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#### Abstract

The feedback number of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of vertices whose removal from $G$ results in an acyclic subgraph. We use $f(d, n)$ to denote the feedback number of the de Bruijn graph $U B(d, n)$. R. Královic and P. Ruzicka [Minimum feedback vertex sets in shuffle-based interconnection networks. Information Processing Letters, 86 (4) (2003), 191-196] proved that $f(2, n)=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n}-2}{3}\right\rceil$. This paper gives the upper bound on $f(d, n)$ for $d \geq 3$, that is, $f(d, n) \leq d^{n}\left(1-\left(\frac{d}{1+d}\right)^{d-1}\right)+\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph, i.e., loopless and without multiple edges, with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. It is well known that the cycle rank of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of edges that must be removed in order to eliminate all cycles in the graph. That is, if $G$ has $v$ vertices, $\varepsilon$ edges, and $\omega$ components, then the minimum number of edges whose deletion from $G$ leaves an acyclic graph equals the cycle rank (or Betti number) $\rho(G)=\varepsilon-v+\omega$ (see, for example Xu [26]). A corresponding problem is the removal of vertices. A subset $F \subset V(G)$ is called a feedback vertex set if the subgraph $G-F$ is acyclic, that is, if $G-F$ is a forest. The minimum cardinality of a feedback vertex set is called the feedback number (or decycling number proposed first by Beineke and Vandell [5]) of $G$. A feedback vertex set of this cardinality is called a minimum feedback vertex set.

Determining the feedback number of a graph $G$ is equivalent to finding the greatest order of an induced forest of $G$ proposed first by Erdös, Saks and Sos [8], since the sum of the two numbers equals the order of $G$. A review of recent results and open problems on the decycling number is provided by Bau and Beineke [4].

[^0]Apart from its graph-theoretical interest, the minimum feedback vertex set problem has some important applications to several fields. For example, the problems are in operating systems to resource allocation mechanisms that prevent deadlocks [17], in artificial intelligence to the constraint satisfaction problem and Bayesian inference, in synchronous distributed systems to the study of monopolies and in optical networks to converters placement problem(see [7, 9]).

In fact, the problem of finding the feedback number is $N P$-hard for general graphs [13] (also see [11]). The best known approximation algorithm for this problem has approximation ratio 2 [1]. There are also polynomial time algorithms for a number of topologies, such as reducible graphs [22], cocomparability graphs [11], convex bipartite graphs [11], cyclically reducible graphs [23], and interval graphs [15].

Determining the feedback number is quite difficult even for some elementary graphs. We refer the reader to an original research paper [5] for some results. The lower and the upper bounds on the feedback numbers have been established for some graphs, such as regular graphs, cubic graphs, hypercubic graphs, meshes, toroids, butterflies, cube-connected cycles, hypercubes, star graphs and directed split-stars, Kautz digraphs (see [1-3, 7, 9, 10, 15, 16, 18-24, 27]).

The de Bruijn digraph has many attractive features superior to the hypercube, such as regular, Eulerian, Hamiltonian, small diameter, nearly optimal connectivity, simple recursive structure, and simple routing algorithm. It contains some other useful topologies as its subgraphs (see, for example, Section 3.3 in [25]). So it is thought of as a good candidate for the next generation of parallel system architectures, after the hypercube network [6].

For two given integers $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, the de Bruijn digraph, denoted by $B(d, n)$, is defined as follows. The vertex set of $B(d, n)$ is

$$
V(d, n)=\left\{x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} \mid x_{i} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, d-1\} \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

and the edge set $E(d, n)$ consists of all edges from one vertex $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ to $d$ other vertices $x_{2} x_{3} \ldots x_{n} \alpha$, where $\alpha \in\{0,1, \ldots, d-1\}$.

The de Bruijn undirected graph, denoted by $U B(d, n)$, is obtained from $B(d, n)$ by deleting the orientation of all edges and omitting multiple edges and loops.

It is clear that $B(d, n)$ is $d$-regular, $|V(d, n)|=d^{n}$ and $|E(d, n)|=d^{n+1}$. Moreover, $B(d, n)$ has $\frac{1}{2} d(d-1)$ symmetric edges and $d$ loops. Thus, $U B(d, n)$ has $d^{n}-\frac{1}{2} d(d-1)-d$ edges, the maximum degree $2 d$ and the minimum degree $2 d-2$.

