \in N_{L}(U) \backslash\right.$ $\left.\left\{\bar{U}_{n-1}, W\right\}\right\}, Y=\left\{Y^{j}: Y^{j} \in N_{L}(W) \backslash\left(N_{L}[U] \cup \bar{W}_{n-1}\right)\right\}$, and $S^{\prime}=S-\left\{U_{n}, \bar{U}_{n}, W_{n}, \bar{W}_{n}, \bar{U}_{n-1}, \bar{W}_{n-1}\right\}$. It is not difficult to see that $\left(N_{R}(X) \cup N_{R}(Y)\right) \cap\left\{U_{n}, \bar{U}_{n}, W_{n}\right.$, $\left.\bar{W}_{n}\right\}=\emptyset,|X|=2 n-5,|Y| \geqslant 2 n-9$ and $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=4 n-15$. For each vertex $X^{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n-5$ (respectively, $\left.Y^{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 n-9\right)$, let $P_{i}=\left(U, X^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right)$ (respectively, $\left.P_{j}=\left(W, Y^{j}, Y_{n}^{j}\right)\right)$ be a path joining $U$ (respectively, $W$ ) to a vertex in $R$. Note that these paths are vertex disjoint except for $U$ (respectively, $W$ ) and $\mid\left\{P_{i}: 1 \leqslant\right.$ $i \leqslant 2 n-5\}\left|+\left|\left\{P_{j}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 n-9\right\}\right|=4 n-14\right.$. Since $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=4 n-15$ and each vertex in $S^{\prime}$ can correspond to at most one such path, there must exist a path $P_{i}$ or $P_{j}$ such that $V\left(P_{i}\right) \cap S^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or $V\left(P_{j}\right) \cap S^{\prime}=\emptyset$. This implies that in $A Q_{n}-S$, vertex $U$ is connected via a path to a vertex in $R-S_{R}$ (see Fig. 2(b)).

We have proved that $A Q_{n}-S$ is connected, which means $\kappa^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right) \geqslant 4 n-8$ for $n \geqslant 6$. The theorem follows.

The following result, which can be found in [4], is useful in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 2. $\lambda^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \xi(G)$ for any graph $G$ with order at least 4 and not a star.

Theorem 2. $\lambda^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right)=4 n-4$ for $n \geqslant 5$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, we only need to prove $\lambda^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right) \geqslant$ $4 n-4$ for $n \geqslant 5$.

Let $F$ be an arbitrary subset of edges in $A Q_{n}$ such that $|F| \leqslant 4 n-5$ and there are no isolated vertices in $A Q_{n}-F$. We will prove that $A Q_{n}-F$ is connected.

For convenience, let $F_{L}=F \cap L$ and $F_{R}=F \cap R$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\left|F_{L}\right| \geqslant$ $\left|F_{R}\right|$. Then $\left|F_{R}\right| \leqslant\lfloor(4 n-5) / 2\rfloor=2 n-3$.

Since $R \cong A Q_{n-1}^{1}((n-1) \geqslant 4)$ and $R$ is $(2 n-1)$ regular $(2 n-1)$-connected graph, we conclude that $R$ is ( $2 n-1$ )-edge-connected. That is $R-F_{R}$ is connected. It remains to be shown that any vertex in $L$ is connected via a path to a vertex in $R$. Let $U$ be an arbitrary vertex in $L$. If the two crossed edges $\left\{\left(U, U_{n}\right),\left(U, \bar{U}_{n}\right)\right\} \not \subset F$, we are done. So assume that $\left\{\left(U, U_{n}\right),\left(U, \bar{U}_{n}\right)\right\} \subset F$ below.

Since there are no isolated vertices in $A Q_{n}-F$, there is an edge $(U, W)$ incident with $U$ in $L$ such that $(U, W) \notin F_{L}$. If the two crossed edges $\left\{\left(W, W_{n}\right)\right.$, $\left.\left(W, \bar{W}_{n}\right)\right\} \not \subset F$, we are done. So assume that $\left\{\left(W, W_{n}\right)\right.$, $\left.\left(W, \bar{W}_{n}\right)\right\} \subset F$ below.

Let $E_{1}=\left\{\left(U, U^{i}\right):\left(U, U^{i}\right) \in E(L) \backslash\{(U, W)\}\right\}$, $E_{2}=\left\{\left(W, W^{j}\right):\left(W, W^{j}\right) \in E(L) \backslash\{(U, W)\}\right\}$, and $F^{\prime}=F-\left\{\left(U, U_{n}\right),\left(U, \bar{U}_{n}\right),\left(W, W_{n}\right),\left(W, \bar{W}_{n}\right)\right\}$. It is not difficult to see that $\left|E_{1}\right|=\left|E_{2}\right|=2 n-4, E_{1} \cap E_{2}=$ $\emptyset$ and $\left|F^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 4 n-9$. Let $P_{i}=\left(U, U^{i}, U_{n}^{i}\right)$ (respectively, $\left.P_{j}=\left(W, W^{i}, W_{n}^{i}\right)\right)$ be a path joining $U$ (respectively, $W$ ) to a vertex in $R$. Note that these paths are edge disjoint and $\left|\left\{P_{i}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n-4\right\}\right|+\mid\left\{P_{j}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant\right.$ $2 n-4\} \mid=4 n-8$. Since $\left|F^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 4 n-9$ and each edge in $F^{\prime}$ can correspond to at most one such path, there must exist a path $P_{i}$ or $P_{j}$ such that $E\left(P_{i}\right) \cap F^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or $E\left(P_{j}\right) \cap F^{\prime}=\emptyset$. This implies that in $A Q_{n}-F$, vertex $U$ is connected via a path to a vertex in $R$.

We proved that $A Q_{n}-F$ is connected, which means $\lambda^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right) \geqslant 4 n-4$ for $n \geqslant 5$. The theorem follows.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we concentrate on two stronger measures of network reliability called super connectivity $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ and super edge-connectivity $\lambda^{\prime}(G)$ which not only compensate for shortcoming but also generalize the classical connectivity $\kappa(G)$ and edge-connectivity $\lambda(G)$. For the augmented cube $A Q_{n}$, an enhancement on the hypercube $Q_{n}$, we proved that $\kappa^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right)=4 n-8$
for $n \geqslant 6$ and $\lambda^{\prime}\left(A Q_{n}\right)=4 n-4$ for $n \geqslant 5$. The two results show that the augmented cube is robust when it is used to model the topological structure of a large-scale parallel processing system.
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