Restricted Edge-Connectivity of de Bruijn Digraphs*

Jun-Ming Xu[†] Min Lü

Department of Mathematics

University of Science and Technology of China

Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China

Abstract

The restricted edge-connectivity of a graph is an important parameter to measure fault-tolerance of interconnection networks. This paper determines that the restricted edge-connectivity of the de Bruijn digraph B(d,n) is equal to 2d-2 for $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ except B(2,2). As consequences, the super edge-connectedness of B(d,n) is obtained immediately.

Keywords: Connectivity, Restricted edge-connectivity, Super edge-connected, de Bruijn digraphs, Kautz digraphs

AMS Subject Classification: 05C40

1 Introduction

It is well-known that when the underlying topology of an interconnection network is modelled by a graph or digraph G, the edge-connectivity $\lambda(G)$ of G is an important measurement for fault-tolerance of the network. This paper considers the de Bruijn digraph B(d,n). It has been shown that $\lambda(B(d,n)) = d-1$ and $\lambda(K(d,n)) = d$ (see, for example, [9]). A connected graph G is said to be super edge-connected if every minimum edge-cut isolates a vertex of G [1]. Soneoka [8] showed that the B(d,n) is super edge-connected for any $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, and Fàbrega and Fiol [4] proved that K(d,n) is super edge-connected for any $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$.

A quite natural question is how many edges must be removed to disconnect a graph such that every connected component of the resulting graph

^{*} The work was supported partially by NNSF of China (No.10271114).

[†] Corresponding author: xujm@ustc.edu.cn

contains no isolated vertex. To measure this type of edge-connectivity, Esfahanian and Hakimi [2, 3] introduced the concept of the restricted edge-connectivity of a graph. The definition given here is slightly different from the original definition. The restricted edge-connectivity of a graph G, denoted by $\lambda'(G)$, is the minimum number λ' for which G has a λ' -edge cut F such that every connected component of G - F has at least two vertices. They solved the existence of $\lambda'(G)$ for a given graph by proving that if G is neither $K_{1,n}$ nor K_3 , then $\lambda(G) \leq \lambda'(G) \leq \xi(G)$, where $\xi(G)$ is the minimum edge-degree of G. Clearly, if $\lambda'(G) > \lambda(G)$ then G is super edge-connected. Since then one has paid much attention to the concept and determined the restricted edge-connectivity for many well-known graphs. In particular, λ' has been completely determined for the Kautz digraph K(d,n), the undirected de Bruijn graph UB(d,n) and Kautz graph UK(d,n) (see, for example, [5, 6, 7, 10, 11]). In this paper, we determine λ' for de Bruijn digraph B(d,n).

Theorem For any de Bruijn digraph B(d, n) with $n \ge 1$ and $d \ge 2$,

$$\lambda'(B(d,n)) = \begin{cases} \text{not exist,} & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ and } 2 \le d \le 3, \text{ or } n = d = 2; \\ 2d - 4, & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ and } d \ge 4; \\ 2d - 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of the theorem is in Section 3. Our way presented in this paper can prove the result for the Kautz digraph K(d, n) in [5]. However, the methods used in [5] do not work for the de Bruijn digraph B(d, n).

2 Some Lemmas

The de Bruijn digraph B(d, n) has the vertex-set

$$V = \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n : x_i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, d-1\}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\},\$$

and the edge-set E, where for $x, y \in V$, if $x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$, then

$$(x,y) \in E \Leftrightarrow y = x_2 x_3 \cdots x_n \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \{0,1,\cdots,d-1\}.$$

Clearly, B(d, 1) is a complete digraph of order d plus a self-loop at every vertex. It has been shown that B(d, n) is d-regular and (d - 1)-connected. For more properties of de Bruijn digraphs, the reader is referred to Section 3.2 in [9].

Assume $x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ and $y = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n$ are two distinct vertices of B(d, n). If the distance from x to y is equal to l, then the unique shortest (x, y)-path

$$P: \quad x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \to x_2 x_3 \cdots x_n y_{n-l+1} \to x_3 \cdots x_n y_{n-l+1} y_{n-l+2} \to \cdots \to x_l \cdots x_n y_{n-l+1} \cdots y_{n-1} \to x_{l+1} \cdots x_n y_{n-l+1} \cdots y_n = y.$$

can be expressed as the following sequence:

$$P = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{l+1} \cdots x_n y_{n-l+1} \cdots y_n,$$

in which any subsequence of length n is a vertex in P.