We use $f(d, n)$ to denote the feedback number of $U B(d, n)$. Královic and Ruzicka [14] proved $f(2, n)=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n}-2}{3}\right\rceil$. In this paper, we establish the following bounds on $f(d, n)$ for any $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\left\lceil\frac{d^{n+1}-d-\frac{d(d-1)}{2}-d^{n}+1}{2 d-1}\right\rceil \leq f(d, n) \leq d^{n}\left(1-\left(\frac{d}{1+d}\right)^{d-1}\right)+\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}
$$

The proof of the result is in Section 3. In Section 2, we construct a feedback vertex set of $U B(d, n)$ and gives several lemmas.

## 2. Feedback Vertex Sets and Lemmas

Throughout this paper, we follow Xu [26] for graph-theoretical terminology and notation not defined here. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph and $S \subset V(G)$. The symbol $N_{G}(S)$ denotes the set of neighbors of $S$, namely, $N_{G}(S)=\{x \in V(G-S)$ : $x y \in E(G), y \in S\}$. The subgraph induced by $S$ is denoted by $G[S]$. The set $S$ is independent if no two of vertices in $S$ are adjacent in $G$, and is cycle-free if $G[S]$ is acyclic, that is, $G[S]$ has no cycles.

For given positive integers $k$ and $d$, we use $\mathbf{P}_{k, d}$ to denote the set of all nonnegative integral solutions of the indefinite equation $z_{1}+z_{2}+\ldots+z_{d}=k$, that is, an ordered sequence $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{d}\right) \in \mathbf{P}_{k, d}$ means $n_{1}+n_{2}+\ldots+n_{d}=k$. The following result is well known and contained in any textbook on combinatorics (see, for example, p. 3 in [12]).

Lemma 2.1. For any positive integers $k$ and $d$,

$$
\left|\mathbf{P}_{k, d}\right|=\binom{k+d-1}{k}
$$

Let $\alpha^{s} \beta^{t}$ denote the sequence $\underbrace{\alpha \alpha \ldots \alpha}_{s} \underbrace{\beta \beta \ldots \beta}_{t}$ and let the set $I_{d}=\{0,1,2, \ldots$, $d-1\}$. We define $d+1$ subsets of $V(d, n)$ as follows.

$$
S_{0}=\left\{0 x_{2} x_{3} \ldots x_{n} \mid x_{i} \in I_{d}\right\} .
$$

For each $i=1,2, \ldots, d-1$, let

$$
S_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n} \mid x_{j} \in I_{d}, \\
\alpha \in I_{d} \backslash\{1, \ldots, t\}, 1 \leq t \leq i, \\
\left(n_{t}, \ldots, n_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{P}_{k, i-t+1}, n_{i}, n_{t} \neq 0, \\
2 \leq k \leq n-1, k \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right\},
$$

and

$$
S_{d}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\{(d-1)^{n_{d-1}}(d-2)^{n_{d-2}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \mid n_{t} \neq 0 \text { and } n_{t} \equiv 0(\bmod 2),\right. \\
\left.\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathbf{P}_{n, d-t}, 1 \leq t \leq d-1, n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\right\} ; \\
\emptyset, \quad \text { for } n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to verify that $S_{i} \cap S_{j}=\emptyset$ for any $i, j \in I_{d}, i \neq j$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{d-1} \cup S_{d} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, for $d=3, n=4$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{0}=\{ & 0000,0001,0002,0010,0011,0012,0020,0021,0022 \\
& 0100,0101,0102,0110,0111,0112,0120,0121,0122 \\
& 0200,0201,0202,0210,0211,0212,0220,0221,0222\} \\
S_{1}= & \{1100,1101,1102,1120,1121,1122\} ; \\
S_{2}= & \{2100,2101,2102,2120,2121,2122,2200,2201,2202\} ; \\
S_{3}= & \{1111,2211,2222\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $G=U B(d, n)$ and, for $x=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} \in V(G)$, let

$$
N_{G}^{(L)}(x)=\left\{\alpha x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n-1} \mid \alpha \in I_{d}\right\} \text { and } N_{G}^{(R)}(x)=\left\{x_{2} x_{3} \ldots x_{n} \beta \mid \beta \in I_{d}\right\} .
$$

Then $N_{G}(x)=N_{G}^{(L)}(x) \cup N_{G}^{(R)}(x)$.
Lemma 2.2. $S_{i}$ is an independent set of $U B(d, n)$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, d$.
Proof. Let $G=U B(d, n)$ and $x=i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n}$ be any vertex in $S_{i}(1 \leq i \leq d-1)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{G}^{(L)}(x)=\left\{\gamma i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n-1} \mid \gamma \in I_{d}\right\},  \tag{2.2}\\
& N_{G}^{(R)}(x)=\left\{i^{n_{i}-1}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n} \beta \mid \beta \in I_{d}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

