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#### Abstract

With two $\mathbb{Z}$-linear independence endomorphisms $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ satisfying $\Phi^{2}+r \Phi+s=0$ and $\Psi^{2}-t_{\Psi} \Psi+n_{\Psi}=0$, we construct general 4-GLV lattice reduction algorithms with $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ principal maximal orders of imaginary quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. The algorithms can be used to calculate short bases for 4-GLV decompositions on elliptic curves (or Jacobians of genus 2 curves). Our algorithms have a theoretic upper bound of output $C n^{1 / 4}$, where $$
C= \begin{cases}\frac{4+2 \sqrt{d+1}}{3-d}(\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}), & \text { if } \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}] \\ \frac{4 \sqrt{d}}{4 \sqrt{d}-(d+1)}(\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}), & \text { if } \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-d}}{2}\right]\end{cases}
$$


Especially, our algorithms cover the case $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ of Yi et al. (SAC 2017) and the case $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right]$ of Wang et al. (AMC 2021).
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## I. Introduction

Scalar multiplication is the fundamental operation in elliptic curve cryptography. It is important to accelerate this operation and numerous methods have been extensively discussed in the literature; for a good survey, see [1]. Longa and Sica [2] combined GLV [3] and GLS [4] method to construct a 4-GLV decomposition of scalar multiplication and constructed an efficient algorithm-the twofold Cornacchiatype algorithm. The basic idea can be explained as follows.

Let $p>3$ be a prime and $E$ an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Let $E^{\prime} / \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ be a quadratic twist of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset$ $E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$ be a cyclic subgroup of large prime order $n$. The two endomorphisms $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ satisfy $\Phi^{2}(P)+r \Phi(P)+s P=$ $\mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}$ and $\Psi^{2}(P)+P=\mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}$ respectively. They are defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ on $E^{\prime}$ with the assumpation that $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are $\mathbb{Z}^{\text {- }}$ linearly independent. Let $\lambda_{\Phi}$ and $\lambda_{\Psi}$ be the eigenvalues of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ on $\mathcal{G}$, respectively. Longa and Sica [2] showed how to get a 4-GLV decomposition for $E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$. For any scalar $k \in[1, n-1]$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[k] P=\left[k_{1}\right] P+\left[k_{2}\right] \Phi(P)+\left[k_{3}\right] \Psi(P)+\left[k_{4}\right] \Phi \Psi(P) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]with $\max _{i}\left(\left|k_{i}\right|\right)<2 C n^{1 / 4}$. To compute decomposition coefficients $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}$, one can construct a map $F$ :
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
F: \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) & \mapsto x_{1}+x_{2} \lambda_{\Phi}+x_{3} \lambda_{\Psi}+x_{4} \lambda_{\Phi} \lambda_{\Psi} \bmod n \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

It is easy to know that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ker} F= & \left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid x_{1}+x_{2} \lambda_{\Phi}\right. \\
& \left.+x_{3} \lambda_{\Psi}+x_{4} \lambda_{\Phi} \lambda_{\Psi} \equiv 0 \bmod n\right\} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

is a full sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$. The set of decompositions of any $k$ in $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ is then the lattice coset $F^{-1}(k)=(k, 0,0,0)+$ ker $F$. To find a short decomposition of $k$, we can subtract a nearby vector in ker $F$ from $(k, 0,0,0)$. If $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}\right\}$ is a basis for ker $F$, then we let $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)$ be the (unique) solution in $\mathbb{Q}^{4}$ to the linear system $(k, 0,0,0)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}$ and set

$$
\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)=(k, 0,0,0)-\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left\lfloor\alpha_{i}\right\rceil \mathbf{v}_{i}
$$

then $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$ is a 4-dimensional decomposition of $k$. Since $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\alpha_{i}-\left\lfloor\alpha_{i}\right\rceil\right) \mathbf{v}_{i}$ and $\mid x-$ $\lfloor x\rceil \mid \leq 1 / 2$ for any $x$ in $\mathbb{Q}$, we have $\left\|\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq$ $2 \max _{i}\left\|\mathbf{v}_{i}\right\|_{\infty}$.

