Applied Mathematics, **2012, 3, 805-808**
doi:10.4236/am.2012.37120 Published Online July 2012 (http://www.SciRP.org/journal/am)

A Note on Directed 5-Cycles in Digraphs*

Hao Liang[1#], Junming Xu[2]
[1]Department of Mathematics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China
[2]School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China,
Wentsun Wu Key Laboratory of CAS, Hefei, China
Email: #lianghao@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Received May 18, 2012; revised June 18, 2012; accepted June 25, 2012

ABSTRACT

In this note, it is proved that if $\alpha \geq 0.24817$, then any digraph on *n* vertices with minimum outdegree at least αn contains a directed cycle of length at most 5.

Keywords: Digraph; Directed Cycle

1. Introduction

Let $G=(V,E)$ be a digragh without loops or parallel edges, where $V=V(G)$ is the vertex-set and $E=E(G)$ is the arc-set. In 1978, Caccetta and Häggkvist [1] made the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1 *Any digraph on n vertices with minimum outdegree at least r contains a directed cycle of length at most* $\lceil n/r \rceil$.

Trivially, this conjecture is true for $r=1$, and it has been proved for $r=2$ by Caccetta and Häggkvist [1], $r=3$ by Hamildoune [2], $r=4$ and $r=5$ by Hoáng and Reed [3], $r<\sqrt{n/2}$ by Shen [4]. While the general conjecture is still open, some weaker statements have been obtained. A summary of results and problems related to the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture sees Sullivan [5].

For the conjecture, the case $r=n/2$ is trivial, the case $r=n/3$ has received much attention, but this special case is still open. To prove the conjecture, one may seek as small a constant α as possible such that any digraph on *n* vertices with minimum outdegree at least αn contains a directed triangle. The conjecture is that $\alpha = 1/3$. Caccetta and Häggkvist [1] obtained $\alpha \leq \left(3-\sqrt{5}\right)/2 \approx 0.3819$, Bondy [6] showed $\alpha \leq \left(2\sqrt{6}-3\right)/5 \approx 0.3797$, Shen [7] gave $\alpha \leq 3-\sqrt{7} \approx 0.3542$, Hamburger, Haxell, and Kostochka [8] improved it to 0.35312. Hladký, Král' and Norin [9] further improved this bound to 0.3465. Namely, any digraph on *n* vertices with minimum out-degree at least 0.3465*n* contains a directed triangle. Very recently, Lichiardopol [10] showed that for $\beta \geq 0.343545$, any digraph on *n* vertices with both minimum out-degree and minimum in-degree at least βn contains a cycle of length at most 3.

In this note, we consider the minimum constant α such that any digraph on *n* vertices with minimum outdegree at least αn contains a directed cycle of length at most 5. The conjecture is that $\alpha = 1/5$. By refining the combinatorial techniques in [6,7,11], we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2 *If* $\alpha \geq 0.24817$, *then any digraph on n vertices with minimum outdegree at least* αn *contains a directed cycle of length at most* 5.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We prove Theorem 1.2 by induction on *n*. The theorem holds for $n \leq 5$ clearly. Now assume that the theorem holds for all digraphs with fewer than *n* vertices for $n \geq 5$. Let *G* be a digraph on *n* vertices with minimum outdegree at least αn. Suppose *G* contains no directed cycles with length at most 5. We can, without loss of generality, suppose that *G* is *r*-outregular, where $r=\lceil \alpha n \rceil$, that is, every vertex is of the outdegree *r* in *G*. We will try to deduce a contradiction. First we present some notations following [7].

For any $v \in V(G)$, let

$$N^+(v)=\{u \in V(G):(v,u) \in E(G)\},$$

and $\deg^+(v)=\left|N^+(v)\right|$, the outdegree of v;

$$N^-(v)=\{u \in V(G):(u,v) \in E(G)\},$$

and $\deg^-(v)=\left|N^-(v)\right|$, the indegree of v.

We say $\langle u,v,w \rangle$ a *transitive triangle* if

*Supported by NNSF of China (No. 11071233).
#Corresponding author.

$(u,v),(v,w),(u,w)\in E(G)$. The arc (u,v) is called the base of the transitive triangle.

For any $(u,v)\in E(G)$, let

$$P(u,v)=N^{+}(v)\setminus N^{+}(u),$$

and $p(u,v)=\left|N^{+}(v)\setminus N^{+}(u)\right|$, the number of induced 2-path with the first arc (u,v);

$$Q(u,v)=N^{-}(u)\setminus N^{-}(v),$$

and $q(u,v)=\left|N^{-}(u)\setminus N^{-}(v)\right|$, the number of induced 2-path with the last arc (u,v);

$$T(u,v)=N^{+}(u)\cap N^{+}(v),$$

and $t(u,v)=\left|N^{+}(u)\cap N^{+}(v)\right|$, the number of transitive triangles with base (u,v).