For any $y \in N_{G}^{(L)}(x)$, we have $y=\gamma i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n-1}$. If $\gamma \neq i$, then $y \notin S_{i}$ clearly. If $\gamma=i$, then $y=i^{n_{i}+1}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots$ $x_{n-1}$. Since $k=n_{t}+n_{t+1}+\ldots+\left(n_{i}+1\right) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, that is, $\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots,\left(n_{i}+\right.\right.$ 1)) $\notin \mathrm{P}_{k, i-t+1}, y \notin S_{i}$. This implies $N_{G}^{(L)}(x) \cap S_{i}=\emptyset$.

For any $y \in N_{G}^{(R)}(x), y=i^{n_{i}-1}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}}(i-2)^{n_{i-2}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n} \beta$.
If $n_{i}>1$, then $n_{i}-1 \neq 0$. But $k=n_{t}+n_{t+1}+\ldots+\left(n_{i}-1\right) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, that is, $\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots,\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right) \notin \mathrm{P}_{k, i-t+1}$, and so $y \notin S_{i}$.

If $n_{i}=1$, then $y=(i-1)^{n_{i-1}}(i-2)^{n_{i-2}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n} \beta$. Since $k=n_{t}+n_{t+1}+\ldots+n_{i-1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, that is, $\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{i-1}\right) \notin \mathcal{P}_{k, i-t}$, and so $y \notin S_{i}$. This implies $N_{G}^{(R)}(x) \cap S_{i}=\emptyset$.

So, $N_{G}(x) \cap S_{i}=\emptyset$ for any $x \in S_{i}$, which implies that no two vertices of $S_{i}$ are adjacent. Thus, $S_{i}$ is an independent set for each $i=1,2, \ldots, d-1$.

Similarly, we can prove that $S_{d}$ is also an independent set. The lemma follows.

Lemma 2.3. For each $k=0,1, \ldots, d$, let $G_{k}=G\left[S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup \ldots \cup S_{k}\right]$. Then $G_{k}$ is acyclic.

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on $k \geq 0$. Suppose to the contrary that $G_{0}$ contains a cycle $C$. Then $C$ contains a vertex $x=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ different from $u=00 \ldots 0$. Assume the $i$-th position $x_{i} \neq 0$ in $x$. Then the vertex in $C$ with the first position $x_{i}$ is not in $S_{0}$, a contradiction. Thus, the conclusion holds when $k=0$

Assume the conclusion is true for each $\ell$ with $0 \leq \ell<i$ and $i<d$. To prove that $G_{i}$ is acyclic, we only need to show that any vertex $x \in S_{i}$ has at most one neighbor in $G_{i-1}$ since $G_{i-1}$ is acyclic by the induction hypothesis.

Choose any $x \in S_{i}$, that is,

$$
x=i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n}
$$

where $k=n_{t}+\ldots+n_{i}, k \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $n_{i}, n_{t} \neq 0$. Then $N_{G}^{(L)}(x)$ and $N_{G}^{(R)}(x)$ are expressed as that in (2.2). It is clear that $N_{G}^{(L)}(x)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{G}^{(L)}(x)= & \left\{0 i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n-1}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{i^{n_{i}+1}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n-1}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\gamma i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} \ldots x_{n-1} \mid \gamma \in I_{d}, \gamma \neq 0, i\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n_{t}+\ldots+n_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod 2), n_{t}+\ldots+\left(n_{i}+1\right) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, and so $i^{n_{i}+1}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n-1}$ is not in neither $S_{i}$ nor $S_{j}$ for each $j=0,1, \ldots, i-1$. Since $\gamma \notin\{0, i\}$, the vertex $\gamma i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} \ldots x_{n-1}$ is not in $S_{j}$ for each $j=0,1, \ldots, i-1$. Thus,

$$
N_{G}^{(L)}(x) \cap V\left(G_{i-1}\right)=\left\{0 i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} \ldots x_{n-1}\right\} \subset S_{0}
$$

For $N_{G}^{(R)}(x)$, if $n_{i}>1$ then $n_{t}+n_{t+1}+\ldots+n_{i}-1 \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$; if $n_{i}=1$ then $n_{t}+n_{t+1}+\ldots+n_{i-1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, and so $N_{G}^{(R)}(x) \cap V\left(G_{i-1}\right)=\emptyset$. Thus,

$$
N_{G_{i-1}}(x)=N_{G}(x) \cap V\left(G_{i-1}\right)=N_{G}^{(L)}(x) \cap V\left(G_{i-1}\right)=\{y\}
$$

where $y=0 i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} \ldots x_{n-1} \in S_{0}$.
Because $S_{i}$ is an independent set and $G_{i-1}$ is acyclic, the induced subgraph $G_{i}$ is acyclic for each $i=0,1, \ldots, d-1$.

