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## Chapter 1

## Homological Algebra

## 1 Additive and abelian categories, complexes

Definition 1.1. An additive category is a category $\mathcal{A}$ having the following properties :
(i) For any objects $L, M$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the set of morphisms $\operatorname{Hom}(L, M)$ is endowed with the structure of an abelian group, and for any objects $L, M, N$, the composition

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(L, M) \times \operatorname{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(L, N)
$$

is $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear.
(ii) There exists an object which is both initial and final, which is called the zero object and denoted by 0 : for any object $L, \operatorname{Hom}(L, 0)=\operatorname{Hom}(0, L)=$ $\{0\}$.
(iii) For any objects $L, M$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the sum $L \oplus M$ and the product $L \times M$ exist.

It is easily checked that, in presence of (i) and (ii), (iii) implies that the map $L \oplus M \rightarrow L \times M$ with components (Id, 0 ) and ( $0, \mathrm{Id}$ ) is an isomorphism. indeed, we have the following diagram:


If $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category, so is the dual category $\mathcal{A}^{0}$.
Definition 1.2. A functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between additive categories is called additive if $F(0)=0$ and for any objects $L, M$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the natural morphism $F(L) \oplus F(M) \rightarrow F(L \oplus M)$ is an isomorphism. Equivalently, $F$ is additive if for any objects $L, M$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the map $F: \operatorname{Hom}(L, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(F(L), F(M))$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-linear.

The category $A b$ of abelian groups is in an obvious way an additive category, and if $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category, for any object $L$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(L,-)($ resp. $\operatorname{Hom}(-, L))$ from $\mathcal{A}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{A}^{0}\right)$ to $A b$ is additive.
Definition 1.3. An abelian category is an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following axioms :
(AB 1) Any morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{A}$ has a $\operatorname{kernel} \operatorname{Ker}(u)$ (i.e. the equalizer of $u$ and the 0 morphism) and a cokernel $\operatorname{Coker}(u)$ (i.e. the coequalizer of $u$ and the 0 morphism). That is, one always has commutative diagrams

(AB 2) For any morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$, the canonical morphism $\operatorname{CoIm}(u) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Im}(u)$ is an isomorphism, where $\operatorname{CoIm}(u)$, the coimage of $u$ is the cokernel of the morphism $\operatorname{Ker}(u) \rightarrow L$, and $\operatorname{Im}(u)$, the image of $u$, is the kernel of the morphism $M \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}(u)$.

In presence of (AB1), (AB 2) is equivalent to saying that a morphism which is both a monomorphism and an epimorphism is an isomorphism.

The dual category of an abelian category is abelian.
A typical example of an abelian category is the category of modules over a ring, or, more generally, of sheaves of modules over a sheaf of rings on a topological space. By a theorem of Mitchell [M, 7.1], generalizing a theorem of Freyd, any "small" abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ can be embedded as a full subcategory of a small category of modules over a ring, by a (fully faithful) functor preserving kernels and cokernels ("small" is a set-theoretic condition, meaning that the set of objects of $\mathcal{A}$ and for any two objects $L, M$, the set of morphisms $\operatorname{Hom}(L, M)$ belong to some "universe" (see [SGA4, I] for the definition of universes).

In an abelian category, push-outs and pull-backs exist and are defined as in the category of modules over a ring.
1.4. Exact sequence. In an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, a sequence $L \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} N$ such that $v \circ u=0$ is called exact if the canonical morphism $\operatorname{Ker}(v) \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}(u)$ is an isomorphism (or equivalently, $\operatorname{Ker}(v)=\operatorname{Im}(u)$ as a subobject of $M$ ). More generally, a sequence $\left(\cdots \rightarrow L^{i-1} \rightarrow L^{i} \rightarrow L^{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots\right)(i \in \mathbb{Z})$ is called exact if any two consecutive morphisms $L^{i-1} \rightarrow L^{i} \rightarrow L^{i+1}$ form an exact sequence. A short exact sequence is an exact sequence of the form $0 \rightarrow$ $L \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} N \rightarrow 0$ where the exactness means that $u$ is a monomorphism, $v$ is an epimorphism, and $\operatorname{Ker}(v)=\operatorname{Im}(u)$. The following standard result is extremely useful:

Proposition 1.5 (snake lemma). Consider a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{A}$

in which the rows are exact. Then there exists a unique morphism

$$
\delta: \operatorname{Ker} u^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \text { Coker } u^{\prime}
$$

making the following square commutative :

in which the horizontal maps are the natural projections and the left vertical maps is induced by u. Moreover, the sequence

$$
\operatorname{Ker} u^{\prime} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} u \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} u^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Coker} u^{\prime} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} u \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} u^{\prime},
$$

in which the maps other than $\delta$ are the natural ones, is exact.
Proof. The uniqueness of $\delta$ is clear and its existence is easy. By duality (i.e. passing to the dual category), it is enough to check exactness at $\operatorname{Ker} u$, which is immediate, and at $\operatorname{Ker} u^{\prime \prime}$, which is nontrivial. In the case of a category of modules over a ring, one can pick up elements and make a diagram chasing (cf. $[\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{I}]$ ). In the general case, the verification is more delicate. One can bypass it by using Mitchell's embedding theorem quoted above.

A trivial (but useful) corollary of the snake lemma is the so-called five lemma.

Corollary 1.6 (five lemma). Consider a commutative diagram

in which the rows are exact. Then, if $u^{1}, u^{2}, u^{4}, u^{5}$ are isomorphisms, so is $u^{3}$.
1.7. Complex:definition and naive truncation. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. A complex of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted $L^{\bullet}$, or just $L$, is a family of objects $L^{i}$ of $\mathcal{A}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and morphisms $d^{i}: L^{i} \rightarrow L^{i+1}$ (sometimes just denoted $d$ ) such that $d^{i+1} d^{i}=0$. One writes

$$
L=\left(\cdots \rightarrow L^{i} \rightarrow L^{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots\right) .
$$

One says that $d^{i}$ is the differential of $L$, and that $L^{i}$ is the component of degree $i$ of $L$. A morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$ of complexes of $\mathcal{A}$ is a family of morphisms $u^{i}: L^{i} \rightarrow M^{i}$ such that the squares

commute. Complexes of $\mathcal{A}$ form an additive category $C(\mathcal{A})$.
A complex $L$ is said to be bounded above (resp. bounded below, resp. bounded) if $L^{i}=0$ for $i$ sufficiently large (resp. sufficiently small, resp. outside a bounded interval of $\mathbb{Z}$ ). If $[a, b]$ is a bounded interval of $\mathbb{Z}, L$ is said to be concentrated in degrees in $[a, b]$ if $L^{i}=0$ for $i \notin[a, b]$. When $a=b=n$, we simply say concentrated in degree $n$. By associating to an object $E$ of $\mathcal{A}$ the complex concentrated in degree zero and having $E$ as component of degree zero, one identifies $\mathcal{A}$ with the full subcategory of $C(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of complexes concentrated in degree zero.

For $L$ in $C(\mathcal{A})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one defines the complex $L[n]$ by $L[n]^{i}=L^{i+n}$, the differential of $L[n]$ being given by $(-1)^{n} d$, where $d$ is the differential of
$L$. If $u: L \rightarrow M$ is a morphism of complexes, $u[n]: L[n] \rightarrow M[n]$ is given by $u[n]^{i}=u^{n+i}$ (no signs involved). The functor $L \mapsto L[n]$ is called a translation (or shift) functor. The complex concentrated in degree $n$ and having $E$ as $n$ th component is $E[-n]$ (where $E$ is identified with the corresponding complex concentrated in degree zero).

One denotes by $L^{\geq n}$ (or $\sigma_{\geq n} L$ ) the subcomplex of $L$ such that $\sigma_{\geq n} L^{i}=L^{i}$ for $i \geq n$ and zero otherwise :

$$
\sigma_{\geq n} L=\left(0 \rightarrow L^{n} \rightarrow L^{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots\right) .
$$

Similarly, one denotes by $L^{\leq n}$ (or $\sigma_{\leq n} L$ ) the quotient of $L$ such that $\sigma_{\leq n} L^{i}=$ $L^{i}$ for $i \leq n$ and zero otherwise :

$$
\sigma_{\leq n} L=\left(\cdots \rightarrow L^{n-1} \rightarrow L^{n} \rightarrow 0\right)
$$

The functors $\sigma_{\geq n}$ and $\sigma_{\leq n}$ are called naive truncations.
1.8. Cohomology of a complex. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and let $L$ be a complex of $\mathcal{A}$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, one defines

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z^{i} L=\operatorname{Ker} d^{i}: L^{i} \rightarrow L^{i+1}, \quad B^{i} L=\operatorname{Im} d^{i-1}: L^{i-1} \rightarrow L^{i} \\
H^{i} L=Z^{i} L / B^{i} L
\end{gathered}
$$

One says that $Z^{i} L$ (resp. $B^{i} L$, resp. $H^{i} L$ ) is the cycle object (resp. boundary object, resp. cohomology object) of $L$ in degree $i$. One says that $L$ is acyclic in degree $i$ if $H^{i} L=0$, and more generally, if $[a, b]$ is an interval of $\mathbb{Z}$, that $L$ is acyclic in the interval $[a, b]$ (resp. acyclic) if $L$ is acyclic in degree $i$ for all $i$ in $[a, b]$ (resp. for all $i$ ). For a fixed $i, Z^{i}, B^{i}, H^{i}$ are additive functors from $C(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathcal{A}$.

Here comes the most important notion in homological algebra.
Definition 1.9. A morphism of complexes $u: L \rightarrow M$ is called a quasiisomorphism if $H^{i}(u): H^{i} L \rightarrow H^{i} M$ is an isomorphism for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First notice that if $0 \rightarrow L$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then $L$ is acyclic. If $E$ is an object of $\mathcal{A}$, a left resolution of $E$ is a quasi-isomorphism $L \rightarrow E$, where $L$ is a complex concentrated in degree $\leq 0$. It is the same as giving such a complex $L$, a morphism $\varepsilon: L^{0} \rightarrow E$ such that the sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow L^{i} \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{d} L^{0} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} E \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Similarly, a right resolution of $E$ is a quasi-isomorphism $E \rightarrow M$, where $M$ is a complex concentrated in degree $\geq 0$. It is the same as giving such a complex $M$, a morphism $\varepsilon: E \rightarrow M$ such that the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M^{0} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \longrightarrow M^{i} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

is exact.
1.10. Canonical truncation. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and $L \in C(\mathcal{A})$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$
\tau_{\leq n} L=\left(\cdots \xrightarrow{d} L^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d} Z^{n} L \longrightarrow 0\right)
$$

be the subcomplex of $L$ such that $\left(\tau_{\leq n} L\right)^{i}=L^{i}$ for $i<n, Z^{n}$ for $i=n$ and 0 otherwise. Let

$$
\tau_{\geq n} L=\left(0 \longrightarrow L^{n} / B^{n} L \xrightarrow{d} L^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots\right)
$$

be the quotient of $L$ such that $\left(\tau_{\geq n} L\right)^{i}=L^{i}$ for $i>n, L^{n} / B^{n} L$ for $i=n$ and 0 otherwise. Finally, if $[a, b]$ is an interval of $\mathbb{Z}$, we set $\tau_{[a, b]} L=\tau_{\geq a} \tau_{\leq b} L=$ $\tau_{\leq b} \tau_{\geq a} L$, i.e.

$$
\tau_{[a, b]} L=\left(0 \longrightarrow L^{a} / B^{a} L \xrightarrow{d} L^{a+1} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \longrightarrow L^{b-1} \xrightarrow{d} Z^{b} L \longrightarrow 0\right)
$$

For $a=b=n, \tau_{[a, b]} L=H^{n}(L)[-n]$. One has a natural morphism $\epsilon: \tau_{\leq n} \rightarrow L$ (resp. $\pi: \tau_{\geq n} \rightarrow L$ ); $H^{i}(\epsilon)$ (resp. $H^{i}(\pi)$ ) is an isomorphism for $i \leq n($ resp. $i \geq n)$ and $H^{i} \tau_{\leq n} L=0\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.H^{i} \tau_{\geq n} L=0\right)$ for $i>n($ resp. $i<n)$.

If $u: L \rightarrow M$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then $\tau_{\geq n} u: \tau_{\geq n} L \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n} M$ and $\tau_{\leq n} u: \tau_{\leq n} L \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n} M$ are quasi-isomorphisms. But in general, naive truncations $\sigma_{\leq n}$ and $\sigma_{\geq n}$ do not preserve quasi-isomorphisms.

Proposition 1.11. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and

$$
0 \longrightarrow L^{\prime} \xrightarrow{u} L \xrightarrow{v} L^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

be a short exact sequence of complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a "long exact sequence of cohomology"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow H^{i} L^{\prime} \xrightarrow{H^{i}(u)} H^{i} L \xrightarrow{H^{i}(v)} H^{i} L^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{i+1} L^{\prime} \xrightarrow{H^{i+1}(u)} H^{i+1} L \rightarrow \cdots \tag{1.11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the snake lemma applied to the diagram

we have the sequence $\tau_{[i, i+1]} L^{\prime} \longrightarrow \tau_{[i, i+1]} L \longrightarrow \tau_{[i, i+1]} L^{\prime \prime}$ which has the form

where the rows are exact. By the snake lemma again, we get the exact sequence (1.11.1).

Corollary 1.12. Let

be a morphism of short exact sequences in $C(\mathcal{A})$. Then if two of the morphisms $u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}$ are quasi-isomorphisms, so is the third one.

Proof. We only prove the case where $u^{\prime}$ and $u$ are quasi-isomorphisms. The other two cases are analogous. Applying Proposition 1.11, we get the commutative diagram

with exact rows. It follows from the five lemma(Lemma 1.6) that $H^{i}\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism for all $i$, i.e., $u^{\prime \prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

## 2 Bicomplexes and cones

2.1. Bicomplexes. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. A naive bicomplex $K$ of $\mathcal{A}$, is a family of objects $K^{i, j}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ indexed by $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, together with families of morphisms $d_{1}=\left\{d_{1}^{i, j}: K^{i, j} \rightarrow K^{i+1, j}\right\}$ and $d_{2}=\left\{d_{2}^{i, j}: K^{i, j} \rightarrow K^{i, j+1}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
d_{1}^{2}=0, d_{2}^{2}=0, d_{1} \circ d_{2}=d_{2} \circ d_{1}
$$

For convenience, the morphism $d_{1}^{i, j}: K^{i, j} \rightarrow K^{i+1, j}$ (resp. $d_{2}^{i, j}: K^{i, j} \rightarrow$ $K^{i, j+1}$ ) is usually denoted by $d_{1}: K^{i, j} \rightarrow K^{i+1, j}$ (resp. $d_{2}: K^{i, j} \rightarrow K^{i, j+1}$ ).

A bicomplex $L$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a family of objects $L^{i, j}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ indexed by $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, together with a family of morphisms $d^{\prime}=\left\{d^{\prime}: L^{i, j} \rightarrow L^{i+1, j}\right\}$ and $d^{\prime \prime}=\left\{d^{\prime \prime}\right.$ : $\left.L^{i, j} \rightarrow L^{i, j+1}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
d^{\prime 2}=0, d^{\prime \prime 2}=0, d^{\prime} \circ d^{\prime \prime}+d^{\prime \prime} \circ d^{\prime}=0
$$

Starting with a naive bicomplex $K=\left(K^{i, j}, d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$, we obtain a bicomplex $L=\left(L^{i, j}, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)$ by putting

$$
L^{i, j}=K^{i, j}, d^{\prime}=d_{1}, d^{\prime \prime i, j}=(-1)^{i} d_{2}^{i, j} .
$$

Remark. Similarly, if we define a bicomplex $L^{\prime}$ by setting $L^{\prime i, j}=K^{i, j}$, $d^{\prime \prime i, j}=d_{2}^{i, j}$ and $d^{\prime i, j}=(-1)^{j} d_{1}^{i, j}$, then there exists an isomorphism $L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ of bicomplexes defined by $(-1)^{i j}$ Id in degree $(i, j)$.

Let $M=\left(M^{i, j}, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $N=\left(N^{i, j}, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be bicomplexes in $\mathcal{A}$, a morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a family of morphisms $\left(f^{i, j}: M^{i, j} \rightarrow N^{i, j}\right)$ such that $f d^{\prime}=d^{\prime} f$ and $f d^{\prime \prime}=d^{\prime \prime} f$. Then we get an additive category $C^{2}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $L=\left(L^{i, j}, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a bicomplex, and let $m, n$ be integers. We define a new bicomplex $L[m, n]=\left(L[m, n]^{i, j}, \partial^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime \prime}\right)$ by putting $L[m, n]^{i, j}=L^{m+i, n+j}$, $\partial^{\prime i, j}=(-1)^{m+n} d^{\prime m+i, n+j}$ and $\partial^{\prime \prime i, j}=(-1)^{m+n} d^{\prime \prime m+i, n+j}$.

Let $M$ be a bicomplex. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the complex $M^{i, \cdot}=\left(\cdots \rightarrow M^{i, j} \xrightarrow{d^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ $M^{i, j+1} \rightarrow \cdots$ ) is called the $i$-th column of $M$. Similarly for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the complex $M^{\bullet, j}=\left(\cdots \rightarrow M^{i, j} \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} M^{i+1, j} \rightarrow \cdots\right)$ is called the $j$-th row of $M$.

Definition 2.2. A bicomplex $K$ is called biregular if for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set $\left\{K^{i, j} \mid i+j=n, K^{i, j} \neq 0\right\}$ is a finite set.

Example 2.2.1. A complex $K$ concentrated in a quadrant of the first (resp. the third) type (i.e there exist some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $K^{i, j}=0$ if $i<a$
(resp. $i>a$ ) or $j<b$ (resp. $j>b$ )) is biregular. but in general, a bicomplex concentrated in a quadrant of the second (resp. the fourth) type (i.e. there exist some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $K^{i, j}=0$ if $i>a$ (resp. $i<a$ ) or $j<b$ (resp. $j>b)$ ), is not biregular.

Example 2.2.2. A bicomplex $K$ concentrated in a horizontal strip of finite width (i.e. there exists some interval $[a, b]$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $K^{i, j}=0$ if $j \notin[a, b]$ $)$ is biregular. A bicomplex concentrated in a vertical strip of finite width is also biregular.

Let K be a biregular bicomplex, we define $\mathbf{s} K \in C(\mathcal{A})$ as follows

$$
(\mathbf{s} K)^{n}=\bigoplus_{i+j=n} K^{i, j}, \quad d=d^{\prime}+d^{\prime \prime}:(\mathbf{s} K)^{n} \rightarrow(\mathbf{s} K)^{n+1}
$$

The complex $\mathbf{s} K$ is called the simple complex associated to $K$. Let $f=$ $\left(f^{i, j}\right): K \rightarrow L$ be a morphism of bicomplexes, we define $\mathbf{s} f: \mathbf{s} K \rightarrow \mathbf{s} L$ by $(\mathbf{s} f)^{n}=\bigoplus_{i+j=n} f^{i, j}$. The functor $\mathbf{s}: C^{2}(\mathcal{A})_{\text {reg }} \rightarrow C(\mathcal{A})$ is called the associated simple complex functor where $C^{2}(\mathcal{A})_{\text {reg }}$ denotes the full subcategory of $C^{2}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of biregular bicomplexes. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathbf{s}(K[a, b])=(\mathbf{s} K)[a+b]$.

Proposition 2.3. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category, then the functor $\mathbf{s}: C^{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\text {req }}\right) \rightarrow$ $C(\mathcal{A})$ is exact.

Proof. Indeed, a finite direct sum of exact sequences is exact.
2.4. Cone of a morphism. Let $u: L \rightarrow M$ be a morphism of complexes of an additive category $\mathcal{A}$. Then we obtain a naive bicomplex concentrated in columns of degree -1 and 0 with $L$ and $M$ filling in them respectively. It can be converted to a bicomplex $K$ concentrated in the columns of the same
degree, i.e.

where $d^{\prime \prime}=-d_{L}$. The complex $C(u)=\mathbf{s} K$ is called the cone of $u$. By definition, we have

$$
C(u)^{n}=L^{n+1} \bigoplus M^{n}
$$

and the differential is given by

$$
d=d_{C(u)}: C(u)^{n} \rightarrow C(u)^{n+1}, \quad d(x, y)=-d_{L} x+\left(u x+d_{M} y\right)
$$

i.e.

$$
d=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-d_{L} & 0 \\
u & d_{M}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Suppose from now on that $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian. By naive truncation, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow L[1,0] \longrightarrow 0
$$

of bicomplexes where $L$ and $M$ are considered as the cones of the morphism $0 \rightarrow L$ and $0 \rightarrow M$ respectively. By Proposition 2.3 , we get an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~s} M \longrightarrow \mathbf{s} K \longrightarrow \mathbf{s} L[1,0] \longrightarrow 0,
$$

that is, $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C(u) \longrightarrow L[1] \longrightarrow 0$.
Proposition 2.5. The boundary morphism of the above complex $\delta=H^{n+1}(u)$ : $H^{n}(L[1]) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(M)$.

Proof. We can check this fact directly from definitions.

Corollary 2.6. A morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$ of complexes in $\mathcal{A}$ is a quasiisomorphism if and only if the cone of $u$ is acyclic.

Proof. By the above proposition, we have the exact sequence of cohomology

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i}(M) \longrightarrow H^{i}(C(u)) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(L) \xrightarrow{H^{i+1}(u)} H^{i+1}(M) \longrightarrow \cdots .
$$

Then $C(u)$ is acyclic if and only if $H^{i}(C(u))=0$, that is, the morphism $H^{i}(L) \xrightarrow{H^{i}(u)} H^{i}(M)$ is an isomorphism for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the result follows.

Proposition 2.7. Let

be a commutative diagram of complexes in $\mathcal{A}$ where the row is exact, the morphism $i: M \rightarrow C(u)$ is the natural embedding, and $\varphi=(0, v): C(u)^{n} \rightarrow$ $N^{n}$. Then the following square

is commutative, where $\mathrm{pr}: C(u) \rightarrow L[1]$ is the natural projection, and $\delta$ is the boundary morphism of the long exact sequence of the upper row in (2.7.1).

Proof. Using Mitchell's embedding theorem, we may assume $\mathcal{A}$ is a full subcategory of a small category of modules over a ring. The advantage is that
we could pick up elements. Consider the diagram

where the dotted arrow is the boundary map $\delta$. Let $\binom{a}{b} \in Z^{i}(C(u))$ where $a \in L^{i+1}, b \in M^{i}$. Then $H^{i}(\varphi)\left(\left[\binom{a}{b}\right]\right)=[v(b)]$ where the brackets denote cohomology class. Thus we can choose $\tilde{b} \in M^{i} / B^{i} M$ such that $\tilde{v}(\tilde{b})=\widetilde{v(b)}$. Then $\overline{d_{M}}(\tilde{b})=d_{M}(b) \in M^{i+1}$. There exists $l \in Z^{i+1}$ such that $u(l)=d_{M}(b)$. Then $\left(\delta H^{i}(\varphi)\right)\left[\binom{a}{b}\right]=[l]$. On the other hand, $d_{C(u)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-d_{L[1]} & 0 \\ u & d_{M}\end{array}\right)$. Since $d_{C(u)}\binom{a}{b}=0$, we obtain $u(a)=-d_{M}(b)$. Thus $a=-l$. It follows that $H^{i}(-p r)\left[\binom{a}{b}\right]=[-a]=[l]=\left(\delta H^{i}(\varphi)\right)\left[\binom{a}{b}\right]$. Finally the diagram (2.7.2) commutes.

Corollary 2.8. $\varphi$ is a quasi-isomorphism.
Proof. By Propositions 2.5 and 2.7, we get the following commutative diagram

with exact rows. Then the result follows from the five lemma(Lemma 1.6).

Remark. By duality, there exists a natural morphism $\psi: L \rightarrow C(v)[-1]$ such that the diagram

is commutative, where $i_{2}: N \rightarrow C(v)$ is the inclusion. The morphism $\psi$ : $L \rightarrow C(v)[-1]$ is also a quasi-isomorphism.

Proposition 2.9. Let $u: L \rightarrow M$ be a morphism of biregular bicomplexes. If $u$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $u^{i, \bullet}: L^{i, \bullet} \rightarrow M^{i, \bullet}$ on each column (resp. $u$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $u^{\bullet, j}: L^{\bullet, j} \rightarrow M^{\bullet, j}$ for each row), then $\mathbf{s} u$ : $\mathbf{s} L \rightarrow \mathbf{s} M$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. We have to show $H^{n}(\mathbf{s} u): H^{n}(\mathbf{s} L) \rightarrow H^{n}(\mathbf{s} M)$ is an isomorphism for all $n$. For a fixed $n$, as $L$ and $M$ are biregular, only finite many components of $L$ and $M$ contribute to $H^{n}(\mathbf{s} L)$ and $H^{n}(\mathbf{s} M)$. Thus we may assume that $L^{i, j}$ and $M^{i, j}$ are 0 except for finitely many $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, there is some interval $[a, b]$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $L^{i, \bullet}=M^{i, \bullet}=0$ for any $i \notin[a, b]$, so $u$ has the following shape


We prove the result by induction on $b-a$. If $b-a=0$, since

$$
\mathbf{s} L=L^{a, \bullet}[-a], \quad \mathbf{s} M=M^{a, \bullet}[-a]
$$

the conclusion follows. Now assume that the result holds for $b-a<n$. For $b-a=n$, using the naive truncations, we get the following commutative diagram of bicomplexes with exact rows

(a sign is involved in the identification of $L / L^{\geq a+1, \bullet}$ (resp. $M / M^{\geq a+1, \bullet}$ ) with $L^{a, \bullet}[-a, 0]$ (resp. $\left.M^{a, \bullet}[-a, 0]\right)$ ). Applying the functor $\mathbf{s}$, we get a commutative diagram of complexes with exact rows.


By the induction hypothesis, the left and right vertical arrows are quasiisomorphisms, so is $\mathbf{s}(u)$ by Corollary 1.12.

Let $L$ be a bicomplex. For any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote ${ }^{\prime} H^{i, j}=\frac{\operatorname{Ker} d^{\prime i}, j}{\operatorname{Im} d^{i i-1, j}}$ and ${ }^{\prime \prime} H^{i, j}=\frac{\operatorname{Ker} d^{\prime \prime}, j}{\operatorname{Im} d^{\prime \prime, j-1}-1}$. The complex

$$
' H^{i}(L)=\left(\cdots \longrightarrow{ }^{\prime} H^{i, j-1} \longrightarrow{ }^{\prime} H^{i, j} \longrightarrow{ }^{\prime} H^{i, j+1} \longrightarrow \cdots\right)
$$

is called the $i$-th column of cohomology and the complex

$$
{ }^{\prime \prime} H^{j}(L)=\left(\cdots \longrightarrow{ }^{\prime \prime} H^{i-1, j} \longrightarrow{ }^{\prime \prime} H^{i, j} \longrightarrow{ }^{\prime \prime} H^{i+1, j} \longrightarrow \cdots\right)
$$

is called the $j$-th row of cohomology.
Proposition 2.10. Let $u: L \rightarrow M$ be a morphism of biregular bicomplexes. If $u$ induces a quasi-isomorphism on each row (resp. each column) of cohomology, then $\mathbf{s} u: \mathbf{s} L \rightarrow \mathbf{s} M$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. We only prove the assertion in the case of row. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.9. The difference is that we should use canonical truncations instead of naive ones. Using notations as above, we assume the result holds for $b-a<n$. For $b-a=n$, by truncations we get the following commutative diagram of bicomplexes with exact rows

where $L_{a}$ (resp. $M_{a}$ ) is the bicomplex $\left(0 \longrightarrow L^{a, \bullet} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{L}} B^{a+1, \bullet} L \longrightarrow 0\right)$ (resp. $\left.\left(0 \longrightarrow M^{a, \bullet} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{M}} B^{a+1, \bullet} M \longrightarrow 0\right)\right)$ with $L_{a, \bullet}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.M_{a, \bullet}\right)$ in the

## 3. HOMOTOPY CATEGORY OF COMPLEXES, TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES15

column of degree $a$ and $B^{a+1, \bullet} L$ (resp. $B^{a+1, \bullet} M$ ) in the column of degree $a+1$ and $\alpha_{L}$ (resp. $\alpha_{M}$ ) is induced by $d_{L}^{\prime}$ (resp. $d_{M}^{\prime}$ ). Applying the functor s , we get the following diagram


The right vertical morphism is quasi-isomorphism by the induction hypothesis. Since $C\left(\alpha_{L}\right)=\mathbf{s} L_{a}[a+1]$ (resp. $C\left(\alpha_{M}\right)=\mathbf{s} M_{a}[a+1]$ ), it is enough to verify the natural morphism $C_{a}(u): C\left(\alpha_{L}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\alpha_{M}\right)$ is quasi-isomorphism. Consider the commutative diagram

by Propositions 2.5 and 2.7, the two horizontal morphisms are quasi-isomorphisms. It follows that $C_{a}(u)$ is also a quasi-isomorphism since ' $H(u)$ is a quasiisomorphism. Then $\mathbf{s} u$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

## 3 Homotopy category of complexes, triangulated categories

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category and $u, v: L \rightarrow M$ be morphisms of complexes in $\mathcal{A}$, we say that $u, v$ are homotopic if there exists $h=\left\{h^{i}: L^{i} \rightarrow M^{i-1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ such that $v-u=d h+h d$. We then write $u \sim v$ and say that $h$ is a homotopy from $u$ to $v$.

Proposition 3.2. The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation compatible with the group structure (i.e $\{v \in \operatorname{Hom}(L, M): v \sim 0\}$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Hom}(L, M)$ ). Moreover, $\sim$ is compatible with the morphism of complexes, i.e. if $u: K \rightarrow$ $L, v_{1}, v_{2}: L \rightarrow M, w: M \rightarrow N$ and $v_{1} \sim v_{2}$, then $v_{1} u \sim v_{2} u$ and $w v_{1} \sim w v_{2}$.

Proof. This is immediate.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. The homotopy category of complexes, denoted by $K(\mathcal{A})$, is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ob}(K(\mathcal{A}))=\operatorname{Ob}(C(\mathcal{A})) \\
\operatorname{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(L, M)=\operatorname{Hom}_{C(\mathcal{A})}(L, M) /\left\{w \in \operatorname{Hom}_{C(\mathcal{A})}(L, M): w \sim 0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the composition in $K(\mathcal{A})$ is naturally induced from the composition in $C(\mathcal{A})$.

The category $K(\mathcal{A})$ is an additive category, and the natural functor from $C(\mathcal{A})$ to $K(\mathcal{A})$ is additive.
Remark. (a). A morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$ in $C(\mathcal{A})$ becomes invertible in $K(\mathcal{A})$ if there exists $v: M \rightarrow L$ in $C(\mathcal{A})$ such that $u v \sim i d_{M}, v u \sim i d_{L}$. Such a $u$ is called a homotopy equivalence.
(b). If $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian, then $u \sim v$ implies that $H^{i}(u)=H^{i}(v)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, if $u$ is a homotopy equivalence, then $u$ is a quasi-isomorphism, but in general the converse is not true. Indeed, consider the diagram of $K(\operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{Z}))$

where $L$ is concentrated in degree 0 and $f^{0}$ is the natural inclusion. Then $f$ is a quasi-isomorphism, but not a homotopy equivalence since there does not exist $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map $g^{0}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 2 \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g^{0} \circ f^{0}=\operatorname{Id}_{2 \mathbb{Z}}$.
(c). We say that $L \in C(\mathcal{A})$ is homotopic to zero or homotopically trivial if $L \sim 0$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$. In other words, there exists $h: L^{i} \rightarrow L^{i-1}$ such that $\operatorname{Id}_{L}=d \circ h+h \circ d$. It is equivalent to saying that $L$ breaks into splitting short exact sequences:

$$
0 \longrightarrow Z^{i} \longrightarrow L^{i} \longrightarrow Z^{i+1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

That is, $L^{i}=Z^{i} \bigoplus Z^{i+1}$.
(d). Even if $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian, $K(\mathcal{A})$ is not abelian in general. For example, consider the morphism of $K(\operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{Z}))$ defined by the natural projection
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where $L, M$ are concentrated in degree 0 . Then $f$ has no kernel in $K(\mathcal{A})$. Indeed, if such a kernel exist, it should be $K=(0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0)$ concentrated in degree 0 with the natural inclusion $i: K \rightarrow L$. Then in the diagram

we get $f h \sim 0$ in $C(\mathcal{A})$, i.e. $f h=0$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$. But there does not exist any morphism $s: N \rightarrow K$ such that $s \sim h$. Thus $K$ is not the kernel of $f$. Then $K(\mathcal{A})$ is not abelian. More general, if $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category, a morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{A} \subset K(\mathcal{A})$ has a kernel in $K(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if it has a kernel $Z$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $Z$ is a direct summand of $L$. Indeed, if $u$ has a kernel in $K(\mathcal{A})$, it should have a kernel in $\mathcal{A}$ and the kernel in $\mathcal{A}$ is also a kernel in $K(\mathcal{A})$. Assume $Z$ together with $v: Z \rightarrow L$ is such a kernel. Consider the distinguished triangle $C(v) \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z[1] \xrightarrow{v[1]} L[1]$, we have $v[1] \alpha=0$. Since $v[1]$ is a monomorphism, we have $\alpha=0$, i.e. there exists a morphism $f: L \rightarrow Z$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $f v=\operatorname{Id}_{Z}$ in $\mathcal{A}$. It follows that $Z$ is a direct summand of $L$ and the converse is immediate.
3.4. Translation functor and triangle. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an additive category. A translation functor on $\mathcal{D}$ is an additive automorphism $T: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. For example, if $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category, then in $\mathcal{D}=K(\mathcal{A})$, the functor $L \mapsto$ $T L=L[1]$ gives a translation functor. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $L$ in $\mathcal{D}$, we set

$$
T^{n} L= \begin{cases}T^{n} L & n>0 \\ \left(T^{-1}\right)^{-n} L & n<0 \\ L & n=0\end{cases}
$$

Usually we denote $T^{n} L$ by $L[n]$. For $L, N$ in $\mathcal{D}$ we define the group of morphisms of degree $n$ from $L$ to $M$ by

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}^{n}(L, M)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(L, M[n])=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(L[-n], M) .
$$

A triangle in $\mathcal{D}$ is a sequence of morphisms

$$
L \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} N \xrightarrow{w} L[1]
$$

where $w \in \operatorname{Hom}^{1}(N, L)$. Sometimes we can write it as a diagram as follows

or denote it by $L \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} N \longrightarrow$.
Let $\Delta=L \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} N \xrightarrow{w} L[1]$ and $\Delta^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \xrightarrow{u^{\prime}} M^{\prime} \xrightarrow{v^{\prime}} N^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w^{\prime}} L^{\prime}[1]$ be triangles. A morphism from $\Delta$ to $\Delta^{\prime}$ is a triple $(f, g, h)$ making the following diagram

commute. The triangles in $\mathcal{D}$ form a category.
Definition 3.5. A triangulated category is an additive category $\mathcal{D}$ endowed with a translation functor $L \rightarrow L[1]$ and a set $\mathcal{T}$ of triangles of $\mathcal{D}$ called distinguished (or exact) triangles, satisfying the following properties (TR1)(TR5)
(TR1) If $T \in \mathcal{T}$, and $T^{\prime} \sim T$, then $T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}$. Moreover for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\left(X \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Id}_{X}} X \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} X[1]\right) \in \mathcal{T}$.
(TR2) For any morphism $X \xrightarrow{u} Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$. There exists a triangle

$$
X \xrightarrow{u} Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow X[1]
$$

in $\mathcal{T}$.
(TR3) (Rotation) $(X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \xrightarrow{w} X[1]) \in \mathcal{T}$ if and only if

$$
(Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \xrightarrow{w} X[1] \xrightarrow{-u[1]} Y[1]) \in \mathcal{T}
$$

(TR4) Given two distinguished triangles

$$
\begin{gathered}
X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \xrightarrow{w} X[1] \\
X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{u^{\prime}} Y^{\prime} \xrightarrow{v^{\prime}} Z^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w^{\prime}} X^{\prime}[1]
\end{gathered}
$$
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 and a commutative diagram
there exists a morphism $h: Z \rightarrow Z^{\prime}$ such that the triple $(f, g, h)$ is a morphism of triangles, i.e. the following diagram

commutes.
(TR5)(Octahedron) Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \xrightarrow{u} Y \longrightarrow Z^{\prime} \longrightarrow \\
& Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \longrightarrow X^{\prime} \longrightarrow \\
& X \xrightarrow{v u} Z \longrightarrow Y^{\prime} \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\mathcal{T}$, there exist morphisms $Z^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} Y^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime} \xrightarrow{g} X^{\prime}$ such that

$$
Z^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} Y^{\prime} \xrightarrow{g} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{j[1] i} Z^{\prime}[1]
$$

is distinguished, and in the diagram

$\left(\operatorname{Id}_{X}, v, f\right)$ is a morphism $X Y Z^{\prime} \rightarrow X Z Y^{\prime}$ and $\left(u, \operatorname{Id}_{Z}, g\right)$ is a morphism $X Z Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y Z X^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category, and $L \rightarrow L[1]$ be the translation functor on $K(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the family of distinguished triangles of $K(\mathcal{A})$, defined by $T \in \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $T$ is isomorphic to a triangle of the form

$$
L \xrightarrow{u} M \longrightarrow C(u) \xrightarrow{-p r} L[1],
$$

where $C(u)$ is the cone of $u$, $i$ (resp. pr) the canonical monomorphism (resp. epimorphism). Then $(K(\mathcal{A}), E \mapsto E[1], \mathcal{T})$ is a triangulated category.
Sketch of the proof. (See [K-S] or [V] for details):
(TR1):First we have a commutative diagram


For (TR1), it suffices to check that $C=C\left(\operatorname{Id}_{L}\right)$ is homotopically trivial. i.e. there exists $h: C^{i} \rightarrow C^{i-1}$ such that $\operatorname{Id}=h d+d h$. Write $C^{i-1}=L^{i-1} \bigoplus L^{i}$ and $C^{i}=L^{i} \bigoplus L^{i+1}$, one can set

$$
h^{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right): C^{i}=L^{i+1} \bigoplus L^{i} \rightarrow C^{i-1}=L^{i} \bigoplus L^{i-1}
$$

(TR4): Since the diagram

commutes in $K(\mathcal{A})$, we have a homotopy $s: g u \sim u^{\prime} f$. Define

$$
h^{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{i+1} & 0 \\
s^{i+1} & v^{i}
\end{array}\right): L^{i+1} \bigoplus M^{i} \rightarrow L^{\prime i+1} \bigoplus M^{\prime i}
$$

one shows easily that the triple $(f, g, h)$ gives a morphism of distinguished triangles

(TR5): See [K-S].
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Proposition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category and

$$
X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \xrightarrow{w} X[1]
$$

be a distinguished triangle. Then for any $L$ in $\mathcal{D}$, the following sequences are exact:
(1) $\operatorname{Hom}(L, X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(L, Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(L, Z)$,
(2) $\operatorname{Hom}(Z, L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(Y, L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(X, L)$.

Proof. First note that in a distinguished triangle $X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \xrightarrow{w} X[1]$, the composition of any two consecutive morphisms is zero. Indeed by (TR3) (rotation) it is enough to check that $v u=0$. By (TR1),

$$
X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}} X \xrightarrow{v} 0 \longrightarrow X[1]
$$

is a distinguished triangle. By (TR4), we can complete the left square of the diagram into a morphism of triangles


Then we get $v u=0$. Next we check (1). By the above observation, we have $\operatorname{Im} u \subset \operatorname{Ker} v$. Let $v \in \operatorname{Hom}(L, Y)$ such that $v f=0$. By (TR3) and (TR4) we can find $\tilde{f}: L \rightarrow X$ making the triple $(\tilde{f}, f, 0)$ a morphism of triangles.


Thus we get $u \tilde{f}=0$. This completes the proof of (1). The proof of (2) is similar, or one can use the fact that $\mathcal{D}^{\circ}$ is also a triangulated category.

Remark. By (TR4), the sequences in (1), (2) give long exact sequences:
$\left(1^{\prime}\right) \cdots \operatorname{Hom}^{n}(L, X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{n}(L, Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{n}(L, Z) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{n+1}(L, X) \cdots$,
(2') $\cdots \operatorname{Hom}^{n}(Z, L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{n}(Y, L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{n}(X, L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{n+1}(Z, L) \cdots$.

Corollary 3.8. Let

be a morphism of distinguished triangles. Then if two of the morphisms $f, g, h$ are isomorphisms, so is the third one. In particular, the third vertex of a distinguished triangle built on $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is unique up to isomorphism. Such a vertex is sometimes called a cone of $f$.

Proof. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(L,-)$ to the diagram, we get a morphism between two long exact sequences. The result follows from five lemma (1.6).

Remark. The isomorphism above may be not unique. Note that for any $X, Y$, the triangle

$$
X \xrightarrow{\binom{1}{0}} X \bigoplus Y \xrightarrow{(0,1)} Y \xrightarrow{0}
$$

is distinguished. Then in the diagram,

any

$$
f=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Id}_{X} & * \\
0 & \mathrm{Id}_{Y}
\end{array}\right): X \bigoplus Y \rightarrow X \bigoplus Y
$$

gives a morphism of distinguished triangles.
Definition 3.9. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category. A functor $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is called triangulated (or exact) if:
(1) $F$ is additive;
(2) There is a functorial isomorphism $F(X[1]) \sim(F X)[1]$;
(3) $F$ sends distinguished triangles into distinguished triangles.

Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor between additive categories. Then $F$ induces $F: C(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{B})$ and a triangulated functor $F: K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{B})$. This follows from the fact that Cone $(F(u))=F(\operatorname{Cone}(u))$.