Lemma 2.1 *For any* $(u,v)\in E(G)$,

$$n>r+(1-\alpha)r+(1-\alpha)^{2}r+(1-\alpha)^{3}t(u,v)+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v). \tag{1}$$

Proof: To prove this inequality, we consider two cases according to $t(u,v)=0$ or $t(u,v)>0$.

If $t(u,v)=0$, then substituting it into (1) yields

$$n>r+(1-\alpha)r+(1-\alpha)^{2}r+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v). \tag{2}$$

There exists some $w\in N^{+}(v)$ with outdegree less than αr in the subdigraph of G induced by $N^{+}(v)$ (Otherwise, this subdigraph would contain a directed 4-cycle by the induction hypothesis). Thus

$$\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|\ge r-\alpha r.$$

Consider the subdigraph of G induced by $N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)$, by the induction hypothesis, some vertex $x\in N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)$ has outdegree less than $\alpha\left|N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right|$ in this subdigraph. Thus, the set of outneighbors of x not in $N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right)\right|\\&\ge r-\alpha\left|N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right|\\&=r-\alpha\left(\left|N^{+}(v)\right|+\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|\right)\\&=(1-\alpha)r-\alpha\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|,\end{aligned}$$

Since G has no directed 5-cycle, then $N^{+}(v)$, $N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)$, $N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right)$, $N^{-}(v)$ and $N^{-}(u)\setminus N^{-}(v)$ are pairwise-disjoint sets with cardinalities r, $\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|$, $\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right)\right|$, $\deg^{-}(v)$ and $q(u,v)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}n&>r+\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|+\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right)\right|\\&\quad+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v)\\&\ge r+(1-\alpha)r+(1-\alpha)\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|\\&\quad+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v)\\&\ge r+(1-\alpha)r+(1-\alpha)^{2}r+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v),\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the inequality (1) holds for $t(u,v)=0$.

We now assume $t(u,v)>0$. By the induction hypothesis, there is some vertex $w\in N^{+}(u)\cap N^{+}(v)$ that has outdegree less than $\alpha t(u,v)$ in the subdigraph of G induced by $N^{+}(u)\cap N^{+}(v)$, otherwise, this subdigraph would contain a directed 5-cycle. Also, w has not more than $p(u,v)$ outneighbors in the subdigraph of G induced by $N^{+}(v)\setminus N^{+}(u)$. Let $N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)$ be the outneighbors of w which is not in $N^{+}(v)$. Noting that $t(u,v)=r-p(u,v)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|&\ge r-p(u,v)-\alpha t(u,v)\\&=(1-\alpha)t(u,v).\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Because G has no directed triangle, all outneighbors of w are neither in $N^{+}(v)$ nor in $N^{-}(u)\setminus N^{-}(v)$. Consider the subdigraph of G induced by $N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)$, by the induction hypothesis, there is some vertex $x\in N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)$ that has outdegree less than $\alpha\left|N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right|$ in this subdigraph. Thus, the set of outneighbors of x not in $N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right)\right|\\&\ge r-\alpha\left|N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right|\\&=r-\alpha\left(\left|N^{+}(v)\right|+\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|\right)\\&=(1-\alpha)r-\alpha\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|,\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Since G has no directed 4-cycle, all outneighbors of w are neither in $N^{-}(v)$ nor in $N^{-}(u)\setminus N^{-}(v)$. Consider the subdigraph of G induced by

$$N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x),$$

by the induction hypothesis, there is some vertex

$$y\in N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x)$$

that has outdegree less than

$$\alpha\left|N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x)\right|$$

in this subdigraph. Thus, the set of outneighbors of y not in $N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|N^{+}(y)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x)\right)\right|\\&\ge r-\alpha\left|N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x)\right|\\&=r-\alpha\left(\left|N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right|\right.\\&\quad\left.+\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right|\right)\\&=(1-\alpha)r-\alpha\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|\\&\quad-\alpha\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right|,\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

Because G has no directed cycle of length at most 5, then $N^{+}(v)$, $N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)$,

$$N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right),$$

$$N^{+}(y)\setminus(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x),$$

$N^{-}(v)$ and $N^{-}(u)\setminus N^{-}(v)$ are pairwise-disjoint sets of cardinalities r, $\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|$,

$$\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right)\right|,$$

$$\left|N^{+}(y)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x)\right)\right|,$$

$\deg^{-}(v)$ and $q(u,v)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}n>r&+\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|\\&+\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right)\right|\\&+\left|N^{+}(y)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x)\right)\right|\\&+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3), (4) and (5) into this inequalities yields

$$\begin{aligned}n>r&+\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|\\&+\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right)\right|\\&+\left|N^{+}(y)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\cup N^{+}(x)\right)\right|\\&+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v)\\=r&+|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)|+(1-\alpha)r\\&-\alpha\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|\\&+(1-\alpha)\left|N^{+}(x)\setminus\left(N^{+}(v)\cup N^{+}(w)\right)\right|\\&+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v)\\\ge r&+(1-\alpha)r+(1-\alpha)^{2}r\\&+(1-\alpha)^{2}\left|N^{+}(w)\setminus N^{+}(v)\right|+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v)\\\ge r&+(1-\alpha)r+(1-\alpha)^{2}r\\&+(1-\alpha)^{3}t(u,v)+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v)\end{aligned}$$

as desired, and so the lemma follows.