A pair of directed edges are said to be symmetric if they have the same end-vertices but different orientations. The de Bruijn digraph contains pairs of symmetric edges. If there are a pair of symmetric edges between two vertices x and y, then it is not difficult to see that the coordinates of x and y are alternately in two different digits a and b, that is, $x = abab \cdots ab$ and $y = baba \cdots ba$ if n is even, while $x = abab \cdots aba$ and $y = baba \cdots bab$ if n is odd, where $a \neq b$.

We follow [9] for graph-theoretical terminology and notation not defined here. Let G=(V,E) be a strongly connected digraph (loops and parallel edges are here allowed). An edge-set F of G is called a restricted edge-cut (R-edge-cut, in short) if G-F is not strongly connected and every strongly connected component has at least two vertices. The restricted edge-connectivity $\lambda'(G)$ is the minimum cardinality over all R-edge-cuts in G. We observe that there are no R-edge-cuts in B(2,1), B(2,2) and B(3,1), and call these digraphs trivial, and otherwise nontrivial.

Lemma 1 If B(d,n) is nontrivial, then $\lambda'(B(d,n)) \leq 2d-2$ for any $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$.

Proof Let G be a nontrivial B(d,n), and suppose that x and y are two different vertices in G with a pair of symmetric edges between them. Then the set of edges $E_G^+(\{x,y\})$ is an edge-cut in G and $|E_G^+(\{x,y\})| = 2d-2$. Thus, we only need to show that $E_G^+(\{x,y\})$ is an R-edge-cut. To the end, it is sufficient to show that $G - \{x,y\}$ is strongly connected. Let $u = u_1u_2\cdots u_n$ and $v = v_1v_2\cdots v_n$ be an arbitrary pair of vertices in $G - \{x,y\}$. We can obtain the result by showing that u and v are strongly connected in $G - \{x,y\}$.

Without loss of generality, we assume n is even, $x = abab \cdots ab$ and $y = baba \cdots ba$, where $a \neq b$ and $a, b \in \{0, 1, \cdots, d-1\}$.

We first consider the case of n>2. Let $z=aab\cdots aba$ and $w=ab\cdots abaa$. Then z is an in-neighbor of x, and w is an out-neighbor of y. Moreover, $(z,w)\in E(B(d,n))$. Suppose that the distance from u to z is equal to l and the distance from w to v is equal to l'. Denote the shortest (u,z)-path by $Q=u_1u_2\cdots u_laab\cdots aba$ and the shortest (w,v)-path by $Q'=ab\cdots abaav_{n-l'+1}\cdots v_n$. When $l\leq n-2$, any subsequence of length n in Q contains aa, so Q contains neither x nor y. When l=n-1 any subsequence of length n in Q contains aa except the first subsequence of length n, which is u. So Q contains neither x nor y for $l\leq n-1$. For l=n, Q contains y only when $u=u_1bab\cdots ab$ with $u_1\neq a$, which is an

in-neighbor of y. Similarly, Q' contains neither x nor y for $l' \leq n-1$, and contains x only when $v = bab \cdots bv_n$ with $v_n \neq a$, which is an out-neighbor of x. We show that u can reach v in $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$ by constructing a (u, v)-walk according to the following three cases, respectively.

Case 1 If both Q and Q' contain neither x nor y, then u can reach v in $B(d,n)-\{x,y\}$ via a (u,v)-walk Q+(z,w)+Q'.

Case 2 If $u=u_1bab\cdots ab$ with $u_1\neq a$ and Q' contains neither x nor y, then y is an out-neighbor of u. Let $z_1=baba\cdots abb$. Then z_1 is another out-neighbor of u. Let $z_2=abab\cdots bba$, which is an out-neighbor of z_1 . Then $Q_1=abab\cdots bbaabab\cdots abaa$ is a (z_2,w) -walk of length n, and contains neither x nor y since any subsequence of length n in Q_1 contains bb or aa. Thus, u can reach v in $B(d,n)-\{x,y\}$ via a (u,v)-walk $(u,z_1)+(z_1,z_2)+Q_1+Q'$.