Proposition 3.10. Let $u: L \rightarrow M$ be a morphism in a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ and

$$
L \xrightarrow{u} M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow
$$

be a distinguished triangle. Then $u$ is an isomorphism if and only if $N \sim 0$ in $\mathcal{D}$. In particular, any morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$ in $C(\mathcal{A})$ is a homotopy equivalence if and only if the cone of $u$ is homotopically trivial.

Proof. By TR(1), we have a morphism of distinguished triangles,


Then the conclusion follows from Corollary 3.8.
Proposition 3.11. Let $L \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} N \xrightarrow{w} L[1]$ be a distinguished triangle in $K(\mathcal{A})$, then we have a long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i} L \xrightarrow{H^{i}(u)} H^{i} M \xrightarrow{H^{i}(v)} H^{i} N \xrightarrow{H^{i}(w)} H^{i+1} L \longrightarrow \cdots .
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume the distinguished triangle is given by the cone $N=C(u)$ of a morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$ in $C(\mathcal{A})$, so that the corresponding $w$ is just $-p r$. From the short exact sequence below

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{v} N \xrightarrow{-p r} L[1] \longrightarrow 0
$$

we get that the sequence $H^{i} M \xrightarrow{H^{i}(v)} H^{i} N \xrightarrow{H^{i}(w)} H^{i+1} L$ is exact. By the axiom $\mathrm{TR}(3)$ of triangulated categories, we can rotate the distinguished triangle to obtain a new one, and hence, extend the exact sequence above to get the desired long exact sequence.

## 4 Derived categories

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category and $S \subset \operatorname{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$ be a subset of arrows.
There exists a category $\mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right)$ and a functor $Q: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right)$ such that
(1) For any $s \in S, Q(s)$ is invertible;
(2) For any functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $F(s)$ is invertible for any $s \in S$, there exists a unique functor $\widetilde{F}: \mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right) \rightarrow D$ such that $\tilde{F} Q=F$. Moreover, $\left(\mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right), Q\right)$ is unique up to a unique isomorphism.

Proof. The uniqueness is clear. Let us prove the existence. Let

$$
\operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})
$$

For $X, Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, let $H(X, Y)=\{X \rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow Y\}$ be the set of finite diagrams, where " $\leftarrow$ " is an element in $S$. Let " $\sim$ " be the equivalence relation on $H(X, Y)$ generated by the diagrams of the following type:
(1) $X \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f} \xrightarrow{g} \cdots \leftarrow \cdots Y \sim X \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{g f} \cdots \leftarrow \cdots Y$;
(2) $X \rightarrow \cdots \stackrel{s}{\leftarrow} \leftarrow_{\leftarrow}^{t} \rightarrow \cdots Y \sim X \rightarrow \cdots \stackrel{s t}{\leftarrow} \cdots \rightarrow \cdots Y$;
(3) $X \rightarrow \cdots \stackrel{s}{\leftarrow} \xrightarrow{s} \cdots \rightarrow \cdots Y \sim X \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\text { Id }} \cdots \rightarrow \cdots Y$ for any $s \in S$;
(4) $X \rightarrow \cdots \stackrel{s}{\leftarrow} \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} \cdots \rightarrow \cdots Y \sim X \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{g} \stackrel{t}{\leftarrow} \cdots \rightarrow \cdots Y$
if

is commutative. Set $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right)}=H(X, Y) / \sim$. Define the composition of morphisms in $C\left(S^{-1}\right)$ in the natural way and denote by $Q$ the the natural functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right)$. Now it's easy to check that $\left(\mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right), Q\right)$ solves the universal problem.
4.2. As in the case of rings of fractions, we would like to write an element

$$
f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right)}(X, Y)
$$

as a fraction $f=g s^{-1}$ or $t^{-1} h$, but it's not always possible. We will consider conditions on $S$ which make it possible.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category and $S \subset \operatorname{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$. We say that $S$ is a multiplicative system if:
(M1) For any $s: X \rightarrow Y, t: Y \rightarrow Z$ in $S$, then $t s$ is in $S$. Moreover $\operatorname{Id}_{L} \in S$ for any $L$ in $\mathcal{C}$.
(M2) Any diagram

with $s \in S$ can be completed into a commutative diagram

with $t \in S$. Ditto with all the arrows reversed.
(M3) For any morphism $X \xrightarrow{\stackrel{u}{v}} Y \xrightarrow{t} Y^{\prime}$ with $t \in S$ such that $t u=t v$, there exists some $s: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ in $S$ such that $u s=v s$. Ditto with all arrows reversed.

Example 4.3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and $S$ be the set of quasiisomorphisms in $K(\mathcal{A})$. Then $S$ is a multiplicative system. (1) is trivial. Let $X^{\prime} \leftarrow^{s} X \xrightarrow{u} Y$ be a diagram with $s \in S$. Using (TR2) and (TR4)we can construct the following commutative diagram:


By hypothesis, $s$ is a quasi-isomorphism, hence $Z$ is acyclic (3.11), conversely the fact that $Z$ is acyclic implies that $t$ is a quasi-isomorphism (3.11), thus (2) follows. Given morphisms

$$
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{s} Z
$$

such that $s f=0$ and $s \in S$, then by $\operatorname{TR}(2), Y \rightarrow Z$ can be extended to a distinguished triangle

$$
Y \xrightarrow{s} Z \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow
$$

Since $s f=0$, by (3.7'), there exists $f^{\prime}: X \rightarrow M[-1]$ such that the diagram below commutes


Choose a distinguished triangle

$$
X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{t} X \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} M[-1] \xrightarrow{w},
$$

then $f t=0$, in fact $f t=t^{\prime} f^{\prime} t=0$. Moreover $s$ is a quasi-isomorphism implies that $M$ is acyclic, it follows that $t$ is also a quasi-isomorphism.
Definition 4.4. A category $I$ is called filtering if
(1) For any $i, j \in I$, there exists $k \in I$ and morphisms $i \rightarrow k, j \rightarrow k$.
(2) For any $i, j \in I$ and morphisms $u, v: i \rightarrow j$, there exists $k \in I$ and morphism $w: j \rightarrow k$ such that $w u=w v$.
Remark. Let $F: I \rightarrow$ Sets be a functor, then

$$
\lim _{i \in I} F(i)=\bigsqcup_{i \in I} F(i) / \sim
$$

where for any $x \in F(i)$ and $y \in F(j)$, we say $x \sim y$ if and only if there exists some $k \in I$ and morphisms $i \xrightarrow{u} k$ and $j \xrightarrow{v} k$ such that $F(u)(x)=F(v)(y)$. In particular if $F: I \rightarrow \mathcal{A} b \subset \mathcal{S}$ ets, then

$$
\mathfrak{l i m}_{i \in I} F(i)=\bigoplus_{i \in I} F(i) / H
$$

where $H$ is the subgroup of $\bigoplus_{i \in I} F(i)$ generated by $F(u) x-F(v) y$, for all $i \xrightarrow{u} j, j \xrightarrow{v} k \in \operatorname{Ar}(I), x \in F(i), y \in F(j)$.
Proposition 4.5. If $S \in \operatorname{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$ is a multiplicative system, denote by $I_{Y}$ the category $\left\{s: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime} \in S\right\}$, and $I^{X}=\left\{s: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X \in S\right\}$. Then
(a) For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C},\left(I^{X}\right)^{\circ}$ and $I_{Y}$ are both filtering.
(b) For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right)}(X, Y)=\underset{X^{\prime} \xrightarrow[X \in\left(I^{X}\right)^{\circ}]{ } \operatorname{Hom}\left(X^{\prime}, Y\right), ~\left(X, Y^{\prime}\right)}{ } \lim
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\underset{X^{\prime} \stackrel{s}{s} X \in\left(I^{X}\right)^{0}, Y^{t} \rightarrow Y^{\prime} \in I_{Y}}{\lim _{M}} \operatorname{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (a) For any $Y \xrightarrow{i^{\prime}} Y^{\prime}, Y \xrightarrow{i^{\prime \prime}} Y^{\prime \prime} \in I_{Y}$, by the axiom(M2) of multiplicative systems, we can complete these two morphisms to a commutative diagram


Let $j=k^{\prime} i^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime \prime}$, then the diagram commutes means that we have morphisms $i^{\prime} \xrightarrow{k^{\prime}} j, i^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{k^{\prime \prime}} j$ in $I_{Y}$. On the other hand, let $i: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}, j:$ $Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime}$ be in $I^{Y}$, and $u, v: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime}$, give morphisms between $i \rightarrow j$, then $u i=v i=j$, then by (M3) there exists $w: Y^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime \prime} \in S$ such that $w u=w v$. Let $k=w j: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime \prime}$, by (M1) $k \in S$, and $w$ give a morphism $j \rightarrow k$ such that $w u=w v: i \rightarrow k$ hence $I^{Y}$ is filtering by definition. The proof $\left(I_{X}\right)^{\circ}$ is filtering is similar, thus (a) follows.

For (b), consider a category $\mathcal{D}$ as follows: the class of objects in $\mathcal{D}$ is the same as that in $\mathcal{A}$, and for any $X, Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$, define

By definition, a morphism in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)$ can be represented by a triple ( $X^{\prime}, t, f$ ), where

$$
X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}, \quad s \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X^{\prime}, X\right), \quad f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X^{\prime}, Y\right)
$$

and two triples $\left(X^{\prime}, s^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right),\left(X^{\prime \prime}, s^{\prime \prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are equivalent if and only if there exists a commutative diagram

with $u \in\left(I_{X}\right)^{\circ}$. The composition of $\left(X^{\prime}, s^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)$ and $\left(Y^{\prime}, t, g\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y, Z)$ is defined as follows. Use the axioms of multiplicative system, we can find a commutative diagram:

with $t^{\prime} \in S$, and we set

$$
\left(Y^{\prime}, t, g\right) \circ\left(X^{\prime}, s, f\right)=\left(X^{\prime \prime}, s t^{\prime}, g h\right)
$$

One checks that the definition of composition doesn't depend on the choice of the representative. Moreover we have that $\mathcal{D}$ is an additive category and the natural functor $Q^{\prime}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is additive. We claim that ( $\mathcal{D}, Q^{\prime}$ ) solves the same universal problem as $\left(C\left(S^{-1}, Q\right)\right.$. Indeed, for any morphism $s: X \rightarrow Y \in S, Q^{\prime}(s)=\left(X, \operatorname{Id}_{X}, s\right)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for any functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with property that $F(s)$ is invertible for any $s \in S$, then we can define $F^{\prime}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $F^{\prime}(u)=F(f) F(s)^{-1}$ for a morphism $u=\left(X^{\prime}, s, f\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(X^{\prime}, Y\right)$. One can check easily that $F^{\prime}$ is well-defined, and $F=F^{\prime} Q^{\prime}$. By the construction of $\mathcal{D}$, it's also clear that such a $F^{\prime}$ is unique, hence ( $\mathcal{D}, Q^{\prime}$ ) solves the same universal problem as $\left(C\left(S^{-1}\right), Q\right)$, in particular, we have a natural isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}\left(S^{-1}\right)}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underset{X^{\prime} s \rightarrow X \in\left(I^{X}\right)^{\circ}}{\lim } \operatorname{Hom}\left(X^{\prime}, Y\right) .
$$

The proof of the other statements in (b) is similar. This completes the proof of (b)

Remark. In the situation of 4.5, we say that $S$ permits a calculation of fractions on both sides: we can write a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $C\left(S^{-1}\right)$ as a "fraction" $f=t^{-1} g$ or $f=h s^{-1}$.
Definition 4.6. $D(\mathcal{A})=K(\mathcal{A})\left(\right.$ qis $\left.^{-1}\right)$. Where qis denotes the set of quasiisomorphism of $K(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark. We have $D(\mathcal{A})=C(\mathcal{A})$ (qis ${ }^{-1}$ ), where qis denotes the set of quasiisomorphism of $C(\mathcal{A})$. Indeed, let $Q$ be the composition of the two functors

$$
C(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{Q_{1}} K(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{Q_{2}} D(\mathcal{A})
$$

claim that $(D(\mathcal{A}), Q)$ solves the same universal problem as $C(\mathcal{A})\left(\right.$ qis $\left.^{-1}\right)$. In fact we can show that $K(\mathcal{A})=C(\mathcal{A})\left(S^{-1}\right)$ (exercise), then the conclusion follows easily.
Remark. Though we have $D(\mathcal{A})=C(\mathcal{A})\left(\right.$ qis $\left.^{-1}\right)$, it is not convenient to use this as a definition. The reason is that qis, the set of quasi-isomorphisms in $C(\mathcal{A})$ is not a multiplicative system, so we cannot "calculate" a morphism in $D(\mathcal{A})$ by "fraction" in $C(\mathcal{A})$.
4.7. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category, then we have the following natural functors:

$$
C(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{Q} D(\mathcal{A}) .
$$

Let $S=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) \mid f\right.$ is a quasi-isomorphism $\}$, we know in 4.3.1 that $S$ is a multiplicative system. Therefore morphisms in $D(\mathcal{A})$ can be defined as follows (4.5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } \operatorname{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}\left(X, Y^{\prime}\right) \\
& Y \xrightarrow{t} Y^{\prime} \in S
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\underset{X^{\prime} s, X \in S, Y^{t} \rightarrow Y^{\prime} \in S}{\lim _{M}} \operatorname{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The composition is the same as that given in the proof of 4.5. And the definition of morphisms in $D(\mathcal{A})$ also shows that $D(\mathcal{A})$ is an additive category and $Q$ is an additive functor. Moreover, the translation functor

$$
X \mapsto X[1]
$$

on $K(\mathcal{A})$ gives a translation functor

$$
X \mapsto X[1]
$$

on $D(\mathcal{A})$. Define a distinguished triangle in $D(\mathcal{A})$ to the image by $Q$ of a distinguished triangle $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1]$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$.
Proposition 4.8. With the set of distinguished triangles defined above, $D(\mathcal{A})$ is a triangulated category. Moreover $Q$ is triangulated (3.9). This triangulated category structure is called the canonical triangulated structure on $D(\mathcal{A})$.
4.9. For $X, Y \in D(\mathcal{A}), n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we usually write $\operatorname{Ext}^{n}(X, Y)$ for $\operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}^{n}(X, Y)$ (3.4).

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{i}: K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\
X \mapsto H^{i}(X)
\end{gathered}
$$

maps quasi-isomorphisms into isomorphisms, hence by the universal property of the derived categories, factors through $D(\mathcal{A})$, so we get a functor from $D(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathcal{A}$, which is still denoted by $H^{i}: D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Note that $H^{i}(X)=$ $H^{0}(X[i])$.

Proposition 4.10. Let $L \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} N \xrightarrow{w}$ be a distinguished triangle in $D(\mathcal{A})$. Then
(a) For any object $K$ in $D(\mathcal{A})$, the sequences

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n}(K, L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n}(K, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n}(K, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}(K, L) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

and

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n}(N, K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n}(M, K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n}(L, K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}(N, K) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

are exact.
(b) The sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i} L \xrightarrow{H^{i}(u)} H^{i} M \xrightarrow{H^{i}(v)} H^{i} N \xrightarrow{H^{i}(w)} H^{i+1} L \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

is exact.
Proof. Part(a) is a particular case of 3.7'. For part(b), we may assume that the distinguished triangle is given by the cone $N=C(u)$ of a morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$ in $C(\mathcal{A})$, then the result follows from 3.11.

Corollary 4.11. A morphism $u: L \rightarrow M$ in $D(\mathcal{A})$ is an isomorphism if and only if $H^{i}(u)$ is an isomorphism for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Only the sufficient part of this corollary is not trivial. Let $N$ be a cone of $u$. From(3.11), we see that $H^{i} N=0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies that $N=0$, we have a morphism of distinguished triangles in $D(\mathcal{A})$


Both Id and 0 are isomorphism in $D(\mathcal{A})$, so $u$ is also an isomorphism (3.8).

We say that the sequence of functors $\left\{H^{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a conservative system.
Proposition 4.12. The functor $R: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow D(\mathcal{A})$ defined by the composition of $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow C(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{A})$ is fully faithful, and its essential image is the full subcategory $D^{[0,0]}(\mathcal{A})$ of $D(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of complex $L$ such that $H^{i} L=0$ for any $i \neq 0$.

Proof. It's easy to see $R$ factors through $D^{[0,0]}(\mathcal{A})$, through a functor (we use the same notation here) $R: A \rightarrow D^{[0,0]}(\mathcal{A})$. To show that $R$ is an equivalence of categories, we consider the functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
S: D^{[0,0]}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\
L \mapsto H^{0} L
\end{gathered}
$$

We show that $S$ is a quasi-inverse of $R$. Obviously, $S R=\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}$, so it remains to show $R S \sim e q \operatorname{Id}_{D(\mathcal{A})}$.

Let $L$ be any object in $D^{[0,0]}(\mathcal{A})$, we have a natural quasi-isomorphism $s: L \rightarrow \tau_{\geqslant 0} L$ (1.8), which implies $\tau_{\geqslant 0} L \in D^{[0,0]}(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, we have another quasi-isomorphism $t: R\left(H^{0} L\right)=\tau_{\leqslant 0}\left(\tau_{\geqslant 0} L\right) \rightarrow \tau_{\geqslant 0} L$ (1.8). So we obtain a natural isomorphism between $R S(L)$ and $L$ represented by the triple $\left(\tau_{\geqslant 0} L, s, t\right)$.

From now on, we use the same notation $L$ to indicate an object of $\mathcal{A}$ or $D(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark. 4.13. (a) The truncation functor $\tau_{\geqslant n}\left(\tau_{\leqslant n}, \tau_{[a, b]}\right)$ transforms quasiisomorphisms into quasi-isomorphisms, hence induce functor from $D(\mathcal{A})$ to $D(\mathcal{A})$.
(b) If $u: L \rightarrow M$ is a morphism in $D(\mathcal{A})$ such that $H^{i}(u)=0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, in general, $u$ is not zero. For example, consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

it defines(see below) an element $0 \neq e \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$, but $e: \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1]$ induce 0 on $H^{i}$ for all $i$.
(c) Let $u \in \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(K, K)$ and assume

$$
K \in D^{[a, b]}(\mathcal{A})
$$

i.e $H^{i}(K)=0, \forall i \notin[a, b]$, where $[a, b]$ is an interval of $\mathbb{Z}$. If $H^{i}(u)=0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $u^{b-a+1}=0$. Indeed we can prove it by induction on $b-a$.

If $b-a=0$, by (4.13), we may assume $K$ is a complex concentrated in one degree, then $u=0$ in this case (4.12).

Now let $b-a=k>0$, we have a distinguished triangle in $D^{[a, b]}(\mathcal{A})$

$$
\tau_{\leqslant a} K \xrightarrow{f} K \xrightarrow{g} \tau_{\geqslant a+1} K \longrightarrow
$$

We have $\tau_{\leqslant a} K \in D^{[a, a]}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\tau_{\geqslant a+1} K \in D^{[a+1, b]}(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the following morphisms of distinguished triangles


By the induction hypothesis, $\left(\tau_{\geqslant a+1} u\right)^{b-a}=0$, so we get $g u^{b-a}=0$, hence $u^{b-a}$ can factor through $\tau_{\leqslant a} K$, that is there exists $t: K \rightarrow \tau_{\leqslant a} K$ such that $u^{b-a}=f t$. Therefore $u^{b-a+1}=u f t=0$ by the $b-a=0$ case.
4.14. For any $L, M \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\operatorname{Ext}(L, M)$ be the group of extensions of $L$ by $M$. As a set, $\operatorname{Ext}(L, M)$ is the set of short exact sequences of the form $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ modulo the following equivalence relation. A short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ is said to be equivalent to $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ if and only if we have a commutative diagram

$\left(E \rightarrow E^{\prime}\right.$ is therefore an isomorphism). Recall that $\operatorname{Ext}(L, M)$ is an abelian group, the addition of two extensions is defined as follows: given two extensions $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}$ of $L$ by $M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_{1}: 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_{1} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0 \\
& \mathbf{E}_{2}: 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_{2} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

take the direct sum of these two short exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow M \bigoplus M \rightarrow E_{1} \bigoplus E_{2} \rightarrow L \bigoplus L \rightarrow 0
$$

Pulling it back by the diagonal morphism $L \rightarrow L \bigoplus L$, we get a commutative diagram with exact rows


Then, pushing-out the top row by the sum map $M \bigoplus M \rightarrow M$, we get a commutative diagram with exact rows


Then we define the sum of $\mathbf{E}_{1}, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}$, which is denoted by $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}$, as the bottom row of the above diagram. One checks that with the addition described above, $\operatorname{Ext}(L, M)$ is an abelian group, with 0 being the class of the trivial extension $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \bigoplus L \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 4.15. We have a natural isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ext}(L, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L, M)
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\mathbf{E}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{u} E \xrightarrow{v} L \longrightarrow 0
$$

be an extension of $L$ by $M$, consider the complex $C(u)$ and define the morphisms of complexes


The fact that $\mathbf{E}$ is exact implies that $s$ is a quasi-isomorphism, hence an isomorphism in $D(\mathcal{A})$. Hence we get a morphism

$$
\varphi(\mathbf{E})=p \circ s^{-1}: L \rightarrow M[1] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L, M)
$$

by definition. It can be shown easily that $\varphi(\mathbf{E})=\varphi\left(\mathbf{E}^{\prime}\right)$ if $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{E}^{\prime}$ present the same extension class of $M$ by $L$. So we get a well-defined map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi: \operatorname{Ext}(L, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L, M) \\
E \mapsto \varphi(E)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we claim that $\varphi$ is a morphism of abelian groups. In fact, first $\varphi$ is functorial with respect to $L$ and $M$. That is for any $f: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$, we have a commutative diagram

similarly, for $g: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$, a commutative diagram as follows


Moreover, the following diagram commutes


Hence, combining the commutative diagrams above together, we get

where $\delta: M \bigoplus M \rightarrow M$ and $\Delta: L \rightarrow L \bigoplus L$ are the sum map and the diagonal map respectively. From this, we see that $\varphi$ is a group homomorphism.

Next, we construct an inverse

$$
\psi: \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(L, M)
$$

of $\varphi$. Let $u \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L, M)$ be represented by the diagram $\left(L \stackrel{s}{\leftarrow} L^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f}\right.$ $M[1]) \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L, M)$, where $L^{\prime}$ is a object in $D(\mathcal{A}), s: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ is a quasiisomorphism in $K(\mathcal{A})$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}\left(L^{\prime}, M[1]\right)$. Using the truncation $\tau_{[-1,0]}=\tau_{\leqslant 0} \circ \tau_{\geqslant-1}$, we may assume that $L^{\prime}$ is a complex concentrated in degree -1 and 0 . Since

is a quasi-isomorphism, the following sequence is exact

$$
0 \longrightarrow L^{\prime-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} L^{\prime 0} \xrightarrow{s^{0}} L \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Pushing-out by $f^{-1}$, we obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows

and define $\psi(s, f)$ as the class of the bottom exact sequence. It's easy to check that $\psi$ is well-defined and is inverse to $\varphi$, so

$$
\varphi: \operatorname{Ext}(L, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L, M)
$$

is an isomorphism.
4.16. Triangle associated to a short exact sequence Let $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{u}$ $M \xrightarrow{v} N \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{A}$. We know (2.4) that we have a commutative diagram

with $s$ a quasi-isomorphism, then

$$
L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{w} L[1]
$$

is a distinguished triangle, where $w=-p r \circ s^{-1}$. Note that with this sign convention, we have $H^{i}(w)=\delta$, where $\delta$ is the boundary of the long exact sequence of cohomology of $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ (1.9).

Here are some special cases. Let $a \leqslant b$ be two integers, we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \tau_{\leqslant a} L \rightarrow \tau_{\leqslant b} L \rightarrow \tau_{\leqslant b} L / \tau_{\leqslant a} L \rightarrow 0
$$

As in $D(\mathcal{A})$ we have an isomorphism $\tau_{\leqslant b} L / \tau_{\leqslant a} L \sim \tau_{[a, b]} L$, then we get a distinguished triangle

$$
\tau_{\leqslant a} L \rightarrow \tau_{\leqslant b} L \rightarrow \tau_{[a, b]} L \rightarrow
$$

In particular, if $b=a+1$, then we have a distinguished triangle

$$
\tau_{\leqslant b-1} L \rightarrow \tau_{\leqslant b} L \rightarrow H^{b} L[-b] \rightarrow
$$

since $\tau_{[b-1, b]} \sim H^{b} L[-b]$ in $D(\mathcal{A})$.

### 4.17. Some subcategories of $D(\mathcal{A})$

Let $*$ denote any one of the following symbols:,.$+- b$. We define full subcategories of $D(\mathcal{A})$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
D^{+}(\mathcal{A})=\left\{L \in D(\mathcal{A}) \mid H^{i} L=0, i \ll 0\right\} \\
D^{-}(\mathcal{A})=\left\{L \in D(\mathcal{A}) \mid H^{i} L=0, i \gg 0\right\} \\
D^{b}(\mathcal{A})=\left\{L \in D(\mathcal{A}) \mid H^{i} L=0, i \ll 0 \text { or } i \gg 0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

We have a natural functor $K^{*}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{*}(\mathcal{A})$, which maps quasi-isomorphisms to isomorphisms, hence we get a functor

$$
S: K^{*}(\mathcal{A})\left(\mathrm{qis}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow D^{*}(\mathcal{A}),
$$

where qis is the set of quasi-isomorphisms in $K^{*}(\mathcal{A})$.
Proposition 4.18. The functor $S$ defined above is an equivalence of categories.

For the proof of this proposition, we need some preparation.
Definition 4.19. Let $I$ be a filtering category, $J \subset I$ is called cofinal if $J$ is a full subcategory and for any $i \in I$, there exists a morphism $i \rightarrow j$, where $j \in J$.

Note that if $J \subset I$ is cofinal, then $J$ is again filtering.
Lemma 4.20. If $I$ is a filtering category, $F: I \rightarrow$ Sets be a functor, then the natural morphism

$$
\underset{j \in J}{\lim _{\vec{J}}} F(j) \sim \underset{i \in I}{\lim _{\vec{~}}} F(i)
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. Since $J \subset I$ is a subcategory, we have a natural morphism

$$
\varphi: \underset{j \in J}{\lim } F(j) \rightarrow \underset{\vec{i} \boldsymbol{I}}{\lim } F(i)
$$

what's more, since $J$ is cofinal, for any $(i, x) \in \underset{i \in I}{\lim } F(i)$ we can find some morphism $a: i \rightarrow j$ with $j \in J$, then we can define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi: \underset{\overrightarrow{i \in I}}{\lim } F(i) \rightarrow \underset{j \in J}{\lim _{\vec{J}}} F(j) \\
\quad(i, x) \mapsto(j, F(a) x)
\end{gathered}
$$

It can be checked easily that $\psi$ is well-defined and

$$
\varphi \psi=\mathrm{Id}, \quad \psi \varphi=\mathrm{Id} .
$$

Proof of 4.18. We will prove this proposition in the case of $*=+$. Let $L, M$ be objects in $K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$. For any morphism $\left(M^{\prime}, t, f\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(L, M)$, where $M \xrightarrow{t} M^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K^{+}(\mathcal{A})}\left(L, M^{\prime}\right)$, there exits some integer $n$ such that $H^{i} M^{\prime} \sim H^{i} M=0$ for any $i<n$. So the natural morphism

$$
t^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau_{\geqslant n} M^{\prime}
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism in $K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, so

$$
\left(M^{\prime}, t, f\right)=\left(M^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime} t, t^{\prime} f\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K^{+}(\mathcal{A})}(L, M),
$$

hence by $4.20 S$ is fully faithful. Moreover, we can define a quasi-inverse $R$ of $S$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) & \rightarrow K^{+}(\mathcal{A})\left(\mathrm{qis}^{-1}\right) \\
L & \mapsto \tau_{\geqslant n} L
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n$ is some integer such that $H^{i} L=0$, for $i<n$. Now it can be shown easily that $R \circ S=i d, S \circ R \sim$ eqid.

### 4.21. Structure of $D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$

Recall that in an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, an object $L \in \mathcal{A}$ is called projective (resp. injective) if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(L,-)$ (resp. $\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, L)\right)$ is an exact functor(i.e takes short exact sequences to short exact sequences). For example, in the category of $R$-modules, where $R$ is a ring, an $R$-module is projective if and only if it is a direct summand of a free $R$-module.

Definition 4.22. An abelian cateogry $\mathcal{A}$ is said to have enough injectives if for any object $L \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a monomorphism $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ with L injective.

Theorem 4.23. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space. Then the category $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules has enough injectives.

Lemma 4.24. Let $R$ be a ring, $M$ a left $R$-module. Then $M$ is injective if and only if for any left ideal $I \subset R, \operatorname{Hom}(R, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(I, M)$ is surjective. In particular, if $R$ is a principal ideal domain, then $M$ is injective if and only if $M$ is divisible.
Proof. The condition is of course necessary. Conversely, let $M$ be a left $R$ module having the property that any morphism $I \rightarrow M$ can be extended to a morphism $R \rightarrow M$, where $I$ is a left ideal, we need to show that $M$ is injective. Given any monomorphism $L \rightarrow N$ and a morphism $\psi: L \rightarrow M$, by Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal submodule $N^{\prime}$ of $N$ such that one can extend $\psi$ to it, we claim that $N=N^{\prime}$. If not, choose an element $x \in N-N^{\prime}$ and let $I=\left\{r \in R \mid r x \in N^{\prime}\right\}$, it's easy to see that $I$ is a left ideal of $R$, and we have a morphism

$$
\psi^{\prime}: I \rightarrow R x \bigcap N^{\prime} \rightarrow M
$$

By hypothesis, we can extend this morphism to $R$, which is still denoted by $\psi^{\prime}$. Now we see that we can extend the morphism $N^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ to

$$
N^{\prime}+R x(\subset N) \rightarrow M: n^{\prime}+r x \mapsto \psi\left(n^{\prime}\right)+\psi^{\prime}(r) x
$$

which contradicts the choice of $N^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.25. Let $R$ be a ring. The the category $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$ of left $R$-modules has enough injectives.

Proof. First, we claim that, in the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules, we have enough injectives. Using the lemma above, as $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ is divisible, $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ is injective. Let L be any left $\mathbb{Z}$-module, take any element $x \in L$, there exists a morphism $\mathbb{Z} x \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ such that the image of $x$ is nonzero in $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$. As $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ is injective, this morphism can be extended to a morphism $f_{x}: L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_{x}(x) \neq 0$. On the other hand, we have a natural morphism of abelian groups

$$
\begin{gathered}
h: L \rightarrow \prod_{f: L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z} \\
y \mapsto(f(y))_{f} .
\end{gathered}
$$

As $f_{x}(x) \neq 0$, this morphism is injective, so any $\mathbb{Z}$-module can be embedded into an injective $\mathbb{Z}$-module, in other words, $\operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$ has enough injectives.

Now, let $R$ be a ring, $L$ be a left $R$-module, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, L)$ is an $R$-module, and we have a canonical injection $L \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, L)$. Choose an embedding of $L$ into an injective $\mathbb{Z}$-module $L^{\prime}$, we get an embedding $L \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(R, L^{\prime}\right)$. On the other hand, by adjunction isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(N, L^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(N, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(R, L^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $N$ is an $R$-module, therefore $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(R, L^{\prime}\right)$ is an injective $R$-module, which completes the proof.
proof of theorem 4.23. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of left $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module. For each point $x \in X$, the stalk $\mathcal{F}_{x}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$-module, so there is an injection $\mathcal{F}_{x} \rightarrow I_{x}$, where $I_{x}$ is an injective $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$-module. For each point $x \in X$, let $i_{x}:\{x\} \rightarrow X$ denote the inclusion, and let $\mathcal{G}=\prod_{x \in X} i_{x, *}\left(I_{x}\right)$, where $i_{x, *}$ is the direct image functor. Let $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be the composition of the following two morphisms

$$
\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} i_{x, *}\left(\mathcal{F}_{x}\right) \hookrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} i_{x, *}\left(I_{x}\right)=\mathcal{G}
$$

where the first morphism is given by $s \mapsto\left(s_{x}\right)_{x \in X}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})=\prod_{x \in X} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{H}, i_{x, *}\left(I_{x}\right)\right)=\prod_{x \in X} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, x}}\left(\mathcal{H}_{x}, I_{x}\right) .
$$

hence $\mathcal{G}$ is an injective $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ module. This completes the proof.

Now, the main purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 4.26. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough injectives. Then the natural functor

$$
K^{+}(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{A})
$$

is an equivalence of categories, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ consists of injectives in $\mathcal{A}$.

In fact, we will deduce 4.26 from the following theorem:
Theorem 4.27. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a full additive category of $\mathcal{A}$, such that for any object $L \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a monomorphism $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ with $L^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Then
(1) For any $L \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a quasi-isomorphism $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ with $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) The functor $K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathrm{qis}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, where qis denotes the set of quasi-isomorphisms of $K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, is an equivalence of triangulated categories.

Let us show that 4.27 implies 4.26. Take $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\mathcal{I}$, then from 4.27, we know that

$$
K^{+}(\mathcal{I})\left(\operatorname{qis}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{A})
$$

is an equivalence of categories. It remains to show that the functor

$$
K^{+}(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow K^{+}(\mathcal{J})\left(\mathrm{qis}^{-1}\right)
$$

is an equivalence of triangulated categories, which follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.28. If $t: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $K^{+}(\mathcal{I})$, then $t$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. By (3.8), we just have to show that $C(t)$ is homotopical trivial. Note that $C(t)$ is acyclic, so are reduced to showing that if $M \in K^{+}(\mathcal{I})$ is acyclic, then $M$ is homotopically trivial. But it is easy to see that $M$ breaks into short exact sequences such that all the components are in $\mathcal{I}$, hence the short exact sequences split. From this, we can construct the homotopy we need.

Proof of 4.27. It's easy to see that if the conclusion of (1) holds, for $M \in$ $K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ the category of quasi-isomorphisms $\left\{M \rightarrow M^{\prime}\right.$, where $M^{\prime} \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$
is cofinal in the category of all quasi-isomorphisms $\left\{M \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime}\right.$, where $M^{\prime \prime} \in$ $K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, and therefore a similar argument as the one in (e.g. 4.13) shows that

$$
K\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathrm{qis}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{A})
$$

is an equivalence of categories. So we only need to prove (1).
Let $L$ be any object in $D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, we need to construct a quasi-isomorphism $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ with $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. Since there exists some integer $a$ such that $L \rightarrow \tau_{\geqslant a} L$ is a quasi-isomorphism, we can assume that $L \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$. By shifting the degree, we may assume that $L \in K^{\geqslant 0}(\mathcal{A})$. Now we shall construct inductively a complex $L_{n}^{\prime} \in K^{[0, n]}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ and a morphism $u_{n}: L \rightarrow L_{n}^{\prime}$ such that: (a) for each $i, u_{n}^{i}$ is a monomorphism; (b) it induces isomorphisms on $H^{j}(K) \rightarrow H^{j}\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i<n$ and a monomorphism $L^{n} / B^{n} \hookrightarrow L_{n}^{\prime} / B^{\prime n}$. For $n<0$, we can take $L_{n}^{\prime}=0$. Now assume we have constructed $L_{n}^{\prime}$ and a morphism $u_{n}^{\prime}: L \rightarrow L_{n}^{\prime}$ with the properties (a) and (b). Then consider the cocartesian diagram


By assumption, there exists a monomorphism $\widetilde{L}^{n+1} \rightarrow L^{\prime n+1}$ with $L^{\prime n+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then we have the following commutative diagram

with $L^{n+1} \rightarrow L^{\prime n+1}$ a monomorphism. Now

$$
H^{n} L=\operatorname{Ker}\left(L^{n} / B^{n} \rightarrow L^{n+1}\right), H^{n} L_{n+1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(L^{\prime n} / B^{\prime n} \rightarrow \widetilde{L}^{n+1}\right)
$$

and

$$
L^{n+1} / B^{n+1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker}\left(L^{\prime n} \rightarrow \widetilde{L}^{n+1}\right) \longrightarrow L^{\prime n+1} / B^{\prime n+1}
$$

is a composition of two monomorphism, hence is also a monomorphism. Applying the lemma below, we see that

$$
H^{n} L \xrightarrow{\sim e q} H^{n} L_{n+1}^{\prime}
$$

That is just what we need.

Lemma 4.29. Let

be a cocartesian diagram, assume $\psi$ is a monomorphism, then we have an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \alpha \xrightarrow{\sim e q} \operatorname{Ker} \beta
$$

Proof. By the property of a cocartesian diagram, we know that $\varphi$ is also a monomorphism, moreover we have a commutative diagram with exact rows


By the snake lemma (1.4), $\psi$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \alpha \xrightarrow{\sim e q} \operatorname{Ker} \beta .
$$

## 5 Derived functors

5.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be abelian categories. An additive functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called left exact (resp. right exact) if for any exact sequence :

$$
0 \rightarrow L^{\prime} \rightarrow L \rightarrow L^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow F\left(L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow F(L) \rightarrow F\left(L^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

(resp. $F\left(L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow F(L) \rightarrow F\left(L^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$ ) is exact. $F$ is called exact if it is both left and right exact.
Example 5.1.1. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category and $P$ is an object of $\mathcal{A}$, the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(P,-)$ from $\mathcal{A}$ to the category $\mathcal{A} b$ of abelian groups is left exact, and it is exact if and only if $P$ is projective. Similarly if $Q$ is an object of $\mathcal{A}$, the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(-, Q)$ from $\mathcal{A}^{\circ}$ to $\mathcal{A} b$ is left exact and it is exact if and only if $Q$ is injective.

Example 5.1.2. Let $R$ be a ring, and $L$ a right $R$-module. Then the functor $L \otimes_{R}$ - from left $R$-module to $\mathcal{A} b$ is right exact, and it is exact if and only if $L$ is flat.

Consider the extension of $F$ to $C(\mathcal{A})$. This is an additive functor $F$ from $C(\mathcal{A})$ to $C(\mathcal{B})$, defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=\left(\cdots \rightarrow L^{i} \xrightarrow{d} L^{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots\right) \mapsto F L=\left(\cdots \rightarrow F L^{i} \xrightarrow{F(d)} F L^{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots\right) \\
(u: L \rightarrow M) \mapsto\left(F(u)=\left(F\left(u_{i}\right)\right): F L \rightarrow F M\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

This functor $F$ induces $F: C^{*}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C^{*}(\mathcal{B})$, where $*=+,-, b$.
Then we have the following diagram

in which $F: K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{B})$ is triangulated, and there does not exist, in general, a triangulated functor $\bar{F}$ making the right square commutative. In fact, such an $\bar{F}$ exists if and only if $F(u)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, where $u$ is a quasi-isomorphism, or, equivalently, for all acyclic $L \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A}), F(L)$ is acyclic or $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is exact.
5.2. A right derived functor $R F$ of $F$ is a triangulated functor

$$
R F: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})
$$

together with a morphism of functors $\varepsilon: Q F \rightarrow R F \circ Q$ having the following universal property:

For any triangulated functor $G: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ and morphism of functors $\eta: Q F \rightarrow G \circ Q$, there exists a unique morphism $\alpha: R F \rightarrow G$ such that $\eta=\alpha \circ \varepsilon$.

If $(R F, \varepsilon)$ exists, it is unique up to a unique isomorphism.
Theorem 5.3 (existence of $R F$ ). Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor. Assume that there exists a full additive subcategory $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, such that
(i). For all $E \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $E^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}$, and a monomorphism $E \hookrightarrow E^{\prime}$.
(ii). If $0 \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, and $E^{\prime}, E \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then $E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, and $0 \rightarrow F E^{\prime} \rightarrow F E \rightarrow F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
Then $R F: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ exists and for $L \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, we have an isomorphism $R F(L) \leftleftarrows F\left(L^{\prime}\right)$, where $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism with $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 5.4. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition in 5.3. Suppose $L \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$, and $L$ is acyclic, then $F L$ is acyclic.

Proof. Suppose $L$ is acyclic, then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow L^{a} \longrightarrow L^{a+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow L^{i} \longrightarrow L^{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

And for each $L^{i}$, we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow Z^{i} \longrightarrow L^{i} \longrightarrow Z^{i+1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows from (ii), by induction on $i$, that for all $i, Z^{i} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow F Z^{i} \longrightarrow F L^{i} \longrightarrow F Z^{i+1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Splicing short exact sequences, we get that $F L$ is acyclic.
Lemma 5.5. Suppose $L, L^{\prime} \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right), s: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then $F s$ is also a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the distinguished triangle

where $M$ is the cone of $s$. Then $M \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. Because $s$ is a quasiisomorphism, $M$ is acyclic. By 5.4, $F(M)$ is acyclic. So $F s$ is a quasiisomorphism.

Let us prove the theorem. Consider the diagram:

where Quis is the set of quasi-isomorphisms in $K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. As $F$ maps quasiisomorphisms of $K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ into quasi-isomorphisms, there exists a functor $F$ : $K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ Quis $\left.^{-1}\right) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ making the square commutative.

Recall that $\psi$ is an equivalence (4.18). Let $\varphi: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ Quis $\left.^{-1}\right)$ be a quasi-inverse to $\psi$. Define

$$
R F=F \circ \varphi: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})
$$

i.e. $R F(L)=F(\varphi L)$. Now let us define a functorial morphism: $\varepsilon: Q(F L) \rightarrow$ $R F(Q L)$, for $L \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$.

For $L \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, we have a functorial isomorphism $a(L): L \rightarrow \varphi L$ with $\varphi L \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. By (4.18), we can write

$$
a(L)=t^{-1} s: L \xrightarrow{s} M^{\prime}<^{t} \varphi L
$$

where $s, t$ are both quasi-isomorphisms and $M^{\prime} \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have a diagram

$$
F L \stackrel{F s}{\stackrel{F s}{>} F M^{\prime}<{ }_{\varepsilon(L)}^{F t}} F \varphi L=R F L
$$

where $F t$ is a quasi-isomorphism because both $M^{\prime}$ and $\phi L$ are in $K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. We define

$$
\varepsilon(L)=(F t)^{-1} \circ F s \in \operatorname{Hom}_{D^{+}(\mathcal{B})}(F L, R F L) .
$$

One easily checks that $\varepsilon(L)$ doesn't depend on the choices and gives a map of functors $\varepsilon: Q F \rightarrow R F Q$.