It is clear that finding short decompositions depends on finding a short basis for $\operatorname{ker} F$, as a result the LLL algorithm [9] is used. Longa and Sica [2] constructed an easy-to-implement algorithm-the twofold Cornacchia-type algorithm, which is an elaborate iterated Cornacchia algorithm that can compute short bases for $\operatorname{ker} F$. The algorithm consists of two sub-algorithms, the first one in the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ and the second one in the Gaussian integer ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. The twofold algorithm is efficient, but more importantly, it gives a better and uniform upper bound $\max _{i}\left\|\mathbf{v}_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leq$ $C n^{1 / 4}$ with $C=51.5 \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}$. Recently, Yi et al. [6] obtained an improved twofold Cornacchia-type algorithm and showed that it possesses a better theoretic bound of output $C n^{1 / 4}$ with $C=(2+\sqrt{2}) \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}$. In particular, their proof is much simpler than Longa and Sica's.

Wang et al. [8] constructed a new twofold Cornacchiatype algorithm, one in $\mathbb{Z}$ and the other one in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, where $\omega=\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$. It can be used to compute some 4 -GLV decompositions on curves with two $\mathbb{Z}$-linear independenly endomorphisms $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ satisfying $\Phi^{2}+r \Phi+s=0$ and $\Psi^{2}+\Psi+1=0$. The new algorithm gives a new and unified method to compute all 4-GLV decompositions on $j$-invariant 0 elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$, which is different from the Hu et al.'s algorithm [5]. It can also be used to compute the 4-GLV decomposition on the Jacobian of the hyperelliptic curve defined as $\mathcal{C} / \mathbb{F}_{p}: y^{2}=x^{6}+a x^{3}+b$.

Our contribution. We construct general 4-GLV lattice reduction algorithms on general cases that $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ are principal maximal orders of imaginary quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, under the assumpution $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-linear independence. We also give the proof that the upper bound of output is $C \cdot n^{1 / 4}$ in our algorithms, where $C=\frac{4+2 \sqrt{d+1}}{3-d}(\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|})$ for $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$ and $C=\frac{4 \sqrt{d}}{4 \sqrt{d}-(d+1)}(\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|})$ for $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-d}}{2}\right]$. Our algorithm contain the case $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[i]$ of Yi et al. [6] which the refinement of Longa and Sica [2] and the case $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right]$ of Wang et al. [8].

The article is organized as follows. II gives the notations and the general 4-GLV decompositions. In III we give general 4-GLV lattice reduction algorithms. IV gives the proof of the upper bound of our algorithms and the value of $C$. Finally, V makes a conclusion.

## II. General 4-GLV decompositions

## A. Notation

Let $\mathcal{A} / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ be an elliptic curve or a hyperelliptic curve defined over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with infinity point denoted by $\mathcal{O} . \mathcal{A} / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ has two endomorphisms $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ satisfying $\Phi^{2}+r \Phi+s=0$ and $\Psi^{2}-t_{\Psi} \Psi+n_{\Psi}=0$ respectively with $r, s, t_{\Psi}, n_{\Psi} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $\Delta=t_{\Psi}^{2}-4 n_{\Psi}=-d k^{2}<0$ be the discriminant of $\Psi$ with $d$ non-square positive integer. Let $K:=\mathbb{Q}(\Psi)=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ be a cyclic subgroup of order $n$ and $P$ be a point in the group $\mathcal{G} . \lambda_{\Phi}$ and $\lambda_{\Psi}$ are the eigenvalues of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ on $\mathcal{G}$, which satisfy $\lambda_{\Phi}^{2}+r \lambda_{\Phi}+s \equiv 0 \bmod n$ and $\lambda_{\Psi}^{2}-t_{\Psi} \lambda_{\Psi}+n_{\Psi} \equiv$ $0 \bmod n$ respectively. The rectangle norm of $\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{t}\right)$ is denoted by $\left\|\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t}\right)\right\|_{\infty}=\max _{i}\left|b_{i}\right|$, for $i=1, \cdots, t$, $t \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$. Let $L:=\mathbb{Q}(\Phi, \Psi)$ be a biquadratic field and $O_{L}$ be the maximal order of $L$. In this paper, we assume that $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-linear independence. This assumption is often achievable on elliptic curves or hyperelliptic curves, see some examples in [2], [8].