Case 3 If $u = u_1bab\cdots ab$ with $u_1 \neq a$ and $v = bab\cdots abv_n$ with $v_n \neq a$, then $(u, v) \in E(B(d, n))$, and u can reach v in $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$ via the edge.

When n=2, we have $d\geq 3$ since $\lambda'(B(2,2))$ doesn't exist. Then x=ab,y=ba. Without loss of generality, we can assume $u=u_1u_2,v=v_1v_2$. Then $P=u_1u_2v_1v_2$ is the shortest path from u to v. If the vertex $z=u_2v_1\notin\{x,y\}$, then we are done. If z=ab, then $u=u_1a,v=bv_2$. Since $d\geq 3$, we can construct another (u,v)-walk: u_1acbv_2 where $c\in\{0,1,\cdots,d-1\}\setminus\{a,b\}$. The walk is in $B(d,2)-\{x,y\}$. If z=ba, we can also construct a (u,v)-walk in $B(d,2)-\{x,y\}$ in the same way. So u can reach v via a (u,v)-walk in $B(d,2)-\{x,y\}$.

Similarly, v can reach u via a (v, u)-walk in $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$. Thus, u and v are strongly connected in $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$. The lemma follows.

Lemma 2 Let H be a subgraph of B(d, n). For $n \ge 2$, if |V(H)| = t, then $|E(H)| \le \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + 1)$.

Proof From the definition, it is clear that B(d, n) has the following properties for $n \geq 2$:

- (i) any two pairs of symmetric edges are not adjacent;
- (ii) any two vertices with a self-loop, if any, are not adjacent;
- (iii) the end-vertices of any pair of symmetric edges have no self-loops.

Let V_1 be the set of the vertices with a self-loop in H. Suppose H_1 is the subgraph of H induced by V_1 and that H_2 is the subgraph of H induced by $V_2 = V(H) \setminus V_1$. Use E_3 to denote the set of the edges between V_1 and V_2 in H. Then

$$E(H) = E(H_1) \cup E(H_2) \cup E_3.$$

Assume $|V_1|=p$. By the property (ii), $|E(H_1)|=|V_1|=p$. Let $E_{21}=\{(x,y): (x,y)\in E(H) \text{ and } (y,x)\in E(H)\}$. By the properties (i) and (iii), E_{21} is a matching of H_2 and, hence, $|E_{21}|\leq \lfloor\frac{1}{2}(t-p)\rfloor$. Let $E_{22}=$

 $E(H_2) \setminus E_{21}$. Since E_{22} contains no symmetric edges, $|E_{22}| \leq {t-p \choose 2} = \frac{1}{2}(t-p)(t-p-1)$. It follows that

$$E(H_2) = |E_{21}| + |E_{22}| \le \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} (t-p) \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{2} (t-p)(t-p-1)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2} (t-p) + \frac{1}{2} (t-p)(t-p-1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (t-p)^2.$$

By the property (iii), for any vertex $x \in V_1$ and any vertex $y \in V_2$ there is at most one edge between them. Therefore, $|E_3| \leq p(t-p)$. It follows that

$$|E(H)| = |E(H_1)| + |E(H_2)| + |E_3|$$

$$\leq p + \frac{1}{2}(t-p)^2 + p(t-p)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - p^2 + 2p)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + 1),$$

where the last inequality is true because $-p^2+2p \leq 1$ for any p. The lemma follows.

Let G be a nontrivial B(d,n) and F be a minimum R-edge-cut of G. Then, V(G) can be partitioned into two disjoint nonempty sets X and Y such that F = E(X,Y), where E(X,Y) denotes the set of the edges from X to Y in G. Let X_0 and Y_0 be the sets of the initial and terminal vertices of the edges of F, respectively. Let

$$\begin{split} d_G(x,X_0) &= \min\{d_G(x,u):\ u \in X_0\}, \quad \ m = \max\{d_G(x,X_0):\ x \in X\}; \\ d_G(Y_0,y) &= \min\{d_G(v,y):\ v \in Y_0\}, \quad \ m' = \max\{d_G(Y_0,y):\ y \in Y\}. \end{split}$$