Let us verify the universal property. Let $G: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ be a triangulated functor and $\eta: F Q \rightarrow Q G$ be a morphism. The following diagram shows that $\eta$ uniquely factors as $\eta=\alpha \circ \varepsilon$.


Finally, let $L \xrightarrow{u} L^{\prime}$ be a quasi-isomorphism with $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. Then the
following commutative diagram

defines a canonical isomorphism $R F(u)^{-1} \circ F\left(\varepsilon\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right): F L^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} R F L$ in $D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$.
Note that, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{RF}\left(D^{\geqslant a}(\mathcal{A})\right) \subset D^{\geqslant a}(\mathcal{B})$. This is because for $L \in D^{\geqslant a}(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a quasi-isomorphism $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ with $L^{\prime} \in K^{\geqslant a}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$, such that $R F L \simeq F L^{\prime}=\left(0 \rightarrow F L^{\prime a} \rightarrow \cdots\right)$

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $L \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, we define $R^{i} F L=H^{i} R F L$. The functor

$$
R^{i} F: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}
$$

is a "cohomological functor", meaning that if $L^{\prime} \rightarrow L \rightarrow L^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow$ is a distinguished triangle in $D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, then we can get a long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow R^{i} F L^{\prime} \rightarrow R^{i} F L \rightarrow R^{i} F L^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow R^{i+1} F L^{\prime} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

coming from the distinguished triangle $R F L^{\prime} \rightarrow R F L \rightarrow R F L^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow$.
In particular, if $L \in D^{\geqslant 0}(\mathcal{A})$, then $R^{i} F L=0, i<0$. Moreover, for $L \in \mathcal{A}$, the natural map (given by $\varepsilon: F L \rightarrow R F L$ )

$$
F L \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} R^{0} F L=H^{0} R F L
$$

is an isomorphism if and only if $F$ is left exact.
Corollary 5.6. If $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives, then $R F: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ exists, and for any $L \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, if $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism with $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ and $L^{\prime i}$ injective for all $i$, then $F L^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} R F L$.

Indeed we can take for $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of injectives (4.27).

Definition 5.7. Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a left exact additive functor (in other words, $F L \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{0} F L$ ). An object $L$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is called $F$-acyclic, if $R^{n} F L=0$ for any $n>0$.

For example, objects of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ are $F$-acyclic.
Proposition 5.8. Under the assumption of 5.3, the subcategory $\mathcal{A}_{F}=\{L \in$ $\mathcal{A}, L$ is $F$-acyclic $\}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the properties (i), (ii) of 5.3.

Proof. (i). We have $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{A}_{F}$, so the condition (i) is immediate.
(ii). Let $E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathcal{A}$, and $E^{\prime}, E \in \mathcal{A}_{F}$, then $R F E^{\prime} \rightarrow R F E \rightarrow R F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow$ is a distinguished triangle, hence we get a long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow R^{i} F E^{\prime} \rightarrow R^{i} F E \rightarrow R^{i} F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow R^{i+1} F E \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

Because $E^{\prime}, E$ are both $F$-acyclic, $R^{n} F E=R^{n} F E^{\prime}=0$ for all $n>0$. With the long exact sequence, we get $R^{n} F E^{\prime \prime}=0$ for all $n>0$, so $E^{\prime \prime}$ is also $F$-acyclic, hence $E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{F}$.

From the long exact sequence, we also have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow$ $R^{0} F E^{\prime} \rightarrow R^{0} F E \rightarrow R^{0} F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$, then the sequence $0 \rightarrow F E^{\prime} \rightarrow F E \rightarrow$ $F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is exact by definition.

Corollary 5.9. Suppose $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism with $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ and for any $i, L^{\prime i}$ is $F$-acyclic, then we have a canonical isomorphism $F L^{\prime} \rightarrow$ RFL.

Definition 5.10. Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor between two abelian categories. A left derived functor of $F$ is a functor

$$
L F: D^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{-}(\mathcal{B})
$$

together with $\varepsilon: L F Q \rightarrow Q F$ having the following universal property: For any triangulated functor $G: D^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{-}(\mathcal{B})$ together with a morphism $\eta: G Q \rightarrow Q F$, there exists a unique morphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow L F$, such that $\eta=\varepsilon \circ \alpha$ :


Theorem 5.3' Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor, and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ a additive subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$, such that
(i). For all $E \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an epimorphism $E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ with $E^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}$
(ii). For any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ with $E, E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then $E^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, and $0 \rightarrow F E^{\prime} \rightarrow F E \rightarrow F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
Then $\left(L F: D^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{-}(\mathcal{B}), \varepsilon\right)$ exists and for $L \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $L F L \xrightarrow{\sim} F L^{\prime}$, where $L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ is a quasi-isomorphism with $L^{\prime} \in K^{-}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$.

The proof is similar to that of 5.3.
Example 5.10.1. : Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space, $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. The category $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ of flat $\mathcal{O}_{X}-$ modules satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of 5.3 ' for any functor of the form $P \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}-: \operatorname{Mod}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(Y)$ with $P \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$.

Definition 5.11. Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor between abelian categories, and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be a full additive subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$. We say $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is right adapted to $F$ if $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ satisfies the following condition (i)-(iii):
(i) For any $E \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an object $E^{\prime}$ and a monomorphism $E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ with $E^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
(ii) For any exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $E^{\prime}, E \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then $E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
(iii) For any exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $E^{\prime}, E, E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow F E^{\prime} \rightarrow F E \rightarrow F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact.
Recall that if such a category $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ exists, then $R F: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ exists and for any $L \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $R F(L) \simeq F\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ where $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. For example, if $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives, then the category of injectives in $\mathcal{A}$ is right adapted to any $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 5.11' Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor between abelian categories, $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ a full additive subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$. We say $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is left adapted to $F$ if
(i) For any $E \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an object $E^{\prime}$, such that $E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ is an epimorphism.
(ii) For any exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $E, E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then $E^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
(iii) For any exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $E^{\prime}, E, E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow F E^{\prime} \rightarrow F E \rightarrow F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact.
If $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is left adapted to $F$, then $L F: D^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{-}(\mathcal{B})$ exists and for any $L \in D^{-}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $L F(L) \simeq F\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ where $L^{\prime} \in K^{-}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

For example, if $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projectives, then the category of projectives is left adapted to any $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, G: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be two additive functors between abelian categories. We will now discuss when we can "state" $R(G F)=R G \circ R F$ and $L(G F)=L G \circ L F$.

Theorem 5.12. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{C}$ be additive functors between abelian categories. Assume there exist $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{A}$ right adapted to $F, \mathcal{B}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{B}$ right adapted to $G$, and $F\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is right adapted to $G F, R F, R G$, $R(G F)$ exist, and there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
R G \circ R F \simeq R(G \circ F)
$$

Proof. For any exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $E^{\prime}, E, E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, because $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is right adapted to $F$, then the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow F E^{\prime} \rightarrow F E \rightarrow F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Since $F\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$, the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow G F E^{\prime} \rightarrow G F E \rightarrow G F E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

is also exact. It follows that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is right adapted to $G F$. For any $L \in$ $D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, there exists $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ with $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ a quasi-isomorphism. The isomorphism stated in the theorem is given by the following composition


Example 5.12.1. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives, $\mathcal{B}$ has enough injectives, $G$ is left exact, and $F$ transforms injective objects to $G$-acyclic objects. Then we can take $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\mathcal{B}_{G}$, where $\mathcal{B}_{G}$ is the subcategory consisting of $G$-acyclic objects, so we get $R(G F) \simeq R G \circ R F$.

Theorem 5.12, Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{C}$ be additive functors where $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ are abelian categories. Assume we have a full additive subcategory $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ ) of $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}$ ), which is left adapted to $F$ (resp. G) and $F\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is left adapted to $G F, L F, L G, L(G F)$ exist, and there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
L(G F) \simeq L G \circ L F
$$

The proof is similar to that of 5.12 .

## 6 The functors $R \Gamma, R f_{*}, L f^{*}, \otimes^{L}$

Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space and suppose $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ is commutative. Let $\mathcal{A}=$ $\operatorname{Mod}(X)=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be the category of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{X}-$ modules. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian and has enough injectives (4.23). Let $\mathcal{A} b$ be the category of abelian groups. Then the functor $\Gamma(X,-): \operatorname{Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} b ; E \mapsto \Gamma(X, E)=$ $E(X)$ is additive and left exact, but it is not exact in general. The right derived functor $R \Gamma(X,-): D^{+}(X) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{A} b)$ exists (Where $D^{*}(X)=$ $D^{*}(\operatorname{Mod}(X)), *=+,-, b$ or empty $)$.

For each $L \in D^{+}(X), n \in \mathbb{Z}, H^{n}(X, L)=H^{n} R \Gamma(X, L)$ is called the $n$ th cohomology group of $X$ with values in $L$. For all $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(X), \Gamma(X, E) \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $H^{0}(X, E)$. We have $R \Gamma(X, L)=\Gamma\left(X, L^{\prime}\right)$, where $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism with $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}(X)$ and $L^{\prime i}$ is injective for all $i$. It is easy to see that $L \in D^{\geqslant a}(X)$ implies $R \Gamma(X, L) \in D^{\geqslant a}(\mathcal{A} b)$.

Definition 6.1. $F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ is called flasque if for all $U \hookrightarrow X$ open, $F(X) \rightarrow F(U)$ is surjective.

Remark. If $F$ is flasque, then $\left.F\right|_{U}$ is flasque, for all open subset $U$ of $X$.
Proposition 6.2. (1). Suppose $0 \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow F \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of $\operatorname{Mod}(X)$ and $F^{\prime}$ is flasque, then $0 \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, F^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 is exact.
(2). Under the same assumption as in (1), then if $F^{\prime}, F$ are flasque, $F^{\prime \prime}$ is flasque.
(3). If $F$ is injective, then $F$ is flasque.

Corollary 6.3. The subcategory of flasque sheaves is right adapted to $\Gamma(X,-)$. In particular, for $L \in D^{+}(X), R \Gamma(X, L) \simeq \Gamma\left(X, L^{\prime}\right)$, where $L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism with $L^{\prime} \in K^{+}(X)$ and $L^{\prime i}$ is flasque for all $i$.

As $\operatorname{Mod}(X)$ has enough injectives, 6.3 immediately follows from 6.2.
Proof of 6.2(1) and (2). (1). Let $s^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma\left(X, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we want to find $s \in \Gamma(X, F)$ such that the image of $s$ in $\Gamma\left(X, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $s^{\prime \prime}$. Order the set

$$
\left\{(U, t) \mid U \hookrightarrow X \text { open, }\left.\Gamma(U, F) \ni t \mapsto s^{\prime \prime}\right|_{U} \in \Gamma\left(U, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}
$$

by $(U, t)<\left(U_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ if $U \subset U_{1}$ and $t_{1}$ extends t . This is an inductive ordered set. So, by Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal $(U, s), U \hookrightarrow X, s \in \Gamma(U, F)$ and $\left.s \mapsto s^{\prime \prime}\right|_{U}$.

Assume there exists $x \notin U$, then there exists an open neighborhood $V$ of $x$ and $t \in \Gamma(V, F),\left.t \mapsto s^{\prime \prime}\right|_{V}$. Hence $z:=\left.s\right|_{U \cap V}-\left.t\right|_{U \cap V} \in \Gamma\left(U \cap V, F^{\prime}\right)$. Since $F^{\prime}$ is flasque, we can find $z_{1} \in \Gamma\left(V, F^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left.z_{1}\right|_{U \cap V}=z$. Then $s$ and $t+z_{1}$ agree on $U \cap V$, hence $s$ extends to a section $\bar{s}$ of $F$ on $U \cup V$ such that $\bar{s} \mapsto s^{\prime \prime} \mid U \cup V$. This contradicts the maximality of $(U, s)$, and finishes the proof.
(2). Since $0 \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow F \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is exact and $F, F^{\prime}$ are flasque, by (1) we have the following commutative diagram whose rows are exact and the two left vertical maps are surjective.


So $\varphi$ is surjective, hence $F^{\prime \prime}$ is flasque.

## Preliminary to the proof of (3):

Suppose that $U \stackrel{\text { j }}{ } X$ is open and $Y:=X-U \xrightarrow{i} X$ is the complementary closed subset. Then, for each $F \in \operatorname{Mod}(U)$, we can consider the sheaf $j_{!} F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, which is associated to the presheaf:

$$
V \mapsto\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\Gamma(V, F) & \text { if } V \subset U \\
0 & \text { if } V \nsubseteq U
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V$ is an open subset of $X$. This sheaf is called the extension of $F$ by zero. It is easy to see that if $x \in U,\left(j_{!} F\right)_{x}=F_{x}$, and if $x \notin U,\left(j_{!} F\right)_{x}=0$. In fact, $\left.j_{!} F\right|_{U}=F$. For each $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, it follows from the definition that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(F, j^{*} E\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(F,\left.E\right|_{U}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}(j!F, E)
$$

hence $j$ ! is left adjoint to $j^{*}$. For $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, we have a basic exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow j!j^{*} E \rightarrow E \rightarrow i_{*} i^{*} E \rightarrow 0
$$

i.e.

$$
0 \rightarrow j_{!}\left(\left.E\right|_{U}\right) \rightarrow E \rightarrow i_{*}\left(\left.E\right|_{Y}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Now, let's come back to the proof of 6.2.(3).
Assume $F$ is injective on $X$, let $j: U \hookrightarrow X$ be an open subset of $X$. A section $s \in F(U)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}, j^{*} F\right)$ defines a map $s: j!\mathcal{O}_{U} \rightarrow F$. Then by the injectivity of $F$, there exists $\bar{s} \in F(X)$ extending $s$, i.e. making the following diagram commutative:


### 6.4. Godement's flasque resolution

For $x \in X$, let $i_{x}:\{x\} \rightarrow X$ denote the inclusion, let $F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$. Then the canonical map $F \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X} i_{x *} i_{x}^{*} F=\mathcal{C}^{0}(F)\left(s \mapsto\left(s_{x}\right)_{x \in X}\right)$ is injective and $\mathcal{C}^{0}(F)$ is flasque. Therefore we get a flasque resolution of $F$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{0}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{1}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{2}(F) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{n+1}(F)=\mathcal{C}^{0}\left(\right.$ Coker : $\left.\mathcal{C}^{n-1}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n}(F)\right)$. This resolution is called Godement's canonical flasque resolution. Then,

$$
R \Gamma(X, F) \simeq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}(F))=\left(\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{C}^{0}(F)\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{C}^{n}(F)\right) \rightarrow \cdots\right)
$$

More generally, for $F \in K^{+}(X)$, by the above flasque resolution we have a morphism of bi-complexes $F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(F)$, where $\mathcal{C}(F)^{i j}=\mathcal{C}^{j}\left(F^{i}\right)$. This morphism induces a quasi-isomorphism on each column, hence a quasi-isomorphism $F \rightarrow \mathbf{s C}(F):=F^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime n}$ is flasque for all $n$. Hence we get an isomorphism $R \Gamma(X, F) \simeq \Gamma(X, s \mathcal{C}(F))$.
(2) $R f_{*}$

Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ be two ringed spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism of ringed spaces. Recall that the direct image functor, defined by $f_{*}: \operatorname{Mod}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(Y)\left(f_{*} F\right)(V)=F\left(f^{-1} V\right)$ with $V \subset Y$, is additive and left exact. By definition, $f_{*}$ transforms flasque sheaves into flasque sheaves, hence injective sheaves into flasque sheaves by 6.2. If $Y$ consists of a single point, and $\mathcal{O}_{Y}=\mathbb{Z}$, then $f_{*}=\Gamma(X,-)$.

The right derived functor $R f_{*}: D^{+}(X) \rightarrow D^{+}(Y)$ exists. For $F \in$ $D^{+}(X), R f_{*} F=f_{*} F^{\prime}$ for $F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ a quasi-isomorphism with $F^{\prime} \in K^{+}(X)$ and $F^{\prime i}$ injective for all $i$. Flasque sheaves are acyclic for $f_{*}$ (hence for $F \in$ $D^{+}(X), R f_{*} F \simeq f_{*} F^{\prime}$ for $F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ a quasi-isomorphism with $F^{\prime} \in K^{+}(X)$ and $F^{\prime i}$ flasque for all $i$.)

By definition, $\Gamma\left(Y, f_{*} F\right)=\Gamma(X, F)$, in other words, the functor $\Gamma(X,-)$ is the composition:

$$
\Gamma(X,-)=\Gamma(Y,-) \circ f_{*}: \operatorname{Mod}(X) \xrightarrow[\Gamma(X,-)]{\stackrel{f_{*}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Mod}(Y) \stackrel{\Gamma(Y,-)}{\longrightarrow}} \mathcal{A} b
$$

Then from 5.12, we deduce $R \Gamma\left(Y, R f_{*} L\right) \simeq R \Gamma(X, L)$ for $L \in D^{+}(X)$.

Similarly, if $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ are morphisms of ringed spaces, then we have

$$
R(g f)_{*}=R g_{*} \circ R f_{*}: D^{+}(X) \rightarrow D^{+}(Z)
$$

Indeed the subcategory consisting of flasque sheaves on $X$ is adapted to $f_{*}$ for any $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $f_{*}$ transforms flasque sheaves into flasque sheaves.
(3) $\otimes^{L}\left(\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{Tor}_{q}(-,-)\right)$

Let $A$ be a commutative ring, then the functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Mod}(A) \times \operatorname{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(A) \\
(E, F) \mapsto E \otimes_{A} F
\end{gathered}
$$

is bi-additive and right exact in each argument.
If $E, F \in C(\mathcal{A})$, we get a naive bi-complex $\left(E^{p} \otimes F^{q}, d \otimes I d, I d \otimes d\right)$ and the associated bi-complex $(E \otimes F)^{\boldsymbol{\bullet} \bullet}$ with the diffirentials defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d^{\prime}(x \otimes y)=d x \otimes y \\
d^{\prime \prime}(x \otimes y)=(-1)^{p} x \otimes d y
\end{gathered}
$$

where $x \otimes y \in E^{p} \otimes F^{q}$
The simple associated complex $\mathbf{s}(E \otimes F)^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ is denoted $E \otimes F$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(E \otimes F)^{n} & =\bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^{p} \otimes F^{q} \\
d & =d^{\prime}+d^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bi-additive functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(\mathcal{A}) \times C(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{A}) \\
(E, F) \mapsto E \otimes F
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{Mod}(A)$, extends to a bi-triangulated functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
K(\mathcal{A}) \times K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A}) \\
(E, F) \mapsto E \otimes F
\end{gathered}
$$

For fixed $E$, let us consider the functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A}) \\
F \mapsto E \otimes F
\end{gathered}
$$

We will define a left derived functor of it. For this we need some generalization of the definitions given in (5.2).

Definition 6.5. Let $F: K^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ be a triangulated functor. A right derived functor of $F$ is a pair

$$
\left(R F: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B}), \quad \varepsilon: F \rightarrow R F\right)
$$

where $R F$ is triangulated, satisfying the following universal property: For any triangulated functor $G: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ and morphism of functors $\eta: Q F \rightarrow G \circ Q$, there exists a unique morphism $\alpha: R F \rightarrow G$ such that $\eta=\alpha \circ \varepsilon$.


Definition 6.5' Let $F: K^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K^{-}(\mathcal{B})$ be a triangulated functor. A left derived functor of $F$ is a pair

$$
\left(L F: D^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{-}(\mathcal{B}), \quad \varepsilon: L F \rightarrow F\right)
$$

where $L F$ is triangulated satisfying the following universal property: For any triangulated functor $G: D^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and morphism of functors $\eta: G \circ Q \rightarrow Q F$, there exists a unique morphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow L F$ such that $\eta=\varepsilon \circ \alpha$.


Recall $\operatorname{Mod}(A)$ has enough projectives.
Lemma 6.6. Let $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{Mod}(A), E \in C(\mathcal{A})$, and $F \in C^{-}(\mathcal{A})$. Assume $F^{i}$ is projective for all $i$. Then if $E$ or $F$ is acyclic, then $E \otimes F$ is acyclic.

Proof. (a). Assume $F$ is acyclic, then $F$ is homotopically trivial. So $E \otimes F$ is acyclic.
(b). Assume $E$ is acyclic, $E \in K^{-}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $(E \otimes F)^{\bullet \bullet}$ is biregular. For each $q$, we have $E^{\bullet} \otimes F^{q}$ is acyclic, so $E \otimes F$ is acyclic.
(c). General case. $E=\underline{\longrightarrow} \lim _{\leqslant n} E$, then $H^{q}\left(\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } \tau_{\leqslant n} E \otimes F\right)=\underline{\lim } H^{q}\left(\tau_{\leqslant n} E \otimes\right.$ $F)=0$.

Proposition 6.7. Let $E \in K(\mathcal{A})$, then the functor $K^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$ given by $F \mapsto E \otimes F$ has a left derived functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
D^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{A}) \\
F \mapsto E \otimes_{A}^{L} F
\end{gathered}
$$

calculated by $E \otimes_{A}^{L} F=E \otimes_{A} F^{\prime}$ where $F^{\prime} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ with $F^{\prime i}$ projective and $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ a quasi-isomorphism. Moreover, the functor $K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{A})$ given by $E \mapsto E \otimes^{L} F$ factors (uniquely) through $D(\mathcal{A})$ and gives a triangulated functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(\mathcal{A}) \times D^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{A}) \\
\quad(E, F) \mapsto E \otimes_{A}^{L} F
\end{gathered}
$$

sending $D^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \times D^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ to $D^{-}(\mathcal{A})$.
Remark 6.8. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of projective $A$-modules. For $E, F \in D^{-}(\mathcal{A})$, we have isomorphisms in $D(\mathcal{A})$

$$
E \otimes_{A}^{L} F \simeq E \otimes F^{\prime} \simeq E^{\prime} \otimes F \simeq E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime}
$$

where $E^{\prime}, F^{\prime} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{P}), E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ and $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ quasi-isomorphisms. (Actually, $E \otimes^{L} F \simeq E^{\prime} \otimes^{L} F \simeq E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime}$, and by an analog of Lemma 6.7, $E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime} \simeq$ $E^{\prime} \otimes F$.)

Proposition 6.9. (1). There is a canonical isomorphism

$$
E \otimes^{L}\left(F \otimes^{L} G\right) \simeq\left(E \otimes^{L} F\right) \otimes^{L} G
$$

for $E \in D(\mathcal{A}), F, G \in D^{-}(\mathcal{A})$.
(2). There is a canonical isomorphism

$$
E \otimes^{L} F \simeq F \otimes^{L} E
$$

for $E, F \in D^{-}(\mathcal{A})$.
Proof. (1) Replace $F, G$ by $F^{\prime}, G^{\prime} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{P})$ such that there are quasi-isomorphisms $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ and $G^{\prime} \rightarrow G$. Apply then the isomorphism of complexes $E \otimes\left(F^{\prime} \otimes\right.$ $\left.G^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(E \otimes F^{\prime}\right) \otimes G^{\prime}$ given by $a \otimes(b \otimes c) \mapsto(a \otimes b) \otimes c$.
(2) Take quasi-isomorphisms $E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ and $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ with $E^{\prime}, F^{\prime} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{P})$. Then $E \otimes^{L} F \simeq E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime}, F \otimes^{L} E \simeq F^{\prime} \otimes E^{\prime}$. Apply the isomorphism of complexes $E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime} \rightarrow F^{\prime} \otimes E^{\prime}$ given by $x \otimes y \mapsto(-1)^{p q} y \otimes x$ for $x \in E^{\prime p}$, $y \in F^{\prime q}$.

The isomorphism in (2) is called the Koszul isomorphism. The sign convention is adopted to get a morphism of complexes, and is called the Koszul rule.

Definition 6.10. For $E \in D(\mathcal{A}), F \in D^{-}(\mathcal{A})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\operatorname{Tor}_{n}(E, F)=$ $\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{A}(E, F)=H^{-n}\left(E \otimes_{A}^{L} F\right)$.

In particular, for $E, F \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Tor}_{0}^{A}(E, F)=E \otimes_{A} F$ (by right exactness of $E \otimes_{A} \bullet$ ).

Definition 6.11. An $A$-module $E$ is called flat if the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} & \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\
F & \mapsto E \otimes_{A} F
\end{aligned}
$$

is exact.
The exact sequences of cohomology shows that the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $E$ is flat;
(ii) For all $F \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{A}(E, F)=0$;
(iii) For all $F \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q>0, \operatorname{Tor}_{q}^{A}(E, F)=0$.

Proposition 6.12. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the full subcategory of flat $A$-modules. Then $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is left adapted to the functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} & \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\
F & \mapsto E \otimes F
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e.,
(i) For all $F \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an epimorphism $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ with $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
(ii) If $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}, F, F^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and

$$
0 \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow F \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

exact, then $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
(iii) If the above sequence is exact with $F^{\prime}, F, F^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then

$$
0 \rightarrow E \otimes F^{\prime} \rightarrow E \otimes F \rightarrow E \otimes F^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact.
Proof. (i) follows from the fact that projective modules are flat.
For (ii) and (iii), use long exact sequences and Koszul isomorphisms (6.9 (2)).

Corollary 6.13. For $E \in D(\mathcal{A}), F \in D^{-}(\mathcal{A}), E \otimes^{L} F \simeq E \otimes F^{\prime}$ where $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ is a quasi-isomorphism, $F^{\prime} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ and $F^{\prime i}$ flat for all $i$.

Proof. Choose a quasi-isomorphism $P \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ with $P \in K^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ and $P^{i}$ projective for all $i$. Complete it into a distinguished triangle in $K(\mathcal{A})$. The corollary then follows from Lemma 6.7 with "projective" replaced by "flat", which we will prove later as Lemma 6.17 in more generality.

As in 6.8, for $E, F \in D^{-}(\mathcal{A}), E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ and $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ quasi-isomorphisms, $E^{\prime}, F^{\prime} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{A}), E^{\prime i}, F^{\prime i}$ flat for all $i$, we have

$$
E \otimes^{L} F \simeq E \otimes F^{\prime} \simeq E^{\prime} \otimes F \simeq E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime}
$$

Note that for a commutative ring $A$ with a multiplicative system $S, S^{-1} A$ is a flat $A$-module, but not a projective $A$-module in general (e.g., when $A$ is a principal ideal domain which is not a field and $S^{-1} A$ is its fractional field).
6.14. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a (commutative) ringed space. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Mod}(X)=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right), \\
& C(X)=C(\operatorname{Mod}(X)), \\
& K(X)=K(\operatorname{Mod}(X)), \\
& D(X)=D(\operatorname{Mod}(X)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $E, F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, define $E \otimes F=E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} F$ to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$
U \mapsto E(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} F(U)
$$

For $x \in X,\left(E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} F\right)_{x}=E_{x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, x}} F_{x} . E$ is called a flat $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module if the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Mod}(X) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(X) \\
F & \mapsto E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} F
\end{aligned}
$$

is exact.
For $E, F \in C(X)$, define the double complex $(E \otimes F)^{\bullet \bullet}$ as in 6.5 and $E \otimes F=\mathbf{s}(E \otimes F)^{\bullet \bullet}$, that is, $(E \otimes F)^{n}=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^{p} \otimes F^{q}$ and $d(a \otimes b)=$ $d a \otimes b+(-1)^{p} a \otimes d b$ for $a \in E^{p}, b \in F^{q}$. The functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(X) \times C(X) & \rightarrow C(X) \\
(E, F) & \mapsto E \otimes F
\end{aligned}
$$

defines a bi-triangulated functor $K(X) \times K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$.
Proposition 6.15. For $E \in K(X)$, the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{-}(X) & \rightarrow K(X) \\
F & \mapsto E \otimes F
\end{aligned}
$$

has a left derived functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{-}(X) & \rightarrow D(X) \\
F & \mapsto E \otimes^{L} F
\end{aligned}
$$

calculated as $E \otimes^{L} F=E \otimes F^{\prime}$ for $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ a quasi-isomorphism with $F^{\prime} \in$ $K^{-}(X)$ and $F^{\prime i}$ flat for all $i$. Moreover, for $F \in D^{-}(X)$ fixed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(X) & \rightarrow D(X) \\
E & \mapsto E \otimes^{L} F
\end{aligned}
$$

induces a triangulated functor $D(X) \rightarrow D(X)$. So we get a bi-triangulated functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(X) \times D^{-}(X) & \rightarrow D(X) \\
(E, F) & \mapsto E \otimes^{L} F
\end{aligned}
$$

sending $D^{-}(X) \times D^{-}(X)$ to $D^{-}(X)$.
Proof. Imitate the proof in the case of modules over a ring, making use of 6.16(i) and 6.17 below.

Lemma 6.16. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ be the full subcategory of flat modules. Then $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is left adapted to the functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Mod}(X) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(X) \\
F & \mapsto E \otimes F
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, i.e.,
(i) For all $F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, there exists an epimorphism $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ with $F^{\prime} \in$ $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
(ii) If $F^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Mod}(X), F, F^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and

$$
0 \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow F \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

exact, then $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
(iii) If the above sequence is exact with $F^{\prime}, F, F^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then

$$
0 \rightarrow E \otimes F^{\prime} \rightarrow E \otimes F \rightarrow E \otimes F^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact.
Proof. For (i), define

$$
E^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{U, s} j_{U!} \mathcal{O}_{U} \xrightarrow{\sum_{U, s} \tilde{s}} E,
$$

where the sums are taken for all open $U \subset X$ and $s \in \Gamma(U, E), j_{U}: U \hookrightarrow X$ is the embedding, and $\tilde{s}$ is defined by the canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}, j_{U}{ }^{*} E\right) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(j_{U}, \mathcal{O}_{U}, E\right) \\
s & \mapsto \tilde{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is obviously an epimorphism, and $E^{\prime}$ is flat because $j_{U!} \mathcal{O}_{U}$ flat. (These facts are easily seen by taking stalks.)

For (ii) and (iii), we only need to use the corresponding results for modules over rings (6.12) and the fact that an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $M$ is flat if and only if $M_{x}$ flat over $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ for all $x \in X$.

Remark. In general, there are not enough projectives in $\operatorname{Mod}(X)$. In fact, if $X$ is a locally noetherian Jacobson scheme with no isolated points, then every projective $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module is zero. In addition, if $X$ is a projective scheme over a field which does not have any isolated point, then every projective object in the category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules is zero. See [Ga].

Lemma 6.17. Let $E \in K(X), F \in K^{-}(X)$ with $F^{i}$ flat for all $i$. If $E$ or $F$ is acyclic, then $E \otimes F$ is, too.

Proof. If $E$ is acyclic, proceed as in 6.7. If $F$ is acyclic, we show that $E \otimes F$ is acyclic. First, assume $E \in K^{-}(X)$. Now $F$ is bounded above, acyclic and flat in each component, we can show by induction that it breaks into flat short exact sequences. Hence, by (ii) and (iii) of $6.16, E^{p} \otimes F$ breaks into short exact sequences, and thus is acyclic, for all' $p$. Therefore, $E \otimes$ $F=\mathbf{s}(E \otimes F)^{\bullet \bullet}$ is acyclic. For the general case, use $E=\underline{\longrightarrow} \tau_{\leq n} E$ and $E \otimes F=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim }\left(\left(\tau_{\leq n} E\right) \otimes F\right)$.

Proposition 6.18. (1). There is a canonical isomorphism

$$
E \otimes^{L}\left(F \otimes^{L} G\right) \simeq\left(E \otimes^{L} F\right) \otimes^{L} G
$$

for $E \in D(X), F, G \in D^{-}(X)$.
(2). There is a canonical (Koszul) isomorphism

$$
E \otimes^{L} F \simeq F \otimes^{L} E
$$

for $E, F \in D^{-}(X)$.
The proof is similar to that of 6.9 , projective modudes being replaced by flat ones.
6.19. The functor $L f^{*}$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of ringed spaces. The morphism $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ induces a morphism $f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}$, by which we can regard $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ as an $f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ module. Define $f^{*} E=\mathcal{O}_{X} \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{Y}} f^{-1} E$. $f^{*}: \operatorname{Mod}(Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ is a right exact additive functor left adjoint to $f_{*}: \operatorname{Mod}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(Y) . f^{*}$ extends to $C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ and, in turn, defines a triangulated functor $K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$.

Proposition 6.20. The functor $f^{*}: \operatorname{Mod}(Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ has a left derived functor $L f^{*}: D^{-}(Y) \rightarrow D^{-}(X)$ calculated as $L f^{*}(E)=f^{*} E^{\prime}$ for $E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ a quasi-isomorphism with $E^{\prime} \in K^{-}(Y)$ and $E^{\prime i}$ flat for all $i$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Mod}(Y)$ consisting of flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules is left adapted to $f^{*}(5.10)$, that is, to check (i), (ii) and (iii) in the definition. (i) and (ii) have already been proved in 6.16, while (iii) follows from the following two facts easily seen by taking stalks: $f^{-1}: \operatorname{Mod}(Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}\left(f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)\right)$ is exact; if $M$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module, then $f^{-1}(M)$ is a flat $f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$-module.

Define $L^{i} f^{*}=H^{i} L f^{*}, L_{i} f^{*}=L^{-i} f^{*}$.

Proposition 6.21. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be morphisms of ringed spaces. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
L f^{*} L g^{*} \simeq L(g f)^{*}  \tag{6.21.1}\\
L g^{*}\left(E \otimes^{L} F\right) \simeq L g^{*} E \otimes^{L} L g^{*} F \tag{6.21.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $E, F \in D^{-}(Z)$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{D(X)}\left(L f^{*} E, F\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{D(Y)}\left(E, R f_{*} F\right) \tag{6.21.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $E \in D^{-}(Y), F \in D^{+}(X)$.
The last isomorphism is called the trivial duality.
Proof. The isomorphisms (6.21.1) and (6.21.2) follow from the fact that $g^{*}(M)$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module for a flat $\mathcal{O}_{Z}$-module $M$. The proof of (6.21.3) will be given in 7.6.

## $7 R$ Hom, $R \mathcal{H}$ om, Ext $^{i}, \mathcal{E} x t^{i}$

7.1. The functor $R$ Hom. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be additive categories, $F: \mathcal{A}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ an additive functor. For $L \in C(\mathcal{A})$ :

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow L^{-i-1} \xrightarrow{d_{L}^{-i-1}} L^{-i} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

we define $F(L) \in C(\mathcal{B})$ to be the complex

$$
\cdots \leftarrow F(L)^{i+1} \stackrel{d_{F(L)}^{i}}{\leftarrow} F(L)^{i} \leftarrow \cdots
$$

where $F(L)^{i}=F\left(L^{-i}\right)$ and $d_{F(L)}^{i}=(-1)^{i+1} F\left(d_{L}^{-i-1}\right)$. For a morphism $u$ : $L \rightarrow M$, we define $F(u): F(M) \rightarrow F(L)$ by $F(u)^{i}=F\left(u^{-i}\right)$. Thus we get a functor $C(\mathcal{A})^{\circ} \rightarrow C(\mathcal{B})$, which defines a triangulated functor $K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ} \rightarrow$ $K(\mathcal{B})$. We still use $F$ to denote them.

Example 7.1.1. The additive functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{\circ} \times \mathcal{A} & \rightarrow A b \\
(L, M) & \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}(L, M)
\end{aligned}
$$

is left exact in both arguments. For $L, M \in C(\mathcal{A})$, define a bicomplex of abelian groups $\operatorname{Hom}(L, M)^{\bullet \bullet}$ as follows: let the component of bidegree $(p, q)$ be $\operatorname{Hom}(L, M)^{p, q}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{-q}, M^{p}\right)$, the differentials $d^{p, q}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{-q}, d_{L}^{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d^{\prime \prime p, q}=(-1)^{p}(-1)^{q+1} \operatorname{Hom}\left(d_{L}^{-q-1}, M^{p}\right): \\
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{-q}, M^{p}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{-q-1}, M^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(L, M)=\mathbf{s}\left(\operatorname{Hom}(L, M)^{\bullet \bullet}\right) \in C(A b)$, where $\mathbf{s}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}^{n}(L, M) & =\prod_{p+q=n} \operatorname{Hom}(L, M)^{p, q}=\prod_{p+q=n} \operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{-q}, M^{p}\right) \\
& =\prod_{p-q=n} \operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{q}, M^{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{n}(L, M)$, we have $f=\left(f^{q}\right)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}, f^{q}: L^{q} \rightarrow M^{q+n}, d f=d_{M} \circ f+$ $(-1)^{n+1} f \circ d_{L}$.

Note that $\operatorname{Hom}(L, M)^{\bullet \bullet}$ is biregular if $L$ or $M$ is bounded or

$$
L \in K^{-}(\mathcal{A}), M \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A})
$$

The functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\mathcal{A})^{\circ} \times C(\mathcal{A}) & \rightarrow C(A b) \\
(L, M) & \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(L, M)
\end{aligned}
$$

defines a bi-triangulated functor $K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ} \times K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(A b)$.
Proposition 7.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough injectives. For $L \in K(\mathcal{A})$, the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{+}(\mathcal{A}) & \rightarrow K(A b) \\
M & \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(L, M)
\end{aligned}
$$

has a right derived functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) & \rightarrow D(A b) \\
M & \mapsto R \operatorname{Hom}(L, M),
\end{aligned}
$$

calculated as $R \operatorname{Hom}(L, M)=\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(L, M^{\prime}\right)$ for $M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ a quasi-isomorphism with $M^{\prime} \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A}), M^{\prime i}$ injective for all i. Moreover, for $M \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ fixed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ} & \rightarrow D(A b) \\
L & \mapsto R \operatorname{Hom}(L, M)
\end{aligned}
$$

induces a triangulated functor $D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D(A b)$. So we get a bi-triangulated functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \text { Hom : } D(\mathcal{A})^{\circ} \times D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) & \rightarrow D(A b) \\
(L, M) & \mapsto R \operatorname{Hom}(L, M)
\end{aligned}
$$

sending $D^{-}(\mathcal{A})^{\circ} \times D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ to $D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$.
Proof. Proceed as in 6.8, applying the following lemma.
Lemma 7.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be as in 7.2, $E \in C(\mathcal{A}), F \in C^{+}(\mathcal{A})$. Assume $F^{i}$ injective for all $i$. Then if $E$ or $F$ is acyclic, then so is $\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E, F)$.

Proof. Use $H^{i} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(E, F[i])$, which follows from Lemmas 7.4 and 7.5 below. (When $F$ is acyclic or $E \in K^{-}(\mathcal{A})$, there is an alternate proof similar to the proof of 6.7.)

Lemma 7.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category, $E, F \in C(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z^{0} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E, F)=\operatorname{Hom}_{C(\mathcal{A})}(E, F), \\
B^{0} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E, F)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{C(\mathcal{A})}(E, F) ; f \simeq 0\right\}, \\
H^{0} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E, F)=\operatorname{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(E, F) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. For $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{0}(E, F),(d f)^{i}=d f^{i}-f^{i} d$. Thus $f \in Z^{0} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E, F)$ if and only if $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{C(\mathcal{A})}(E, F)$. For $h \in \operatorname{Hom}^{-1}(E, F),(d h)^{i}=d h^{i}+h^{i} d$. Thus $f \in B^{0} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E, F)$ if and only if $f \simeq 0$.

Lemma 7.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough injectives, $E \in K(\mathcal{A})$, $F \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $F^{i}$ injective for all $i$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(E, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(E, F) .
$$

Proof. By definition,
where $s$ runs through quasi-isomorphisms in $K(\mathcal{A})$. By cofinality, we can restrict to quasi-isomorphisms such that $F^{\prime} \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ and $F^{\prime i}$ injective for all $i$. Note that in this case, $s$ is actually an isomorphism in $K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ (4.28). We then get

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(E, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(E, F) .
$$

7.6. We now give the proof of (6.21.3). We may assume $E \in K^{-}(Y)$, $F \in K^{+}(X), E^{i}$ flat and $F^{i}$ injective, for all $i$. In this case, (6.21.3) becomes

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{D(X)}\left(f^{*} E, F\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{D(Y)}\left(E, f_{*} F\right)
$$

By definition,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{D(Y)}\left(E, f_{*} F\right)=\underset{s: \lim _{\overrightarrow{E^{\prime} \rightarrow E}}}{ } \operatorname{Hom}_{K(Y)}\left(E, f_{*} F\right),
$$

where $s$ runs through quasi-isomorphisms in $K(Y)$. By cofinality, we can restrict to quasi-isomorphisms such that $E^{\prime} \in K^{-}(Y)$ and $E^{\prime \prime}$ flat for all $i$. By 7.4 and 7.5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{K(Y)}\left(E^{\prime}, f_{*} F\right)=H^{0} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(E^{\prime}, f_{*} F\right) \simeq H^{0} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet} & \left(f^{*} E^{\prime}, F\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{D(X)}\left(f^{*} E^{\prime}, F\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get isomorphisms $\operatorname{Hom}_{K(Y)}\left(E^{\prime}, f_{*} F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{D(X)}\left(f^{*} E, F\right)$ which commute with transition maps induced by morphisms between different $s$, and hence an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{D(Y)}\left(E, f_{*} F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{D(X)}\left(f^{*} E, F\right)
$$

7.7. Calculation of $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough injectives. Recall that in 4.9 , for $E, F \in D(\mathcal{A})$, we defined $\operatorname{Ext}^{n}(E, F)$ as $\operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(E, F[n])$.
Proposition 7.8. With $\mathcal{A}$ as in 7.7, $E \in D(\mathcal{A}), F \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{n}(E, F) \simeq H^{n} R \operatorname{Hom}(E, F)
$$

Proof. Up to shifting, we may assume that $n=0$. We have

$$
H^{0} R \operatorname{Hom}(E, F) \simeq H^{0} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right),
$$

for $F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ a quasi-isomorphism with $F^{\prime i}$ injective for all $i$. The proposition then follows from Lemmas 7.4 and 7.5.