## B. Analysis

With respect to $\{1, \Phi, \Psi, \Phi \Psi\}$, we can obtain a 4-GLV decomposition as the eq. (1) and construct a map $F$ as the
eq. (2). Consider the sequence of group homomorphisms:

$$
\mathbb{Z}^{4} \xrightarrow{\stackrel{f}{\cong}} \mathbb{Z}[\Phi, \Psi] \xrightarrow{\bmod \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\Phi, \Psi} \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}
$$

Under the assumpution $\mathbb{Q}(\Phi)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\Psi)$ are disjoint, let $\mathfrak{n}$ is a specific prime lying above $n$ in the biquadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\Phi, \Psi)$. We have $\mathbb{Z}[\Phi, \Psi] \subseteq O_{L}$. Since the degrees of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are much smaller than $n$, the prime $n$ is unramified in $K$, and the existence of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ above means that $n$ splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\Phi)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\Psi)$, namely that $n$ splits completely in $K$. There exists therefore a prime ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{o}_{K}$ dividing $n \mathfrak{o}_{K}$, such that its norm is $n$. We can also suppose that $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\Phi, \Psi]$ and $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$. The inclusions $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Psi] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Phi, \Psi] \hookrightarrow O_{L}$ induce isomorphisms $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\Psi] / \mathfrak{n}^{\prime \prime} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\Phi, \Psi] / \mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \cong O_{L} / \mathfrak{n}$. In particular we can suppose $\Phi \equiv \lambda_{\Phi} \bmod \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$ and $\Psi \equiv \lambda_{\Psi}$ $\bmod \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$. Moreover, since the reduction map $g$ is surjective, the composition of the two homomorphisms $f$ and $g$ gives (for the appropriate $\mathfrak{n}$ ) the 4-dimensional GLV map $F$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F: \mathbb{Z}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\Phi, \Psi] / \mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mapsto x_{1}+x_{2} \lambda_{\Phi}+x_{3} \lambda_{\Psi}+x_{4} \lambda_{\Phi} \lambda_{\Psi} \bmod n$,
which says that the index of $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$ inside $\mathbb{Z}[\Phi, \Psi]$ is $n$. Since the first map $f$ is an isomorphism, we get that $\operatorname{ker} F=f^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and ker $F$ has index $\left[\mathbb{Z}^{4}: \operatorname{ker} F\right]=n$ inside $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$. The key of finding a short basis of $\operatorname{ker} F$ is to find a short $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$. In the following, we give general 4-GLV lattice reduction algorithms to compute a short basis of $\operatorname{ker} F$.

## III. General 4-GLV lattice reduction ALGORITHMS

## A. The First Part in $\mathbb{Z}$

We identify $\mathbb{Z}[\Phi, \Psi]$ with the free $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$-module of rank 2 with basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}=\{1, \Phi\}$. To find a short $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$, we first need to find a generator $\nu=a+b \Psi$ of $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime \prime}$ in the order $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$. This can be achieved by using the first Cornacchia's algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}$, see the Algorithm 1.

```
Algorithm 1: The first part in \(\mathbb{Z}\)
    Input: \(n, 1<\lambda_{\Psi}<n\).
    Output: \(\nu=a+b \Psi\) dividing \(n\).
        initialize
        \(r_{0} \leftarrow n, r_{1} \leftarrow \lambda_{\Psi}, r_{2} \leftarrow n\),
        \(t_{0} \leftarrow 0, t_{1} \leftarrow 1, t_{2} \leftarrow 0\),
        \(q \leftarrow 0\).
    2. main loop
        while \(r_{2}^{2} \geq n\) do
            \(q \leftarrow\left\lfloor r_{0} / r_{1}\right\rfloor\),
        \(r_{2} \leftarrow r_{0}-q r_{1}, r_{0} \leftarrow r_{1}, r_{1} \leftarrow r_{2}\),
        \(t_{2} \leftarrow t_{0}-q t_{1}, t_{0} \leftarrow t_{1}, t_{1} \leftarrow t_{2}\).
    return: \(\nu=r_{1}-\Psi t_{1}, a=r_{1}, b=-t_{1}\)
```