Similarly, we can prove that $G_{d}$ is acyclic. The lemma follows.
For each $k=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{0}=S_{0} \\
& T_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(d-1)^{n_{d-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n} \mid x_{j} \in I_{d} \\
\alpha \in I_{d} \backslash\{1,2, \ldots, t\},\left(n_{t}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathbf{P}_{k, d-t}, \\
n_{t} \neq 0,1 \leq t \leq d-1
\end{array}\right\}, 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \\
& T_{n}=\left\{(d-1)^{n_{d-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \mid\left(n_{t}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathbf{P}_{n, d-t}, n_{t} \neq 0,1 \leq t \leq d-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(d, n)=\bigcup_{j=0}^{n} T_{j} \quad \text { and } T_{i} \cap T_{j}=\emptyset, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, for $d=3, n=4$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{0}=S_{0}= & \{0000,0001,0002,0010,0011,0012,0020,0021,0022 \\
& 0100,0101,0102,0110,0111,0112,0120,0121,0122 \\
& 0200,0201,0202,0210,0211,0212,0220,0221,0222\} \\
T_{1}= & \{1000,1001,1002,1010,1011,1012,1020,1021,1022 \\
& 1200,1201,1202,1210,1211,1212,1220,1221,1222 \\
& 2000,2001,2002,2010,2011,2012,2020,2021,2022\} \\
T_{2}= & \{1100,1101,1102,1120,1121,1122,2120,2121 \\
& 2122,2200,2201,2202,2100,2101,2102,\} \\
T_{3}= & \{1110,1112,2112,2212,2220,2110,2210\} \\
T_{4}= & \{1111,2111,2211,2221,2222\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $V(3,4)=T_{0} \cup T_{1} \cup T_{2} \cup T_{3} \cup T_{4}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\bar{S}=V(d, n) \backslash S$, where $S$ is defined in (2.1). Then $\bar{S}$ is a feedback vertex set of $U B(d, n)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\bar{S}|=\sum_{j=1, j \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\left|T_{j}\right|+\left|T_{n}-S_{d}\right| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $\bar{S}$ is a feedback vertex set of $U B(d, n)$ immediately. We prove (2.4) below. We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} S_{i}=\bigcup_{j=1, j \equiv 0(\bmod 2)}^{n-1} T_{j} \quad \text { and } S_{d} \subseteq T_{n} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We only need to consider the case of $i, j \neq 0$ since $S_{0}=T_{0}$. Arbitrarily choose $i(1 \leq i \leq d-1)$ and

$$
x=i^{n_{i}}(i-1)^{n_{i-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n} \in S_{i}
$$

where $\alpha, n_{i}, n_{t}, k$ are fixed and $\alpha \in I_{d} \backslash\{1, \ldots, t\}, 1 \leq t \leq i,\left(n_{t}, \ldots, n_{i}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{P}_{k, i-t+1}$, and $n_{i}, n_{t} \neq 0,2 \leq k \leq n-1, k \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. It is clear that $\left(n_{t}, \ldots, n_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{k, i-t+1}$ means $\left(n_{t}, \ldots, n_{i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{k, d-t}$, and so $x \in T_{k}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{i=1}^{d-1} S_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=2, j \equiv 0(\bmod 2)}^{n-1} T_{j} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, arbitrarily choose $j(2 \leq j \leq n-1, j \equiv 0(\bmod 2))$ and

$$
x=(d-1)^{n_{d-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n} \in T_{j}
$$

where $\alpha \in I_{d} \backslash\{1,2, \ldots, t\},\left(n_{t}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{k, d-t}, n_{t} \neq 0,1 \leq t \leq d-1$. Let $i=\max \left\{\ell \mid n_{\ell} \neq 0, t \leq \ell \leq d-1\right\}$. Then $x \in S_{i}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{j=2, j \equiv 0(\bmod 2)}^{n-1} T_{j} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{d-1} S_{i} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.6) with (2.7) yields the equality in (2.5). $S_{d} \subseteq T_{n}$ clearly from the definitions of $S_{d}$ and $T_{n}$, and so the conclusion in (2.5) follows.