For any $x_0 \in X_0$ and $y_0 \in Y_0$, let

$$X_m^-(x_0) = \{x \in X : d_G(x, x_0) \le m\},\ Y_{m'}^+(y_0) = \{y \in Y : d_G(y_0, y) \le m'\}.$$

Since G is d-regular, we have

$$|X_m^-(x_0)| \le 1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^m;$$

 $|Y_{m'}^+(y_0)| \le 1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^{m'}.$

Noting that $|X_0| \leq |F|$ and $|Y_0| \leq |F|$, we have that

$$|X| \le \sum_{x_0 \in X_0} |X_m^-(x_0)| \le |F|(1+d+d^2+\dots+d^m);$$

$$|Y| \le \sum_{y_0 \in Y_0} |Y_{m'}^+(y_0)| \le |F|(1+d+d^2+\dots+d^{m'}).$$
(1)

We now consider the relationship between m and m'. Choose $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that $d_G(x, X_0) = m$ and $d_G(Y_0, y) = m'$. Since any (x, y)-path in G must go through F, there exists an edge $e = (x_0, y_0) \in F$ such that

$$d_G(x, x_0) + 1 + d_G(y_0, y) = d_G(x, y) \le n.$$

Because of the choices of x and y, we have $d_G(x, x_0) \ge m$ and $d_G(y_0, y) \ge m'$. Thus,

$$m' \le d_G(y_0, y) \le n - d_G(x, x_0) - 1 \le n - m - 1.$$

It follows from (1) that

$$|V(G)| \le |F| \frac{d^{m+1} + d^{n-m} - 2}{d-1}.$$
 (2)

Since G is d-regular, |E(X,Y)| = |E(Y,X)|. Without loss of generality, we can suppose $m \le m'$ in the following discussion.

Lemma 3 If F is a minimum R-edge-cut of B(d,n), then $|F| \geq 2d-2$ for any $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$.

Proof Let F be a minimum R-edge-cut of B(d, n). Suppose to the contrary that $|F| \leq 2d - 3$. We will deduce a contradiction by considering two cases.

Case 1 m=0. In this case, we have $X=X_0$. Let t=|X|. Then $t\geq 2$ since F is an R-edge-cut. So $2\leq t\leq |F|\leq 2d-3$ and $d\geq 3$. Let H be the subgraph of B(d,n) induced by X. We consider the number of the edges of H. On the one hand, $|E(H)|=dt-|F|\geq dt-(2d-3)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2, $|E(H)|\leq \frac{1}{2}(t^2+1)$. It follows that

$$dt - (2d - 3) \le \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + 1),$$

which implies that

$$t^2 - 2dt + 4d - 5 > 0.$$

It, however, is impossible since the convex function $f(t)=t^2-2dt+4d-5<0$ for $2\leq t\leq 2d-3$ and $d\geq 3$.

Case 2 $m \ge 1$. In this case, we have $m \le n-2$ and $n \ge 3$ since $1 \le m \le m'$ and $m+m' \le n-1$. Note that the function $f(m) = d^{m+1} + d^{n-m}$ is convex on the interval [1, n-2] and $f(1) = f(n-2) = d^{n-1} + d^2$. It

follows from (2) that, if $|F| \leq 2d - 3$ and $d \geq 2$, then

$$d^{n} = |V(B(d,n))| \le |F| \frac{d^{m+1} + d^{n-m} - 2}{d-1}$$

$$\le (2d-3) \frac{d^{n-1} + d^{2} - 2}{d-1}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 4d^{2} - 2d - 6, & \text{for } n = 3; \\ 2d^{3} + d^{2} - 2d - 6, & \text{for } n = 4; \\ 2d^{n-1} - d^{n-2} - \dots - d^{3} + d^{2} - 2d - 6, & \text{for } n \ge 5. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Note that for $d \geq 2$,

$$d^{3} - (4d^{2} - 2d - 6) = (d - 2)(d^{2} - 2d - 2) + 2 > 0,$$

$$d^{4} - (2d^{3} + d^{2} - 2d - 6) = d(d - 2)(d^{2} - 1) + 6 > 0,$$
(4)

and, for $n \geq 5$,

$$d^{n} - (2d^{n-1} - d^{n-2} - \dots - d^{3} + d^{2} - 2d - 6)$$

$$> d^{n} - 2d^{n-1} + d^{3} - d^{2} + 2d - 6$$

$$= (d-2)(d^{n-1} + d^{2} + d + 4) + 2$$

$$> 0.$$
(5)

By (3), (4) and (5), we obtain a contradiction $d^n < d^n$.