Now, we prove that the Algorithm 1 is feasible, i.e., there exists an element $\nu=a+b \Psi \in \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ with $|a|,|b|<\sqrt{n}$
such that the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathbb{Z}[\Psi] / \mathbb{Z}}(\nu)=b_{n} n, \quad \nu(P)=\mathcal{O} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive integer $b_{n}$, which is relatively small to $n$.
Recall that Algorithm 1 makes use of the extended Euclidean algorithm applied to $n, \lambda_{\Psi}$ to produce a sequence of relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i} n+t_{i} \lambda_{\Psi}=r_{i}, \quad \text { for } i=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|s_{i}\right|<\left|s_{i+1}\right|$ for $i \geq 1,\left|t_{i}\right|<\left|t_{i+1}\right|$ and $r_{i}>r_{i+1} \geq$ 0 for $i \geq 0$. Also, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s_{j+1} r_{j}\right|+\left|s_{j} r_{j+1}\right|=\lambda_{\Psi} \text { and }\left|t_{j+1} r_{j}\right|+\left|t_{j} r_{j+1}\right|=n \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \geq 0$. The Algorithm 1 defines the index $m$ as the largest integer for which $r_{m}>\sqrt{n}$. Then the equation (7) with $i=m$ gives that $\left|t_{m+1}\right|<\sqrt{n}$, so that the vector $\left(r_{m+1},-t_{m+1}\right)$ has rectangle norm bounded by $\sqrt{n}$. Now, the existence of such $\nu$ is guaranteed from the following.

Lemma 3.1 ([10]): There exists an element $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ satisfying (5) for some positive integer $b_{n} \leq 3 n_{\Psi}$. Moreover, $b_{n}=1$ when $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ is a principal maximal order and $n$ splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\Psi) / \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof: Let $v_{1}=\left(r_{m+1},-t_{m+1}\right)$ be a short vector constructed in Algorithm 1 such that $r_{m+1}-t_{m+1} \lambda_{\Psi} \equiv 0 \mathrm{mod}$ $n$ by equation (6), it is clear that $\left(r_{m+1}-t_{m+1} \Psi\right) P=\mathcal{O}$. Put $a:=r_{m+1}, b:=-t_{m+1}$ and $\nu=a+b \Psi$, let $n^{\prime}=N_{\mathbb{Z}[\Psi] / \mathbb{Z}}(a+b \Psi) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $N_{\mathbb{Z}[\Psi] / \mathbb{Z}}(\nu)=$ $(a+b \bar{\Psi})(a+b \Psi)=n^{\prime}$, so $n^{\prime} P=(a+b \bar{\Psi})(a+b \Psi) P=\mathcal{O}$. It implies that $n^{\prime} \equiv 0 \bmod n$ and $n^{\prime}=b_{n} n$ for some integer $b_{n}$. Since $a, b \leq \sqrt{n}$ in Algorithm 1 and $\left|t_{\Psi}\right|<2 \sqrt{n_{\Psi}}$ by $\Psi$ is in general not a rational integer, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n} n & =a^{2}+a b t_{\Psi}+b^{2} n_{\Psi} \leq a^{2}+\left|a b t_{\Psi}\right|+b^{2} n_{\Psi} \\
& \leq n_{\Psi}\left(a^{2}+|a b|+b^{2}\right) \leq 3 n_{\Psi} n
\end{aligned}
$$

The first assertion is proven.
When $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ is a principal maximal order and $n$ splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\Psi) / \mathbb{Q}$, it is obvious that $N_{\mathbb{Z}[\Psi] / \mathbb{Z}}(a+b \Psi)=n$, i.e. $b_{n}=1$.