Remark 7.9. If $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projectives, then

$$
R \operatorname{Hom}(E, F) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(E^{\prime}, F\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $E \in D^{-}(\mathcal{A}), F \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A}), E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ and $F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ quasi-isomorphisms, $E^{\prime} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{A}), F^{\prime} \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A}), E^{\prime i}$ projective and $F^{\prime i}$ injective for all $i$ (by an analog of (the special cases of) Lemma 7.3).
7.10. The functor $R \mathcal{H}$ om. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a (commutative) ringed space. For $E, F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$,

$$
\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(E, F): U \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{U}}(E|U, F| U)
$$

is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules. Similar to 7.1.1, the functor $\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}: \operatorname{Mod}(X)^{\circ} \times$ $\operatorname{Mod}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ induces a functor

$$
\mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}: C(X)^{\circ} \times C(X) \rightarrow C(X)
$$

$\left(\mathcal{H o m}{ }^{\bullet}(E, F)^{n}=\prod_{p-q=n} \mathcal{H o m}\left(E^{q}, F^{p}\right), d f=d_{F} \circ f+(-1)^{n+1} f \circ d_{E}\right.$ for $\left.f \in \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}(E, F)^{n}\right)$, which defines a bi-triangulated functor $K(X)^{\circ} \times K(X) \rightarrow$ $K(X)$. We have a bi-triangulated functor

$$
R \mathcal{H o m}: D(X)^{\circ} \times D^{+}(X) \rightarrow D(X)
$$

calculated as $R \mathcal{H o m}(E, F)=\mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$ for $F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ a quasi-isomorphism, $F^{\prime} \in K^{+}(X), F^{\prime i}$ injective for all $i$. (Applying

$$
\Gamma(U, \mathcal{H o m}(E, F))=\operatorname{Hom}(E|U, F| U)
$$

and 7.3 , we get a variant of 7.3 with $\mathrm{Hom}^{\bullet}$ instead of $\mathrm{Hom}^{\bullet}$.)
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \Gamma(X, R \mathcal{H o m}(E, F)) \simeq R \operatorname{Hom}(E, F) \tag{7.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $E \in D^{-}(X), F \in D^{+}(X)$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \mathcal{H o m}\left(E \otimes^{L} F, G\right) \simeq R \mathcal{H} \operatorname{com}(E, R \mathcal{H o m}(F, G)) \tag{7.10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $E, F \in D^{-}(X), G \in D^{+}(X)$. Moreover, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
R f_{*} R \mathcal{H} o m\left(L f^{*} E, F\right) \simeq R \mathcal{H o m}\left(E, R f_{*} F\right) \tag{7.10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $E \in D^{-}(Y), F \in D^{+}(X), f: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism of ringed spaces. This isomorphism implies (6.21.3) (but actually the proof of (7.10.3) uses (6.21.3), see 7.12.)
7.11. The functor $\mathcal{E} x t^{i}$. for $E \in D(X), F \in D^{+}(X)$, for all integers $i$, define $\mathcal{E} x t^{i}(E, F)=H^{i}(R \mathcal{H o m}(E, F))$. We shall see later that these sheaves are related to the global Ext ${ }^{i}$

$$
a\left(U \mapsto \operatorname{Ext}^{i}(E|U, F| U)\right)
$$

by a spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(X, \mathcal{E} x t^{q}(E, F)\right) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{p+q}(E, F)
$$

7.12. Appendix: Proof of (7.10.3). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y, E \in D^{-}(Y)$, $F \in D^{+}(X)$ as in (7.10.3). We may assume $E \in K^{-}(Y), F \in K^{+}(X)$ and $E^{i}$ flat, $F^{i}$ injective for all $i$. Then (7.10.3) becomes

$$
f_{*} \mathcal{H o m} \cdot\left(f^{*} E, F\right) \simeq R \mathcal{H o m}\left(E, f_{*} F\right)
$$

by 7.13 below. We claim that the composition of morphisms in $D(Y)$

$$
f_{*} \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(f^{*} E, F\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(E, f_{*} F\right) \rightarrow R \mathcal{H o m}\left(E, f_{*} F\right)
$$

provides such an isomorphism, where the second map is the canonical map while the first map is the isomorphism induced by the canonical isomorphisms in $C(A b)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma\left(V, f_{*} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(f^{*} E, F\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(\left(f^{*} E\right)\left|f^{-1}(V), F\right| f^{-1}(V)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(f^{*}(E \mid V), F \mid f^{-1}(V)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(E \mid V, f_{*}\left(F \mid f^{-1}(V)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(E\left|V,\left(f_{*} F\right)\right| V\right)=\Gamma\left(V, \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(E, f_{*} F\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $V \subset Y$ open. Take a quasi-isomorphism $f_{*} F \rightarrow G$ with $G \in C^{+}(Y)$ and $G^{i}$ injective for all $i$. The claim is then equivalent to that the composition of morphisms in $C(Y)$

$$
f_{*} \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(f^{*} E, F\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(E, f_{*} F\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}(E, G)
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. For this, it suffices to show for every open $V \subset Y$, the induced map of complexes of sections on $Y$

$$
\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(f^{*}(E \mid V), F \mid f^{-1}(V)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E|V, G| V)
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, that is, for all $n$,

$$
H^{n} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(f^{*}(E \mid V), F \mid f^{-1}(V)\right) \rightarrow H^{n} \operatorname{Hom} \bullet(E|V, G| V)
$$

is an isomorphism. Since $F \mid f^{-1}(V)$ and $G \mid V$ are injective modules, the last map corresponds to the canonical map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{D(X)}\left(f^{*}(E \mid V), F \mid f^{-1}(V)[n]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{D(Y)}\left(E \mid V, f_{*}\left(F \mid f^{-1}(V)\right)[n]\right)
$$

by 7.4 and 7.5 , which is an isomorphism by (6.21.3).

Lemma 7.13. Let $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ be flat, $F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ be injective. Then $\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(E, F)$ is injective.
Proof. For all $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, we have, by the definition of $M \otimes E$, isomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(M \otimes E, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathcal{H o m}(E, F)),
$$

which are functorial. Hence $\operatorname{Hom}(\bullet, \operatorname{Hom}(E, F)) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\bullet \otimes E, F)$ is an exact functor, and the result follows.

## 8 Čech Cohomology

8.1. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of a topological space $X$, i.e. $U_{i} \subset X$ open for all $i \in I$ and $X=\cup_{i \in I} U_{i}$, and let $F$ be a presheaf of abelian groups on $X$. Define the Čech complex $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ as follows. Let

$$
\check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F)=\prod_{\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right) \in I^{n+1}} F\left(U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}\right), U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}=\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} U_{i_{j}} .
$$

An element $a \in \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ is called an $n$-cochain of $\mathcal{U}$ with values in $F$, and is written as

$$
\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right) \mapsto a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right) \in F\left(U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}\right)
$$

(or $\left(a_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}\right)$ ). Define the differential $d: \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow \check{C}^{n+1}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d a)\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}(-1)^{j} a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, \widehat{i_{j}}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right) \mid U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n+1}} \tag{8.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $d \circ d=0$ and we get a cochain complex of abelian groups

$$
\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)=\left(\check{C}^{0}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow \check{C}^{1}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow \cdots\right) .
$$

 $H^{i} \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)$.
8.2. Sheafification For $V \subset X$ open, $\mathcal{U} \cap V=\left(U_{i} \cap V\right)_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of $V$. Then $V \mapsto \check{C}(\mathcal{U} \cap V, F \mid V)$ defines a complex of presheaves, and the associated complex of sheaves is denoted by $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)$. (If $F$ is a sheaf, then $V \mapsto \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U} \cap V, F)$ defines a sheaf itself, and thus $\check{\mathcal{C}}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F)(V)=C^{n}(\mathcal{U} \cap V, F)$, for all $n$.) The natural morphism $\varepsilon: F(V) \rightarrow \check{C}(\mathcal{U} \cap V, F)$ of complexes of abelian groups induces a morphism $\varepsilon: F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ of complexes of presheaves.

Theorem 8.3. Let $F$ be a sheaf on $X$. Then $\varepsilon: F \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ is a quasiisomorphism.
Proof. It is enough to check that $F_{x} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)_{x}$ is a quasi-isomorphism for all $x \in X$, and, in turn, enough to check that for all $x \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood $V$ of $x$ such that $F(V) \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)(V)$ is a quasiisomorphism. To show the latter, we take $V \subset U_{i}$ for some $i \in I$, and apply the following lemma with $X, \mathcal{U}, F$ replaced respectively by $V, \mathcal{U} \cap V, F \mid V$.

Lemma 8.4. Suppose there exists $i \in I$ such that $U_{i}=X$. Then the map $\varepsilon: F(X) \rightarrow \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ is a homotopy equivalence.
Proof. The morphism of complexes $\alpha: \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow F(X)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{0}: \check{C}^{0}(\mathcal{U}, F) & \rightarrow F(X) \\
a & \mapsto a(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfies $\alpha \varepsilon=\operatorname{Id}_{F(X)}$. To conclude the proof, we use the "canonical homotopy operator" $k$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
k^{n}: \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F) & \rightarrow \check{C}^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}, F) \\
a & \mapsto k^{n} a,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left(k^{n} a\right)\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n-1}\right)=a\left(i, i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n-1}\right)
$$

(this is well defined since $U_{i i_{0} \cdots i_{n-1}}=U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n-1}}$ ). It remains to check

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Id}_{\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)}-\varepsilon \alpha=k d+d k . \tag{8.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In degree 0 , (8.4.1) holds since

$$
\left(k^{1} d^{0} a\right)\left(i_{0}\right)=\left(d^{0} a\right)\left(i, i_{0}\right)=a\left(i_{0}\right)-a(i) \mid U_{i_{0}}=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\tilde{C}^{0}(\mathcal{U}, F)} a\right)\left(i_{0}\right)-\left(\varepsilon^{0} \alpha^{0} a\right)\left(i_{0}\right)
$$

for all $a \in \check{C}^{0}(\mathcal{U}, F)$. In degree $n>0$, (8.4.1) holds since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(k^{n+1} d^{n} a\right)\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right)=\left(d^{n} a\right)\left(i, i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j+1} a\left(i, i_{0}, \cdots, \widehat{i_{j}}, \cdots, i_{n}\right) \mid U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}} \\
& \quad=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F)} a\right)\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right)-\left(d^{n-1} k^{n} a\right)\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a \in \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F)$.

Definition 8.5. An $n$-cochain $a \in \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ is called alternate if
(i) $a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right)=0$ if there exists $j<k$ such that $i_{j}=i_{k}$;
(ii) $a\left(i_{\sigma(0)}, \cdots, i_{\sigma(n)}\right)=\varepsilon(\sigma) a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right)$, for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\{0, \cdots, n\})=$ $S_{n+1}(\varepsilon(\sigma)$ denotes the signature of $\sigma)$ if all $i_{j}$ are distinct.
Let $\check{C}^{\text {alt, } n}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ be the subgroup of alternate $n$-cochains in $\check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F)$.
Lemma 8.6. We have

$$
d \check{C}^{\text {alt }, n}(\mathcal{U}, F) \subset \check{C}^{\text {alt }, n+1}(\mathcal{U}, F)
$$

Proof. Take $a \in \check{C} \check{C}^{\text {alt }, n}(\mathcal{U}, F)$. Then, trivially, $d a$ satisfies (i) of 8.5. To show $d a$ satisfies (ii) of 8.5 , it suffices to do so in the case when $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\{0, \cdots, n+$ $1\})$ is of the form $\sigma=(j, j+1)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad(d a)\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{j+1}, i_{j}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}(-1)^{k} a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, \widehat{i_{k}}, \cdots, i_{j+1}, i_{j}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{j} a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, \widehat{i_{j+1}}, i_{j}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right)+(-1)^{j+1} a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{j+1}, \widehat{i_{j}}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=j+2}^{n+1}(-1)^{k} a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{j+1}, i_{j}, \cdots, \widehat{i_{k}}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}(-1)^{k+1} a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, \widehat{i_{k}}, \cdots, i_{j}, i_{j+1}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{j} a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{j}, \widehat{i_{j+1}}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right)+(-1)^{j+1} a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, \widehat{i_{j}}, i_{j+1}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=j+2}^{n+1}(-1)^{k+1} a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{j}, i_{j+1}, \cdots, \widehat{i_{k}}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right) \\
& =- \\
& \quad(d a)\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{j}, i_{j+1}, \cdots, i_{n+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
Thus we get a complex $\check{C}$ alt $(\mathcal{U}, F) \subset \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)$, which is called the alternate Cech complex. We have a commutative diagram of canonical homomorphisms:


If $F$ is a sheaf, we sheafify it as in 8.2 and get a commutative diagram:


Theorem 8.7. The morphism $\varepsilon: F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text {alt }}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.
Proof. Use the same homotopy operator as in the proof of 8.3.
Remark 8.8. (1). The alternate complex is more economical. Suppose $<$ is a total order on $I$. Then the restriction maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{C}^{\text {alt }, n}(\mathcal{U}, F) & \rightarrow \prod_{\left\{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{n}\right\}} F\left(U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}\right) \\
a & \mapsto\left(a\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

defines an isomorphism of $\check{C}$ alt, $n(\mathcal{U}, F)$ to the complex $\check{C}(\mathcal{U},<; F)$ defined by $\check{C}(\mathcal{U},<; F)^{n}=\prod_{\left\{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{n}\right\}} F\left(U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}\right)$, with differential given by (8.1.1). Using this identification, we have, for example:
(i) For $\mathcal{U}=\{X\}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\check{C}^{\text {alt }}(\mathcal{U}, F)=\left(\check{C}^{\text {alt }, 0}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow 0\right) . \\
\| \\
F(X)
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) For $\mathcal{U}=\{U, V\}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\check{C}^{\text {alt }}(\mathcal{U}, F)=\left(\check{C}_{\text {alt }, 0}(\mathcal{U}, F) \longrightarrow \check{C}^{\text {alt, } 1}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow 0\right) . \\
\|(U) \oplus F(V) \quad F(U \cap V)
\end{gathered}
$$

(iii) For $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{0}, \cdots, U_{n}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \check{C}^{\text {alt }}(\mathcal{U}, F)= \\
& \quad\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} F\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i<j} F\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F\left(U_{0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2). The morphisms $\varepsilon$ in (8.6.1) are quasi-isomorphisms, so the vertical map of the triangle is a quasi-isomorphism, too. In fact, one can show that $\check{C}^{\text {alt }}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. (Exercise, see Godement's book [G] or Serre's paper [S].)
8.9. Suppose $X$ has a ringed space structure and $F$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules. Then $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ and $\check{\mathcal{C}}^{\text {alt }}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ are $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules in a natural way.

In what follows we shall work only with $\check{\mathcal{C}}^{\text {alt }}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ (and often drop the superscript "alt").

Corollary 8.10. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space and let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open covering of $X$. For a sheaf $F$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules, there is a natural morphism $\gamma: \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow R \Gamma(X, F)$ in $D(A b)$ defined by the commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)=\Gamma(X, \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)) & \longrightarrow R \Gamma(X, \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)) \\
& \simeq \wedge R \Gamma(X, s) \\
& R \Gamma(X, F)
\end{aligned}
$$

This induces homomorphisms $\check{H}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow H^{n}(X, F)$ for all $n$.
8.11. Let $F \in C^{+}(X)$. We define a bicomplex $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)^{\bullet \bullet}$ of abelian groups as follows: let $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)^{p q}=\check{C}^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{p}\right)$ and let $d^{\prime p q}: \check{C}^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{p}\right) \rightarrow \check{C}^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{p+1}\right)$ be induced by $F^{p} \rightarrow F^{p+1}$,

$$
d^{\prime \prime p q}=(-1)^{p} d_{\check{C}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{p}\right)}^{q}: \check{C}^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{p}\right) \rightarrow \check{C}^{q+1}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{p}\right)
$$

Let $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)^{\bullet}=\mathbf{s}\left(\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)^{\bullet \bullet}\right) \in C(A b)$. We can do the same for $\check{\mathcal{C}}$ and get $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)^{\bullet} \in C(X)$. The canonical morphism $\varepsilon: F \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)^{\bullet}$ is still a quasi-isomorphism.

Theorem 8.12 (Leray). Let $X, \mathcal{U}, F$ be as in $8.10, \mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. Suppose that for every nonempty finite subset $J$ of I and every $q>0, H^{q}\left(U_{J}, F\right)=0$, where $U_{J}$ is the intersection of the $U_{j}$ 's for $j \in J$. Then the map $\gamma$ in 8.10 is an isomorphism.

The proof is easy using 8.11, see Ex. 26.

## Exercises

1. Show that in an additive category $\mathcal{A}$, for any two objects $A, B$ of $\mathcal{A}$, there is a natural isomorphism $A \oplus B \xrightarrow{\sim} A \times B$.
2. Let $A$ be a commutative ring and $\mathcal{A}$ be the category of pairs ( $E, E^{\prime}$ ) of an $A$-module $E$ and a submodule $E^{\prime}$. Show that $\mathcal{A}$ is additive, but not abelian (hint : give an example of a morphism which is both an epimorphism and a monomorphism, but is not an isomorphism).
3. Show that in an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ amalgamated sums (push-outs) and fibered products (pull-backs) exist. Show that the push-out of a monomorphism is a monomorphism, and the pull-back of an epimorphism is an epimorphism. Show that if $\left(u^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a map of short exact sequences, then the first square is cocartesian (resp. the last square is cartesian) if and only if $u^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $u^{\prime}$ ) is an isomorphism.
4. Let $0 \rightarrow L^{\prime} \rightarrow L \rightarrow L^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of complexes of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ and let $M$ be the cone of $u$. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow L^{\prime \prime}$ be the natural map, and $\delta: H^{i} L^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow H^{i+1} L^{\prime}$ be the coboundary map. Check that:

$$
\delta H^{i}(\varphi)=-H^{i}\left(p r_{1}\right),
$$

where $p r_{1}: M \rightarrow L[1]$ is the first projection.
5. Let $\left(L, d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ be a naïve bicomplex $\left(d_{1} d_{2}=d_{2} d_{1}\right)$ of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. Let $L_{1}$ be the bicomplex with $d^{\prime}=d_{1}$ and $d^{\prime \prime}=(-1)^{i} d_{2}$ on $L^{i,}$. and let $L_{2}$ be the bicomplex with $d^{\prime \prime}=d_{2}$ and $d^{\prime}=(-1)^{i} d_{1}$ on $L^{, i}$. Define a canonical isomorphism between $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. (Hint : see Cartan-Eilenberg, and SGA 4 XVII for generalizations).
6. Let $u: K \rightarrow L$ be a map of bicomplexes of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. Assume $K$ and $L$ are biregular. Let ${ }^{\prime} H^{n}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} H^{n}\right)$ denote the $n$-th column (resp. row) of cohomology. Show that if ${ }^{\prime} H^{n}(u)$ (resp. " $H^{n}(u)$ ) is a quasi-isomorphism for all $n$, then $\mathbf{s} u: \mathbf{s} K \rightarrow \mathbf{s} L$ is a quasi-isomorphism. (Hint : first reduce to the case where $K$ and $L$ are concentrated in bounded vertical (resp. horizontal) strips, then make a dévissage using the canonical truncations.) Show by an example that the conclusion becomes false if one drops the assumption of biregularity.
7. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an additive full subcategory of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ such that all short exact sequences of $\mathcal{P}$ split (e. g. $A$ the category of modules over a commutative ring $A$ and $\mathcal{P}$ the category of projective modules over $A)$. Let $u: K \rightarrow L$ be a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of $\mathcal{A}$ such that the
components of $K$ and $L$ belong to $\mathcal{P}$. Show that if $K$ and $L$ are bounded above (resp. bounded below), then $u$ is a homotopy equivalence.
8. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. Let $f: K \rightarrow L$ be a map of $K(\mathcal{A})$. Show that there exists a factorization $f=g f^{\prime}$ in $C(\mathcal{A})$ with $g$ an isomorphism in $K(\mathcal{A})$ and $f^{\prime}$ injective and split in each degree. Show that there exists a factorization $f=f^{\prime \prime} g$ in $C(\mathcal{A})$ with $g$ an isomorphism in $K(\mathcal{A})$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ surjective and split in each degree.
9. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. Show that $K(\mathcal{A})$ is deduced from $C(\mathcal{A})$ by inverting homotopy equivalences (hint: use the cyclinder object $K=C y l(L)$ defined by $K^{i}=L^{i} \oplus L^{i} \oplus L^{i+1}$ with differential $d_{K}$ given by the matrix $d_{K}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}d & 0 & I d \\ 0 & d & I d \\ 0 & 0 & -d\end{array}\right)$ and the homotopy equivalence $s: K \rightarrow L$ given by $s(x, y, z)=-y+x)$.
10. Check axiom (TR3) (rotation) in $K(\mathcal{A})$ ( $\mathcal{A}$ an additive category).

Let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a semi-split exact sequence of $C(\mathcal{A})$, which means that for each $i, M^{i}=L^{i} \oplus N^{i}$ and the sequence is given by the natural injection and projection. Let $d_{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}d_{L} & h \\ 0 & d_{N}\end{array}\right)$ be the differential. Show that the triangle $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L[1]$, where the last map is given by $h$, is distinguished.
11. (a) Let

be a commutative square in $C(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{A}$ an additive category) and $w: Z=$ $C(f) \rightarrow Z^{\prime}=C\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ the map induced on the cones. Show that if $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are homotopy equivalences, then so is $w$.
(b) Show that if $f: L \rightarrow M$ is a morphism of $C(\mathcal{A})$, the cone of $f$ is homotopically trivial if and only if $f$ is a homotopy equivalence.
(Hint: use the structure of triangulated category of $K(\mathcal{A})$.)
12. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. Let $D^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ ) be the full subcategory of $D(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of complexes $K$ such that $H^{i}(K)=0$ for $i>$ $0($ resp. $i<0)$. Let $D^{\leq n}(\mathcal{A})=D^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{A})[-n]$ (resp. $D^{\geq n}(\mathcal{A})=D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})[-n]$. Show the following properties :
(1) $D^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{A}) \cap D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}$.
(2) For any $L \in D(\mathcal{A})$ there exists a distinguished triangle $L^{\prime} \rightarrow L \rightarrow$ $L^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow$ with $L^{\prime} \in D^{\leq-1}(\mathcal{A})$ and $L^{\prime \prime} \in D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$.
(3) Show that, for any $K \in D^{\leq-1}(\mathcal{A})$ and $L \in D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}), \operatorname{Hom}(K, L)=0$.
13. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. For $K, L$ in $\mathcal{A}$ define two natural isomorphisms from $\operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{A})}(K, L[1])$ to the usual group $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(K, L)$ and compare them. More generally, discuss the Yoneda description of $\operatorname{Hom}(K, L[n])$ in terms of classes of exact sequences $E=\left(0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E^{0} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E^{n-1} \rightarrow\right.$ $K \rightarrow 0$ ).
14. Let $D$ be a triangulated category. A cross in $D$ is a diagram

of $D$ ), where the triangles are commutative and the row and the column are distinguished triangles. Such a cross can be considered as an incomplete octahedron

(cf. $[\mathrm{BBD}, 1.1 .7 .1])$. Show that in general it is not possible to extend a cross (1) to an octahedron (2) (hint: consider cones on $a$ and $c$ ).
15. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. Let $D^{[0,1]}(\mathcal{A})$ be the full subcategory of $D(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of complexes $K$ such that $H^{i}(K)=0$ for $i \notin[0,1]$. Construct an equivalence of categories from $D^{[0,1]}(\mathcal{A})$ to the category $C$ of triples $(A, B, a)$ where $A, B$ are objects of $\mathcal{A}$ and $a \in \operatorname{Ext}^{2}(A, B)$.
16. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category, $[a, b]$ an interval of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $L$ a complex of $\mathcal{A}$. Define quasi-isomorphisms

$$
\tau_{\leq b} L / \tau_{\leq a-1} L \rightarrow \tau_{[a, b]} L \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tau_{\geq a} L \rightarrow \tau_{\geq b+1} L\right)
$$

17. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and $u: E \rightarrow F$ a morphism of $\mathcal{A}$. Give a necessary and sufficient condition for $u$ to have a kernel (resp. cokernel) in the category $K(\mathcal{A})$.
18. Consider a 9-diagram in $C(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{A}$ an abelian category), i. e. a short exact sequence of short exact sequences of complexes. Show that the horizontal and vertical boundary operators of the corresponding long exact sequences of cohomology anti-commute.
19. (Verdier) In a triangulated category, show that any commutative square can be completed into a 9-diagram ("distinguished triangle of distinguished triangles"), and that the corresponding degree 1 arrows anticommute. (hint : use the octahedron axiom, see $[B B D]$ ). Show that a 9diagram in $C(\mathcal{A})$ gives rise to a 9-diagram in $D(\mathcal{A})$ and recover the result of exercise 3 .
20. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be abelian categories, $\mathcal{A}$ having enough injectives, and let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor. We say that $F$ is of (right) finite cohomological dimension if there exists an integer $d$ such that $R^{q} F(E)=0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $q>d$ (the smallest such $d$ is then called the (right) cohomological dimension of $F$. Show that if $F$ is of finite cohomological dimension, then $R F: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ can be extended to a (triangulated) functor $R F: D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{B})$, which is the right derived functor of $F$ : $K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{B})$, and sends $D^{*}(\mathcal{A})$ to $D^{*}(\mathcal{B})$ for $*=-$ or $b$, cf. [RD, I 4.6, p. 42]. Examine generalizations and variants : (a) instead of assuming that $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives, assume the existence of an additive subcategory $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ right adapted to $F ;(\mathrm{b})$ left derived functors.

The rings of the ringed spaces considered below are assumed to be commutative.
21. Let $X$ be a ringed space. Let $E$ be a bounded above complex of $\mathcal{O}_{X^{-}}$ modules which are locally free of finite type, and let $F \in C^{+}(X)$. Show that the canonical map $\mathcal{H o m}(E, F) \rightarrow R \mathcal{H o m}(E, F)$ is an isomorphism. Let $\dot{E}:=$ $\mathcal{H o m}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. Deduce a canonical isomorphism $\check{E} \otimes^{L} F \xrightarrow{\sim} R \mathcal{H o m}(E, F)$.
22. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of ringed spaces. Construct the following canonical isomorphisms :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L f^{*}\left(E \otimes^{L} F\right) \simeq L f^{*} E \otimes^{L} L f^{*} F \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(E, F \in D^{-}(Y)\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \mathcal{H o m}\left(E \otimes^{L} F, G\right) \simeq R \mathcal{H o m}(E, R \mathcal{H} o m(F, G)) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(E, F \in D^{-}(Y), G \in D^{+}(Y)\right.$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R f_{*} R \mathcal{H o m}\left(L f^{*} E, F\right) \simeq R \mathcal{H o m}\left(E, R f_{*} F\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $E \in D^{-}(Y), F \in D^{+}(X)$ ). Deduce from (3) isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R H o m \\
&\left(L f^{*} E, F\right) \simeq R \operatorname{Hom}\left(E, R f_{*} F\right) \\
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(L f^{*} E, F\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(E, R f_{*} F\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

((2) is called the Cartan isomorphism, (3) the trivial duality isomorphism.)
23. Let $X$ be a ringed space. Let $[a, b]$ be an interval of $\mathbb{Z}$. A complex $E \in$ $D^{-}(X)$ is said to be of tor-amplitude in $[a, b]$ if $E$ is isomorphic, in $D(X)$, to a complex concentrated in degrees in $[a, b]$ and having flat components. Show that this condition is equivalent to the following : for every $F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, $\mathcal{H}^{q}\left(E \otimes^{L} F\right)=0$ for $q \notin[a, b]$.

We say that $E \in D^{-}(X)$ is of finite tor-amplitude (or finite tor-dimension) if there is an interval $[a, b]$ such that $E$ is of tor-amplitude in $[a, b]$. Show that if $E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow$ is a distinguished triangle of $D^{-}(X)$ and if two of its vertices are of finite tor-amplitude, so is the third one.
24. Let $X$ be a ringed space, and $L \in D^{+}(X)$. Denote by $L_{0}$ the underlying complex of abelian sheaves. Show that the natural map $R \Gamma\left(X, L_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ $R \Gamma(X, L)$ is an isomorphism in $D^{+}(A b)$.
25. (Verdier) Let $F$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module on a ringed space $X$. Show that the following conditions are equivalent :
(i) $F$ is flasque ;
(ii) for any space $U$ étale over $X$ (i. e. equipped with a continuous map to $X$ which is a local homeomorphism), any open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $U$ and any $q>0$, $\check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, F)=0$.
(Hints : for (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), show first that the restriction of $F$ to $U$ is flasque ; for (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), glue two copies of $X$ along the given open subset.)
26. Let $F$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module on a ringed spaced $X$ and let $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of $X$. We assume that for every nonempty finite subset $J$ of $I$ and every $q>0, H^{q}\left(U_{J}, F\right)=0$, where $U_{J}$ is the intersection of the $U_{j}$ 's for $j \in J$. Show that the canonical map

$$
\check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow H^{q}(X, F)
$$

is an isomorphism (Leray's theorem).
27. Let $X=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ be an affine scheme and let $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules. Show that if $E$ and $G$ are quasicoherent, so is $F$ (hint : define a canonical homomorphism $\Gamma(X, L) \rightarrow L$ for $L$ an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module, which is an isomorphism if and only if $L$ is quasi-coherent, and use Serre's theorem).
28. Let $d$ be a nonnegative integer. A space $X$ is said to be of cohomological dimension $\leq d$ if $H^{q}(X, F)=0$ for all abelian sheaves $F$ on $X$ and all $q>d$ (in other words, the functor $\Gamma(X,-)$ is of (right) cohomological dimension $\leq d$ on the category of abelian sheaves). Prove the following theorem of Grothendieck : Let $X$ be a noetherian space of finite dimension d. Then $X$ is of cohomological dimension $\leq d$. Proceed in the following steps :
(1) Let $X$ be a noetherian space, $\left(F_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in L}$ a filtering inductive system of abelian sheaves on $X$, and $F$ its inductive limit. Show that, for any open subset $U$ of $X$, the natural map

$$
\operatorname{colim} F_{\lambda}(U) \rightarrow F(U)
$$

is an isomorphism. Deduce that any filtering inductive limit of flasque sheaves is flasque, and that for any filtering inductive system $\left(F_{\lambda}\right)$ as above, and any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the natural map

$$
\operatorname{colim} H^{q}\left(X, F_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow H^{q}(X, F)
$$

is an isomorphism.
(2) Let $X$ be a noetherian space and $F$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}_{X}$ (whose sections over an open subset $U$ are the locally constant functions from $U$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Let $u: F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{X}$ be the inclusion. For $x \in X$, let $n(x)$ be the nonnegative integer such that $\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{x}\right)=n(x) \mathbb{Z}$. Let $U=\{x \in$ $X, n(x) \neq 0\}$, and for $n \geq 1, U_{n}=\{x \in X, 1 \leq n(x) \leq n\}$. Show that the $U_{n}$ 's form an increasing sequence of open subsets of $X$ and that there exists an $n$ such that $U=U_{n}$. Deduce that there exists a finite filtration of $F$ of the form

$$
0=L_{0} \subset \cdots \subset L_{n}=F,
$$

where, for $i>0, L_{i} / L_{i-1}$ is the extension by zero of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ on a locally closed subset of $X$.
(3) Let $i: Y \rightarrow X$ be a closed subset of a space $X$. Show that, for any abelian sheaf $F$ on $Y, H^{q}\left(X, i_{*} F\right) \simeq H^{q}(Y, F)$.
(4) Prove the theorem by induction on $d$.
(a) By induction on the number of irreductible components, show that to prove the theorem, one may assume that $X$ is irreducible. In particular, show that the theorem holds for $d=0$.
(b) If $a=\left(s_{i}\right)$ is a finite set of sections of $F$ (on open subsets $U_{i}$ of $X$ ), let $F_{a}$ be the subsheaf of $F$ generated by these sections, i. e. by definition, the image of the corresponding map $\oplus\left(j_{i}\right)!\mathbb{Z}_{U_{i}} \rightarrow F$ (where $j_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion). Show that $F$ is the inductive limit of the $F_{a}, a$ running through the (filtering ordered) set $A$ of such finite sets. Using (1), show that it is enough to prove the theorem for the $F_{a}$ 's, then for the $F_{a}$ 's where $a$ consists of a single element, and finally for the (abelian) subsheaves of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}_{X}$.
(c) Using (2), show that it is enough to prove the theorem for sheaves which are constant on some locally closed subset of $X$ and extended by zero. Conclude, using the irreducibility of $X$ and the induction hypothesis.
29. Prove the following converse theorem of Serre for affine schemes : Let $X$ be a quasi-compact and separated scheme. Assume that for every quasicoherent sheaf $F$ on $X$ and every $q>0, H^{q}(X, F)=0$. Then $X$ is affine. Proceed in the following steps.
(1) Show that $X$ is affine if and only if there exists a finite set $\left(f_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$, $f_{i} \in A=\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, such that $X_{f_{i}}$ is affine for all $i$ and $\sum_{j} f_{i} A=A$. (Hint : for the "if" part, show first that, for $f \in A, \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})_{f} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma\left(X_{f}, \mathcal{O}\right)$, and consider the canonical map $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$ given by the identity of $A$.)
(2) Let $X$ be a quasi-compact, Kolmogoroff space (i. e. such that for any two distinct points $x, y$, there exists an open subset containing one of the two points and not the other one). Show that any nonempty closed subset of $X$ contains a closed point.
(3) Using the vanishing assumption, prove that for every closed point $x$ of $X$ there exists $s \in A=\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$ such that $s(x)=1$.
(4) Using (2), deduce that there exists a finite number of global sections $f_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ such that $X_{f_{i}}$ is affine and the union of the $X_{f_{i}}$ 's is $X$. Using the vanishing assumption again, show that the $f_{i}$ 's generate $A$, and conclude.
30. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes, with $X$ noetherian of finite Krull dimension.
(1) Using Grothendieck's theorem, show that $f_{*}: \operatorname{Mod}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(Y)$ is of (right) finite cohomological dimension.
(2) Extend the natural map $E \otimes f_{*} F \rightarrow f_{*}\left(f^{*} E \otimes F\right)(E \in \operatorname{Mod}(Y)$,
$F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X))$ to a natural map

$$
\varphi: E \otimes^{L} R f_{*} F \rightarrow R f_{*}\left(L f^{*} E \otimes^{L} F\right)
$$

for $E \in D^{-}(Y), F \in D(X)$.
(3) Show that, if $\mathcal{H}^{i}(E)$ is quasi-coherent for all $i$ and $F$ is in $D^{-}(X)$, then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism (projection formula).

## Chapter 2

## Cohomology of Affine and Projective Morphisms

## 1 Serre's Theorem on Affine Schemes

Theorem 1.1 (Serre). Let $X$ be an affine scheme and let $F$ be a quasicoherent sheaf on $X$. Then $H^{q}(X, F)=0$, for all $q>0$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}, I=\{0, \cdots, N\}$, be a finite open covering of $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ by principal open sets $U_{i}=X_{f_{i}}, f_{i} \in A$. Then $\check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, F)=0$ for all $q>0, \check{H}^{0}(\mathcal{U}, F)=F(X)$.

Proof. Let $F=\widetilde{M}$ where $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(A)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)= & \\
& \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{N} F\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i<j} F\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F\left(U_{0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{N}\right) \rightarrow 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $F(X)=M, F\left(U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{q}}\right)=M_{f_{i_{0}} \cdots f_{i_{q}}}$ We want to show that the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N} M_{f_{i}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i<j} M_{f_{i} f_{j}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{f_{0} \cdots f_{N}} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact. This follows from the following sublemma and I.8.4.
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Sublemma 1.2.1. Let $A, f_{i}$ be the same as in the lemma, $L \in C(A)$. Then $L$ is acyclic if and only if for all $i \in I, L_{f_{i}}$ is acyclic.

Proof. For $g \in A, A_{g}$ is a flat $A$-module, thus $H^{q}(L)_{g}=H^{q}\left(L_{g}\right)$. In particular, $H^{q}\left(L_{f_{i}}\right)=H^{q}(L)_{f_{i}}$. The "only if" part then becomes obvious, and for the "if" part, we only need to note that for $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(A), E_{f_{i}}=0$ for all $i$ implies $E=0$ (because $E_{f_{i}}=\Gamma\left(X_{f_{i}}, \widetilde{E}\right)$ ).

The following lemma which can be seen as a variant of the classical Cartan's lemma ([G], II.5.9.2), was communicated to L. Illusie by A. Ogus.

Lemma 1.3. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space, $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis of open sets of $X$ such that for all $V \in \mathcal{B}, V$ is quasi-compact, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$, and if $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$, $U \cap V \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Mod}(X)$ consisting of all the modules $F$ such that for every $U \in \mathcal{B}$ and every finite open covering $\mathcal{U}$ of $U$ by elements of $\mathcal{B}, \check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, F)=0$ for all $q>0$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is right adapted to the functors $\Gamma(U,-)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}$ (I.5.10). In particular, if $F \in \mathcal{C}$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}$, then the map $\Gamma(U, F) \rightarrow R \Gamma(U, F)$ is an isomorphism, i.e., $H^{q}(U, F)=0$ for all $q>0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 using the lemmas. Let $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$,

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{X_{f}, f \in A\right\} .
$$

Since $X_{f g}=X_{f} \cap X_{g}, \mathcal{B}$ satisfies the conditions of 1.3. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{0}, \cdots, U_{N}\right\}$ be a finite open covering of $U$ with $U_{i} \in \mathcal{B}, i=1, \cdots, N$. Then $U=X_{f}$ for some $f \in A$, and, for all $i$, we can take $g_{i} \in A$ such that $U_{i}=X_{f g_{i}}$. We then have $\tilde{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, F \mid U)=0$ for all $q>0$. (Replace $X$ by $X_{f}$ and apply Lemma 1.2) Thus we can apply the conclusion of 1.3. Take $U=X_{1}$, we get $H^{q}(X, F)=0$, for all $q>0$.

Proof of Lemma 1.3. Obviously, $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive subcategory, so we only need to check the following conditions:
(i) For all $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, there exists a monomorphism $E \rightarrow F$ with $F \in \mathcal{C}$.
(ii) If $F^{\prime}, F \in \mathcal{C}, F^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ and

$$
0 \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow F \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact, then $F^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{C}$.
(iii) If the above sequence is exact with $F^{\prime}, F, F^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$
0 \rightarrow \Gamma\left(U, F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, F) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(U, F^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact for all $U \in \mathcal{B}$.
For (i), it suffices to show that $F$ is flasque implies $F \in \mathcal{C}$, that is, for every $U \in \mathcal{B}$ and every finite open covering $\mathcal{U}$ of $U$ by elements of $\mathcal{B}$, $\check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, F)=0$ for all $q>0$. We may assume $X \in \mathcal{B}, U=X$. It then suffices to note that the quasi-isomorphism $F \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ induces a quasiisomorphism $\Gamma(X, F) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F))=\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F)$, since both $F$ and

$$
\check{\mathcal{C}}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F)=\left(V \mapsto \prod_{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{n}} F\left(V \cap U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}\right)\right)=\prod j_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n} *} j_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}{ }^{*} F
$$

are flasque.
For (ii) and (iii), we need the following sublemma.
Sublemma 1.3.1. Suppose

$$
0 \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow F \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact with $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}$. Then for all $U \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$
0 \rightarrow \Gamma\left(U, F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, F) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(U, F^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact.
Proof. We may assume $X \in \mathcal{B}, U=X$. We only need to show that $\Gamma(X, F) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an epimorphism. Take $s \in \Gamma\left(X, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$. There exists a finite open covering $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ with $U_{i} \in \mathcal{B}$, and $s_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, F\right)$ such that $s_{i} \mapsto s \mid U_{i}$. Let $t_{i j}=s_{j}\left|U_{i j}-s_{i}\right| U_{i j}$, then $t_{i j} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i j}, F^{\prime}\right)$. Since $t_{j k}-t_{i k}+t_{i j}=0,\left(t_{i j}\right) \in \check{Z}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{\prime}\right)$. By assumption, $\check{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{\prime}\right)=0$, and so $t_{i j} \in \check{B}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{\prime}\right)$. Hence there exists $\left(t_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{\prime}\right)$ with $t_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, F^{\prime}\right)$ such that $t_{i j}=t_{j}\left|U_{i j}-t_{i}\right| U_{i j}$. Now put $\sigma_{i}=s_{i}-t_{i}$. Then the $\sigma_{i}$ 's glue and give $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, F)$ satisfying $\sigma \mapsto s$.

We continue the proof of 1.3.
Proof of (ii). Take $U$ as in the definition of $\mathcal{C}$. Consider the following commutative diagram of complexes


The first row is exact by the sublemma. For all $n$,

$$
0 \rightarrow \check{C}^{n}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow \check{C}^{n}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is nothing but

$$
0 \rightarrow \prod F^{\prime}\left(U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \prod F\left(U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \prod F^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

which is exact by the sublemma since $U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{n}} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus the second row of the above diagram is also exact. Finally, note that for $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(U), M(U) \rightarrow \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, M)$ is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if for all $q>0, \check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, M)=0$. Now $F^{\prime}, F \in \mathcal{C}$, the first two columns of the diagram are quasi-isomorphisms, and hence so is the third column. Therefore, $\check{H}^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$, for all $q>0$.
(iii) has already been proved by the sublemma.