Thus, we have $|F| \ge 2d-2$ if F is a minimum R-edge-cut of B(d,n). The lemma follows.

3 Proof of Theorem

By the definition, it is clear that $\lambda'(B(2,1))$, $\lambda'(B(2,2))$ and $\lambda'(B(3,1))$ do not exist. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, we only need to show $\lambda'(B(d,1)) = 2d - 4$ for d > 4.

Note that B(d,1) is a complete digraph of order d plus a self-loop at every vertex. Let F=E(X,Y) be an R-edge-cut with $|F|=\lambda'(B(d,1))$, and |X|=t. Then $t\geq 2$ and $|Y|=d-t\geq 2$. So, $2\leq t\leq d-2$. For any pair of vertices x,y, there are a pair of symmetric edges between them. Thus, $\lambda'(B(d,1))=|F|=t(d-t)\geq 2d-4$ for $2\leq t\leq d-2$. On the other hand, choose $F=E_B^+(\{0,1\})$. Since every vertex of B(d,1) has a self-loop and every pair of vertices have a pair of symmetric edges between them, F is an R-edge-cut for $d\geq 4$. Thus, |F|=2(d-1)-2=2d-4, which implies $\lambda'(B(d,1))\leq 2d-4$. so $\lambda'(B(d,1))=2d-4$.

Corollary 1 (Soneoka [8]) The de Bruijn digraph B(d, n) is super edge-connected for any $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$.

Proof Since B(d,1) is a complete digraph of order d with a loop at every vertex, it is clear that B(d,1) is super edge-connected for any $d \geq 2$.

It is easy to see that B(2,2) is super edge-connected. By Theorem 1, for $d \ge 2$ and $n \ge 2$, except B(2,2), $\lambda'(B(d,n)) = 2d-2 > d-1 = \lambda(B(d,n))$, which means that B(d,n) is super edge-connected.

References

- [1] Bauer, D., Boesch, F., Suffel, C., and Tindell, R., Connectivity extremal problems and the design of reliable probabilistic networks. *The Theory and Application of Graphs*, Wiley, New York, 1981, 45-54.
- [2] Esfahanian, A. H., Generalized measures of fault tolerance with application to n-cube networks. *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 38 (11) (1989),1586-1591.
- [3] Esfahanian, A. H. and Hakimi, S. L., On computing a conditional edgeconnectivity of a graph. *Information Processing Letters*, 27 (1988), 195-199.
- [4] Fàbrega, J. and Fiol, M. A., Maximally connected digraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, **13** (1989), 657-668.
- [5] Fan, Ying-Mei and Xu, Jun-Ming, Restricted edge-connectivity of Kautz graphs. *Applied Mathematics*, **17** (3) (2004), 329-332.
- [6] Fan, Y.-M., Xu, J.-M. and Lu, M., The restricted edge-connectivity of Kautz undirected graphs, to appear in *Ars Combinatoria*.
- [7] Ou, J.-P., Restricted edge connectivity of binary undirected Kautz graphs. *Chinese Quart. J. Math.* **19**(1) (2004), 47-50.
- [8] Soneoka, T., Super edge-connectivity of dense digraphs and graphs. *Discrete Applied. Math.*, **37/38** (1992), 511-523.
- [9] Xu, J.-M., Topological Structure and Analysis of Interconnection Networks. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2001.
- [10] Xu Jun-Ming and Fan Ying-Mei, Super edge-connectivity of de Bruijn and Kautz undirected graphs. Applied Math. J. Chinese Univ. 19B (2) (2004), 222-227.
- [11] Xu, J.-M., Lu, M. and Fan, Y.-M., The restricted edge-connectivity of de Bruijn undirected graphs, to appear in *Ars Combinatoria*.