In this paper, we consider the cases of principle maximal orders $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ to construct a short basis of determinant $n$ of $\operatorname{ker} F$. By $\mathbb{Q}(\Psi)=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ is the maximal order of $\mathbb{Q}(\Psi)$, then $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$ for $d \equiv 1,2 \bmod 4$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-d}) / 2]$ for $d \equiv 3 \bmod 4$. Moreover, if $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ is a principle maximal order, then $d=1,2,3,7,11$ or 19 et al..

## B. The Second Part in $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$

We have seen how to construct $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ with $\nu(P)=$ $\mathcal{O}$ in III-A. By identifying $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}$ with $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+\Psi x_{3}, x_{2}+\Psi x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]^{2}$, we can rewrite the 4-GLV reduction map $F$ in (4) as (using the same letter $F$ by abuse of notation)

$$
\begin{align*}
F: \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]^{2} & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Psi] / \nu \cong \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}  \tag{8}\\
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) & \mapsto z_{1}+\lambda_{\Phi} z_{2}(\bmod \nu) .
\end{align*}
$$

From the output $\nu$ with $N_{\mathbb{Z}[\Psi] / \mathbb{Z}}(\nu)=n$ in the Algorithm 1 and $\lambda_{\Phi}$, we can apply the extended Euclidean algorithm with integer divisions occurring in $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$, see the Algorithm 2.

Suppose we have used the Algorithm 2 to find a short $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$-basis $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$ with $\max _{i}\left(\left|v_{i}\right|\right) \leq C n^{1 / 4}$ for some constant $C>0$. Thus we get a short $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\left\{v_{1}, v_{1} \Psi, v_{2}, v_{2} \Psi\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$. Moreover, write $v_{1}=\left(a_{1}+b_{1} \Psi\right)+$ $\left(c_{1}+d_{1} \Psi\right) \Phi$ and $v_{2}=\left(a_{2}+b_{2} \Psi\right)+\left(c_{2}+d_{2} \Psi\right) \Phi$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} & =\left(a_{1}+b_{1} \Psi+\left(c_{1}+d_{1} \Psi\right) \Phi\right) \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]  \tag{9}\\
& +\left(a_{2}+b_{2} \Psi+\left(c_{2}+d_{2} \Psi\right) \Phi\right) \mathbb{Z}[\Psi] . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

By ker $F=f^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}\right)$, we get a short basis $\left\{\widetilde{v}_{1}, \widetilde{v}_{2}, \widetilde{v}_{3}, \widetilde{v}_{4}\right\}$ of $\operatorname{ker} F$, which are the rows of the following matrix with $\Psi$ satistying the quadratic equation $\Psi^{2}-t_{\Psi} \Psi+n_{\Psi}=0$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & c_{1} & b_{1} & d_{1}  \tag{11}\\
-n_{\Psi} b_{1} & -n_{\Psi} d_{1} & a_{1}+t_{\Psi} b_{1} & c_{1}-n_{\Psi} d_{1} \\
a_{2} & c_{2} & b_{2} & d_{2} \\
-n_{\Psi} b_{2} & -n_{\Psi} d_{2} & a_{2}+t_{\Psi} b_{2} & c_{2}-n_{\Psi} d_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

```
Algorithm 2: The second part in \(\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]\)
Input: \(\nu\) prime dividing \(n\) rational prime,
\(1<\lambda_{\Phi}<n\), such that \(\lambda_{\Phi}^{2}+r \lambda_{\Phi}+s \equiv 0 \bmod n\).
Output: Two vectors in \(\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]^{2}: v_{1}, v_{2}\).
1. initialize:
            \(r_{0} \leftarrow \lambda_{\Phi}, r_{1} \leftarrow \nu, r_{2} \leftarrow n\),
            \(s_{0} \leftarrow 1, s_{1} \leftarrow 0, s_{2} \leftarrow 0, q \leftarrow 0\).
2. main loop:
    while \(\left|r_{1}\right| \geq C n^{1 / 4}\) do
        \(q \leftarrow\left\lfloor r_{0} / r_{1}\right\rceil\),
        \(r_{2} \leftarrow r_{0}-q r_{1}, r_{0} \leftarrow r_{1}, r_{1} \leftarrow r_{2}\),
        \(s_{2} \leftarrow s_{0}-q s_{1}, s_{0} \leftarrow s_{1}, s_{1} \leftarrow s_{2}\).
    3. compute:
        \(q \leftarrow\left\lfloor r_{0} / r_{1}\right\rceil, r_{2} \leftarrow r_{0}-q r_{1}, s_{2} \leftarrow s_{0}-q s_{1}\).
    4. return: \(v_{1}=\left(r_{1},-s_{1}\right)\),
    if \(\max \left\{\left|r_{0}\right|,\left|s_{0}\right|\right\} \leq \max \left\{\left|r_{2}\right|,\left|s_{2}\right|\right\}\)
        \(v_{2}=\left(r_{0},-s_{0}\right)\)
    else \(v_{2}=\left(r_{2},-s_{2}\right)\).
```