Corollary 1.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an affine morphism of schemes, $F \in$ $Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$. Then for all $q>0$,
(1)

$$
R^{q} f_{*} F=0
$$

(2) We have a canonical isomorphism

$$
H^{q}(X, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{q}\left(Y, f_{*} F\right) .
$$

Proof. (1) The sheaf $R^{q} f_{*} F$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$
V \mapsto H^{q}\left(f^{-1}(V), F\right)
$$

on $Y$. For $V \subset Y$ affine, $f^{-1}(V)$ affine, and thus by Theorem 1.1,

$$
H^{q}\left(f^{-1} V, F\right)=0
$$

for all $q>0$.
(2) By (1), the canonical morphism $f_{*} F \rightarrow R f_{*} F$ is an isomorphism in $D(Y)$. Applying $R \Gamma(Y,-)$ and using I.6.5, we get canonical isomorphisms

$$
R \Gamma\left(Y, f_{*} F\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} R \Gamma\left(Y, R f_{*} F\right) \simeq R \Gamma(X, F) .
$$

Passing to cohomology, we get the desired result.

Corollary 1.5. Let $X$ be a separated scheme, $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)$ be a finite open covering by affine schemes, $F \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$. Then the canonical homomorphism $\check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, F) \rightarrow H^{q}(X, F)$ is an isomorphism.

Recall that a morphism of schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called separated if the diagonal map $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times_{Y} X$ is a closed immersion. A scheme $X$ is called separated if the canonical morphism $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ is separated. The intersection of two affine open subschemes of a separated scheme is still affine.

Proof. The conclusion is immediate from Leray's theorem (I.8.12) and 1.1.

Corollary 1.6. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a separated, quasi-compact morphism of schemes, $F \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$. Then $R^{q} f_{*} F \in Q \operatorname{coh}(Y)$, for all $q>0$.

Proof. We may assume $Y$ affine, then we can find a finite affine open covering $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{N}$ of $X$, since $f$ is quasi-compact. We have

$$
R^{q} f_{*} F=a\left(V \mapsto H^{q}\left(f^{-1}(V), F\right)\right) .
$$

For any affine open $V \subset Y, f^{-1}(V)$ is separated, since $f$ is seperated. By Corollary 1.5, we have $H^{q}\left(f^{-1}(V), F\right)=\check{H}^{q}\left(f^{-1}(V) \cap \mathcal{U}, F\right)$ because $f^{-1}(V) \cap$ $U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{N}}$ is affine for $N \geq 1$. It is then clear that $R^{q} f_{*} F=H^{q}\left(f_{*} \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)\right)$. By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)(W)= \\
& \quad\left(\oplus F\left(W \cap U_{i}\right) \rightarrow \oplus F\left(W \cap U_{i j}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F\left(W \cap U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{N}}\right) \rightarrow 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $W \subset X$ open. Hence $f_{*} \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, F)$ is a complex of quasi-coherent sheaves on $Y$. Therefore, $R^{q} f_{*} F$ is quasi-coherent.

## 2 Koszul complex and regular sequences

Let $A$ be a commutative ring and $E$ be an $A$-module. Then, for any $A$ morphism $u: E \rightarrow A$, we can define

$$
K .(u) \in C^{\leq 0}(A)
$$

as follows( here $C(A)$ denotes the category of complexes of $A$-modules):

$$
K_{n}(u)=K .(u)^{-n}=\wedge^{n} E, n \geq 0 ;
$$
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$$
\begin{gathered}
d: K_{n}(u) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(u), d=\text { the right interior product by } u \\
d\left(x_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} u\left(x_{i}\right) x_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x}_{i} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is easy to see $d^{2}=0, d=u: \wedge^{1} E=E \rightarrow A$ and $d(a \wedge b)=d a \wedge b+$ $(-1)^{p} a \wedge d b$, for $a \in \wedge^{p} E, b \in \wedge^{q} E$.

Let $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}, u=u_{1}+u_{2}: E \rightarrow A ; u_{i}: E_{i} \rightarrow A$, then $K .(u, E)=$ $K .\left(u_{1}\right) \otimes K .\left(u_{2}\right), \wedge^{n} E=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} \wedge^{p} E_{1} \otimes \wedge^{q} E_{2}, d=d_{1} \otimes 1 \oplus(-1)^{*} 1 \otimes d_{2}$. In particular, when $E=A^{r}, \operatorname{Hom}\left(A^{r}, A\right)=A^{r}$, for any $f=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) \in$ $A^{r}, K .(f)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{r} K .\left(f_{i}\right)$. For example, for $g \in A, K .(g)=(0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0)$. Let $\left(e_{i}\right)$ be the canonical basis of $A^{r}$, then $d\left(e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j} f_{i_{j}} e_{i_{1}} \wedge$ $\cdots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_{j}}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n}}$. Let $u: E \rightarrow A$ and $M$ be an $A$-module, define $K .(u, M)=$ $K .(u) \otimes_{A} M, d(x \otimes m)=d x \otimes m$. Let $I=u(E)$, then $H^{0} K .(u, M)=M / I M$.

Definition 2.1. Let $M$ be an $A$-module and $f=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) \in A^{r}, f$ is called $M$-regular if for all $i>0$

$$
f_{i}: M /\left(\sum_{j<i} f_{j} M\right) \rightarrow M /\left(\sum_{j<i} f_{j} M\right)
$$

is injective. When $M=A$, we just say $f$ is regular.
Example 2.1.1. Let $k$ be a commutative ring and $A=k\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}\right]$ be a polynomial ring, then for any $r \leq m,\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{r}\right)$ is regular, since $A /\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{i-1}\right)$ $=k\left[t_{i}, \cdots, t_{m}\right]$.

Theorem 2.2 (Serre). Let $M$ be an $A$-module and $f=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) \in A^{r}$. Consider the conditions:
(1). $K .(f, M) \rightarrow M /\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) M$ is a quasi-isomorphism (i.e. $H^{q} K .(f, M)=$ $0, q<0$ );
(2). $f$ is $M$-regular.

Then we have $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. And if $A$ is noetherian, $M$ is of finite type and $f_{i} \in \operatorname{rad}(A)$ (means the Jacobson radical), for all $i$, then (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and $(1) \Leftrightarrow(2) \Leftrightarrow(3)$, where (3) is $H^{-1} K .(f, M)=0$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $L \in C(A)$ and $x \in A, K .(x)=\left(0 \rightarrow K .(x)^{-1}(=A) \xrightarrow{x} K .(x)^{0}(=A)\right.$ $0)$. Then $K .(x) \otimes L \simeq C(L \xrightarrow{x} L)=\operatorname{Cone}(x)$.

Proof. $C^{i}=L^{i+1} \oplus L^{i} \simeq K .(x)^{-1} \otimes L^{i+1} \oplus K .(x)^{0} \otimes L^{i}$ and $a$ maps to $1 \otimes a, b$ maps to $1 \otimes b$, for $a \in L^{i+1}$ and $b \in L^{i}$. Then $d_{K .(x) \otimes L}(1 \otimes a)=x \otimes a-1 \otimes d_{L} a$ and $d_{K .(x) \otimes L}(1 \otimes b)=1 \otimes d_{L} b$, hence $d_{K .(x) \otimes L}(1 \otimes a \oplus 1 \otimes b)=d_{C^{i}}(a \oplus b)$. So, $K .(x) \otimes L \simeq C(L \xrightarrow{x} L)$.

Then we have a distinguished triangle $L \rightarrow L \rightarrow K .(x) \otimes L \rightarrow L[1]$, so we can get a long exact sequence:

$$
\cdots H^{q}(L) \xrightarrow{x} H^{q}(L) \rightarrow H^{q}(K .(x) \otimes L) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(L) \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

From it we get the following short exact sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}\left(x: H^{q}(L) \rightarrow H^{q}(L)\right) \rightarrow H^{q}(K .(x) \otimes L) \\
& \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(x: H^{q+1}(L) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(L)\right) \rightarrow 0 ;(*)
\end{aligned}
$$

and this can be rewritten:

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{0} K .\left(x, H^{q}(L)\right) \rightarrow H^{q}(K .(x) \otimes L) \rightarrow H^{-1} K .\left(x, H^{q+1}(L)\right) \rightarrow 0 .(*)
$$

## Proof of 1.2.:

The implication of $(1) \Longrightarrow(3)$ is trivial. We prove $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ by induction on $r$.

For $r=1, K \cdot(f, M)=(0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow 0)$ and the statement is trivial.

Assume now $r \geq 2$ and the statement proven for $m \leq r-1$. Let

$$
L=K \cdot\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r-1}, M\right)=K \cdot\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r-1}\right) \otimes M
$$

then $K .\left(f_{r}\right) \otimes L \simeq K .\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}, M\right)$. Hence we have the exact sequence:
$0 \rightarrow H^{0} K .\left(f_{r}, H^{q}(L)\right) \rightarrow H^{q} K .\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}, M\right) \rightarrow H^{-1} K .\left(f_{r}, H^{q+1}(L)\right) \rightarrow 0$.
We want to show $H^{q} K .\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}, M\right)=0$, for all $q<0$. When $q \leq$ -2 , it follows from the above exact sequence and the inductive assumption. When $q=-1$, it is also true, since $\operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{r}: M /\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r-1}\right) M \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.M /\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r-1}\right) M\right)=0$ for $f$ is $M$-regular.

We also prove $(3) \Longrightarrow(2)$ by induction on $r$.
The case $r=1$ is trivial. When $r \geq 2$, again let $L=K .\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r-1}, M\right)$. First, we show $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r-1}\right)$ is $M$-regular. By $(*)$, We have an inclusion:

$$
H^{q}(L) / f_{r} H^{q}(L) \longleftrightarrow H^{q} K \cdot\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}, M\right)
$$

When $q=-1$, $H^{q} K .\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}, M\right)=0$, hence $H^{-1}(L)=f_{r} H^{-1}(L)$. Since $A$ is noetherian and $M$ is of finite type, hence $H^{-1}(L)$ is finitely generated over $A$. So, because $f_{r} \in \operatorname{rad}(A), H^{-1}(L)=0$. By induction, $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r-1}\right)$ is $M$-regular. And by condition (3)

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{r}: M /\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) M \rightarrow M /\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) M\right)=0
$$

so $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right)$ is $M$-regular.
Example 2.3.1. Let $A=k\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{r}\right]$, then $t=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{r}\right)$ is regular. $H^{q} K .(t)=0$, for all $q<0$ and $H^{0} K .(t)=A /\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{r}\right) A=k$. This can also be seen by using $K .(t)=K .\left(k\left[t_{1}\right], t_{1}\right) \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} K .\left(k\left[t_{r}\right], t_{r}\right)$.
Remark. (1). If $A$ is nonnoetherian, let $M=A, f_{i} \in \operatorname{rad}(A),(i=1,2)$. Then, it may happen that $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is regular but $\left(f_{2}, f_{1}\right)$ not.(See EGA IV 16 9.6.(ii) for an example.
(2). Let $A$ be a noetherian ring, $f_{i} \notin \operatorname{rad}(A)(i=1,2)$. Then it may also happen that $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is regular but $\left(f_{2}, f_{1}\right)$ not. For example, assume $B, C$ be fields and $A=B \times C$. Let $0 \neq b \in B$ be and $f_{1}=(1, b), f_{2}=(1,0)$, then $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is $A$-regular but $\left(f_{2}, f_{1}\right)$ not.

For any $A$-morphism $E \xrightarrow{u} A$ one can form the associated Koszul complex $K .(u) \in C^{\leq 0}(A)$. Similarly, for any $A$-morphism $A \xrightarrow{v} F$, we can also define a complex $K \cdot(v) \in C^{\geq 0}(A)$ called the Koszul complex, too, as follows:

$$
K^{n}(v)=\wedge^{n} F ; d: K^{n}(v) \rightarrow K^{n+1}, d(x)=v \wedge x
$$

Here we identity the morphism $v$ with $v(1) \in F$. It is easy to check $d^{2}=0$ and $d$ is the exterior product by $v$. For $F=F_{1} \oplus F_{2}, v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), K \cdot(v)=$ $K \cdot\left(v_{1}\right) \otimes K \cdot\left(v_{2}\right)$. Let $f=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) \in A^{r}$, then we have two Koszul complex $K .(f)$ and $K \cdot(f)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
K .(f): 0 \rightarrow A & \rightarrow A^{r}\left(=\wedge^{r-1} A^{r}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left(\wedge^{1} A=\right) A^{r} \xrightarrow{f} A \\
& \longrightarrow 0, f\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right)=\sum_{i}^{r} a_{i} ; \\
K \cdot(f): 0 \rightarrow A & \xrightarrow{f} A^{r}\left(=\wedge^{1} A^{r}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left(\wedge^{r-1} A=\right) A^{r} \rightarrow A \\
& \longrightarrow 0, f(a)=\left(f_{1} a, \cdots, f_{r} a\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$K \cdot(f)$ can be viewed as the naive dual of $K .(f)$. In fact, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
K \cdot(f)[r] \simeq K .(f)
$$

defined as follows:
For any $I=\left\{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}\right\} \subset\{1, \cdots, r\}$, let $e_{I}=e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{p}}$, then $e_{I} \mapsto \varepsilon(J, I) e_{J}$, here $J=\left(j_{1}<\cdots<j_{r-p}\right)$ and $J \cup I=1, \cdots, n, \varepsilon(J, I)=$ $\operatorname{sign}\left(j_{1}, \cdots, j_{r-p}, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)$.
Corollary 2.4. Assume $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) \in A^{r}$ is regular and $B=A /\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) A$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{q}(B, A)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}0 & q \neq r \\ B & q=r\end{array}\right.$ and the class of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow$ $A \rightarrow A^{r} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A^{r} \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ given by $K .(f) \rightarrow B$ forms a basis of $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{r}(B, A)$.
Proof. Since $K .(f) \rightarrow B$ is a quasi-isomorphism,

$$
\operatorname{RHom}_{A}(B, A)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(K .(f), A)=K \cdot(f) \simeq K .(f)[-r],
$$

hence

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{q}(B, A)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
H^{q-r} K .(f)=0 & q \neq r \\
H^{0} K .(f)=B & q=r
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\operatorname{Ext}^{r}(B, A)$ has a natural $B$-module structure and we only need to prove that the class of $K .(f) \rightarrow B$ forms a basis of it. Since $H^{0}(K .(f))=B$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{r}(B, A)=\operatorname{Hom}_{D(A)}(B, A[r])=\operatorname{Hom}_{D(A)}(K .(f), A[r])
$$

Because the components of $K .(f)$ are projective, hence

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{D(A)}(K .(f), A[r])=\operatorname{Hom}_{K(A)}(K .(f), A[r])
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{K(A)}(K .(f), A[r])= & \operatorname{Coker}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(K .(f)^{-r+1}, A\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(K .(f)^{-r}, N\right)\right) \\
& =H^{r} \operatorname{Hom}(K .(f), A) \cdot(*)
\end{aligned}
$$

The self-duality of the Koszul complex identifies the cokernel in $(*)$ to the cokernel of $d: K .(f)^{-1} \rightarrow K .(f)^{0}$, the class of the identity map of $K .(f)^{-r}$ corresponding to the class of $1 \in K .(f)^{0}$ in the cokernel $B$. So, the class $K .(f) \rightarrow B$ forms a basis of it.

Now, we generalize the above discussion to ringed spaces. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space and $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, then for any morphism $u: E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}$ define the Koszul complex $K .(u)$ by

$$
\left(\cdots \longrightarrow \wedge^{n} E \xrightarrow{d} \wedge^{n-1} E \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow 0\right),
$$

where $d$ is the right interior product by $u$.

Definition 2.5. Assume $Y \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} X$ is the closed immersion defined by the ideal sheaf $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that $i$ is regular of codimension $r$ if for all $x \in Y$, there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $x, U \subset X$ and an $\mathcal{O}_{U}$-module $E$ locally free of rank $r$ and an $\mathcal{O}_{U}$-linear map $u: E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U}$, such that $K .(u)$ is acyclic in negative degrees and $\left.I\right|_{U}=u(E) \subset \mathcal{O}_{U}$. In other words, there exists locally a sequence $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{U}{ }^{r}$ such that $\left.I\right|_{U}=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right)$ and $K .(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U} / I \mathcal{O}_{U}$ is a resolution. If $X$ is locally noetherian, then it is also equivalent to saying that for every $x \in Y$, there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that $I$ is defined by a sequence $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}$ of sections of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ such that $\left(f_{1}\right)_{x}, \cdots,\left(f_{r}\right)_{x} \in \mathfrak{M}_{X, x}$ is a regular sequence.

For example, Let $A \rightarrow B=A / I$ and $Y=\operatorname{Spec} B{ }^{i}{ }^{i} X=\operatorname{Spec} A$, then if $I=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right)$ is regular, then $Y$ is regular of codimension $r$.

When $r=1$ and $Y \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} X$ is locally defined by $f=0$ where $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is non-zero divisor, then we say $Y$ is an effective Cartier divisor on $X$.

Corollary 2.6. Assume $Y \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} X$ is a regular immersion of codimension $r$, then $\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{q}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is 0 for $q \neq r$, and $\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{r}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is a line bundle on $Y$.

Proof. This follows directly from the calculation of

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)}^{q}\left(\Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right), \Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right)
$$

for $U=\operatorname{Spec}(A), U \cap Y=\operatorname{Spec} B, B=A /\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) A$ with $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right)$ regular and $\Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=\Gamma\left(U \cap Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$.

Remark. One can show that $N_{Y / X}=I / I^{2}$ (called the canormal sheaf of $i$ ) is an $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module locally free of rank $r$ (locally, $\overline{f_{1}}, \cdots, \overline{f_{r}} \in I / I^{2}$ form a basis) and there exists a canonical isomorphism (called fundamental local isomorphism):

$$
\mathrm{RH} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \simeq \omega_{Y / X}[-r], \omega_{Y / X}=\left(\wedge^{r} N_{Y / X}\right)^{\vee}
$$

where $(-)^{\vee}$ means $\mathcal{H o m}\left(-, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$.

## 3 Cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ with values in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r}}(n)$

Let $A$ be a commutative ring and $r \geq 0$ an integer. Set $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$, $P=\mathbb{P}_{S}^{r}=\operatorname{Proj} B$ where $B=A\left[t_{0}, \cdots, t_{r}\right]$. Then $P=\bigcup_{i=0}^{r} U_{i}, U_{i} \xrightarrow{\text { open }} P$, $U_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} A\left[\frac{t_{0}}{t_{i}}, \cdots, \frac{t_{r}}{t_{i-1}}, \frac{t_{r}}{t_{i+1}}, \cdots, \frac{t_{r}}{t_{i}}\right] \simeq \mathbb{A}_{S}^{r}$. $\mathcal{O}_{P}(1)$ denoted briefly by $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is an invertible sheaf (line bundle). We have canonical sections $e_{0}, \cdots, e_{r} \in$ $\Gamma(P, \mathcal{O}(1))$ defining an epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_{P}{ }^{r+1} \xrightarrow{\left(e_{i}\right)} \mathcal{O}(1)$, and $U_{i}=\{x \in$ $P \mid e_{i}$ gives a basis of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ at $\left.x\right\}$, we have $\left.\frac{e_{i}}{e_{j}}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}=\frac{t_{i}}{t_{j}} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$. For any $n \in(Z)$, define

$$
\mathcal{O}(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes n} & n>0 \\
0 & n=0 \\
\left(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\vee}\right)^{\otimes-n} & n<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

This line bundle $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is the quasi-coherent module associated to the graded $B$-module $B(n)$ (where the grading of $B(n)$ is defined by $B(n)_{m}=B_{m+n}$.) For any $f \in B_{d}$, set $B_{(f)}=\left(B_{f}\right)_{0}$, then $\Gamma\left(\operatorname{Spec} B_{(f)}, \mathcal{O}(n)\right)=\left(B_{f}\right)_{n}=\left\{\frac{a}{f^{m}}\right.$ : $\operatorname{deg} a-m d=n\}$.

As $\Gamma(P, F)$ is a module over $\Gamma\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=A$ for any $F \in \operatorname{Mod}(P)$, we have a functor $\Gamma(P,-): \operatorname{Mod}(P) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(A)$. Then we get the derived functor $\mathrm{R} \Gamma(P,-): D^{+}(P) \rightarrow D^{+}(A)$ and $H^{q}(P, F) \in \operatorname{Mod}(A)$.

Theorem 3.1. (1). The canonical homomorphism (of graded $A$-algebras): $B \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(P, \mathcal{O}(n))$ such that $t_{i} \mapsto e_{i} \in \Gamma(P, \mathcal{O}(1))$ is an isomorphism, here the structure of graded $A$-algebra of $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(P, \mathcal{O}(n))$ is defined by associating to $s \in \Gamma(P, \mathcal{O}(p)), t \in \Gamma(P, \mathcal{O}(q))$ the $s \otimes t$.
(2). $H^{q}(P, \mathcal{O}(n))=0$ for all $n$ when $0<q<r$ or $q>r$.
(3). When $n \leq-r-1, H^{r}(P, \mathcal{O}(n))=\bigoplus A \frac{t^{\alpha}}{t_{0} \cdots t_{r}}$, where $t^{\alpha}=t_{0}{ }^{\alpha_{0}} \cdots t_{r}{ }^{\alpha_{r}}$ with $\alpha_{i} \leq 0$ and $\sum \alpha_{i}-r-1=n$; otherwise $H^{r}(P, \mathcal{O}(n))=0$.

Corollary 3.2. $H^{0}(P, \mathcal{O}(n))$ and $H^{r}(P, \mathcal{O}(-n-r-1))$ are free over $A$ of $\operatorname{rank}\binom{n+r}{r}$. In particular, $H^{r}(P, \mathcal{O}(-r-1))=A, H^{0}(P, \mathcal{O}(1))=A^{r+1}=$ $B_{1} .\left(e_{i} \mapsto t_{i}\right)$

Proof. Define $H^{q}(P, \mathcal{O}(*))=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{q}(P, \mathcal{O}(n))$. By Serre's theorem, $H^{q}(P, \mathcal{O}(n)) \simeq$

92CHAPTER 2. COHOMOLOGY OF AFFINE AND PROJECTIVE MORPHISMS $\breve{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(n))$, where $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq r}$. Then $U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{p}}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} U_{i_{j}}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(B_{t_{i_{0}} \cdots t_{i_{p}}}\right)_{0}$,

$$
H^{q}(P, \mathcal{O}(*))=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{q}(P, \mathcal{O}(n))=H^{q} \breve{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(*))
$$

here $\breve{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(*))=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \breve{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(n))$ and

$$
\breve{C}^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(n))=\bigoplus_{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{p}} \Gamma\left(U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{p}},(O)(n)\right)=\bigoplus_{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{p}}\left(B_{t_{i_{0}} \cdots t_{i_{p}}}\right)_{n} .
$$

Hence $\breve{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(*))=\underset{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{p}}{\bigoplus} \underset{n \in \mathbb{Z}}{ }\left(B_{t_{i_{0}} \cdots t_{i_{p}}}\right)_{n}$.

$$
\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(*)): 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i} B_{t_{i}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i<j} B_{t_{i} t_{j}} \rightarrow \cdots B_{t_{0} \cdots t_{r}} \rightarrow 0
$$

We also have $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(*))=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \check{C}_{-n}$, where

$$
\check{C}_{-n}=\left(0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i} t_{i}^{-n} B \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i<j}\left(t_{i} t_{j}\right)^{-n} B \rightarrow \cdots\left(t_{0} \cdots t_{r}\right)^{-n} B \rightarrow 0\right)
$$

and $\check{C}_{-n} \subset \check{C}_{-(n+1)} \subset \cdots$. Let

$$
L_{-n}=\left(B \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus t_{i}{ }^{-n} B \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d}\left(t_{0} \cdots t_{r}\right)^{-n} B\right),
$$

then we have $L_{-n} \simeq K_{-n}=K \cdot\left(t_{0}{ }^{n}, \cdots, t_{r}{ }^{n}\right)$ by the isomorphism

$$
\varphi_{n}: L_{-n}^{p+1}=\bigoplus\left(t_{i_{0}} \cdots t_{i_{p}}\right)^{-n} B \longrightarrow \wedge^{p+1} B^{r+1}
$$

sending the summand $\left(t_{i_{0}} \cdots t_{i_{p}}\right)^{-n} B$ to $B e_{i_{0}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{p}}$ by $b \mapsto\left(t_{i_{0}} \cdots t_{i_{p}}\right)^{n} b$. Moreover, $\check{C}^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(*)) \xrightarrow{d} \check{C}^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}(*))$ makes the following diagram commutative:

where $d$ sends $\frac{a_{i_{0} \cdots i_{p}}}{\left(t_{i_{0}} \cdots t_{i_{p}}\right)^{-n}}$ to $\sum_{j=0}^{p}(-1)^{j} \frac{a_{i_{0} \cdots \hat{i}_{j} \cdots i_{p}}}{\left(t_{i_{0}} \cdots \hat{i}_{j} \cdots t_{i_{p}}\right)^{-n}}$ which is send by $\varphi_{n}$ to $\sum_{j=0}^{p}(-1)^{j} t_{i_{j}}{ }^{n} a_{i_{0} \cdots \hat{i_{j} \cdots i_{p}}}$. We know that:

$$
H^{q}\left(K_{-n}^{\cdot}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & q \neq r+1 \\
H^{r+1}\left(K_{-n}^{\prime}\right) & q=r+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

To calculate $H^{r+1}$, we note that

$$
K_{-n}^{\cdot}=K^{\cdot}\left(t_{0}^{n}, A\left[t_{0}\right]\right) \otimes_{A} \cdots \otimes_{A} K^{\cdot}\left(t_{r}^{n}, A\left[t_{r}\right]\right)
$$

where $K \cdot\left(t_{i}{ }^{n}, A\left[t_{i}\right]\right)=\left(A\left[t_{i}\right] \xrightarrow{t_{i}{ }^{n}} A\left[t_{i}\right]\right)$. Now,

$$
H^{1}\left(K \cdot\left(t_{i}^{n}, A\left[t_{i}\right]\right)\right)=A\left[t_{i}\right] / t_{i}^{n} A\left[t_{i}\right]=\bigoplus_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} t_{i}^{\alpha} A
$$

As $K \cdot\left(t_{i}{ }^{n}\right) \rightarrow A\left[t_{i}\right] / t_{i}{ }^{n} A\left[t_{i}\right][-1]$ is a quasi-isomorphism and the components of the complex are free of finite type over $A$, hence the tensor product

$$
K \cdot\left(t_{0}{ }^{n}, \cdots, t_{r}{ }^{n}, B\right) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{i=0}^{r}\left(A\left[t_{i}\right] / t_{i}{ }^{n} A\left[t_{i}\right][-1]\right)
$$

is also a quasi-isomorphism. So,

$$
H^{r} K^{\cdot}\left(t_{0}^{n}, \cdots, t_{r}^{n}, B\right)=\bigotimes_{i=0}^{r} A\left[t_{i}\right] / t_{i}^{n} A\left[t_{i}\right]=\bigoplus_{0 \leq \alpha_{i}<n} t_{0}{ }^{\alpha_{0}} \cdots t_{r}{ }^{\alpha_{r}} A
$$

So we get $H^{0} \check{C}_{-n}=B$, and as the augmented complex $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow \check{C}_{-n}$ where $B$ in degree 0 is isomorphic to $L_{-n}$, we get $H^{q} \check{C}_{-n}=0$ for $0<$ $q<r$ and $H^{r} \check{C}_{-n}=\bigoplus_{0<\alpha_{i} \leq n} t^{-\alpha} A$. These isomorphisms are compatible with gradings on both sides:
$H^{r}(P, \mathcal{O}(n))$ has a basis consists of the elements $\frac{t^{-\alpha}}{t_{0} \cdots t_{r}}$ for $-\sum_{\alpha_{i}} \alpha_{i}-r-1=$ $n$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{n}=A\left[t_{0}, \cdots, t_{r}\right]_{n} \simeq H^{0}(P, \mathcal{O}(n)), n \geq 0 \\
B_{1}=A^{r}=H^{0}(P, \mathcal{O}(1)),
\end{gathered}
$$

$t_{i} \in B$, corresponding to $e_{i} \in H^{0}(P, \mathcal{O}(1))$.
The class of the Čech cocycle $U_{0 \cdots r} \mapsto \frac{1}{t_{0} \cdots t_{r}}$ forms a basis of $H^{r}(P, \mathcal{O}(-r-$ 1)).

## 4 Finiteness and vanishing theorems for projective morphisms

Let $X$ be a locally noetherian scheme, $F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$. Recall that $F$ is called coherent if $F$ is quasi-coherent and of finite type, or equivalently, for any affine open subset $U=\operatorname{Spec} A$ of $X,\left.F\right|_{U}=\tilde{M}$ with $M$ a finitely generated $A$-module. We have $\operatorname{Coh}(X) \subset Q \operatorname{coh}(X) \subset \operatorname{Mod}(X)$. Let $A$ be a noetherian ring, $S=\operatorname{Spec} A, P=\mathbb{P}_{s}^{r}=\operatorname{Proj} B$, where $B=A\left[t_{0}, \cdots, t_{r}\right]$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $F \in \operatorname{Coh}(P)$. Then there exists $n_{0} \geqslant 0$, such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$, there exists an epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_{P}(-n)^{m} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space. $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(X), s_{i} \in \Gamma(X, E),(i \in I)$. we say the family $\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ generates $E$ if $\mathcal{O}_{X}^{(I)} \rightarrow E, e_{i} \rightarrow s_{i}$ is an epimorphism, or we say that equivalently, for any $x \in X,\left(s_{i}\right)_{x} \in E_{x}$ generate $E_{x}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}-$ module.
$E$ is generated by its global sections if the family of all sections $s \in \Gamma(X, E)$ generates $E$.
Remark. If $X$ is quasi-compact, and $E$ is of finite type, then $E$ is generated by its global sections if and only if $E$ is generated by a finite number of global sections.

So the proposition is equivalent to saying that there exists $n_{0}$, such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}, F(n)$ is generated by its global sections.

Example 4.1.1. Let $R$ be a ring, $E \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X), X=\operatorname{Spec} R$. Then $E$ is generated by its global sections. In fact, $E=\tilde{M}$ for some $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(R)$, so an epimorphism $R^{(I)} \rightarrow M$ gives an epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_{X}^{I} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose $X$ is a noetherian scheme, $L$ is a line bundle on $X$, $f \in \Gamma(X, L), X_{f}=\{x \in X, f(x) \neq 0\}$, where $f(x)$ is the image of $f$ in $k \bigotimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, x}} L$, which is an open subset of $X$. Let $E \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. For any $s \in \Gamma\left(X_{f}, E\right)$, there exists $n \geqslant 0$ such that $s \otimes f^{\otimes n} \in \Gamma\left(X_{f}, E \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right)$ extends to a section of $E \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ over $X$. If $t \in \Gamma\left(X_{f}, E \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right)$,such that $t \mid X_{f}=0$, then there exists $m \geqslant 0$ such that $t \otimes f^{m}=0 \in \Gamma\left(X, E \otimes L^{\otimes m+n}\right)$.

Proof. Consider the inductive system

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, E \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right) \xrightarrow{\otimes f} \Gamma\left(X, E \otimes L^{\otimes n+1}\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(X, E)_{f} & =\underset{n}{\lim _{\longrightarrow}} \Gamma\left(X_{f}, E \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right) \\
\Gamma\left(X_{f}, E \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right) & \rightarrow \\
t & \left.\mapsto t\right|_{X_{f}} \otimes f^{\otimes-n} \in \Gamma\left(X_{f}, E\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get a morphism $\varphi: \Gamma(X, E)_{f} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X_{f}, E\right)$. So the lemma is equivalent to saying $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.
(a). Suppose $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ with $A$ noetherian, $L=\mathcal{O}_{X}, E=\tilde{M}$ for some finitely generated $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(A)$. For $f \in A$, we have $X_{f}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{f}$. $\Gamma(X, E)=M$, so $\Gamma(X, E)_{f}=\underset{f}{\lim } M \simeq M_{f} . \Gamma\left(X_{f}, E\right)=M_{f}$. So $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.
(b). Suppose $X$ is separated, $X=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} U_{i}$ with $U_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{i} . L \mid U_{i}=\mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}$. $U_{i} \cap U_{j}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{i j}$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

with the two right vertical maps are isomorphisms. By five lemma, we have $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.

For the general case, cover $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ by affine schemes $U_{i j k}$, and use the similar argument.

Proof of 3.1.: For $F \in \operatorname{Coh}(P)$, we want to find $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and all $i \in[0, r], F(n) \mid U_{i}$ is generated by its sections. $\left(U_{i}=P_{t_{i}}=\right.$ Spec $B_{\left(t_{i}\right)}$, where $\left.t_{i} \in \Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(1)\right)\right)$. For $\left.F\right|_{U_{i}}$, there exists $h_{i j} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i},\left.F\right|_{U_{i}}\right)$ generating $\left.F\right|_{U_{i}}$ with $j \in J_{i}$ finite. The lemma implies that there exists $m_{i j} \geqslant 0$, such that $h_{i j} \otimes t_{i}^{m_{i j}}$ extends to a section of $F\left(n_{0}\right)$ over $P$. By taking a common multiple of all $m_{i j}$ 's, we may assume they are equal to $n_{0}$, so, for $n \geqslant n_{0}, h_{i j} \otimes t_{i}^{n}$ extends to $P$ to $g_{i j}$ (as section of $F(n)$ ). These $g_{i j}$ generate $\left.F(n)\right|_{U_{i}}$ for all $i$, hence $F(n)$.

Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$, we say $X$ is projective over $S$ if there exists a closed immersion $i$ making the following diagram commutative


Suppose $X / S$ is projective. A line bundle $L$ on $X$ is called very ample if there exists a close immersion $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{S}^{r}=P$ such that $L \simeq i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P}(1) . L$ is called ample if there exists $n \geqslant 1$ such that $L^{\otimes n}$ is very ample.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose $X / S$ is projective, $L$ is an ample bundle on $X$, and $F \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. Then there exists $n_{0} \geqslant 0$ such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}, F(n)$ is generated by its global sections, where $F(n)=F \otimes L^{\otimes n}$.

Proof. (1). Suppose $L$ is very ample. $L=i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P}(1) . i_{*} F \in \operatorname{Coh}(P)$. Then the proposition implies that there exists $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$, there exists an epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_{P}(-n)^{m} \rightarrow i_{*} F \rightarrow 0$, then we have $\mathcal{O}_{X}(-n)^{m} \rightarrow$ $i^{*} i_{*} F \rightarrow 0$.
(2). General case. There exists $m \geqslant 1$ such that $L^{\prime}=L^{\otimes m}$ is very ample. By (1), we can find $n_{0} \geqslant 0$ such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and all $0 \leqslant r<m$, $\left(F \otimes L^{\otimes r}\right) L^{\prime \otimes m}$ is generated by its global sections. We claim that for $n \geqslant m n_{0}$, $F \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ is generated by global sections. We can write $n=m d+r$ where $0 \leqslant r<m$, then $F \otimes L^{\otimes n}=F \otimes L^{\otimes r} \otimes L^{\prime \otimes d}$ with $d \geqslant n_{0}$.

Theorem 4.4 (finiteness theorem). Suppose $X / S$ is projective Then (1). There exists $d \geqslant 0$ such that for all $q>d$, and all $F \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$. $H^{q}(X, F)=0$.
(2). For $F \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, then $H^{q}(X, F)$ is finitely generated over $A$ for all $q$.

Proof. (1). Let $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant d}$ be an open affine cover of $X$. Because $X / S$ is separated, $U_{i_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_{p}}$ is also affine, so $\breve{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, F)=H^{q}(X, F)=0$ for all $q>d$.
(2). First we reduce to the case $X=P$ by using the isomorphism $H^{q}(X, F)=$ $H^{q}\left(P, i_{*} F\right)$. Then we use descending induction on $q$.

If $q \gg 0$, the result is obvious. Suppose the finiteness is known in degree $\geqslant q+1$ for any $F$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P}(-n)^{m} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0
$$

where $G \in \operatorname{Coh}(P)$ and $n \geqslant 0$. Then we have

$$
H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{O}(-n)^{m}\right) \rightarrow H^{q}(P, F) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(P, G)
$$

with $\left[H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{O}(-n)^{m}\right)\right.$ finitely generated over $A$ by 2.1. By induction, we have that $H^{q+1}(P, G)$ is finitely generated over $A$, hence $H^{q}(X, F)$ is finite generated over $A$ for all $q$.

Theorem 4.5. Suppose $X / S$ is projective, $L$ is an ample bundle on $X$, and $F \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. Then there exists $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and all $q>0$, $H^{q}(X, F(n))=0$ where $F(n)=F \otimes L^{\otimes n}$.

Theorem 4.6 ((Vanishing)). Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$, with $A$ noetherian, let $X$ be a projective scheme over $S$ and let $L$ be an ample line bundle on $X$. Then for all $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, there exists an integer $n_{0}$, such that $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F}(n))=0$ for all $q>0$ and $n \geq n_{0}$, where $\mathcal{F}(n)=\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of the finiteness theorem.
(a) Suppose $L$ is very ample. $L=i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P}(1)$, where $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{S}^{r}=P$ is a closed immersion. Since

$$
i_{*} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P}(n) \cong i_{*}\left(\mathcal{F} \otimes i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=i_{*} \mathcal{F}(n),
$$

so $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F}(n))=H^{q}\left(P,\left(i_{*} \mathcal{F}\right)(n)\right)$. Since $i_{*} \mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(P)$, we may assume $X=P$.

We use descending induction on $q \geq 1$. We know that there exists an integer $N \geq 0$ such that for all $\mathcal{E} \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$ and $q>N, H^{q}(X, \mathcal{E})=0$. So the theorem holds for $q \gg 0$. Suppose the theorem holds in degree $\geq q+1$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. By Proposition 4.1, there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P}(-m)^{d} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

for some integers $m \geq 0, d \geq 0$. Then we get an exact sequence

$$
H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{O}(-m+n)^{d}\right) \rightarrow H^{q}(P, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(P, \mathcal{G}(n)) \rightarrow
$$

We know that the first term is zero for $n \geq m$, and by induction the third term is zero for $n$ large enough, then the result follows.
(b) General case : By (a), we can choose $m$ such that $L^{\otimes m}=L^{\prime}$ is very ample, and choose $n_{0}$ such that $H^{q}\left(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\prime \otimes n}\right)=0$ for all $0 \leq i<m, n \geq n_{0}$ by (a). Then for all $n \geq m n_{0}$, we can rewrite $\mathcal{F}(n)$ in the form $\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\otimes m d}$, for suitable $d \geq n_{0}, i \leq m$, hence $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F}(n))=0$.

Recall that $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is called proper if $f$ is of finite type, separated (i.e. $X \hookrightarrow X \times_{Y} X$ is a closed immersion), and universally closed. We have the following basic facts :
(1) $\mathbb{P}_{S}^{r} \rightarrow S$ is proper ;
(2) Any closed immersion is proper ;
(3) For $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, if $f$ and $g$ are proper, then $g f$ is proper ;
(4) If $f$ is projective, $f$ is proper.

Theorem 4.7 (Characterization of ampleness). Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$, with A noetherian, Let $X / S$ be proper and $L$ be a line bundle on $X$, then the following three conditions are equivalent :
(1) For all $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, there exists $n_{0} \geq 0$, such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$, $\mathcal{F}(n)=\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ is generated by global sections ;
(2) There exists $m \geq 0$, and a closed immersion $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{S}^{r}=P$, such that $L^{\otimes m}=i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P}(1)$;
(3) For all $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, there exists $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$, $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right)=0$.

Remark. The implication $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ and $(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ have been proved. So we only prove $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ and $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$.

Proof of $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$. (We reproduce the proof in $[\mathrm{H}]$, Chap III, Proposition 5.3) Let $x \in X$ be a closed point, and let $J_{\{x\}}$ be the ideal sheaf of the closed subscheme $\{x\}=\operatorname{Spec} k(x)$ of $X$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow J_{\{x\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow k(x) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $k(x)=i_{x *} \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ with $i_{x}:\{x\} \rightarrow X$ being the closed immersion. Tensoring with $\mathcal{F}$, we get an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow J_{\{x\}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes k(x) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $J_{\{x\}} \mathcal{F}$ is the image of $J_{\{x\}} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Since $L$ is a line bundle, it is flat, and we deduce an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow J_{\{x\}} \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n} \otimes k(x) \rightarrow 0
$$

By the hypothesis, there exists an $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}, H^{1}\left(X, J_{\{x\}} \mathcal{F} \otimes\right.$ $\left.L^{\otimes n}\right)=0$, so

$$
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n} \otimes k(x)\right)
$$

is surjective for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Use Nakayama's lemma on the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$, we deduce that the stalk of $\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ at $x$ is generated by global sections. Since it is a coherent sheaf, we conclude that there exists $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n))$, depending on $n$, generating $\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ in an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$. In particular, there exists $n_{1} \geq 0$ and a neighborhood $V$ of $x$ such that $\mathcal{O}\left(n_{1}\right)=$ $\mathcal{O}_{X} \otimes L^{\otimes n_{1}}$ is generated by global sections in $V$.

For each $0 \leq r<n_{1}$, the above result gives a neighborhood $U_{r}$ of $x$ such that $\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n_{0}+r}$ is generated by global sections. Now let

$$
U_{x}=V \cap U_{0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{n_{1}-1}
$$

Since any sheaf of the form $\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ can be written as a tensor product

$$
\left(\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes\left(n_{0}+r\right)}\right) \otimes\left(L^{\otimes n_{1}}\right)^{m}
$$

for suitable $0 \leq r<n_{1}$ and $m \geq 0$, so over $U_{x}$, all of the sheaves $\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$ are generated by global sections.

Using the fact that $X$ is noetherian, hence any open subset is quasicompact (and therefore contains a closed point), we cover $X$ by a finite number of the open sets $U_{x}$, we find $N$ such that $\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ is generated by global sections over $X$, for all $n \geq N$.