It follows from (2.3) and (2.5) that

$$
|S|=\sum_{j=0, j \equiv 0(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\left|T_{j}\right|+\left|S_{d}\right|
$$

and so

$$
|\bar{S}|=\sum_{j=1, j \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\left|T_{j}\right|+\left|T_{n}-S_{d}\right|
$$

The equation (2.4) follows and the proof of the theorem is complete.
Lemma 2.4. For each $k$ with $1 \leqslant k<n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{k}\right|=\sum_{t=1}^{d-1}\binom{k+d-t-2}{k-1}(d-t) d^{n-k-1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{n}\right|=\binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the definition of $T_{k}$, for a vertex

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=(d-1)^{n_{d-1}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \alpha x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n} \in T_{k} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

the first $k$ positions depend on the choice of $\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathbf{P}_{k, d-t}$ with $n_{t} \neq 0$. Let

$$
\mathbf{P}_{k, d-t}^{t}=\left\{\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathbf{P}_{k, d-t} \mid n_{t} \neq 0\right\}
$$

Then $\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{k, d-t}^{t} \Leftrightarrow\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{k-1, d-t}$.
It follows from Lemma 2.1 that for each $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbf{P}_{k, d-t}^{t}\right|=\left|\mathbf{P}_{k-1, d-t}\right|=\binom{k+d-t-2}{k-1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha \in I_{d} \backslash\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$, there are $(d-t)$ choices of $\alpha$ in (2.10). Also since $x_{j} \in I_{d}$, there are $d^{n-k-1}$ of the subsequence $x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \ldots x_{n}$ in (2.10). Therefore, for a fixed $t$ with $1 \leq t \leq d-1$, there are $\binom{k+d-t-2}{k-1}(d-t) d^{n-k-1}$ choices of the vertex $x$ in (2.10). Thus, for a fixed $k$ with $1 \leqslant k<n$, we have

$$
\left|T_{k}\right|=\sum_{t=1}^{d-1}\binom{k+d-t-2}{k-1}(d-t) d^{n-k-1}
$$

and so (2.8) follows. For $k=n$, using (2.11), we have that

$$
\left|T_{n}\right|=\sum_{t=1}^{d-1}\binom{n+d-t-2}{n-1}=\binom{n+d-2}{n}=\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}
$$

where the second equality is obtained by using the combinatorial equality (Pascal's formula)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{k}+\binom{n}{k+1}=\binom{n+1}{k+1} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality (2.9) follows.
Lemma 2.5. If $n$ is even, then for $d=2,\left|T_{n}-S_{2}\right|=0$, and for any $d \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{n}-S_{d}\right|=\sum_{k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\binom{k+d-2}{d-3} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For each $t$ with $1 \leq t \leq d-1$, let

$$
B_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\{(d-1)^{n_{d-1}}(d-2)^{n_{d-2}} \ldots t^{n_{t}} \mid \text { and } n_{t} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\right. \\
\left.\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{n, d-t}, n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\right\}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From the definitions of $S_{d}$ and $T_{n}$, we have

$$
\bigcup_{t=1}^{d-1} B_{t}=T_{n}-S_{d}
$$

If $t=d-1$ then $n_{d-1}=n$. Since $n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, we have $\left|B_{d-1}\right|=0$, and so $\left|T_{n}-S_{2}\right|=0$ for $d=2$.

For $d \geq 3$ and $1 \leq t \leq d-2$, if $\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{n, d-t}$ with $n \equiv$ $0(\bmod 2)$ and $n_{t} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ then $\left(n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right)$ is a solution of the indefinite equation $z_{t+1}+z_{t+2}+\ldots+z_{d-1}=n-n_{t}$. Let

$$
\mathbf{P}{\underset{n, d-t}{o}=\left\{\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathbf{P}_{n, d-t} \mid n_{t} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\right\} . . ~}_{\text {. }}
$$

Then $\left(n_{t}, n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{n, d-t}^{o} \Leftrightarrow\left(n_{t+1}, \ldots, n_{d-1}\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{n-n_{t}, d-t-1}$.
Since $n_{t}$ can be taken over all odd numbers in $\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$, for $1 \leq t \leq$ $d-2$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B_{t}\right| & =\left|\mathbf{P}_{n, d-t}^{o}\right|=\sum_{k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\left|\mathbf{P}_{k, d-t-1}\right| \\
& =\sum_{k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\binom{k+d-t-2}{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{n}-S_{d}\right| & =\sum_{t=1}^{d-1}\left|B_{t}\right|=\sum_{t=1}^{d-2} \sum_{k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\binom{k+d-t-2}{k} \\
& =\sum_{k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1} \sum_{t=1}^{d-2}\binom{k+d-t-2}{k} \\
& =\sum_{k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\binom{k+d-2}{k+1} \\
& =\sum_{k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\binom{k+d-2}{d-3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality is obtained by using the combinatorial equality (2.12). The lemma follows.