We can also give the direct form algorithm similar to the Algorithm 3 in [8], and the output of the algorithm is a short basis of $\operatorname{ker} F$ as the rows in matrix (11).

## IV. The value of $C$

For the algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$, we also have three such sequences $\left\{r_{j}\right\},\left\{s_{j}\right\},\left\{q_{j}\right\}$ for $j \geq 0$. In the $j$-th step with $r_{j}=q_{j+1} r_{j+1}+r_{j+2}$, positive quotient $q_{j+1}$ and nonnegative remainder $r_{j+2}$ are not available in $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$. We will choose $q_{j+1}$ as the closest integer to $r_{j} / r_{j+1}$ denoted by $\left\lfloor r_{j} / r_{j+1}\right\rceil$. Let us note that $r_{i}>r_{i+1} \geq 0$ for $i \geq 0$
holds in modulus (in particular, the algorithm terminates). However, a crucial role is played by the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j+1} r_{j}-s_{j} r_{j+1}=(-1)^{j+1} \nu, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can derive a bound on $\left|s_{j+1} r_{j}\right|$ and $\left|s_{j} r_{j+1}\right|$.
Theorem 4.1: The two vectors $v_{1}, v_{2}$ output by Algorithm 2 are $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$-linearly independent. They belong to $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$ and satisfy that if $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4+2 \sqrt{d+1}}{3-d}} n^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{4+2 \sqrt{d+1}}{3-d}(\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}) n^{\frac{1}{4}}
\end{array},\right.
$$

and if $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-d}}{2}\right]$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4 \sqrt{d}}{4 \sqrt{d}-(d+1)}} n^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{4 \sqrt{d}}{4 \sqrt{d}-(d+1)}(\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}) n^{\frac{1}{4}}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Before proving Theorem 4.1, we need the following lemmas. In the Algorithm $2, q_{j+1} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ is the closest integer to $r_{j} / r_{j+1}$. Here, we define a fundamental regin of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$. We single out a fundamental parallelogram but not containing the origin as a vertex (since $q_{j+1} \neq 0$ ). First, we quote the conclusion in [7, Lemma 2] to give a property that the closest lattice point to a point in the fundamental parallelogram of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$, see the following.

Lemma 4.2 ([7]): Let $A B C$ be any triangle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with vertices $A, B$ and $C$. For any two points $P, P^{\prime}$, let $P P^{\prime}$ denote their distance. Let $O$ be any point inside the closure of $A B C$ maximising

$$
f(P)=\min \{P A, P B, P C\}
$$

so that $R \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(O)=\max _{P \in \overline{A B C}} f(P)$. In other terms, $O$ is the farthest point from any vertex. Then

1. if $A B C$ is acutangle, $O$ is the centre of the circumscribed circle and $R=r$ is its radius,
2. if $\widehat{B A C}$ (the angle abutting to $A$ ) has measure greater than $\pi / 2$ radians, so that $[B C]$ is the largest side of the triangle, supposing that $[A C]$ is the smallest side, then $O$ is obtained as the intersection of the axis of $[A B]$ with $[B C]$ (so that $O A=O B$ ) and $R=A B /(2 \cos \widehat{C B A})$.