Proof of $(1) \Rightarrow(2):($ See $[H]$, Chap II, Theorem 7.6) Given any $x \in X$, let $U$ be an open affine neighborhood of $x$ such that $\left.L\right|_{U}$ is free on $U$. Let $Y$ be the closed set $X-U$, and let $J_{Y}$ be its sheaf of ideals with the reduced induced scheme structure. Then $J_{Y}$ is a coherent sheaf on $X$, so for some $n>0, J_{Y} \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ is generated by global sections. Since $J_{Y} \otimes L^{\otimes n} \otimes k(x) \simeq k(x)$, there is a section $s \in \Gamma\left(X, J_{Y} \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right)$ such that $s_{x} \notin \mathfrak{m}_{x}\left(J_{Y} \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right)_{x}$. Let $X_{s}$ be the open subset of $X$ consisting of $y \in X$ such that $s(y) \neq 0$ ( $s$ viewed as a section of $\left.L^{\otimes n}\right)$, then $X_{s} \subset U$. Now $U$ is affine, and $\left.L\right|_{U}$ is trivial, so $s$ induces an element $f \in \Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$, and then $X_{s}=U_{f}$ is also affine.

Thus we have shown that for any point $x \in X$, there is an $n>0$ and a section $s \in \Gamma\left(X, L^{\otimes n}\right)$ such that $x \in X_{s}$, and $X_{s}$ is affine. Since $X$ is quasicompact, we can cover $X$ by a finite number of such open affine subschemes, corresponding to sections $s_{i} \in \Gamma\left(X, L^{\otimes n_{i}}\right)$, and we may assume that all $n_{i}$ are equal to one $n$. Finally, since $L^{\otimes n}$ still satisfies condition (1), we may assume $n=1$, i.e., there exist global sections $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k} \in \Gamma(X, L)$ such that each $X_{i}=X_{s_{i}}$ is affine, and the $X_{i}$ cover $X$. Moreover, if we let $B_{i}=\Gamma\left(X_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{i}}\right)$, then each $B_{i}$ is a finitely generated $A$-algebra. So let $\left\{b_{i j} \mid j=1, \cdots, k_{i}\right\}$ be a set of generators for $B_{i}$ as an $A$-algebra. For each $i, j$, there is an integer
$n_{i j}$ such that $s_{i}^{n_{i j}} b_{i j}$ extends to a global section $c_{i j} \in \Gamma\left(X, L^{\otimes n}\right)$. We can take one $n$ large enough to work for all $i, j$.

Now we define a morphism

$$
\varphi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{A}^{N-1}=\operatorname{Proj} A\left[\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} ;\left\{x_{i j}\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq r_{i}}\right]
$$

such that $\varphi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P}(1)=L^{\otimes n}$ and $\varphi^{*} x_{i}=s_{i}^{n}, \varphi^{*} x_{i j}=c_{i j}$. We show that $f$ is a closed immersion. For each $i=1, \cdots, k$, let $U_{i} \subset \mathbb{P}_{A}^{N}$ be the open subset $x_{i} \neq 0$. Then $\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)=X_{i}$, and the corresponding map of affine rings

$$
A\left[\left\{y_{i}\right\} ;\left\{y_{i j}\right\}\right] \rightarrow B_{i}
$$

is surjective, because $y_{i j} \mapsto c_{i j} / s_{i}^{n}=b_{i j}$, and we choose the $b_{i j}$ so as to generate $B_{i}$ as an $A$-algebra. Thus $X_{i}$ is mapped onto a closed subscheme of $U_{i}$. It follows that $\varphi$ gives an isomorphism of $X$ with a closed subscheme of $\cup_{i=1}^{k} U_{i} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{A}^{N}$, so $\varphi$ is an immersion, hence a closed immersion, because $\varphi$ is proper, $X$ being proper over $S$.

Remark. Now we can give a more general definition of ampleness : let $X$ be a noetherian scheme, $L$ a line bundle on $X$. $L$ is called ample if for all $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, there exists $n_{0}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(n)=\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ is generated by global sections for each $n \geq n_{0}$.

It follows from theorem 4.7 that $L$ is ample if and only if there exists a basis of the topology of $X$ of the form $\left\{X_{s} \mid s \in \Gamma\left(X, L^{\otimes n}\right)\right\}$ with $X_{0}$ affine.

At the end of this section, we prove a generalization of the finiteness theorem.

Assume $X$ is locally noetherian, $*=+,-, b$, let

$$
D^{*}(X)_{c o h}=\left\{E \in D^{*}(X) \mid H^{i} E \in \operatorname{Coh}(X), \text { for all } i\right\}
$$

Theorem 4.8. Suppose $S$ is locally noetherian, $f: X \rightarrow S$ is proper, then $R f_{*}: D^{+}(X) \rightarrow D^{+}(S)$ sends $D^{+}(X)_{\text {coh }}$ to $D^{+}(S)_{\text {coh }}$.

Remark. Theorem 4.8 is equivalent to the following proposition :
Proposition 4.9. Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$ be affine, noetherian, and $f$ be proper, $\mathcal{F} \in$ $\operatorname{Coh}(X)$, then for all $q, H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a finitely generated $A$-module.

Proof of the remark : Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{q} f_{*} \mathcal{F}=H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F}) \in \operatorname{Coh}(S) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $q$, then $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F})$ is finitely generated. Conversely, for $E \in D^{+}(X)_{c o h}$, we want to prove $R^{i} f_{*} E \in \operatorname{Coh}(S)$. This is a local question on $S$, so we may assume $S=\operatorname{Spec} A, A$ is noetherian. By $(*)$ and the finiteness theorem, we get that $R f_{*} E \in D^{+}(S)_{c o h}$ for any $E \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. We want to prove that $R f_{*} E \in D^{+}(S)_{\text {coh }}$ for any $E \in D^{+}(X)_{\text {coh }}$. First we prove this is true for $E \in D^{b}(X)_{c o h}$. Let $E \in D^{[a, b]}(X)_{c o h}$, we use induction on $b-a$. We have a distinguished triangle

$$
\tau_{\leq b-1} E \rightarrow E \rightarrow\left(H^{b} E\right)[-b] \rightarrow,
$$

then we obtain

$$
R f_{*}\left(\tau_{\leq b-1} E\right) \rightarrow R f_{*} E \rightarrow\left(R f_{*}\left(H^{b} E\right)\right)[-b] \rightarrow
$$

so by induction, $R f_{*} E \in D^{b}(S)_{\text {coh }}$.
Now we let $E \in D^{+}(X)_{\text {coh }}$. We have the distinguished triangle

$$
\tau_{\leq n} E \rightarrow E \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1} E \rightarrow
$$

since $R^{n} f_{*} E=R^{n} f_{*}\left(\tau_{\leq n} E\right)$, the conclusion follows.
From this remark and the finiteness theorem, we know that theorem 4.8 holds when $f$ is projective. And from the proof we know that to prove theorem 4.8 , we only need prove it for all $\mathcal{F} \in D^{[0,0]}(X)_{\text {coh }} \simeq \operatorname{Coh}(X)$.

Lemma 4.10 (Chow). Let $S$ be a noetherian scheme and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism, then there exists a projective morphism $g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ such that $f g$ is projective, and there exists an open dense subset $U$ of $X$ such that $g$ induces an isomorphism from $g^{-1}(U)$ to $U$, i.e.,


We don't prove this lemma, for the proof, one can see [EGA], II, 5.6.1. We only prove that Chow's lemma implies theorem 4.8.

Proof. First we may assume $S$ is noetherian and by the remark we let $\mathcal{F} \in$ $\operatorname{Coh}(X)$. We use noetherian induction on all closed subsets $T \subseteq X$ satisfying $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) \subset T$. We have to show that : if $R f_{*} \mathcal{F} \in D^{+}(S)_{\text {coh }}$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in$ $\operatorname{Coh}(X)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) \varsubsetneqq T$, then $R f_{*} \mathcal{F} \in D^{+}(S)_{\text {coh }}$ for all $\mathcal{F}$ with $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F} \subseteq T$.

We may assume $T=X$. Consider the composition of morphisms $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow$ $g_{*} g^{*} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R g_{*}\left(g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$, let $\mathcal{G}$ be the cone of this morphism, we get a distinguished triangle

$$
\mathcal{F} \rightarrow R g_{*}\left(g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow .
$$

Since $g$ is projective and $g^{*}(\mathcal{F}) \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, by the remark, we get $R g_{*}\left(g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right) \in$ $D^{+}(X)_{\text {coh }}$, and hence $\mathcal{G} \in D^{+}(X)_{\text {coh }}$. Over $U$, we have $\left.\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U} \xrightarrow{\sim} R g_{*} g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right|_{U}$, so $\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{U}=0$, that is $\left.H^{i} \mathcal{G}\right|_{U}=0, \operatorname{Supp}\left(H^{i} \mathcal{G}\right) \subset X-U \varsubsetneqq X$, by noetherian induction assumption, we get $R f_{*} \mathcal{G} \in D^{+}(S)_{\text {coh }}$. We have the distinguished triangle

$$
R f_{*} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R f_{*} R g_{*}\left(g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=\mathcal{H} \rightarrow R f_{*} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow
$$

since $R f_{*} R g_{*}=R(f g)_{*}$, and $f g$ is projective, we have $\mathcal{H} \in D^{+}(S)_{\text {coh }}$, so $R f_{*} \mathcal{F} \in D^{+}(S)_{\text {coh }}$.

Corollary 4.11. If $f: X \rightarrow S$ is proper and affine, $f$ is finite.
Recall that $f: X \rightarrow S$ is affine if $Y$ can be covered by affine open subschemes $S_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{i}(i \in I)$ such that each $f^{-1}\left(S_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Spec} B_{i}$ is affine. $f$ is called finite if $f$ is affine and each $B_{i}$ is finitely generated as $A_{i}$-module.

Proof. We may assume $S=\operatorname{Spec} A, X=\operatorname{Spec} B$, and have to show $B$ is finitely generated as $A$-module. Let $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{\text {Spec } B}$ in theorem 4.8, by the remark, $B=H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is a finitely generated $A$-module.

## 5 Hilbert Polynomial

Let A be an artinian ring, $B$ a graded $A$-algebra, i.e. $B=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} B_{i}$, with $B_{0}=$ $A$. Suppose $B$ is finitely generated by $B_{1}$ over $A$ (that is, $B=A\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right] / I$ for some homogenous ideal $I$ ), let $M=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{n}$ be a finitely generated graded
$B$-module(thus we have $M_{n}=0$ for $n \ll 0$, and $M_{n}$ is finitely generated over $A$ for all $n$ and then has finite length), then the function $n \mapsto \lg _{A} M_{n}$ is a polynomial in $n$ for $n \gg 0$ (See $[A-M]$, Prop 11.4), i.e. there exists $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ and $n_{0}$, such that for all $n \geq n_{0}, \lg _{A}\left(M_{n}\right)=P(n)$ (this function is called Hilbert polynomial of $M$ ). We will give a cohomological interpretation of $P$ in 5.10. It will rely on the following theorem.

Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} A, X / S$ projective, and $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. By the finiteness theorem, $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F})$ is finitely generated $A$-module for each $q$, hence has finite length, and there exists a $d \geq 0$ such that $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F})=0$ for $q>d$. So we can define $\chi(X, \mathcal{F})=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \lg _{A} H^{i}(X, \mathcal{F})$.

Theorem 5.1 (Hilbert-Serre). Let $X, S$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are as above, and $L$ be a very ample line bundle on $X$, then
(1) there exists $P_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$, such that $\chi\left(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes n}\right)=P_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$ for all $n$;
(2) $\operatorname{deg} P_{\mathcal{F}}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$, where $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F})=\left\{x \in X \mid \mathcal{F}_{x} \neq 0\right\} \subset X$.

To prove this, we need the following result.
Theorem 5.2 (Hilbert syzygies theorem). Suppose $k$ is a field, $R=$ $k\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right], M$ is a finitely generated graded $R$-module. Then there exists a resolution of $M$ of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow L^{-n} \rightarrow L^{-n+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L^{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

with each $L^{i}$ being free finitely generated as a graded $R$-module.
Recall that a graded $R$-module $L$ is free finitely generated if and only if $L$ admits a basis over $R$ consisting of homogenous elements $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}$. This is also equivalent to saying that $L \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} R\left(-d_{i}\right)$, where $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)$.
Lemma 5.3 (graded Nakayama's lemma). Suppose $R$, $k$ are as in 5.2, and $M$ is a graded $R$-module such that $M_{n}=0$ for $n \ll 0$, then $M \otimes_{R} k=0$ implies $M=0$.

Proof. Replacing $M$ by $M(d)$ we may assume $M_{n}=0$ for all $n<0$. Let $R_{+}=\bigoplus_{n>0} R_{n}=\operatorname{Ker}(R \rightarrow k)$, then $M=R_{+} M$. Suppose $M \neq 0$, choose $x \in M_{d}, x \neq 0$ such that $d$ is minimal. Write $x=\sum a_{i} x_{i}$, where $a_{i}, x_{i}$ are homogenous and $a_{i} \in R_{+}, \operatorname{deg} x_{i} \geq d$. But this implies $\operatorname{deg} x \geq d+1$, hence a contradiction.

Lemma 5.4. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module, the two conditions are equivalent:
(1) $M$ is free finitely generated;
(2) $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(k, M)=0$.

Proof. The implication (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ is obvious. To prove $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$, we choose a homogeneous basis of $M \otimes_{R} k$ as graded $k$-mod, lift it to $M$, we get

$$
0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

such that $L \otimes_{R} k \simeq M \otimes_{R} k$. From the long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(k, M) \rightarrow Z \otimes_{R} k \rightarrow L \otimes_{R} k \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{R} k \rightarrow 0,
$$

$\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(k, M)=0$ implies $Z \otimes_{R} k \hookrightarrow L \otimes_{R} k$ is injective, then $Z \otimes_{R} k=0$, hence $Z=0$ by graded Nakayama's lemma.

Lemma 5.5 (Koszul). The Koszul complex of $\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right)$ is a resolution of $k$, i.e., $K .\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $k$, where

$$
K .\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right)=\left(0 \rightarrow \stackrel{n}{\wedge} R^{n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \stackrel{1}{\wedge} R^{n} \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0\right) .
$$

Proof. In deed, $\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right)$ is a regular sequence, then use Theorem 2.2.
Proof of Theorem 5.2. Since $R$ is noetherian, we have a resolution of $M$

$$
0 \rightarrow L^{-n} \rightarrow L^{-n+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L^{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

with each term being a finitely generated graded $R$-module, and $L^{i}$ free for all $i \geq-n+1$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}\left(R, L^{-n}\right) & =\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{R}(k, M) \\
& =H^{-n-1}\left(K \cdot\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \otimes_{R} M\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$L^{-n}$ is also free.
Now we begin to prove theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. (1) Write $A=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} A_{i}$, where each $A_{i}$ is artinian local, then $S=\coprod S_{i}$, with $S_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{i}, X=\coprod X_{i}$, each $X_{i} / S_{i}$ is projective. Let $L_{i}=\left.L\right|_{X_{i}}, \mathcal{F}_{i}=\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{X_{i}}$, then each $L_{i}$ ia very ample, and $\chi(X, \mathcal{F}(n))=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi\left(X_{i}, \mathcal{F}_{i} \otimes L_{i}^{\otimes n}\right)$. So we may assume $A$ is local artinian. Let $k=A / \mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{m}^{N}=0$. Consider the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic filtration of $\mathcal{F}, 0 \subset \mathfrak{m}^{i+1} \mathcal{F} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$. From the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{i+1} \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{i} \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow g r_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i} \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow 0,
$$

we get $\chi(X, \mathcal{F}(n))=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \chi\left(X, g r_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i} \mathcal{F}(n)\right)$, so it is enough to show the theorem for $g r_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i} \mathcal{F}$, so we may assume $\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{F}=0$. We have a cartesian diagram :


Then $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion, therefore $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=i^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is coherent as an $O_{X^{\prime}}$-module. Since $X / S$ is projective, $X^{\prime} / \operatorname{Spec} k$ is projective. If we let $L^{\prime}=i^{*} L$, then $L^{\prime}$ is very ample over $\operatorname{Spec} k$ and $\mathcal{F}(n)=i_{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(n)$, where $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(n)=\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}} L^{\prime \otimes n}$. Since $\chi(X, \mathcal{F}(n))=\chi\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$, we may assume $A$ is a field. Finally for a suitable $r, X \hookrightarrow P=\mathbb{P}_{A}^{r}=\operatorname{Proj} A\left[t_{0}, \cdots, t_{r}\right]$ is a closed subscheme, so by a similar argument, we may assume $X=P$.

Now $A=k, B=k\left[t_{0}, \cdots, t_{r}\right]$, and $X=P$. We need the following lemma.
Lemma 5.6. Let $B=k\left[t_{0}, \cdots, t_{r}\right], P=\operatorname{Proj} B, \mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(P)$. Then there exists a finitely generated graded $B$-module $M$ such that $\widetilde{M}=\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Choose a presentation of $\mathcal{F}$ (by Prop 4.1)

$$
\mathcal{O}_{P}\left(n_{1}\right)^{m_{1}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_{P}\left(n_{0}\right)^{m_{0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

let

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0} & =\bigoplus_{n \in Z} \Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}\left(n_{0}\right)^{m_{0}}(n)\right) \\
L_{1} & =\bigoplus_{n \in Z} \Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}\left(n_{1}\right)^{m_{1}}(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

be the graded $B$-modules associated to $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ respectively. Each $L_{i}$ is a free finitely generated graded module for $i=1,2$, and $\widetilde{L}_{i}=\mathcal{O}_{P}\left(n_{i}\right)^{m_{i}}$. We have a canonical morphism $u: L_{1} \rightarrow L_{0}$. Define $M=\operatorname{Coker} u$, we have the following commutative diagram

so we have an isomorphism $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.
By this lemma, $\mathcal{F}=\widetilde{M}$ for some finitely generated graded $B$-module $M$. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow L^{-r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L^{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

be a resolution by free finitely generated $B$-module, apply the exact functor ' ${ }^{\prime}$, we get

$$
0 \rightarrow \widetilde{L^{-r-1}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \widetilde{L^{0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

where each $\widetilde{L}^{i}$ is a finite sum of $\mathcal{O}_{P}(-d)^{\prime} s$, as $L^{i}$ is a finite sum of $B(-d)^{\prime} s$. We know that $\chi(X, \mathcal{F}(n))=\sum_{i=0}^{-r-1}(-1)^{i} \chi\left(X, \widetilde{L^{i}}(n)\right)$, so we may assume $\mathcal{F}=$ $\mathcal{O}_{P}(n)$, and part (1) of theorem 5.1 follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.7. Let $\binom{x+r}{r}=\frac{(x+r) \cdots(x+1)}{r!} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, then $\chi\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=\binom{n+r}{r}$.
Proof. We have proved that $H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=0$ for all $n$ when $q \neq 0, r$, then $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{0}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)+(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{r}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)$, also we have

$$
H^{0}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
R_{n} & n \geq 0 \\
0 & n<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
H^{r}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\bigoplus A \frac{t^{\alpha}}{t_{0} \cdots t_{r}} & n \leq-r-1 \\
0 & n>-r-1
\end{array},\right.
$$

where $t^{\alpha}=t_{0}{ }^{\alpha_{0}} \cdots t_{r}{ }^{\alpha_{r}}$ with $\alpha_{i} \leq 0$ and $\sum \alpha_{i}-r-1=n$. Since $r \geq 1$, there are three cases :
(1) case $n \geq 0$, we have $H^{r}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=0$, and $\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{0}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{k} B_{n}=\binom{n+r}{r}$;
(2) case $n \leq-r-1$, then $H^{0}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=0$, and $\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{r}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{0}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(-n-r-1)\right)=\binom{-n-1}{r}=(-1)^{r}\binom{n+r}{r} ;$
(3) case $-r-1<n<0$, then the two terms are all zero, note that in this case $0 \leq n+r<r,\binom{n+r}{r}=0$ by definition.
therefore the lemma holds.
We will now prove (2) of theorem 5.1, we first need recall some facts.
(1) Suppose $A$ is noetherian, $M$ is a finitely generated $A$-module, one defines $\operatorname{Ass}(M)$ as the set of $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ such that $\mathfrak{p}$ is the annihilator $\operatorname{Ann}(x)$ of some $x \in M$. We know $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. Now let $X$ be a noetherian scheme, $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, for $x \in X$, we define $\operatorname{Ass}(\mathcal{F})$ as the set of points $x \in X$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_{x} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathcal{F}_{x}\right) \subset \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$. As in the affine case, $\operatorname{Ass}(\mathcal{F})$ is finite, and contains the maximal points of $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$. If $s \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, such that $s(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \operatorname{Ass}(\mathcal{F})$, then $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{F}$ is injective.
(2) If $A$ is a noetherian ring and $M$ is a finitely generated $A$-module, let $S=\operatorname{Spec}(A / \operatorname{Ann}(M))$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} M & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp} M=\operatorname{dim} S \\
& =\sup _{x \in S} \operatorname{dim} M_{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, we define the dimension of $\mathcal{F}, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}$, as the dimension of the suppose of $\mathcal{F}, \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F}$. This is a closed subset of $X_{0}$. We have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}=$ $\sup _{x \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F})} \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}_{x}$.

Lemma 5.8. Let $Z \subset X$ be finite with $X$ as in 5.1. Then there exists $n \geq 0$ and $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(n))$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in Z$.

Proof. As $X$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{A}^{r}$, we may assume $X=\mathbb{P}_{A}^{r}=\operatorname{Proj} B$, $B=A\left[t_{0}, \cdots, t_{r}\right]$. Each $x \in Z$ corresponds to some homogenous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{x} \in \operatorname{Proj} B$. Since for each $x \in Z, B_{+}=\bigoplus_{n>0} B_{n}$ is not contained in $\mathfrak{p}_{x}$, we can find a homogenous element $f \in B_{n}$ such that $f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{x}$ for all $x \in Z$ ([B] III.§1.4, Prop 8), then $f \in \Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(n)\right)$ and $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$.

Proof of theorem 5.2 (2). Use induction on $d=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F}$. Putting some scheme structure on $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F}$, e.g., the reduced scheme structure, we may
assume $X=\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F}$. When $d=0$, that is $X$ is zero dimensional, $X$ is affine and $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is an artinian ring. Then for $n \geq 1, \mathcal{F}(n)=\mathcal{F}$, so

$$
\chi(X, \mathcal{F}(n))=\lg H^{0}(X, \mathcal{F}(n))=\lg H^{0}(X, \mathcal{F})
$$

then $\chi(X, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is a constant, i.e., $\operatorname{deg} P_{\mathcal{F}}=0$. Now assume (2) holds for all $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying $\operatorname{deg} P_{\mathcal{F}} \leq d-1$. By the lemma above, there exists $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(m))$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \operatorname{Ass}(\mathcal{F})$, so we get an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{F}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then we get the following exact sequence by taking stalks

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{x} \xrightarrow{f_{x}} \mathcal{F}_{x}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{x} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Because of the choice of $f$, we have $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{G})=\{x \in X \mid f(x)=0\}$. Assume $d \geq 1$, then $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{G} \neq \varnothing$, moreover, we have the following lemma

Lemma 5.9. Let $A, X, L$ be as above, then for any $f \in \Gamma\left(X, L^{\otimes n}\right)$, the set $V(f)$ of $x \in X$ such that $f(x)=0$ meets every irreducible closed subset of $X$ of positive dimension.

Proof. Let $Y$ be an irreducible closed subset of $X$ not meeting $V(f)$, then $Y \subset X_{f}$ where $X_{f}$ is open and affine over $S$. Thus $Y / S$ is proper and affine, hence finite, which implies $\operatorname{dim} Y=0$.

By dimension theory of noetherian local rings (See [A-M], Prop 11.3), we know $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}_{x}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}_{x}-1$ for all $x \in V(f)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}=\sup _{\substack{f(x)=0 \\
x \text { closed }}} \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}_{x}=\sup _{\substack{f(x)=0 \\
x \text { closed }}} \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}_{x}-1 \\
\stackrel{(* *)}{=} \sup _{x \text { closed }} \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}_{x}-1=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here for the equality $(* *)$, we can choose such a irreducible closed subset $T$ of $X$ that $\operatorname{dim} T=1$ and $\operatorname{codim}(T, X)=\operatorname{dim} X-1$, then for any closed point $x \in T$, $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}_{x}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}$, by lemma 5.9, $V(f) \cap T \neq \varnothing$, hence contains a closed point.

From the exact sequence ( $*$ ), we have

$$
\chi(\mathcal{G}(n))=\chi(\mathcal{F}(m+n))-\chi(\mathcal{F}(n))=P_{\mathcal{F}}(m+n)-P_{\mathcal{G}}(n) .
$$

By induction, $\operatorname{deg} P_{\mathcal{G}}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{G}=d-1$, so $\operatorname{deg} P_{\mathcal{F}}=d$.

Corollary 5.10. Let $B$ be a graded $A$-algebra finitely generated by $B_{1}$ over $B_{0}=A, M$ be a finitely generated graded B-module, then $\lg _{A} M_{n}=\chi(P, \mathcal{F}(n))$ for $n \gg 0$, where $P=\operatorname{Proj} B, \mathcal{F}=\widetilde{M} ;$ moreover $\operatorname{deg} P_{\mathcal{F}}(n)=\operatorname{dim} M-1$.

Proof. We may assume $B$ is the polynomial algebra $k\left[t_{0}, \cdots, t_{n}\right]$. Let

$$
L^{-1} \rightarrow L^{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

be a presentation of $M$. Applying the functor ${ }^{\prime} \sim^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\widetilde{L^{-1}} \rightarrow \widetilde{L^{0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{L^{-1}}(n) \rightarrow \widetilde{L^{0}}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow 0
$$

For $n \gg 0$, we get the following exact sequence

$$
\Gamma\left(P, \widetilde{L^{-1}}(n)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(P, \widetilde{L^{0}}(n)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma(P, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow 0
$$

For any graded $B$-module $E$, we define a canonical morphism $E_{n} \rightarrow \Gamma(P, \tilde{E}(n))$ given by $f \mapsto f / 1 \in \Gamma\left(P_{(t)}, \tilde{E}(n)\right)$, where $t \in B_{1}$. We have the following commutative diagram :

in which the two left vertical maps are isomorphisms. So $u$ is an isomorphism for $n \gg 0$. On the other hand, we know that $H^{q}(P, \mathcal{F}(n))=0$ for $q>0$ and $n \gg 0$, so $\chi(P, \mathcal{F}(n))=\lg _{A} H^{0}(P, \mathcal{F}(n))=\lg _{A} M_{n}$ for $n \gg 0$.

## Remarks on the Riemann-Roch problem:

Let $X$ be a proper schemes over a field $k$, and $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. We want to calculate

$$
\chi(X, \mathcal{F})=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{i}(X, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

In fact, this calculation, combined with vanishing theorem yields information on $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{F})$, which have geometric consequences.
(a) The case of curves

Suppose $k$ is an algebraic closed field. $X / k$ is a projective and smooth curve (i.e. For any $x \in X$ closed, the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ are discrete valuation rings.).

Let $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$, then $\chi\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)-\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. We have $\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=1$ (because $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is a finite $k$-algebra contained in $K=k(\eta)$, where $\eta$ is the generic point of $X$ ), on the other hand, $g=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is the genus of $X$.

For $k=\mathbb{C}$, the set of rational points $X(\mathbb{C})$ is a Riemann surface. We conclude that $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is dual to $H^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)$ (duality theorem ()$), g=b_{1} / 2$, $b_{1}=\operatorname{rank} H^{1}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})=\operatorname{rank} \pi_{1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{X} / \mathcal{J}$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is a non zero ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is a line bundle, and the subscheme (a divisor) $D$ defined by $\mathcal{J}$ is finite over $k$. We have $\mathcal{O}(D)=\mathcal{J}^{\otimes-1}$. Then Riemann's half of the Riemann Roch theorem says that $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}(D))=\operatorname{deg} D+1-g$ (this is an exercise, use induction on $\operatorname{deg} D=\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=\sum_{x \in D} \operatorname{dim}_{k(x)} \mathcal{O}_{D, x}$; for $x \in D$, use the exact sequence $\left.0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D^{1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D} \longrightarrow k(x) \longrightarrow 0\right)$. Roch's half of the Riemann Roch theorem is that $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is dual to $H^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)$.
(b) The case of surfaces

For $k$ algebraic closed, $X / k$ regular, proper, and irreducible of dimension 2, M.Noether gave the formula that $\chi\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\frac{c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}}{12}$, where $c_{1}^{2}$ and $c_{2}$ are certain integers (Chern numbers) defined by intersection theory. On the other hand, for a divisor $D$ on $X, \chi(X, \mathcal{O}(D))-\chi(X, \mathcal{O})$ is a certain intersection invariant (see e.g. $[\mathrm{H}]$ ).
(c) The further development

In 1956, Hirzebruch gave a general formula for any proper smooth scheme $X / k$, and any vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $X,\left(\right.$ ? ) $\chi(X, \mathcal{F})=\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \operatorname{Todd} T_{X}\right)$, where $T_{X}$ is the tangent bundle of $X$ and $c h$ and Todd are certain intersection invariants involving Chern classes.

In 1957, Grothendieck gave a far reaching generalization of this formula for certain morphisms $X \longrightarrow Y$. Let us also mention that in 1963, Atiyah and Singer gave a formula for the index of an elliptic operator for smooth manifolds over $\mathbb{C}$, generalizing the Hirzebruch formula.

## Chapter 3

## Differential calculus, smooth and étale morphisms

## 1 Kähler differentials and derivations

Definition 1.1. Let $A$ be a commutative ring, $B$ be an $A$-algebra, $M \in$ $\operatorname{Mod}(B)$. A map $D: B \rightarrow M$ is called an $A$-derivation of $B$ with values in $M$ (or from $B$ to $M$ ), if it satisfies the following two conditions:

1) $D$ is $A$-linear;
2) for any $x, y \in B, D(x y)=x D(y)+y D(x)$.

We denote by $\operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, M)$ the set of $A$-derivations from $B$ to $M$. For any $D \in \operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, M), b \in B$, the map $b D: x \in B \mapsto b D(x)$ is an $A$-derivation, thus $\operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, M)$ is a $B$-module.
Definition 1.2. Let $B \otimes_{A} B \rightarrow B$ be a morphism defined as $x \otimes y \mapsto x y$. Denote by $I$ the kernel of this map, and put $\Omega_{B / A}^{1}=I / I^{2}$. We call $\Omega_{B / A}^{1}$ the Kähler differential module of $B / A$. Note that $\Omega_{B / A}^{1}$ is a $B \otimes_{A} B$-module killed by $I$, so it is a $B$-module.

Define $B \rightarrow B \otimes_{A} B: b \mapsto b \otimes 1$ (resp. $b \mapsto 1 \otimes b$ ), so we have a left (resp.right) $B$-algebra structure on $B \otimes_{A} B$. It is easy to see that these two $B$-algebra structures induce the same $B$-algebra structure on $\Omega_{B / A}^{1}$. Put $P_{B / A}^{1}=B \otimes_{A} B / I^{2}$ (principal parts or 1-jets of $B / A$ ). We have an exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{B / A}^{1} \rightarrow P_{B / A}^{1} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$, which splits by the morphism $j_{1}, j_{2}$, where $j_{1}(b)=b \otimes 1 \bmod I^{2}$ and $j_{2}(b)=1 \otimes b \bmod I^{2}$, so we have $P_{B / A}^{1}=B \oplus \Omega_{B / A}^{1}$.

Definition 1.3. Let $A$ be a commutative ring, $B$ be an $A$-algebra. Define a $\operatorname{map} d_{B / A}: B \rightarrow \Omega_{B / A}^{1}$ by $b \mapsto 1 \otimes b-b \otimes 1 \bmod I^{2}=j_{2}(b)-j_{1}(b)$.

Proposition 1.4. Let $A$ be a commutative ring, $B$ be an $A$-algebra. Then $\Omega_{B / A}^{1}=B \cdot d_{B / A}(B)$

This follows from the following lemma:
Lemma 1.5. For any $b_{i} \in B, x_{i} \in B(1 \leq i \leq n)$, we have $\sum b_{i} \otimes x_{i}=$ $\sum\left(b_{i} \otimes 1\right)\left(1 \otimes x_{i}-x_{i} \otimes 1\right)+\sum b_{i} x_{i} \otimes 1=\sum\left(1 \otimes x_{i}\right)\left(b_{i} \otimes 1-1 \otimes b_{i}\right)+\sum 1 \otimes b_{i} x_{i}$ In particular, $I$ is generated over $B$ (for the left (resp. right) structure) by the elements of the form $1 \otimes x-x \otimes 1$.

Theorem 1.6. (1) We have $d_{B / A}: B \rightarrow \Omega_{B / A}^{1} \in \operatorname{Der}_{A}\left(B, \Omega_{B / A}^{1}\right)$
(2) For any $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(B)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{B / A}^{1}, M\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, M), \quad u \mapsto u d_{B / A} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. (1) follows directly from the following formula

$$
1 \otimes x y-x y \otimes 1=(1 \otimes x)(1 \otimes y-y \otimes 1)+(1 \otimes y)(1 \otimes x-x \otimes 1)
$$

(2) The injectivity of $(*)$ follows from 1.4. For the surjectivity, we need a lemma.

Lemma 1.7. If $M$ is a $B$-module, the $B$-algebra $D_{B}(M)=B \oplus M$, where $\left(b_{1} \oplus m_{1}\right)\left(b_{2} \oplus m_{2}\right)=\left(b_{1} b_{2}\right) \oplus\left(b_{2} m_{1}+b_{1} m_{2}\right)$, we have an exact sequence of $B$-modules:

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow B \oplus M \xrightarrow{p} B \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $p$, given by $p(b \oplus m)=b$, is a ring homomorphism. Then $\operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, M)$ is identified to the set $H$ of homomorphisms of $A$-algebras $f: B \rightarrow D_{B}(M)$ such that $p \circ f=I d$, by associating to $D$ the homomorphism $f_{D}: x \mapsto$ $x+D(x)$.

Proof. The inverse map is given by $f \mapsto D_{f}, D_{f}(x)=f(x)-x$.

Let us prove the surjectivity in (2). Let $D \in \operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, M)$. By the above lemma, $D$ corresponds to a homomorphism of $A$-algebras from $B$ to $D_{B}(M)$. We have a commutative diagram with exact rows:

where the middle vertical arrow is defined by: $x \otimes y \mapsto x f_{D}(y)$. And the left vertical arrow induces a map $u: I / I^{2} \rightarrow M$ (because it maps $I^{2}$ to zero). So we have a map $u: \Omega_{B / A}^{1} \rightarrow M, u d_{B / A}(b)=u(1 \otimes b-b \otimes 1)=f_{D}(b)-b=D(b)$. This completes the proof.

Definition 1.8. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $E$ be an $R$-module. Recall that the symmetric algebra is the graded $R$-algebra $S_{R}(E)=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} S_{R}^{n}(E)=$ $\left(\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0}\left(\otimes^{n} E\right)\right) / T$, where $T$ is the two sided ideal generated by elements of the form $x \otimes y-y \otimes x$ for some $x, y \in E$. In particular, $S_{R}^{0}(E)=R, S_{R}^{1}(E)=E$. $S_{R}(E)$ is sometimes denoted by $\operatorname{Sym}_{R}(E)$. This algebra satisfies the universal property $\operatorname{Hom}_{R-a l g}\left(S_{R}(E), C\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(E, C)$, where $C$ is an $R$-algebra, and the correspondence is defined by: $f \mapsto f \mid E=S_{R}^{1}(E)$.

Proposition 1.9. Let $A$ be a commutative ring, $E$ be an $A$-module and $B=S_{A}(E)$. Then $\Omega_{B / A}^{1} \simeq B \otimes_{A} E$.

Proof. We have a sequence of canonical isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(\Omega_{B / A}^{1}, M\right) & =\left\{D \in \operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, M)\right\} \\
& =\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\mathrm{alg}}\left(B, D_{B}(M)\right) ; f(x)=x+D(x)\right\} \\
& =\left\{u \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\text { mod }}(E, B \oplus M) ; u(x)=x+D(x)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(E, M) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{B}(B \otimes E, M)
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have $B \otimes E \simeq \Omega_{B / A}^{1}$, and the correspondence is: $b \otimes x \mapsto b d_{B / A} x$.

Corollary 1.10. Let $B=A\left[\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}\right]=S_{A}\left(A^{(I)}\right)$, where $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a basis of $A^{(I)}$. Then $\Omega_{B / A}^{1}$ is a free $B$-module with basis $\left\{d_{B / A} x_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.

For $f \in B=A\left[\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right]$, we have $d f=\sum_{i \in I} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} d x_{i}$, where $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}: f \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \in$ $\operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, B)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{B / A}^{1}, B\right)$. We have a natural pairing

$$
\Omega_{B / A}^{1} \times \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{B / A}^{1}, B\right) \rightarrow B, \quad(w, D) \mapsto<w, D>=D(w)
$$

It is obvious that $<d x_{i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}>=\delta_{i j}$. Also we have $d\left(x_{i}^{n}\right)=n x_{i}^{n-1} d x_{i}, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}=$ $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$.

Definition 1.11. A thickening of order 1 is a closed immersion $T_{0} \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} T$ with the ideal sheaf $I$ such that $I^{2}=0$. More generally, a thickening of order $n$ is a closed immersion defined by an ideal $I$ such that $I^{n+1}=0$.

Let $U=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ be an affine open subscheme of $T$, then $U \cap T_{0}=$ $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{0}\right)$ is also affine, and we have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_{0} \rightarrow 0
$$

Here $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal of $A$ such that $\mathcal{J}^{2}=0$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}=\left.I\right|_{U}$. Note that $T_{0} \hookrightarrow T$ is a homeomorphism on the underlying topological spaces and we have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T_{0}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $I^{2}=0, I$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{T_{0}}$-module, and $I$ is quasi-coherent on $T_{0}$.
Let $i: X \hookrightarrow Z$ be an immersion of schemes. Then $i$ can be factorized as a closed immersion followed by an open immersion as in the following diagram.


Let $I \in Q \operatorname{coh}(U)$ be the ideal sheaf of the closed immersion and $Z_{1}$ be the scheme defined by $\left(|X|, \mathcal{O}_{U} / I^{2}\right)$. Then we have a factorization $X \stackrel{j}{\hookrightarrow} Z_{1} \hookrightarrow$ $U \stackrel{\text { open }}{\hookrightarrow} Z$. In the affine case, this corresponds to the following diagram:

where $B=A / I, A_{1}=A / I^{2} . Z_{1}$ is called the first infinitesimal neighborhood of $X$ in $Z$. It is a thickening of order 1 . We have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow I / I^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0
$$

The quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathcal{N}_{j}=\mathcal{N}_{X / I}=I / I^{2} \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$ is called the conormal sheaf of $j$.

Definition 1.12. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. Let $\Delta: X \rightarrow$ $X \times_{Y} X$ be the diagonal map, this is an immersion. We put

$$
\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}=\mathcal{N}_{\Delta}=\mathcal{N}_{X / X \times_{Y} X}
$$

This is a quasi-coherent sheaf on $X$. If we have the following diagram:

where $U($ resp. $V)$ is open in $X$ (resp. $V$ ), then we get a commutative square.

where the left vertical arrow is defined by $B \otimes_{A} B \rightarrow B, b \otimes c \mapsto b c$. Denote by $I$ the kernel of this map:

$$
0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow B \otimes_{A} B \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0
$$

Then we have

$$
\Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \mid U=\widetilde{I / I^{2}}=\widetilde{\Omega_{B / A}^{1}}
$$

Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, a $Y$-derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ with values in $M$ (or from $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ to $M$ ) is a map $D: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow M$, satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $D$ is $f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$-linear;
(2) for any $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$, where $U$ is an open set contained in $X$, we have $D(a b)=a D(b)+b D(a)$.

Let $d_{X / Y}: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ defined by $d_{X / Y}=j_{2}^{*}-j_{1}^{*}$, where $j_{1}^{*} b=b \otimes$ $1 \bmod I^{2}, j_{2}^{*} b=1 \otimes b \bmod I^{2}$.

Theorem 1.13. (1) $d_{X / Y} \in \operatorname{Der}_{Y}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\right)$;
(2) For any $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(X), \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, M\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{Y}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, M\right) u \mapsto u \circ d_{X / Y}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. For $M \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$, the bijectivity of (2) follows from 1.6 and the above discussion in 1.12. For the general case, see EGA IV 16.5.3.

Let

be a commutative diagram. We deduce commutative diagrams.


From the last one, we get a canonical homomorphism: $g^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X^{\prime} / Y^{\prime}}^{1}$ and by adjunction, this corresponds to a homomorphism: $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow g_{*} \Omega_{X^{\prime} / Y^{\prime}}^{1}$ where $d_{X / Y}(b) \mapsto d_{x^{\prime} / Y^{\prime}}\left(g_{*} b\right)$, for $b$ a local section of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$, with image $g_{*} b$ as a section of $g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$. In the affine case, these maps is induced by the following one:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{\prime} \otimes_{B} \Omega_{B / A}^{1} & \rightarrow \Omega_{B^{\prime} / A^{\prime}}^{1} \\
b^{\prime} \otimes d_{B / A} & \mapsto b^{\prime} d g(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

This homomorphism satisfies an obvious transitivity property for a composition of commutative squares.