Connect to Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recalling that $t(u,v)=r-p(u,v)$, we can rewrite the inequality (1) as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(3\alpha-3\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}\right)t(u,v)\\&>\left(4-3\alpha+\alpha^{2}\right)r-n+\deg^{-}(v)+q(u,v)-p(u,v).\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Summing over all $(u,v)\in E(G)$, we have that

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in E(G)}t(u,v)=t,\tag{7}$$

where t is the number of transitive triangles in G, and

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in E(G)}\left(4-3\alpha+\alpha^{2}\right)r-n=nr\left[\left(4-3\alpha+\alpha^{2}\right)r-n\right].\tag{8}$$

By Cauchy's inequality and the first theorem on graph theory (see, for example, Theorem 1.1 in [12]), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(u,v)\in E(G)}\deg^{-}(v)&=\sum_{v\in V(G)}\left(\deg^{-}(v)\right)^{2}\\&\ge\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{v\in V(G)}\deg^{-}(v)\right)^{2}=nr^{2},\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in E(G)}\deg^{-}(v)\ge nr^{2}.\tag{9}$$

Because $\sum_{(u,v)\in E(G)}p(u,v)$ and $\sum_{(u,v)\in E(G)}q(u,v)$ are both equal to the number of induced directed 2-paths in G, it follows that

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in E(G)}p(u,v)=\sum_{(u,v)\in E(G)}q(u,v).\tag{10}$$

Summing over all $(u,v)\in E(G)$ for the inequality (6) and substituting inequalities (7)-(10) into that inequality yields,

$$\left(3\alpha-3\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}\right)t>\left(5-3\alpha+\alpha^{2}\right)nr^{2}-n^{2}r.\tag{11}$$

Noting that $t\le n\binom{r}{2}$ (see Shen [7]), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}t\left(3\alpha-3\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}\right)&\le n\binom{r}{2}\left(3\alpha-3\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}\right)\\&<\frac{nr^{2}}{2}\left(3\alpha-3\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Combining (11) with (12) yields

$$\left(5-3\alpha+\alpha^{2}\right)nr^{2}-n^{2}r<\frac{nr^{2}}{2}\left(3\alpha-3\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}\right).\tag{13}$$

Dividing both sides of the inequality (13) by $\frac{nr^{2}}{2}$, and noting that $r = \lceil \alpha n \rceil \geq \alpha n$, we get

$$2\left(5-3\alpha+\alpha^2\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha}<\left(3\alpha-3\alpha^2+\alpha^3\right),$$

that is

$$\alpha^4-5\alpha^3+9\alpha^2-10\alpha+2>0.$$

We obtain that $\alpha < 0.248164$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Caccetta and R. Häggkvist, "On Minimal Digraphs with Given Girth," *Proceedings of the* 9*th Southeast Conference on Combinatorics*, *Graph Theory*, *and Computing*, Boca Raton, 1978, pp. 181-187.

[2] Y. O. Hamidoune, "A Note on Minimal Directed Graphs with Given Girth," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, *Series B*, Vol. 43, No. 3, 1987, pp. 343-348.

[3] C. Hoáng and B. Reed, "A Note on Short Cycles in Digraphs," *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 66, No. 1-2, 1987, pp. 103-107. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(87)90122-1

[4] J. Shen, "On the Girth of Digraphs," *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 211, No. 1-3, 2000, pp. 167-181. doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(99)00323-4

[5] B. D. Sullivan, "A Summary of Results and Problems Related to the Caccetta-Häggkvist Conjecture," 2006. http://www.aimath.org/WWN/caccetta/caccetta.pdf

[6] J. A. Bondy, "Counting Subgraphs: A New Approach to the Caccetta-Häggkvist Conjecture," *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 165-166, 1997, pp. 71-80. doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(96)00162-8

[7] J. Shen, "Directed Triangles in Digraphs," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, *Series B*, Vol. 74, 1998, pp. 405-407.

[8] P. Hamburger, P. Haxell and A. Kostochka, "On the Directed Triangles in Digraphs," *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, Vol. 14, No. 19, 2007.

[9] J. Hladký, D. Král' and S. Norin, "Counting Flags in Triangle-Free Digraphs," *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 34, 2009, pp. 621-625. doi:10.1016/j.endm.2009.07.105

[10] N. Lichiardopol, "A New Bound for a Particular Case of the Caccetta-Häggkvist Conjecture," *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 310, No. 23, 2010, pp. 3368-3372. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2010.07.026

[11] Q. Li and R. A. Brualdi, "On Minimal Regular Digraphs with Girth 4," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 33, 1983, pp. 439-447.

[12] J.-M. Xu, "Theory and Application of Graphs," Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2003.