From the Lemma 4.2, it shows that any point lying inside a fundamental parallelogram will be at a distance $<R$ from one of the vertices. The $R$ is optimal with the value:

$$
R= \begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{1+d}}{2}, & \text { if } \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]  \tag{13}\\ \frac{\sqrt{d}+\sqrt{d^{-1}}}{4}, & \text { if } \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-d}}{2}\right] .\end{cases}
$$

By Lemma 4.2, we can choose from the set of all vertices of the fundamental parallelogram which one is the adequate.

Let $q_{j+1}$ corresponds to the vertice of the fundamental parallelogram, which is the one closest to the point $r_{j} / r_{j+1}$ lies in the fundamental parallelogram. Since $q_{j} \neq 0$, it means that we must be careful to avoid all four diamonds which have the origin as a vertex. But this follows from the fact that at all steps $j \geq 0$ we always have $\left|r_{j} / r_{j+1}\right| \geq 1 / R$.
Lemma 4.3: If $\left|\frac{s_{j}}{s_{j+1}}\right|<1$, then we have

$$
\left|s_{j+1} r_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{1-R}|\nu|, \quad\left|s_{j} r_{j+1}\right| \leq \frac{2-R}{1-R}|\nu| .
$$

Proof: First we have $s_{j+1} r_{j}-s_{j} r_{j+1}=(-1)^{j+1} \nu$. If the condition $\left|\frac{s_{j}}{s_{j+1}}\right|<1$ holds, and noticing that $\left|r_{j} / r_{j+1}\right| \geq$ $1 / R$, then $\left|\frac{s_{j}}{s_{j+1}} \cdot \frac{r_{j+1}}{r_{j}}\right|<R$. We can get

$$
\left|1-\frac{s_{j} r_{j+1}}{s_{j+1} r_{j}}\right| \geq 1-\left|\frac{s_{j} r_{j+1}}{s_{j+1} r_{j}}\right| \geq 1-R .
$$

With $s_{j+1} r_{j}-s_{j} r_{j+1}=(-1)^{j+1} \nu$, we have

$$
|\nu|=\left|s_{j+1} r_{j}-s_{j} r_{j+1}\right|>(1-R)\left|s_{j+1} r_{j}\right|,
$$

which implies $\left|s_{j+1} r_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{11-R}|\nu|$. By $\left|s_{j} r_{j+1}\right|=\mid s_{j+1} r_{j}+$ $(-1)^{j} \nu \mid$, then $\left|s_{j} r_{j+1}\right| \leq \frac{2-R}{1-R}|\nu|$.

Lemma 4.4 ([2], [8]): For any nonzero $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \subset$ $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]^{2}$, we have

$$
\max \left(\left|v_{1}\right|,\left|v_{2}\right|\right) \geq \frac{\sqrt{|\nu|}}{\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}}
$$

In particular, for any $j \geq 0$, we have

$$
\max \left(\left|r_{j}\right|,\left|s_{j}\right|\right) \geq \frac{\sqrt{|\nu|}}{\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}}
$$

Proof: (Proof of Theorem 4.1). According to the eq. (6) and (7), it is easily to get that the vectors $v_{1}, v_{2}$ are $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$-linearly independent and belong to $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$.

We assume that Algorithm 2 stops at the $m$-th step ( $m \geq$ 1). Then $v_{1}=\left(r_{m+1},-s_{m+1}\right)$ and $\left|r_{m}\right| \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-R}} n^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\left|r_{m+1}\right|<\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-R}} n^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Considering the two cases $\left|\frac{s_{m}}{s_{m+1}}\right|<1$ and $\left|s_{m}\right| \geq\left|s_{m+1}\right|$, we can get
$\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-R}} n^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1-R} \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|} n^{\frac{1}{4}}$.
These discussions are similar to the proof in [8], [6, Theorem 2], just pay attention to the difference in coefficients of $n^{1 / 4}$.