Proposition 1.14. If $(*)$ is cartesian, the canonical map $g^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X^{\prime} / Y^{\prime}}^{1}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. This is a local question, hence we may assume that all the schemes involved are affine. Then we get a commutative diagram of rings

with $A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} B \simeq B^{\prime}$. The sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow B \otimes_{A} B \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0
$$

splits as a sequence of $A$-module. Hence by applying $\otimes_{A} A^{\prime}$, we get an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} I \rightarrow B^{\prime} \otimes_{A^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore we have $A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} I \simeq I^{\prime}$ and a surjection $A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} I^{2} \rightarrow I^{\prime 2}$. Consider the diagram with the exact rows


The left vertical arrow is surjective and the middle one is bijective, so using the snake lemma we have $B^{\prime} \otimes_{B} \Omega_{B / A}^{1}=A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} I^{2} / I^{2} \simeq I^{\prime} / I^{\prime 2}=\Omega_{B^{\prime} / A^{\prime}}^{1}$
Proposition 1.15. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow S$ be two morphisms of schemes, then we have an exact sequence $f^{*} \Omega_{Y / S}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / S}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow 0$.
Proof. This is again a local question, hence we may assume $S=\operatorname{Spec} A, Y=$ Spec $B, Z=\operatorname{Spec} C$ are all affine. Then in this case, we need to show the following sequence is exact:

$$
C \otimes_{B} \Omega_{B / A}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{C / A}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{C / B}^{1} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

So we only need to show the sequence below is exact for any $C$-module $M$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{C / B}^{1}, M\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{C / A}^{1}, M\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(C \otimes_{B} \Omega_{B / A}^{1}, M\right)
$$

This is equivalent to show that this sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{B}(C, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{A}(C, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, M)
$$

is exact, which is followed from a direct calculation.

Corollary 1.16. Let

be a commutative square. If it is cartesian, then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
f^{\prime *} \Omega_{Y^{\prime} / Y}^{1} \oplus g^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \simeq \Omega_{X^{\prime} / Y}^{1}
$$

Proof. First we have an exact sequence as follows:

$$
g^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X^{\prime} / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X^{\prime} / X}^{1} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Using the canonical isomorphism $g^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \simeq \Omega_{X^{\prime} / Y^{\prime}}^{1}$, we get a commutative diagram


This implies that $\Omega_{X^{\prime} / Y}^{1}=g^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \oplus \Omega_{X^{\prime} / X}^{1}$, hence $\Omega_{X^{\prime} / Y}^{1} \simeq g^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \oplus f^{\prime *} \Omega_{Y^{\prime} / Y}^{1}$ as we have $\Omega_{X^{\prime} / X}^{1} \simeq f^{\prime *} \Omega_{Y^{\prime} / Y}^{1}$.
Corollary 1.17. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring extension, $S \subset B$ be a multiplicative system, then $S^{-1} \Omega_{B / A}^{1} \simeq \Omega_{S^{-1} B / A}^{1}$.
Proof. This is because any $A$-derivation $D: B \rightarrow M$ can be extends to an $A$-derivation $D^{\prime}: S^{-1} B \rightarrow S^{-1} M$ by defining $D^{\prime}(b / s)=s^{-2}(s D b-b D s)$, for $b \in B, s \in S$.

Corollary 1.18. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes, $x \in X, y=f(x)$ be two points, then we have a canonical isomorphism $\left(\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\right)_{x} \simeq \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{X, x} / \mathcal{O}_{Y, y}}^{1}$.
Proof. This is a local question, hence we may assume $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A, X=$ Spec $B$ are affine. So we get the following isomorphisms

$$
\left(\Omega_{B / A}^{1}\right)_{x} \simeq\left(\Omega_{B / A}^{1}\right) \otimes_{B} B_{x} \simeq \Omega_{B_{x} / A}^{1}
$$

On the other hand, we have an exact sequence

$$
B_{x} \otimes_{A_{y}} \Omega_{A_{y} / A}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{B_{x} / A_{y}}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{B_{x} / A}^{1} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\Omega_{A_{y} / A}^{1}=0$, so we have $\Omega_{B_{x} / A_{y}}^{1} \simeq \Omega_{B_{x} / A}^{1}$ which follows that $\Omega_{B_{x} / A_{y}}^{1} \simeq$ $\left(\Omega_{B / A}^{1}\right)_{x}$.
Proposition 1.19. Let

be a commutative diagram with $i$ a closed immersion defined by an ideal $I$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{X / Z}=I / I^{2}$ be conormal sheaf of $i$. Then $d_{Z / Y}: \mathcal{O}_{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1}$ induces an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-linear map: $\mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1}=\Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} / I \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1}$ and the sequence

$$
\mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \longrightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Moreover if $i_{1}: X \rightarrow Z_{1}$, the first infinitesimal neighborhood of $i$, admits a restriction, then the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \longrightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact and split.
Proof. For the first statement, we only need to show that the induce map $d: I \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1}$ maps $I^{2}$ to 0 . This is a local question, so we may assume that $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A, X=\operatorname{Spec} B, Z=\operatorname{Spec} C$ are all affine, and $B=C / J$ for an ideal $J$ of $C$. Then we have to show the map $J \rightarrow \Omega_{C / A}^{1} / J \Omega_{C / A}^{1}$ induced by $d_{C / A}$ maps $J^{2}$ to 0 . Indeed, for any $a, b \in J, d_{C / A}(a b)=a d_{C / A} b+b d_{C / A} a \in$ $J \Omega_{C / A}^{1}$, so $d_{C / A}\left(J^{2}\right) \subset J \Omega_{C / A}^{1}$, so $d_{C / A}$ induces a map $d: J / J^{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{C / A}^{1} / J \Omega_{C / A}^{1}$ and $d$ is $C$-bilinear (hence $B$-bilinear), because for any $a \in C, b \in J$, we have $d(a b)=a d(b)+b d(a)=a d(b)$.

Now in order to show the exactness of the sequence in the proposition, we may also focus on the affine case. So again, we assume that $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A, X=$ Spec $B, Z=\operatorname{Spec} C$ are all affine, and $B=C / J$ for an ideal $J$ of $C$. Then the sequence corresponds to a sequence of $B$-modules

$$
J / J^{2} \longrightarrow B \otimes \Omega_{C / A}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{B / A}^{1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad(*)
$$

We only need to show that for any $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(B)$,

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(\Omega_{B / A}^{1}, M\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(B \otimes_{C} \Omega_{C / A}^{1}, M\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(I \otimes_{C} B, M\right)
$$

is exact. Using the universal property, this follows from the exactness of

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{A}(B, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{C}(I, M),
$$

which can be checked directly.
For the last statement, assume we have a commutative diagram

where $i_{1}: X \hookrightarrow Z_{1}$ is the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the closed immersion $i: X \hookrightarrow Z$ with $I$ its ideal sheaf and $r: Z_{1} \rightarrow X$ is a retraction of $i_{1}$. By the conclusion we proved just now we have a commutative diagram with exact rows


Now we claim that the retraction $r$ gives a split morphism of the bottom exact sequence. In fact, the retraction $r: Z_{1} \rightarrow X$ gives a map $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{1}} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$ induced by $\operatorname{Id}-r^{*} i_{1}^{*}$. One can check that this is a $Y$-derivation, hence give a $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{1}}$-morphism $\Omega_{Z_{1} / Y}^{1} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$. By adjunction, we get $i_{1}^{*} \Omega_{Z_{1} / Y}^{1} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$ which splits the bottom exact sequence. It is easy to see that the bottom exact sequence splits implies that the top row also splits, this finishes the proof.

Corollary 1.20. Let $X$ be a $Y$-scheme locally of finite type (resp. locally of finite presentation), then $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ is of finite type (resp.finte presentation). Moreover, if $Y$ is locally noetherian, then $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$.
Proof. We may assume that $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A, X=\operatorname{Spec} B$ are affine, and $B$ is an $A$ algebra of finite type, hence we have a commutative diagram with exact row as follows:


So we have a exact sequence

$$
I / I^{2} \longrightarrow B \otimes_{A\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right]} \Omega_{A\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right] / A}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{B / A}^{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

We have seen before that $\Omega_{A\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right] / A}^{1} \simeq A\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right]^{n}$, so we have an epimorphism $B^{n} \rightarrow \Omega_{B / A}^{1}$, this implies that $\Omega_{B / A}^{1}$ is a finite $B$-module. Moreover, if we assume that $B$ is of finite presentation as an $A$-algebra, then we may assume $I$ is an ideal of $A\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right]$ of finite type. So as a $B=A\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right] / I-$ module, $I / I^{2}$ is of finite type, using the exact sequence above again, we see that $\Omega_{B / A}^{1}$ is of finite presentation as an $A$-module. The last conclusion is a direct consequence of the previous one and the definition of coherent modules.
Corollary 1.21. Let $k$ be a field, $X$ be a $k$-scheme. Given any rational point $x \in X(k)$, denote by $i_{x}$ the closed immersion $\{x\} \hookrightarrow X$, then we have an isomorphism $i_{x}^{*} \Omega_{X / k}^{1}=\left(\Omega_{X / k}^{1}\right)_{x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, x}} k(x) \simeq m_{x} / m_{x}^{2}$, where $\Omega_{X / k}^{1}=\Omega_{X / \text { Spec } k}^{1}$. Proof. Since $x \in X(k)$ is a rational point, we have a commutative diagram


Also, the rational point $x$ gives a retraction of $i_{x}$. From here we get an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow m_{x} / m_{x}^{2} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / k}^{1} \otimes k \longrightarrow \Omega_{k(x) / k}^{1}(\simeq 0) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

which implies that $i_{x}^{*} \Omega_{X / k}^{1}=\left(\Omega_{X / k}^{1}\right)_{x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, x}} k(x) \simeq m_{x} / m_{x}^{2}$.
Corollary 1.22. Let $k$ be a field, $k[\varepsilon]$ be a $k$-algebra defined by the relation $\varepsilon^{2}=0$. Denote by $i$ the natural closed immersion $\operatorname{Spec} k \hookrightarrow k[\varepsilon]$ and choose a rational point $x \in X(k)$ of $X$, then we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{x}=\{t \in$ $X(k[\varepsilon]) \mid x i=x\} \simeq\left(m_{x} / m_{x}^{2}\right)^{\wedge}$
Proof. By definition we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{x} & =\left\{h \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{x}}, k[\varepsilon] \mid \pi h=p\right\}\right. \\
& =\operatorname{Der}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}, k \varepsilon\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{Y / k}^{1} \otimes_{k(x)}, k\right) \\
& =\left(m_{x} / m_{x}^{2}\right)^{\wedge}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\pi: k[\varepsilon] \rightarrow k$ is the canonical map and $p: \mathcal{O}_{X, x} \rightarrow k$ is the morphism corresponding to the rational point $x$.

Proposition 1.23. Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} A, P=\mathbb{P}_{s}^{r}$, then there is a canonical exact sequence.

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{P / S}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P}^{r+1}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. Let $B=A\left[t_{0}, \cdots, t_{r}\right], L=B(-1)^{r+1}=\bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq r} B e_{i}$, where deg $e_{i}=1$, then $\mathcal{O}_{P}(-1)=\widetilde{B(-1)}, \mathcal{O}_{P}^{r+1}(-1)=\widetilde{L}$. Hence we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{u} B \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $u$ is defined as $\left(f_{0}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) \mapsto \sum f_{i} t_{i}$ and $M=\operatorname{Ker} u$. From here, we get a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow \widetilde{L} \xrightarrow{v=\widetilde{u}} \widetilde{B} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Using the Koszul complex $K_{\bullet}(u)$, on can see immediately that $M=<e_{i} t_{j}-$ $e_{j} t_{i}>$. This is a graded $B$-module such that $M_{\left(t_{i}\right)}$ is free over $B_{\left(t_{i}\right)}=A\left[\left(\frac{t_{j}}{t_{i}}\right)\right]$ with basis $\frac{e_{i} t_{j}-e_{j} t_{i}}{t_{i}^{2}}, i \neq j$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\Omega_{P / S}^{1} \left\lvert\, U_{i}=\left(\Omega_{A\left[\left(\frac{t_{j}}{t_{i}}\right)\right] / A}^{1}\right)^{\sim}=\bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i} B_{\left(t_{i}\right)} d\left(\frac{t_{j}}{t_{i}}\right)\right.,
$$

so we have a well-defined map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{i}: M_{\left(t_{i}\right)} \rightarrow \Omega_{A\left[\left(\frac{t_{j}}{t_{i}}\right)\right] / A}^{1} \\
& \frac{e_{i} t_{j}-e_{j} t_{i}}{t_{i}^{2}} \mapsto d\left(\frac{t_{j}}{t_{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

One can check that $\varphi_{i}\left|U_{i} \cap U_{j}=\varphi_{j}\right| U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, we get a global isomorphism $\varphi$.

$$
\varphi: \widetilde{M} \simeq \Omega_{P / S}^{1}
$$

hence the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{P / S}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P}^{r+1}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P} \longrightarrow 0
$$

In fact, we have something more. From the previous proposition, we get some exact sequence, which is denoted by $c_{i}$, for each $i(1 \leq i \leq r)$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{P / S}^{i} \xrightarrow{v} \bigwedge^{i} \mathcal{O}_{P}^{r+1}(-i) \xrightarrow{v} \cdots \xrightarrow{v} \mathcal{O}_{P}^{r+1}(-1) \xrightarrow{v} \mathcal{O}_{P} \xrightarrow{v} 0,
$$

where $\Omega_{P / S}^{i}=\Lambda^{i} \Omega_{P / S}^{1}$. So we get a quasi-isomorphism $\Omega_{P / S}^{i}[i] \rightarrow \sigma_{\geq-i} K^{\bullet}(v)$, in particular $\Omega_{P / S}^{r} \simeq \bigwedge^{r+1} \mathcal{O}_{P}^{r+1}(-r-1)=\mathcal{O}_{P}(-r-1)$ (by the exactness of Koszul complex). By the exactness of $c_{r}$, we have $c_{r} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{P}}^{r}\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}, \Omega_{P / S}^{r}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}, \Omega_{P / S}^{r}[r]\right)=H^{r}\left(P, \Omega_{P / S}^{r}\right)=H^{r}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}(-r-1)\right) \simeq A$. In fact, $c_{r}$ gives a basis of $=H^{r}\left(P, \Omega_{P / S}^{r}\right)$ over $A$, hence is called the "fundamental class". Similarly, $c_{i}$ gives a nontrivial class of $H^{i}\left(P, \Omega_{P / S}^{i}\right)$ and $H^{j}\left(P, \Omega_{P / S}^{i}\right)=$ $0, i \neq j$. Further more, we have $c_{i}=c_{1}^{i}$ given by the cup product defined as follows: let $a \in H^{i}\left(P, \Omega_{P / S}^{i}\right), b \in H^{j}\left(P, \Omega_{P / S}^{j}\right)$ given by $a: \mathcal{O}_{P} \rightarrow \Omega_{P / S}^{i}[i]$ and $b: \mathcal{O}_{P} \rightarrow \Omega_{P / S}^{j}[j]$, then we have a map: $(a, b) \mapsto a b \in H^{i+j}\left(P, \Omega_{P / S}^{i+j}\right)$ defined as the composition of $\mathcal{O}_{P} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P}}^{L} \mathcal{O}_{P} \xrightarrow{a \otimes^{L} b} \Omega_{P / S}^{i}[i] \otimes \Omega_{P / S}^{j}[j]=\Omega^{i} \otimes \Omega^{j}[i+j]$.

Theorem 1.24. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes, $\Omega_{X / Y}^{r}=$ $\Lambda^{i} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$, then there exists a unique family of maps of abelian sheaves $d$ : $\Omega_{X / Y}^{i} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{i+1}$ such that
(1) $d=f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$-basis;
(2) $d \circ d=0$;
(3) $d(a \wedge b)=d a \wedge b+(-1)^{i} a \wedge d b$, for any $a \in \Gamma\left(U, \Omega^{i}\right), b \in \Gamma\left(U, \Omega^{j}\right)$, where $U \subset X$ is an open set;
(4) $d=d_{X / Y}: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$.

Sketch of Proof. Since we have $\Gamma\left(U, \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{X}(U) d\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)\right)$ for any affine open subscheme $U \subset X$, hence an element of $\Gamma\left(U, \Omega_{X / Y}^{i}\right)$ can be written as $\omega=\sum a d b_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d b_{i}$ for some $a$ and $b_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$, so we have $d\left(a d b_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge\right.$ $\left.d b_{i}\right)=d a \wedge d b_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d b_{i}$. Hence the uniqueness is clear.

For the existence: first since the uniqueness we proved just now, we may focus on the case that $X=\operatorname{Spec} B, Y=\operatorname{Spec} A$ are affine. In this case, $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}=\left(\Omega_{B / A}^{1}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\Omega_{X / Y}^{\bullet}=\left(\Lambda \Omega_{B / A}^{1}\right)^{\sim}$. So, we only need to construct an antiderivation $D: \bigwedge \Omega_{B / A}^{1} \rightarrow \bigwedge \Omega_{B / A}^{1}$ of degree 1 such that (1) $D(b)=d(b)$ for any $b \in B$ and (2) $D\left(a \cdot d b_{1} \wedge d b_{2} \cdots \wedge d b_{s}\right)=d a \wedge d b_{1} \cdots d b_{s}$ for any $a, b_{1}, \cdots b_{s} \in B$. We first treat a special case, that is when $B=A\left[\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}\right]$
where $I$ is an index set imposed with a total order. In this situation, we have known that $\left\{d t_{i}\right\}_{i} \in I$ forms a $B$-basis of the free $B$-module $\Omega_{B / A}^{1}$. Hence $\left\{d t_{i_{1}} \wedge d t_{i_{2}} \cdots \wedge d t_{i_{r}} \mid i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{r}\right\}$ is a $B$-basis of $\bigwedge \Omega_{B / A}^{1}$. Then any element $\omega \in \bigwedge \Omega_{B / A}^{1}$ can be written uniquely as follows

$$
\omega=\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r}, r<\infty} a d t_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots d t_{i_{r}}
$$

and so we define
$D \omega=\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r}, r<\infty} d a \wedge d t_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots d t_{i_{r}}=\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r}, r<\infty} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial t_{j}} d t_{j} \wedge d t_{i_{1}} \cdots \wedge d t_{i_{r}}$.
One can check that indeed such a definition gives an antiderivation of $\bigwedge \Omega_{B / A}^{1}$ of order 1 satisfying (1) and (2). For the general case, see EGA IV, 16.6.2.

Remark. The morphism $d$ defined in the previous theorem is called exterior derivation. Using this construction, we get a complex of abelian sheaves $\Omega_{X / Y}^{\bullet}=\left(\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X / Y}^{i} \rightarrow \cdots\right)$, which is called de Rham complex of $X / Y$. The cohomology group of this complex $H^{\bullet}\left(X, \Omega_{X / Y}^{\bullet}\right)=H_{d R}^{\bullet}(X / Y)$ is called de Rham cohomology.

## 2 Smooth unramified étale morphisms

Definition 2.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes, then $f$ is called formally smooth (resp. unramified, resp. étale), if and only if for any diagram of the form $(*)$ with $i$ a thickening of order 1 , locally on $T_{0}$, there exists at least(resp. at most, resp. exactly) one $g$ making the diagram commutes. It is equivalent to say that $\mathcal{H o m}\left(T_{0}, X\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}\left(T_{0}, X\right)$ is surjective(resp. injective, resp. isomorphism).

$f$ is called smooth(resp. unramified, resp. étale), if and only if $f$ is formally smooth (resp. unramified resp. étale) and locally of finite presentation.

Remark. (1) If $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow Z$ are formally smooth, then $g f$ is formally smooth. The same holds in the case that $f, g$ are ormally unramified (resp. formally étale).
(2) Formal smoothness (resp. smoothness) is stable under base change, that is if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is formal smooth (resp. smooth), and

is a cartesian diagram, then $f^{\prime}$ is also formally smooth (resp. smooth).
(3) Let $X=\bigcup_{i \in I} U_{i}, U_{i}$ is open, $U_{i} / Y$ is smooth for any $i$, then $X / Y$ is smooth.
(4) If locally there exists $g$ on $T_{0}$, then in the étale case, we can extend $g$ globally, and such extension is uniquely.
(5) In the previous definition, the condition on the thickening can be replaced by any thickening of order $n$ for any positive $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, given a thickening $T_{0} \hookrightarrow T$ of order $n$, we can construct a chain of thickening such that the consecutive two are a thickening of order 1 as follows

$$
T_{0} \hookrightarrow T_{1} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow T_{n-1} \hookrightarrow T
$$

where $T_{i}=\left(|T|, \mathcal{O}_{T} / I^{i+1}\right)$. Then using the commutative diagram below

we can reduce to the previous case.
We give some examples of smooth morphism.
Example 2.1.1. Consider the commutative diagram:


Then $f$ is smooth since by the following diagram

there exists a morphism $h$ making the diagram commute.
Corollary 2.2. The morphism $f: \mathbb{P}_{S}^{n} \rightarrow S$ is a smooth morphism.
Theorem 2.3. 1) A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is unramified if and only if $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}=0$.
2) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth, $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ is locally free of finite type.
3) Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} S$ be morphisms of schemes.
a) Assume that $f$ is smooth, then the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow f^{*} \Omega_{Y / S}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / S}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

is exact and locally split.
$\left.a^{\prime}\right)$ Assume $f$ étale, then $f^{*} \Omega_{Y / S}^{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{X / S}^{1}$
b) Assume that $g \circ f$ is smooth, and (*) is exact and locally split, then $f$ is smooth.
$\left.b^{\prime}\right)$ Assume $g \circ f$ smooth, and $f^{*} \Omega_{Y / S}^{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{X / S}^{1}$, then $f$ is étale.
4) Consider a commutative diagram

where $i$ is a closed immersion with ideal $\mathcal{I}$, and let $\mathcal{N}_{X / Z}=\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}$. Then
a) Assume that $f$ is smooth, then the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \xrightarrow{d_{Z / Y}} i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad(* *)
$$

is exact and locally split.
a') Assume that $f$ is étale, then $\mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1}$.
b) Assume that $g$ is smooth. If ( $* *$ ) is exact and locally split, then $f$ is smooth.
b') Assume that $g$ is smooth. If $\mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1}$, then $f$ is étale.

Lemma 2.4. Consider a commutative diagram:

where $i$ is a thickening of order 1 with ideal $\mathcal{I}$, and $g_{1} i=g_{2} i=g_{0}$. Then $g_{2}^{*}-g_{1}^{*}: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow g_{0 *} \mathcal{O}_{T}$ factors through $g_{0 *} \mathcal{I}$, and

$$
g_{2}^{*}-g_{1}^{*} \in \operatorname{Der}_{Y}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, g_{0 *} \mathcal{I}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, g_{0 *} \mathcal{I}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{T_{0}}\left(g_{0}^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \mathcal{I}\right) ;
$$

the homomorphism $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow g_{0 *} \mathcal{I}$ corresponding to the derivation $g_{2}^{*}-g_{1}^{*}$ sends $d_{X / Y}(a)$ to $g_{2}^{*}(a)-g_{1}^{*}(a) \in g_{0 *} \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. We may assume all schemes are affine. Then we have the following commutative diagram:


Define $\varphi: B \rightarrow C, b \mapsto \varphi(b)=g_{2}(b)-g_{1}(b)$. We need to verify that $\varphi \in \operatorname{Der}_{A}\left(B, I_{[B]}\right)$. In fact, $\varphi(a b)=a \varphi(b)$, for all $a \in A$. And for $x, y \in B$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x y) & =g_{2}(x y)-g_{1}(x y) \\
& =g_{2}(x)\left(g_{2}(y)-g_{1}(y)\right)+g_{1}(y)\left(g_{2}(x)-g_{1}(x)\right) \\
& =x \varphi(y)+y \varphi(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (1). Consider the commutative diagram:


Assume $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}=0$, we want to show $g_{1}=g_{2}$. However $g_{2}^{*}-g_{1}^{*} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{T_{0}}\left(g_{0}^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \mathcal{I}\right)=$ 0 , hence $g_{1}=g_{2}$.

Conversely, consider the commutative diagram:

where $\left(X \times_{Y} X\right)_{1}$ is the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the diagonal $\Delta$. As $f$ is unramified, we have $0=p_{2}^{*}-p_{1}^{*} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}\right)$. On the other hand, $p_{2}^{*}-p_{1}^{*}=d_{X / Y}: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ which corresponds to the identity Id : $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$. So $I d_{\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}}=0_{\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}}$, which implies that $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}=0$.

For the proof of Theorem 2.3 (2), we need some preliminaries.
Definition 2.5. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism, $\mathcal{I} \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$. A $Y$ extension of X by $\mathcal{I}$ is a commutative diagram:

where $i$ is a thickening of order 1 defined by the ideal $\mathcal{I}$.
An isomorphism of $Y$-extensions

$$
\left(X^{\stackrel{i^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow}} X^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(X \xrightarrow{i^{\prime \prime}} X^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

is a Y-morphism $a: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$, such that $a i^{\prime}=i^{\prime \prime}$ and $a$ induces the identity map on $\mathcal{I}$, i.e., the following diagrams

commute. Note that $a^{*}$ is an isomorphism.

Remark. Given a commutative diagram:

with exact row, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an $f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$-algebra, $p$ is a homomorphism of $f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$-algebras, and $\mathcal{I}^{2}=0$. One can show $(|X|, \mathcal{A})$ is a scheme $X^{\prime}$, such that $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$ and $X^{\prime}$ is a $Y$-extension of $X$ by $\mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2.6. $\operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})=\{$ isomorphism classes of $Y$-extensions $\}$.
One can endow $\operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})$ with the structure of an abelian group with 0 element being the class of the trivial $Y$-extension, i.e. $X^{\prime}$ defined by $\mathcal{O}_{X}{ }^{\prime}=$ $\mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus \mathcal{I}=D(\mathcal{I})($ the dual number algebra on $\mathcal{I})$, and $f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$ defined by $f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$ with canonical morphisms. The addition in $\operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})$ is defined as follows: given two elements $e_{1}, e_{2} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}: \text { class of }\left(0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0\right) \\
& e_{2}: \text { class of }\left(0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we construct the following commutative diagram:

where $\mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is the diagonal map, $\mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ is the sum map, $\mathcal{O}_{X_{3}}=\mathcal{I} \bigoplus_{\mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{I}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{1}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{2}}\right)$. Then $e_{1}+e_{2}$ is the class of the extension $\left(0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0\right)$. One shows that $e_{1}+e_{2}$ does not depend on the choices and that we thus obtain a structure of abelian group on $\operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})$ as desired. The proof is similar to the construction of structure of abelian group on the set of isomorphism classes $\operatorname{Ext}(L, M)$ of $L$ by $M$ in an abelian category.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (4)(a). Consider the commutative diagram:

where $Z_{1}$ is the first infinitesimal neighborhood of $X$ in $Z$. As $f$ is smooth, locally there exists $r \in \operatorname{Hom}_{Y}\left(Z_{1}, X\right)$, such that $r \circ i_{1}=\mathrm{Id}$, i.e., such that the following diagram commutes:


Therefore $r$ gives a map:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi: i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \\
(d a)^{-} \mapsto\left(-r^{*} i_{1}^{*} a+a\right)^{-}=\varphi(\bar{a}), \quad a \in \mathcal{O}_{Z}
\end{gathered}
$$

where ( $)^{-}$represents a class $\bmod \mathcal{I}$. The map $\varphi$ is inverse to $\mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$. So

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact and locally split.
Lemma 2.7. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be smooth, $\mathcal{I} \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$. Following 2.3 (4)(a), define $\varphi: \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X / Y}, \mathcal{I}\right)$ by

$$
\text { class of }\left(\underset{Y}{X^{\prime}} \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} X^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \text { class of }\left(0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow 0\right) \text {. }
$$

Then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. We easily checks that $\varphi$ is a well defined group homomorphism. Define

$$
\psi: \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \mathcal{I}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})
$$

in the following way. Given an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{u} E \xrightarrow{v} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

we form the commutative diagram:

where $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}=\left(\mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus E\right) \times_{\mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}} \mathcal{O}_{X}$. We define

$$
\psi(E)=\binom{X^{c} \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} X^{\prime}}{l^{\prime}} .
$$

It sufficient to check that $\varphi \psi=\mathrm{Id}, \psi \varphi=\mathrm{Id}$.
The fact that $\psi \varphi=\mathrm{Id}$ is clear. We verify $\varphi \psi(E)=E$. Note that $p-q$ induces a $Y$-derivation: $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow E$, and hence a morphism $\alpha: i^{*} \Omega_{X^{\prime} / Y}^{1} \rightarrow E$. Considering the following commutative diagram:

we conclude that $\alpha$ is an isomorphism.
Lemma 2.8. Let $X$ be a scheme, $E \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$ be of finite type. Assume that for any $F \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X), \mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{1}(E, F)=0$, then $E$ is locally free.

Proof. Locally we can write

$$
0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0 \quad(*)
$$

with $L$ free of finite type. So $F \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$. Then by the assumption, (*) locally splits, which implies that $E$ is locally free.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (2). For any $\mathcal{I} \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$, by 2.7 , we get

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X / Y}, \mathcal{I}\right),
$$

so

$$
\mathcal{E} x t_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X / Y}, \mathcal{I}\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{E} x t_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})$ denotes the sheaf associated to $U \mapsto \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}\left(U,\left.\mathcal{I}\right|_{U}\right)$. As $f$ is smooth, locally any $Y$-extension of $X$ by $\mathcal{I}$ admits a $Y$-retraction, i.e. any $Y$ extension of $X$ by $\mathcal{I}$ is (locally) trivial. So $\mathcal{E} x t_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})=0=\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X / Y}, \mathcal{I}\right)$, then $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ is locally free of finite type by 2.8 .

Lemma 2.9. Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} S$ be morphisms of schemes with $f$ affine. Let $\mathcal{I} \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$. Then the following sequence is exact.

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Der}_{Y}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{I}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{I}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right)  \tag{*}\\
& \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I}) \stackrel{\gamma}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Ext}_{S}(X, \mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \operatorname{Ext}_{S}\left(Y, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \partial$ are defined as follows:
(1) For any $D \in \operatorname{Der}_{Y}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{I}\right)$, define $\alpha(D): \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{f_{*} D} f_{*} I$.
(2) For any $D \in \operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$, define

where $f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus \mathcal{I}$ corresponds to $\left(f^{*}, D\right): \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus f_{*} \mathcal{I}$.
(3) For a class $E \in \operatorname{Ext}_{S}(X, \mathcal{I})$ as follows:

define

by the following commutative diagram:

where the upper row is exact, since $f$ is affine.
Proof. We only prove the exactness at $\operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right), \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, I), \operatorname{Ext}_{S}(X, \mathcal{I})$.
(a) Exactness at $\operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$. Assume that $D \in \operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{I}\right)$, then $\alpha(D): \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{f_{*} D} f_{*} \mathcal{I}$. Define $(1, D): \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus \mathcal{I}$, it is easy to verify that the diagram:

is commutative. So $\partial \circ \alpha(D)$ is trivial in $\operatorname{Ext}_{Y}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{I}\right)$. And hence $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha) \subset$ $\operatorname{Ker}(\partial)$.

Assume $D \in \operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$, and $\partial(D)=0$. Then there exists a mor$\operatorname{phism} \varphi=\left(1, D_{1}\right): \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus \mathcal{I}$ making the diagram:

commutes, which implies that $D_{1}$ is a $S$-derivation and $\alpha\left(D_{1}\right)=D$. Hence $D_{1} \in \operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{I}\right)$ and then $\operatorname{Ker}(\partial) \subset \operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$. So the sequence is exact at $\operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$.
(b) Exactness at $\operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, I)$. Assume $D \in \operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$. Then clearly, the following diagram:

commutes. Hence $\gamma \circ \partial(D)$ is trivial in $\operatorname{Ext}_{S}(X, \mathcal{I})$. So $\operatorname{Im} \partial \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\gamma)$.
Let $E$ defined by

be a $Y$-extension whose image in $\operatorname{Ext}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{I}\right)$ is trivial. Then there exists an $\mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}}$-homomorphism $r: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$ such that $p \circ r=\mathrm{Id}$. Then we can write $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}=\mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus \mathcal{I}$ and $r=(\operatorname{Id}, D)$, and $D: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ is an $S$-derivation. Then $u=\left(f^{*}, D\right)$, which shows that the class of $E$ is $\partial(D) . \operatorname{So} \operatorname{Ker}(\gamma) \subset \operatorname{Im} \partial$.
(c) Exactness at $\operatorname{Ext}_{S}\left(Y, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$. Assume that $E$ defined by

is an element in $\operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})$. Consider the commutative diagram


By the property of fiber product, there exists $r: \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}}$, such that $p \circ r=\mathrm{Id}$, making the following diagram:

commutes. Hence $\beta \circ \gamma(E)=0$
Assume

and $E \in \operatorname{Ker}(\beta)$. Then there exists $r: \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}}$, making the diagram

commutes. Hence we can define

$$
\psi: f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \xrightarrow{f^{-1} r} f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{f^{-1} p} f^{-1} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}
$$

making the diagram

commutes, which implies $E \in \operatorname{Im}(\gamma)$. So the sequence is exact at $\operatorname{Ext}_{S}\left(Y, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (3)(a). It is a local problem, so we may assume that $X=\operatorname{Spec}(C), Y=\operatorname{Spec}(B), S=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ are affine, so that $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow S$ corresponds to $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$. We will show that the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow C \otimes_{B} \Omega_{B / A}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{C / A}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{C / B}^{1} \rightarrow 0 \quad(*)
$$

is exact and split. It is equivalent to showing that $\operatorname{Hom}((*), I)$ is exact, for any $I \in \operatorname{Mod}(C)$. By 2.9,

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{B}(C, I) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{A}(C, I) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{A}\left(B, I_{[B]}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, I)
$$

is exact. By $2.3(2), \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ is locally free of finite type, hence $\Omega_{C / B}^{1}$ is projective of finite type. So $\operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}\left(\Omega_{C / B}^{1}, I\right)=0$, and $\operatorname{Ext}_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})=0$. So $\operatorname{Hom}((*), \mathcal{I})$ is exact.
Lemma 2.10. $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $f$ is formally smooth.
(b) For any open subset $U \subset X$, and any $\mathcal{I} \in Q \operatorname{coh}(U),{\mathcal{E} x t_{Y}}(U, \mathcal{I})=0$

Proof. $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ is clear. For $(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$, we will show there exists $g$ making the following diagram commutes.


We may assume all schemes are affine. Then the above diagram corresponds to the following diagram:


Consider the following commutative diagram:

where $E=R \times_{R_{0}} C$. By the property of fiber product, we get a $Y$-extension of $X$ by $I$,


Since $\mathcal{E x t}{ }_{Y}(X, I)=0$, then $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow E \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ splits, so there exists $s$ : $C \rightarrow E$, such that $h_{2} \circ s=$ Id. Then $g=h_{1} \circ s$ is the required morphism.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (3)(b). As $g \circ f$ is smooth, then $\mathcal{E} x t_{S}(X, \mathcal{I})=0$. We may assume $X, Y, S$ are affine. Using 2.9, we get an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{I}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{Der}_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, f_{*} \mathcal{I}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{I}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the exactness and local splitting of the sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow f^{*} \Omega_{Y / S}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / S}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

we get that $\alpha$ is surjective. This implies $\mathcal{E} x t_{Y}(X, \mathcal{I})=0$. Together with 2.10, we conclude that $f$ is smooth.

When $f^{*} \Omega_{Y / S}^{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{X / S}^{1}$, then $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}=0$, and hence $f$ is étale, using Theorem 2.3 (1).

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (4)(b). By 2.10, it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{E} x t_{Y}(X, J)=$ 0 , for any $J \in Q \operatorname{coh}(X)$.

Suppose $X^{\stackrel{j}{\longrightarrow}} X^{\prime}$ is a $Y$-extension of $X$ by $J$. Since $g$ is smooth, there exists $h: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$, extending $i$. We have the following commutative diagram:


Let $i_{1}: X \rightarrow Z_{1}$ be the first infinitesimal neighborhood of $X$ in $Z$. We have a commutative diagram with exact rows


Let $R$ (resp. $R_{1}$ ) denote the set of retractions of $d_{Z / Y}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.d_{Z_{1} / Y}\right)$. By the composition with the middle vertical arrow of (*) we get a map $R_{1} \rightarrow R$, one can show it is an isomorphism. So a splitting of

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

gives a derivation $D: \mathcal{O}_{Z_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ and a retraction $r: Z_{1} \rightarrow X$, such that Id $-r^{*} \circ i_{1}^{*}=D$, and $r \circ h_{1}$ retracts $j$. Therefore $\mathcal{E x t} t_{Y}(X, J)=0$.

If $\mathcal{N}_{X / Z} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1}$ is an isomorphism, $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}=0$ and $f$ is étale.
This completes the proof of 2.3.
Corollary 2.11. The morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth if and only if locally $X$ is étale over $\mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n}$. More precisely, suppose $f$ is smooth, and let $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n} \in$ $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, such that $\left(d s_{1}, \cdots, d s_{n}\right)$ is a basis of $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{X}$, where $d=$ $d_{X / Y}$ (such a system exists locally by 2.3 (1)). Then the morphism $s: X \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n}$ given by $\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}\right)$ is étale.

Proof. We have a commutative diagram:


If $X$ is étale over $\mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n}$, then $X$ is smooth over $Y$ by the stability of smoothness.

For the second assertion, note that by definition of $s$, the map $\mathcal{O}_{X}^{n}=$ $s^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n} / Y}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ is an isomorphism. Apply 2.3 (3)(b), we get that $s$ is étale.

Lemma 2.12. Let $A$ be a local ring, $k=A / \mathfrak{m}$ be its residue field. Let $E, F \in \operatorname{Mod}(A), E$ be of finite type and $F$ be projective. Let $u: E \rightarrow F$ be a homomorphism. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $u$ is injective and split. (i.e. there exists $v: F \rightarrow E$, such that $v \circ u=\mathrm{Id}$ )
(2) $u \otimes k: E \otimes k \rightarrow F \otimes k$ is injective.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ is clear. We only prove $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$.
(a) Assume $E$ is free of finite type. By hypothesis, since $F$ is projective and $E \rightarrow E \otimes k$ is surjective, there exists $v: F \rightarrow E$ such that $v u \otimes k=\operatorname{Id}_{E \otimes k}$.

Then $\operatorname{det}(v u) \in 1+\mathfrak{m}$, which implies that $v \circ u$ is an isomorphism. Thus $u$ is injective and split.
(b) General case. There exists $L$ free of finite type, such that $w: L \rightarrow E$ is surjective, and $L \otimes k \xrightarrow{\sim} E \otimes k$. Then $u w \otimes k$ is injective. By case (a), we get that $u \circ w$ is injective and split. Then $w$ is an isomorphism, and thus $u$ is injective and split.

Corollary 2.13 (Jacobian criterion). Suppose we have a commutative diagram:

with $g$ smooth. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of the closed immersion $i$. Let $x$ be a point of $X$, then $f$ is smooth at $x$ if and only if there exist sections $\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ of $\mathcal{I}$ around $x$, such that $\left(\left(s_{i}\right)_{x}\right)$ generate $\mathcal{I}_{x}$, and $d_{Z / Y}\left(s_{i}\right) \otimes k(x) \in \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \otimes k(x)$ are linearly independent.

In particular, for $Z=\mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n}, f$ is smooth at $x$ if and only if $f$ can be defined by $s_{1}=\cdots=s_{r}=0$ locally around $x$, where the $s_{i}$ 's are sections of $\mathcal{O}_{Z}$, such that

$$
\operatorname{rk}_{k(x)}\left(\partial s_{i} / \partial t_{j}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}(x)=r,
$$

where $\left(\partial s_{i} / \partial t_{j}\right)(x) \in k(x)$.
Proof. First we prove the necessity. If $f$ is smooth at $x$, then the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact and split around $x$. This implies the sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2} \otimes k(x) \longrightarrow \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \otimes k(x) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \otimes k(x) \longrightarrow 0 \\
s_{i} \otimes k(x) \longmapsto d_{X / Y} s_{i} \otimes k(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

is exact. Pick up $\left(s_{i}\right)$ such that $s_{i} \otimes k(x)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{I}_{x} / \mathcal{I}_{x}^{2} \otimes k(x)$. Using Nakayama's lemma, we get that $\left(s_{i}\right)$ is a minimal system of generators of $\mathcal{I}_{x}$.

Then we prove the sufficiency. We have a commutative diagram:


Since $k(x)^{r} \rightarrow \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \otimes k(x)$ is injective, then $k(x)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}_{x} / \mathcal{I}_{x}^{2} \otimes k(x)$. Hence by $2.12, d_{Z / Y}$ is injective and split around $x$. Then we get the conclusion by 2.3 (4)(b).

Corollary 2.14. Suppose we have a commutative diagram:

with $f, g$ smooth at $x$, and $i$ a closed immersion. Then there exists an open subset $U \subset Z$ containing $x$, such that the diagram:

is cartesian, with $h$ étale.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal of $i$. Choose sections $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}$ of $\mathcal{I}$ around $x$, and local sections $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Z}$ around $x$, such that $d f_{1} \otimes$ $k(x), \cdots, d f_{r} \otimes k(x), d g_{1} \otimes k(x), \cdots, d g_{n} \otimes k(x)$ is a basis of $\Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \otimes k(x)$, and $\left(f_{1}\right)_{x}, \cdots,\left(f_{r}\right)_{x}$ generate $\mathcal{I}_{x}$. By Nakayama's lemma, $d f_{1}, \cdots, d f_{r}, d g_{1}, \cdots, d g_{n}$ give a basis of $\Omega_{Z / Y}^{1}$ around $x$. Hence we have a cartesian diagram:

where $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n+r}$ is defined by $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}, f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}$, and $\mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n+r}$ by $\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$. So $h$ is étale, by 2.11.

## 3 Smoothness, flatness and regularity

We recall the definition of regular local rings.
Let $A$ be a noetherian local ring. $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal, $k$ be the residue field. Then $d=\operatorname{dim} A \leq \operatorname{rank}_{k} \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2} . A$ is regular if and only if the following equivalent conditions hold:
(1) $d=\mathrm{rk}_{k} \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$.
(2) There exists $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d} \in \mathfrak{m}$ generating $\mathfrak{m}$.
(3) $g r_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) \simeq S_{k}\left(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}\right) \simeq k\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{d}\right]$.