## 3. Bounds on Feedback Numbers

In this section, we give the bounds on the feedback number $f(d, n)$.
Lemma 3.1. (Beineke and Vandell [5]). For feedback vertex set $F$ in a graph $G$ with $v$ vertices, $\varepsilon$ edges and maximum degree $\triangle$, it holds that

$$
|F| \geq\left\lceil\frac{\varepsilon-v+1}{\triangle-1}\right\rceil \text {. }
$$

Theorem 3.1. For any $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\left\lceil\frac{d^{n+1}-d-\frac{d(d-1)}{2}-d^{n}+1}{2 d-1}\right\rceil \leq f(d, n) \leq d^{n}\left(1-\left(\frac{d}{1+d}\right)^{d-1}\right)+\binom{n+d-2}{d-2} .
$$

Proof. Substituting $v=d^{n}, \varepsilon=d^{n+1}-d-\frac{1}{2} d(d-1)$ and $\Delta=2 d$ into Lemma 3.1, we immediately have

$$
f(d, n) \geq\left\lceil\frac{d^{n+1}-d-\frac{d(d-1)}{2}-d^{n}+1}{2 d-1}\right\rceil .
$$

We now show that

$$
f(d, n) \leq d^{n}\left(1-\left(\frac{d}{1+d}\right)^{d-1}\right)+\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}
$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have $f(d, n) \leq|\bar{S}|$. To estimate the value of $|\bar{S}|$, we consider two cases according to the parity of $n £ \downarrow$ that is,

$$
|\bar{S}|=\left|T_{1}\right|+\left|T_{3}\right|+\left|T_{5}\right|+\ldots+ \begin{cases}\left|T_{n-1}\right|+\left|T_{n}-S_{d}\right| & \text { if } n \text { is even; } \\ \left|T_{n-2}\right|+\left|T_{n}\right| & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Noting from Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{n}-S_{d}\right| & =\sum_{k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-1}\binom{k+d-2}{d-3} \\
& <\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\binom{k+d-2}{d-3} \\
& <\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}=\left|T_{n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

we only need to estimate the value of $|\bar{S}|$ when $n$ is odd. From (2.8) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-2}\left|T_{k}\right|= & \sum_{k=1, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-2} \sum_{t=1}^{d-1}\binom{k+d-t-2}{k-1}(d-t) d^{n-k-1} \\
= & \sum_{k=1, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-2} \frac{(d+k-2)!(k d-k+1)}{(k+1)!(d-2)!} d^{n-k-1} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{+\infty} \frac{(d+k-2)!(k d-k+1)}{(k+1)!(d-2)!} d^{n-k-1} \\
= & \sum_{k=1, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{+\infty} \frac{(d+k-2)!}{k!(d-2)!} d^{n-k} \\
& -\sum_{k=1, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{+\infty} \frac{(d+k-1)!}{(k+1)!(d-2)!} d^{n-k-1} \\
= & \sum_{k=1, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{+\infty} \frac{(d+k-2)!}{k!(d-2)!} d^{n-k} \\
& -\sum_{t=2, t \equiv 0(\bmod 2)}^{+\infty} \frac{(d+t-2)!}{t!(d-2)!} d^{n-t} \\
= & -\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(d+k-2)!}{k!(d-2)!}(-d)^{n-k} \\
= & d^{n}\left(1-\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(d+k-2)!}{k!(d-2)!}(-d)^{-k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $z=-\frac{1}{d}$ and $t=d-1$ into the generating function

$$
\frac{1}{(1-z)^{t}}=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\binom{k+t-1}{k} z^{k}
$$

immediately yields that

$$
\sum_{k=1, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}^{n-2}\left|T_{k}\right| \leq d^{n}\left(1-\frac{d^{d-1}}{(1+d)^{d-1}}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
|\bar{S}| \leq d^{n}\left(1-\frac{d^{d-1}}{(1+d)^{d-1}}\right)+\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}
$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.
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