Here we just give the disscussion for the case $\left|\frac{s_{m}}{s_{m+1}}\right|<1$, the other case $\left|s_{m}\right| \geq\left|s_{m+1}\right|$ is similar. Using Lemma 4.3 we get $\left|s_{m+1}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-R}} \sqrt{|\nu|}$, with $\left|r_{m+1}\right|<\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-R}} \sqrt{|\nu|}$ we can easily deduce

$$
\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-R}} n^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

If $\left|r_{m+1}\right|<\frac{\sqrt{|\nu|}}{\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}}$, by Lemma 4.4 we get a lower bound $\left|s_{m+1}\right| \geq \frac{\sqrt{|\nu|}}{\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}}$ which implies $\left|r_{m}\right| \leq$ $\frac{1}{1-R} \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|} \sqrt{|\nu|}$ using again Lemma 4.3. Together with the restricted condition $\left|s_{m}\right|<\left|s_{m+1}\right| \leq$ $\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-R}} \sqrt{|\nu|}<\frac{1}{1-R} \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|} \sqrt{|\nu|}$ we can obtain

$$
\left\|\left(r_{m},-s_{m}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1-R} \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|} n^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

If $\left|r_{m+1}\right| \geq \frac{\sqrt{|\nu|}}{\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}}$, when $\left|s_{m+1}\right| \geq\left|s_{m+2}\right|$ we can get $\left|s_{m+2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-R}} \sqrt{|\nu|},\left|r_{m+2}\right| \leq\left|r_{m+1}\right|<\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-R}} \sqrt{|\nu|}$. When $\left|s_{m+1}\right|<\left|s_{m+2}\right|$, by the Lemma 4.3 we can deduce $\left|s_{m+2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{1-R} \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|} \sqrt{|\nu|}$. Hence in both cases we have

$$
\left\|\left(r_{m+2},-s_{m+2}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1-R} \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|} n^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

By the definition of $v_{2}$, it is easily to get

$$
\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1-R} \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|} n^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

For the two cases of $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-d}) / 2]$ and the corresponding $R$ in eq. (14), we can easily get the upper bound of the vectors $v_{1}, v_{2}$.

From the Theorem 4.1, the value of $C$ in the Algorithm 2 is that
$C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{4+2 \sqrt{d+1}}{3-d}(\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}), \text { if } \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}], \\ \frac{4 \sqrt{d}}{4 \sqrt{d}-(d+1)}(\sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}), \text { if } \mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-d}}{2}\right] .\end{array}\right.$
Morever, for general 4-GLV decompositions, we can obtain the conclusion.

Theorem 4.5: For general 4-GLV decmpositions with the two $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly independent endomorphisma $\Phi$ and $\Psi$, under the considition that $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ is the principle maximal order, our general 4-GLV lattice algorithms will result in a decomposition of any scalar $k \in[1, n)$ into integers $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}$ such that

$$
[k] P=\left[k_{1}\right] P+\left[k_{2}\right] \Phi(P)+\left[k_{3}\right] \Psi(P)+\left[k_{4}\right] \Phi \Psi(P),
$$

with $k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ bounded by $2 C n^{1 / 4}$.
Remark 1: If $d=1$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$, then $C=$ $(2+\sqrt{2}) \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}$, which is the case of Yi et al. [6]. If $d=3$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]=\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-3}) / 2]$, then $C=$ $\frac{(3+\sqrt{3})}{2} \sqrt{1+|r|+|s|}$, which is the case of Wang et al.[8].

## V. Conclusion

We have constructed general 4-dimensional GLV lattice reduction algorithms under the assumpation that $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly independence and $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$ is the principle maximal order of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. The general 4-dimensional GLV lattice reduction algorithms are twofold Cornacchia-type algorithms, the first part in $\mathbb{Z}$ and the second part in the
domain $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi]$. Our algorithms cover the previous results in [6], [8].
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