A sequence $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d}\right) \in A^{d}$ is called a regular system of parameters if $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d}$ generate $\mathfrak{m}$, i.e., $\left(\overline{x_{1}}, \cdots, \overline{x_{d}}\right)$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$, where $\overline{x_{i}}$ is the image of $x_{i}$ in $k$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $A$ be a regular local ring, $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal, $\operatorname{dim} A=d$. Let $I$ be an ideal contained in $\mathfrak{m}, B=A / I$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
(1) $B$ is regular.
(2) There exists a regular system of parameters $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d}\right)$ of $A$ such that $I=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} A$

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). We assume $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)$ is part of a regular system of parameters of $A$, then $\operatorname{dim} B=d-r$ as $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)$ is part of a system of parameters ([EGA0] IV 16.3.7). Let $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{m} / I$ be the maximal ideal of $B$, then we have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(\mathfrak{m}^{2}+I\right) / \mathfrak{m}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n}^{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad(*)
$$

Since $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}$ generate $I$ and their images are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}, \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{2}+I\right) / \mathfrak{m}^{2}=r$. So $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n}^{2}=d-r=\operatorname{dim} B$, which implies that $B$ is regular.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ : We assume $B$ is regular. Suppose $\operatorname{dim} B=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n}^{2}=$ $d-r$. Using the exact sequence $(*)$, we get $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{2}+I\right) / \mathfrak{m}^{2}=r$. Take $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r} \in I$, such that the images of $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}$ in $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ are linearly independent. Choose $x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_{d} \in \mathfrak{m}$, such that $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d}\right)$ is a regular system of parameters of $A$. Let $I^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} A \subset I$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I / I^{\prime} \rightarrow A / I^{\prime} \rightarrow A / I \rightarrow 0
$$

By $(2) \Rightarrow(1), A / I^{\prime}$ is regular and $\operatorname{dim} A / I^{\prime}=d-r=\operatorname{dim} A / I$. As $A / I$ is regular, $A / I$ is a domain, hence $I / I^{\prime}$ is prime, but since $\operatorname{dim} A / I^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} A / I$, then $I=I^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3.2 (Serre). $A$ is a regular local ring of dimension $d$ if and only if the global (homological) dimension $\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim}(A)$ is equal to $d$.

Corollary 3.3. If $A$ is a regular local ring, and $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, then $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular.

Proof. Let $J$ be an ideal in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $J=I_{\mathfrak{p}}$, for some $I \subset A$. As $A$ is regular, $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(A / I, M)=0$ for $i>d$, and any $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(A)$. Thanks to the isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(A / I, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ext}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}} / I_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right),
$$

we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}} / I_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=0$ for $i>d$, which (by 3.2) implies that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular.

Corollary 3.4. Let $X$ be noetherian. If $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is regular at all closed point $x$, then $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is regular for all $x$.

Proof. $X$ is noetherian and hence quasi-compact. For any $y \in X$, there exists a closed point $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$. So we may assume $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$. Since every closed point corresponds to a maximal ideal, $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Therefore $A_{\mathfrak{p}}=\left(A_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular by 3.3, where $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$.

Definition 3.5. Let $X$ be a scheme. $X$ is called regular if $X$ is locally noetherian and $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is regular for any $x \in X$.

Remark. If $X$ is regular, then the connected components of $X$ are the irreducible components of $X$ and any component is open (cf. [EGAI] 6.1.10).

Recall that if $X / k$ is of finite type, where $k$ is a field, then $x \in X$ is closed if and only if $[k(x): k]<\infty$ by Hilbert Nullstellensatz.

Let $X / k$ be integral and of finite type. Let $\eta$ be the generic point of $X$. Then $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{deg}_{k} k(\eta)=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ if $x$ is a closed point.

Proposition 3.6. Let $X / k$ be of finite type. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $X / k$ is étale.
(2) $\Omega_{X / k}^{1}=0$, i.e., $X$ is unramified.
(3) $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$, where $A=\prod_{i=1}^{n} K_{i}, K_{i} / k$ is finite separable extension.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is trivial.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ We may assume $X$ is affine. Let $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$. We have to show that if $\bar{k}$ is an algebraic closure of $k, A \otimes \bar{k}=\bar{k}^{N}$.

Let $Z=\operatorname{Spec}(A \otimes \bar{k}), x$ be a closed point in $Z$. Then $k(x)=\bar{k}, Z=X \otimes \bar{k}$. $\Omega_{X / k}^{1}=0$ implies $\Omega_{Z / \bar{k}}^{1}=0$. Since $x \in Z(\bar{k})(x$ is rational $), \mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2} \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\Omega_{Z / \bar{k}}^{1} \otimes k(x)$ implies $\mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}=0$, and hence $\mathfrak{m}_{x}=0$. So $\mathcal{O}_{Z, x}=k(x)=k$.
$(3) \Rightarrow$ (1) We may assume $X=\operatorname{Spec} K, K / k$ is finite separable. Then $K=k[T] /(f)$, where $f^{\prime}(x) \neq 0,\{x\}=\operatorname{Spec} K$. Apply Jacobian criterion to

we get $X / k$ is smooth, and hence $X / k$ is étale.
Theorem 3.7. Let $k$ be a field, and $X / k$ be of finite type.
(1) If $X$ is smooth over $k$, then $X$ is regular. Moreover, if $X$ is integral, then $\mathrm{rk} \Omega_{X / k}^{1}=\operatorname{dim} X$.
(2) If $k$ is perfect, and $X$ is regular, then $X / k$ is smooth.

Proof. (1) We have to check $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is regular for all closed point $x \in X$. Let $x \in X$ be a closed point, we have $[k(x): k]<\infty$.

We may assume that we have a commutative diagram

where $i$ is a closed immersion of ideal $\mathcal{I}$. Pick up $\left(f_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$, such that $\mathcal{I}_{x}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(f_{i}\right)_{x} \mathcal{O}_{Z, x}$, and $d_{Z / k} \otimes k(x)$ are linearly independent. Let $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{Z, x}$. Since the following diagram commutes

$$
\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2} \otimes k(x) \xrightarrow{\substack{d_{Z / k}}} \Omega_{\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}}^{1} \otimes k(x)
$$

where $\varphi: f_{i} \otimes k(x) \mapsto\left(f_{i}\right)_{x} \bmod \mathfrak{m}^{2}$, the $\left(f_{i}\right)_{x} \bmod \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$, so $\left(\left(f_{1}\right)_{x}, \cdots,\left(f_{r}\right)_{x}\right)$ is part of a regular system of parameters of $\mathcal{O}_{Z, x}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}=\mathcal{O}_{Z, x} / \mathcal{I}_{x}$ is regular, by 3.1.

Since $X$ is smooth over $k$, the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{Z / k}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / k}^{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact. As $\operatorname{rk}\left(\Omega_{Z / k}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=n+r$ and $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}\right)=r, \operatorname{rk} \Omega_{X / k}^{1}=n=\operatorname{dim} X$.
(2) We will apply Jacobian criterion to

where $x$ is any closed point, and $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal of the closed immersion $i$. It is sufficient to show

$$
d \otimes k(x): \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2} \otimes k(x) \rightarrow \Omega_{Z / k}^{1} \otimes k(x)
$$

is injective.
Since $k$ is perfect, $k(x) / k$ is separable and hence $\Omega_{k(x) / k}^{1}=0$, by 3.6.
Consider the exact sequences:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2} \otimes k(x) & \rightarrow \Omega_{Z / k}^{1} \otimes k(x)  \tag{*}\\
\mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2} & \rightarrow \Omega_{X / k}^{1} \otimes k(x) \rightarrow \Omega_{k(x) / k}^{1} \rightarrow 0 \quad(* *)
\end{align*}
$$

The sequence $\left(^{*}\right)$ implies $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{Z / k}^{1} \otimes k(x) \geq n$, and the sequence $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ implies $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{Z / k}^{1} \otimes k(x) \leq n$. So $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{Z / k}^{1} \otimes k(x)=n$, and hence $d \otimes k(x)$ is injective. So $X / k$ is smooth at $x$, using the Jacobian criterion.

Corollary 3.8. Let $k$ be a field, and $X / k$ be of finite type. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $X / k$ is smooth.
(2) For any extension $k^{\prime} / k, X \otimes k^{\prime}$ is regular.
(3) There exists a perfect extension $k^{\prime} / k$, such that $X \otimes k^{\prime}$ is regular.

Proof. We only proof $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$. Let $X^{\prime}=X \otimes k^{\prime}$. Since $k^{\prime}$ is perfect, $X^{\prime}$ is smooth over $k^{\prime}$ by 3.7. Since $X / k$ is of finite type, there exists some $n$ and a
closed immersion $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$. Using base change, we have a similar closed immersion: $i^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{k^{\prime}}^{n}$. For any $x \in X$, let $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ be an inverse image of $x$ of the canonical map $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}^{\prime}$ ) be the ideal of $i$ (resp. $i^{\prime}$ ), let $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}, \mathcal{N}^{\prime}=\mathcal{I}^{\prime} / \mathcal{I}^{\prime 2}$. As $X^{\prime} / k^{\prime}$ is smooth,

$$
d_{\mathbb{A}_{k^{\prime}}^{n} / k^{\prime}} \otimes k\left(x^{\prime}\right): \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \otimes k\left(x^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_{k^{\prime}}^{n} / k^{\prime}} \otimes k\left(x^{\prime}\right)
$$

is injective by $2.3(4)(\mathrm{a})$ and 2.12 . Since $k \rightarrow k^{\prime}$ is flat, one can show that $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \otimes k(x) \cong \mathcal{N} \otimes k\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, and $i^{\prime *} \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_{k^{\prime}}^{n} / X^{\prime}}^{1} \otimes k\left(x^{\prime}\right)=i^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n} / X}^{1} \otimes k\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \otimes k\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\substack{d_{\AA_{k^{\prime}}^{n} / k^{\prime}} \otimes k\left(x^{\prime}\right)}} i^{i^{* *}} \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_{k^{\prime}}^{n} / X}^{1} \otimes k\left(x^{\prime}\right) . \\
\mathcal{N} \otimes k(x) \xrightarrow{d_{A_{k}^{n} / k} \otimes k(x)} i^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n} / X}^{1} \otimes k(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

with the vertical arrows injective, which follows that $d_{\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n} / k} \otimes k(x)$ is injective. Therefore $X$ is smooth over $k$ by 2.12 and 2.10(4)(b).

Theorem 3.9. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be locally of finite presentation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $f$ is smooth.
(2) $f$ is flat, and for any $y \in Y, X_{y} / y$ is smooth.

Lemma 3.10. Let $A$ be an artinian local ring, with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and the residue field $k=A / \mathfrak{m}$. Let $E$ be an $A$-module, then $E \otimes k=0$ implies $E=0$.

Proof. Since $A$ is an artinian local ring, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n}=0$. Then $E \otimes k=0$ implies

$$
E=\mathfrak{m} E=\mathfrak{m}^{2} E=\cdots \mathfrak{m}^{n} E=0
$$

Lemma 3.11. Let $A$ be an artinian local ring, $M$ be an $A$-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $M$ is free.
(2) $M$ is flat.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is clear.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ : Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $A$ and $k$ be the residue field. As $M$ is flat, $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}(M, k)=0$. Let $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ be the elements of $M$ whose images in $M / \mathfrak{m} M$ form a $k$-basis. Let $P$ be a free $A$-module with basis $\left(e_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ and $\phi$ be the homomorphism from $P$ into $M$ which maps $e_{\alpha}$ to $x_{\alpha}$. By 3.10, $\phi$ is surjective. Let $N$ be its kernel. The exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0
$$

gives rise to the exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{1}(P, k)=0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}(M, k)=0 \rightarrow N / \mathfrak{m} N \rightarrow P / \mathfrak{m} P \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}} M / \mathfrak{m} M \rightarrow 0
$$

As $\bar{\phi}$ is bijective, $N / \mathfrak{m} N=0$ and hence $N$ is zero.
Lemma 3.12. Let $A, B$ be noetherian local rings, $A \rightarrow B$ be a local morphism. Let $E, F$ be finitely generated $B$-modules, and $F$ be flat over $A$. Assume $u \otimes k: E \otimes k \rightarrow F \otimes k$ is injective, then $u$ is injective, and Coker $u$ is flat over $A$.

Proof. (Raynaud) Let $A_{n}=A / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}, E_{n}=E \otimes A_{n}, F_{n}=F \otimes A_{n}$. First we show that $u_{n}: E_{n} \rightarrow F_{n}$ is injective and split. Since $F_{n}$ flat over $A_{n}, F_{n}$ is free over $A_{n}$, by 3.11. Take a basis of $E_{n} \otimes k$, lift its image in $F_{n} \otimes k$ into a part of basis of $F_{n}$, which forms a free submodule $L^{\prime}$, making the following diagram commutes:

where $\varphi$ is defined in the obvious way. We have $\varphi$ is surjective by Nakayama's lemma, and hence $\varphi$ is an isomorphism. So the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{n} \xrightarrow{u_{n}} F_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is injective and split. Then $F_{n}$ is flat over $A_{n}$ implies $\operatorname{Coker}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is flat over $A_{n}$ for any $n$. Consider the commutative diagram

where $E \rightarrow \hat{E}$ and $F \rightarrow \hat{F}$ are injective ([B] III $\S 5$, Proposition 2). So $E \rightarrow F$ is injective. Therefore $\operatorname{Coker}(u)$ is flat over $A([\mathrm{~B}]$ III $\S 5$, Theorem 1).
Lemma 3.13. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a local morphism, with $\left(A, \mathfrak{m}_{A}\right)$, $\left(B, \mathfrak{m}_{B}\right)$ noetherian local rings, $f \in \mathfrak{m}_{B}$. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $B$-module. If $M / f^{n+1} M$ is flat over $A$ for any $n \geq 0$, then $M$ is flat over $A$.
Proof. It is sufficient to show for any $N^{\prime} \hookrightarrow N$, where $N^{\prime}, N$ are finitely generated $A$-modules, $u: M \otimes_{A} N^{\prime} \rightarrow M \otimes_{A} N$ is injective.

As $M \otimes_{A} N^{\prime}$ is finitely generated $B$-module, it is separated for the $f$-adic topology. Let $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(u)$. For any $n \geq 0$, the map $M / f^{n+1} M \otimes_{A} N^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $M / f^{n+1} M \otimes_{A} N$ is injective, by the assumption that $M / f^{n+1} M$ is flat. Then we deduce that $x \in f^{n+1}\left(M \otimes_{A} N^{\prime}\right)$ from the commutative diagram:


Thus $x \in \bigcap_{n} f^{n+1}\left(M \otimes_{A} N^{\prime}\right)=0$. So $u$ is injective, and hence $M$ is flat over $A$.

Proposition 3.14. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a local morphism, with $\left(A, \mathfrak{m}_{A}\right),\left(B, \mathfrak{m}_{B}\right)$ noetherian local rings, $k=A / \mathfrak{m}_{A}$. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $B$-module, $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r} \in \mathfrak{m}_{B}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $M$ is flat over $A$, and $\left(f_{1} \otimes k, \cdots, f_{r} \otimes k\right)$ is $(M \otimes k)$-regular.
(2) $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right)$ is $M$-regular, and $M / \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_{i} M$ is flat over $A$

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) By induction on $r$, we may reduce the case to $r=1$. By assumption, $f \otimes k: M \otimes k \rightarrow M \otimes k$ is injective, and $M$ is flat over $A$. Thus $f$ is injective and $M / f M$ is flat, by 3.12.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ By induction on $r$, we may reduce to the case $r=1$. Consider the exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow M / f M \rightarrow 0 \quad(*)
$$

$M / f M$ is flat over $A, M / f M$ is free over $A$ by 3.11 , and hence $(*)$ splits. So $f \otimes k: M \otimes k \rightarrow M \otimes k$ is injective. It remains to show $M$ is flat over $A$. Consider the exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow M / f M \xrightarrow{f^{n}} M / f^{n+1} M \rightarrow M / f^{n} M \rightarrow 0
$$

by induction on $r$, we get that $M / f^{n+1} M$ is flat for any $n$. Hence $M$ is flat over $A$ by 3.13.

Recall that a closed immersion $i: Y \rightarrow X$ of locally noetherian schemes is regular at $x \in Y$ if the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $i$ can be locally defined by $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}$ at $x$, such that $\left(f_{i}\right)_{x}$ is a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ (this is equivalent to saying that the Koszul complex $K .\left(f_{i}\right)$ is a resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ around $\left.x\right)$.

Corollary 3.15. Consider the following commutative diagram:

where $i$ is a closed immersion, $S$ is locally noetherian, $X \rightarrow S$ is locally of finite type. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $i_{s}$ is regular at $x$, and $X$ is flat over $S$ at $x$ (i.e. $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}$-module).
(2) $Y$ is flat over $S$ at $x$, and $i$ is regular at $x$.

Proof. Apply 3.14 to $A=\mathcal{O}_{S, s}, B=\mathcal{O}_{X, x}, M=\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$.
Corollary 3.16. $i$ is regular and $Y$ is flat if and only if $X$ is flat over $S$ and $i_{s}$ is regular for any $s \in S$.

Proof of Theorem 3.9. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Assume $f$ is smooth, we need to prove (a): $X_{y}$ is regular for any $y \in Y$, (b): $f$ is flat. (a) is trivial. So it sufficient to prove (b). We have the commutative diagram

where $x \in X_{y}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of $i$, let $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}$ be local sections of $\mathcal{I}$ at $x$ such that $\left(f_{i}\right)_{x}$ is a minimal system of generators of $\mathcal{I}_{x}$ (i.e. $f_{i} \otimes k(x)$ is a
basis of $\mathcal{N} \otimes k(x)$. Define the diagram

$$
0 \longrightarrow N \otimes k(x) \longrightarrow \Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \otimes k(x) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \otimes k(x) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

the images of $f_{i} \otimes k(x)$ are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{m}_{X_{y}, x} / \mathfrak{m}_{X_{y, x}}^{2}$. Hence $\left(f_{i}\right)_{x}$ is part of a regular system of parameters of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{y}, x}$, in particular, $\left(f_{i}\right)_{x}$ form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{X_{y}, x}$. So $f_{i}$ form a regular sequence and $X$ is flat over $Y$ at $x$, by 3.14.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ :exercise.
End of the proof of Theorem3: To show that (2) implies (1), we must show that for any point $x \in X, f$ is smooth at $x$. Let $y=f(x)$. Since the problem is local on $X$, we may assume $X$ is embedded in some $Z=\mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n+r}$ with ideal $\mathcal{I}$.


Then we have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z, x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $f$ is flat, applying $\otimes k(y)$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y, y}} k(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_{y}, x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{y, x}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Suppose $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{r}$ generate $\mathcal{I} \otimes k(y)$ and $d g_{1}(x), \cdots, d g_{r}(x)$ are linearly independent on $k(y)$ in $\Omega_{Z_{y / y}}^{1} \otimes k(x)=\Omega_{Z / Y}^{1} \otimes k(x)$. Lift $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{r}$ to $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}$ in $\mathcal{I}_{x}$, then $d f_{1}(x), \cdots, d f_{r}(x)$ are linearly independent on $k(x)$. By Nakayama's Lemma, $\mathcal{I}_{x}$ is generated by $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}$. Applied the Jacobian criterion, it follows that $f$ is smooth at $x$. This completes the proof of Theorem
Remark. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism. Then $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ is locally free of finite type. For a point $x$ in $X$, let $y=f(x)$ and $\Omega_{X_{y} / y}^{1}=\Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{y}}$, then the integer

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{k(x)} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \otimes k(x)=\mathrm{rk}_{k(x)} \Omega_{X_{y} / y}^{1}
$$

is called the relative dimension of $f$ at $x$. This is a locally constant function of $x$. By classical dimension theory, it's just the dimension of the irreducible component of the $X_{y}$ containing $x$. Obviously, for $f$ to be étale, it's necessary and sufficient that $f$ is smooth with relative dimension 0 .

Corollary 3.17. A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is étale if and only if $f$ is of finite presentation, flat and $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}=0$.

Proof. The "only if" part, is clear. Conversely, by Theorem 3.9, we only need to show that $X_{y} \rightarrow y$ is smooth. But since $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \otimes k(y)=\Omega_{X_{y} / y}^{1}=0$, by Proposition 3.6, $X_{y} \rightarrow y$ is étale, in particular smooth.

Corollary 3.18. Consider the following diagram:

where $f, g$ are smooth, and $i$ is a closed immersion. Then $i$ is a regular immersion.

Proof. For a point $x$ in $X$, let $U$ be an affine open neighborhood of $x$ in $Z$, such that we have the following cartesian diagram :

where $h$ is étale. Then $h^{*}\left(t_{i}\right)=f_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{U}\right)(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+r)$, and $\mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n}$ is the linear subspace with equations $t_{1}=\cdots=t_{r}=0$. Thus $X \cap U$ is the closed subscheme in $U$ defined by the ideal $I=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right)$. For $i$ to be regular at $x$, it suffices that $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}$ is a regular sequence, i.e. $\epsilon: K .\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \cap U}$ is a quasi-isomorphism, where $K_{\bullet}\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right)$ is the Kozsul complex. But the quasi-isomorphism $\epsilon_{0}: K_{\bullet}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{r}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n}}$, remains a quasi-isomorphism by tensoring it with $\mathcal{O}_{U}$, since $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{Y}^{n+r}$ is flat by Theorem 3.9, and $\epsilon_{0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}=\epsilon$.

Before stating the next corollary, we first recall some basic definitions in linear algebra. Let $k$ be a field, and $E$ be a finite dimensional $k$-vector space.

Let $V$ and $W$ be two subspaces of $E$, then we say $V$ and $W$ are transversal in $E$, if $E=V+W$. In this case we have

$$
\operatorname{codim}(V \cap W, E)=\operatorname{codim}(V, E)+\operatorname{codim}(W, E)
$$

(i.e. there exists a decomposition of $E=V^{\prime} \oplus(V \cap W) \oplus W^{\prime}$ such that $V^{\prime} \oplus(V \cap W)=V$ and $\left.(V \cap W) \oplus W^{\prime}=W\right)$.

Corollary 3.19 (Transversality). Let $X$ be a scheme over $S$, and $Y, Z$ be two closed subschemes of $X$. Then we have the following cartesian diagram:


Suppose that $X, Y, Z$ are smooth over $S$, and $t$ is a point in $Y \cap Z$. From the natural maps: $N_{Y / X} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{X / S}^{1}$ and $j^{*} \Omega_{X / S}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{Z / S}^{1}$, it follows that

$$
h^{*} N_{Y / X} \rightarrow h^{*} i^{*} \Omega_{X / S}^{1}=k^{*} j^{*} \Omega_{X / S}^{1} \rightarrow k^{*} \Omega_{Z / S}^{1} .
$$

After tensoring $k(t)$, one gets a canonical map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{Y / X} \otimes k(t) \rightarrow \Omega_{Z / S}^{1} \otimes k(t) \tag{3.19.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) The canonical map (3.19.1) is injective.
(2) The analogous canonical map $N_{Z / X} \otimes k(t) \rightarrow \Omega_{Y / S}^{1} \otimes k(t)$ is injective.
(3) $T_{Y / S} \otimes k(t)$ and $T_{Z / S} \otimes k(t)$ are transversal in $T_{X / S} \otimes k(t)$.

When (1)-(3) are satisfied at $t$, then they are satisfied in a neighborhood of $t$ and the natural map

$$
N_{Y \cap Z / X} \rightarrow N_{Y \cap Z / Y} \bigoplus N_{Y \cap Z / Z}
$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, for any $s \in S$, $\operatorname{codim}_{t}\left((Y \cap Z)_{s}, X_{s}\right)=\operatorname{codim}_{t}\left((Y \cap Z)_{s}, Y_{s}\right)+\operatorname{codim}_{t}\left((Y \cap Z)_{s}, Z_{s}\right)$.

The proof of this corollary is quite elementary, we leave it as an exercise.

## 4 Smoothness and Deformations

Let $G$ be a sheaf of groups on a space $X$. A left $G$-sheaf on $X$ is a sheaf $E$ equipped with a map of sheaves: $G \times E \longrightarrow E$, satisfying:
(i) For any open subset $U$ of $X, g(h a)=(g h)(a)$ for $g, h \in G(U), a \in E(U)$;
(ii) $e a=a$ for any $a \in E(U)$, where $e \in G(U)$ is the neutral element.

A $G$-morphism (a $G$-equivariant morphism) between $G$-sheaves is a map $u: E \rightarrow F$ commuting with the action of $G$, such that $u(g a)=g u(a)$ for $g \in G(U)$ and $a \in E(U)$.

Definition 4.1. A $G$-torsor on $X$ (or a torsor under $G$ on $X$ ) is a $G$-sheaf $E$ having the following properties:
(1) For any open subset $U$ of $X$ and $a, b \in E(U)$, there exists a unique $g \in G(U)$ such that $g a=b$;
(2) For any $x \in X, E_{x}$ is not empty, or equivalently, there exists an open covering $\left(U_{i}\right)$ of $X$, such that $E\left(U_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$.

Example 4.1.1. Let $X=\{p t\}$ (the space with one point), then $G$ is just a group, and a $G$-sheaf $E$ is just a $G$-set. $E$ is a $G$-torsor if and only if $E$ is an affine space under $G$, i.e. $E$ is nonempty and for any $a, b \in E$, there exists a unique $g \in G$ such that $g a=b$.
When $E$ is a $G$-torsor, if one chooses $a_{0} \in E$, then the map $G \rightarrow E$ given by $g \mapsto g a_{0}$ is an isomorphism of $G$-sets.

Remark. Let $E$ be a $G$-sheaf on $X$. Assume $E$ satisfies 4.1 (1). Then if for some $U$ open in $X, E(U) \neq \emptyset$, then by taking some $a \in E(U)$, one obtains an isomorphism of $G$-sheaves $\left.\left.G\right|_{U} \rightarrow E\right|_{U}$ given by $g \mapsto g\left(\left.a\right|_{V}\right)$, where $V$ is an open subset of $U$ and $g \in G(V)$.
Hence for a $G$-sheaf $E, E$ is a $G$-torsor if and only if $E$ is locally $G$-isomorphic to $G$ acting on itself by left translations. And any $G$-equivariant morphism of $G$-torsors $u: E \rightarrow F$ is actually an isomorphism.

Definition 4.2. Let $E$ be a $G$-torsor. $E$ is called trivial if $E$ is isomorphic to $G$ acting on itself by left translations.

Note that $E$ is trivial if and only if $E(X)$ is nonempty.
4.3. Cohomology Class of a Torsor (Commutative Case) Let $G$ be a
sheaf of abelian groups on $X, E$ be a $G$-torsor on $X$, and we will write the action of $G$ on $E$ additively, i.e. " $g+a$ " instead of " $g a$ ".

Let $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of $X$, and $s_{i} \in E\left(U_{i}\right)$. Denote $U_{i_{0}} \cap$ $\cdots \cap U_{i_{p}}$ by $U_{i_{0} \cdots i_{p}}$. There exists a unique $g_{i j} \in G\left(U_{i j}\right)$ such that

$$
\left.s_{j}\right|_{U_{i j}}-\left.s_{i}\right|_{U_{i j}}=g_{i j} .
$$

Then

$$
\left.\left(g_{i j}-g_{i k}+g_{j k}\right)\right|_{U_{i j k}}=0
$$

i.e. $\left(g_{i j}\right) \in \check{Z}^{1}(\mathcal{U}, G)=Z^{1} \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, G)$. Let $c(E) \in H^{1}(X, G)$ be the image of $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ in $H^{1}(X, G)$ by the canonical map:

$$
\check{Z}^{1}(\mathcal{U}, G) \rightarrow \check{H}^{1}(\mathcal{U}, G) \rightarrow H^{1}(X, G) .
$$

Proposition 4.4. $c(E)$ does not depend on the choice of $\left(\mathcal{U}, s_{i}\right)$. Moreover $E \mapsto c(E)$ induces a bijection:

$$
\operatorname{Tors}(X, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{1}(X, G),
$$

where Tors $(X, G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of $G$-torsors on $X$. The class of trivial torsors corresponds to the zero element in $H^{1}(X, G)$. In particular, a $G$-torsor $E$ is trivial if and only if $c(E)=0$.
4.5. Preliminary on Čech Cohomology: We have seen before that there is a natural map $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, G) \rightarrow H^{n}(X, G)$, and we want to look at it more closely. A covering $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ of $X$ is said to refine $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$, if there exists a map $\varphi: J \rightarrow I$ such that $V_{j} \subset U_{\varphi(j)}$ for any $j \in J$. Then $\varphi$ induces a natural map: $\varphi^{*}: \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, G) \rightarrow \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{V}, G)$ given by

$$
\left(\varphi^{*} a\right)_{j_{0} \cdots j_{n}}=\left.a_{\varphi\left(j_{0}\right) \cdots \varphi\left(j_{n}\right)}\right|_{V_{j_{0} \cdots j_{n}}} .
$$

It's easily checked that $\varphi^{*}$ is actually a map of complexes between $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, G)$ and $\check{C}(\mathcal{V}, G)$, and that for two maps $\varphi, \psi$ from $J$ to $I$, the resulting maps $\varphi^{*}, \psi^{*}$ are homotopic, i.e.

$$
\varphi^{*}-\psi^{*}=h d+d h
$$

where $h: \check{C}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, G) \rightarrow \check{C}^{n-1}(\mathcal{V}, G)$ is given by

$$
(h(s))_{j_{0} \cdots j_{n-1}}=\left.\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k} s_{\psi\left(j_{0}\right) \cdots \psi\left(j_{k}\right) \varphi\left(j_{k}\right) \cdots \varphi\left(j_{n-1}\right)}\right|_{V_{j_{0} \cdots j_{n-1}}} .
$$

Thus one gets a well defined (independent of $\varphi$ ) map:

$$
\rho_{\mathcal{U V}}: \check{H}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, G) \rightarrow \check{H}^{n}(\mathcal{V}, G) .
$$

This $\rho_{\mathcal{U V}}$ makes the following diagram commute:


Hence one gets a natural map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\longrightarrow} \check{H}^{n}(\mathcal{U}, G) \rightarrow H^{n}(X, G) \tag{4.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ runs through the open covering of $X$.
Lemma 4.6. The map (4.5.1) is bijective for $n=0,1$.
Proof. For $n=0$, it follows from $\check{H}^{0}(\mathcal{U}, G)=H^{0}(X, G)=\Gamma(X, G)$. Suppose that $n=1$. Take an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{p} M \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $L$ flasque. Then one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow C^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, G) \xrightarrow{u} C^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, L) \rightarrow C^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, M) \tag{4.6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $D^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})$ the cokernel of $u$. Then one gets a long exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, G) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, L) \xrightarrow{\varphi u} H^{0}\left(D^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})\right) \rightarrow \check{H}^{1}(\mathcal{U}, G) \rightarrow 0
$$

since $L$ is flasque. Now in the diagram

note that $\psi_{\mathcal{U}}$ is injective, $H^{1}(X, G)=$ Coker $\eta$ and $\check{H}^{1}(\mathcal{U}, G)=\operatorname{Coker} \varphi_{\mathcal{u}}$. Then by Snake Lemma, one obtains

$$
0 \rightarrow \check{H}^{1}(\mathcal{U}, G) \rightarrow H^{1}(X, G) \rightarrow \text { Coker } \psi_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow 0
$$

By passing to the limit, we see that it only remains to show that

$$
\xrightarrow{\lim } \operatorname{Coker} \psi_{\mathcal{U}}=\operatorname{Coker}\left(\lim _{\longrightarrow} H^{0}\left(D^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})\right) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, M)\right)=0 .
$$

Since (4.6.1) is exact, for any $s \in \Gamma(X, M)$ there exists an open covering $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$, such that $\left.s\right|_{U_{i}}=p\left(t_{i}\right)$, where $t_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, L\right)$. Hence $\psi_{\mathcal{U}}\left(p\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=s$, this shows that the morphism $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } H^{0}\left(D^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U})\right) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, M)$ is surjective. Our conclusion follows from it.

Proof of Proposition (sketch): (a) First, we verify that the map $E \mapsto c(E)$ (denoted by $\varphi$ ) does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{U}$. Suppose there are two coverings, say $\mathcal{U}_{1}=\left(U_{1 i}\right)_{i \in I_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{2}=\left(U_{2 i}\right)_{i \in I_{2}}$, and a torsor $E$ with Čech cocycles $\left(g_{1 i j}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2 i j}\right)$ respectively. Then one can find a third covering $\mathcal{V}$ of $X$, which is a common refinement of $\mathcal{U}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{2}$. It's easily checked that in $\left(g_{1 i j}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2 i j}\right)$ has the same image in $\dot{H}^{1}(\mathcal{V}, G)$.
(b) We give a map $\psi: H^{1}(X, G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tors}(X, G)$ inverse to $\varphi$. Suppose there is an element $\xi$ in $H^{1}(X, G)$ represented by a Čech cocycle $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ for some open covering $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $X$. We can associate to it a torsor under $G$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \longmapsto E(U)=\left\{\left(s_{i} \in G\left(U_{i} \cap U\right)_{i \in I}\right)\left|s_{j}\right|_{U_{i} j \cap U}-\left.s_{i}\right|_{U_{i} j \cap U}=\left.g_{i j}\right|_{U_{i j} \cap U}\right\} . \tag{4.6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One verifies that $E$ is a $G$-sheaf and $\left.\left.E\right|_{U_{i}} \simeq G\right|_{U_{i}}$. This $E$ is actually a $G$-torsor. Then we define $\psi(\xi)$ to be the isomorphism class of $E$. One can verify that it does not depend on the representing Čech cocycle.
Immediately we note that the class of $\psi(\xi)$ in $H^{1}(X, G)$ is the class of $\left(g_{i j}\right)$. This proves $\varphi \psi=I d$. Conversely, given a torsor $F$, let $c(F)$ be its corresponding cohomology class. Then it is represented by a Čech cocycle $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ for some open covering $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$, i.e. $\left.t_{i}\right|_{U_{i j}}-\left.t_{j}\right|_{U_{i j}}=g_{i j}$, where $t_{i} \in F\left(U_{i}\right)$. Then according to the above construction, $\psi(c(F))$ is represented by a torsor $E$ defined in (4.6.3). Hence for an element $s=\left(s_{i}\right)$ in $E(U)$, one has

$$
\left.s_{i}\right|_{U_{i} j \cap U}+\left.t_{i}\right|_{U_{i} j \cap U}=\left.s_{j}\right|_{U_{i} \cap \cap U}+\left.t_{j}\right|_{U_{i} j \cap U} .
$$

This shows that $\left(\left.s_{i}\right|_{U_{i} j \cap U}+\left.t_{i}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U}\right)$ paste together to give a section in $F(U)$. This gives a well defined $G$-equivariant map $u: E \rightarrow F$. Hence it follows that $\psi \varphi=I d$.

Remark. Since $H^{1}(X, G)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{X}, G\right)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{X}$ is the constant $\mathbb{Z}$-sheaf on $X$, one gets another description of the correspondence between cohomology classes and torsors. Let

$$
0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z}_{X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

be an element in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{X}, G\right)$, then we relate it to the torsor defined by $E=p^{-1}(1)$, where $1 \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{X}\right)$.

Example 4.6.1. For $G=\mathcal{O}_{X}^{*}$, an $\mathcal{O}_{X}^{*}$-torsor is just an invertible sheaf (or a line bundle) on $X$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on $X$, and $\left(e_{i} \in \mathcal{L}\left(U_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ a local basis of $\mathcal{L}$. Then there exist $g_{i j} \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{*}\right)$ such that $e_{j}=g_{i j} e_{i}$ on $U_{i j}$. The $\operatorname{map} \mathcal{L} \mapsto\left(g_{i j}\right)$ gives an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(X) \simeq H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{*}\right),
$$

where $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{O}_{X}^{*}$ torsors on $X$.
Theorem 4.7. Consider the diagram

where $f$ is smooth, and $i$ is a first-order thickening with ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$.
(a) There exists an obstruction

$$
o\left(i, g_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(g_{0}^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \mathcal{I}\right)
$$

whose vanishing is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a global Ymorphism $g: T \rightarrow X$ extending $g_{0}$.
(b) If o $\left(i, g_{0}\right)=0$, then the set of extensions of $g_{0}$ is an affine space under $\operatorname{Hom}\left(g_{0}^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \mathcal{I}\right)$.

Proof. First note that since $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}$ is locally free of finite type,

$$
G:=R \mathcal{H o m}\left(g_{0}^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \mathcal{I}\right)=\mathcal{H o m}\left(g_{0}^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \mathcal{I}\right) \simeq g_{0}^{*} T_{X / Y} \otimes \mathcal{I}
$$

hence

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{i}\left(g_{0}^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \mathcal{I}\right)=H^{i}\left(T_{0}, g_{0}^{*} T_{X / Y} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)=H^{i}\left(T_{0}, G\right)
$$

We also note that an extension $g$ of $g_{0}$ is completely determined by its corresponding morphism $g^{*}: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T}$. Let $E$ be the sheaf on $T_{0}$ given by

$$
U_{0} \longmapsto\left\{g^{*} \mid g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{Y}(U, X), g_{0}=g \circ i\right\}
$$

where $U$ is the open subscheme of $T$ corresponding to $U_{0}$. Then $E$ is a $G$-sheaf: for any $g^{*}$ in $E\left(U_{0}\right)$ and $D$ in $G\left(U_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Der}_{Y}\left(X, g_{0 *} \mathcal{I}\right), g^{*}+D$ is also in $E\left(U_{0}\right)$. Actually, $E$ is a $G$-torsor, since locally there exist extensions of $g_{0}$ (because $f$ is smooth), and for any $g_{1}^{*}, g_{2}^{*}$ in $E\left(U_{0}\right), g_{1}^{*}-g_{2}^{*} \in G(U)$. Thus one gets a cohomology class $c(E) \in H^{1}\left(T_{0}, G\right)$, which is the desired obstruction $o\left(i, g_{0}\right)$. When $o\left(i, g_{0}\right)=0, E \simeq G$ as a $G$-sheaf, hence $E\left(T_{0}\right)$, the set of global extensions of $g_{0}$, is an affine space under $G\left(T_{0}\right)=H^{0}\left(g_{0}^{*} \Omega_{X / Y}^{1}, \mathcal{I}\right)$.

Corollary 4.8. In the diagram (4.7.1), if $T$ is affine, then the obstruction $o\left(i, g_{0}\right)$ vanishes, hence global extensions of $g_{0}$ exists.

Proof. Indeed, $g_{0}^{*} T_{X / Y} \otimes \mathcal{I}$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf on $T_{0}$, and

$$
H^{1}\left(T_{0}, g_{0}^{*} T_{X / Y} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)=0
$$

since $T_{0}$ is affine.
Definition 4.9. Let $Y_{0} \rightarrow Y$ be a first-order thickening with ideal $\mathcal{I}$, and $f_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ be a smooth morphism. A deformation of $X_{0}$ over $Y$ is a flat morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $X_{0}=Y_{0} \times_{Y} X$, such that one has the following cartesian diagram

with $f$ flat.
Remark. (a) If $f$ is a deformation of $f_{0}$, then $f$ is smooth according to Theorem 3.9.
(b) In the cartesian diagram (4.9.1), if $f_{0}$ is flat, then for $f$ to be flat it is necessary and sufficient that $f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I} \simeq \mathcal{J}$ by the flatness criterion.

In the sequel, we sometimes use the phrase "smooth lifting" (or"ifting" for short) instead of "deformation". For a given smooth $f_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ and thickening $Y_{0} \rightarrow Y$, an isomorphism between two liftings, $f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow Y$ and $f_{2}: X_{2} \rightarrow Y$, is a morphism $a: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$, such that the following diagram

commutes and $f_{1}=f_{2} \circ a$. We note that it's just a map of $Y$-extensions of $X_{0}$ by $f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}$, hence automatically an isomorphism from $X_{1}$ to $X_{2}$.

Theorem 4.10. Let $f_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ be a smooth morphism, and $i: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y$ a first-order thickening with ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$.
(a) There exists an obstruction

$$
o\left(f_{0}, i\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}^{2}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)
$$

whose vanishing is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a lifting of $X_{0}$ over $Y$.
(b) When $o\left(f_{0}, i\right)=0$, the set of isomorphism classes of liftings is an affine spaces under the group $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$.
(c) The group of automorphisms of a lifting $X$ is naturally identified with $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$.

Proof. First of all, we note that since $\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}$ is locally free of finite type, there is, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}^{i}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right) \simeq H^{i}\left(X_{0}, G\right) \tag{4.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G:=\mathcal{H}$ om $\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right) \simeq T_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \otimes f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}$.
Assertion (c) is a special case of 4.7 (b), the identification associates with an automorphism $u$ of $X$ the "derivation" $u-I d_{X}$.
For assertion (b), if $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are two liftings of $X_{0}$, consider the sheaf

$$
E: U_{0} \longmapsto\left\{a:\left.X_{1}\right|_{U_{1}} \rightarrow X_{2} \text { extending } I d_{U_{0}}\right\}
$$

where $U_{0}$ is a open subscheme of $X_{0}$, and $U_{1}$ the corresponding open subscheme in $X_{1}$. As in the proof of $4.7, E$ is a torsor under

$$
\mathcal{H o m}\left(\Omega_{X_{1} / Y}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)=\mathcal{H o m}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)
$$

Let $E\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$ be the obstruction to the existence of a global isomorphism $X_{1} \simeq X_{2}$ according to 4.7. Fix $X_{1}$, then one checks the map $X \longmapsto E\left(X_{1}, X\right)$ gives a bijection between isomorphism classes of liftings of $X_{0}$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$. We note that if $X_{0}$ is affine, then $\mathcal{H o m}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf on $X_{0}$. Thus $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$ vanishes by (4.10.1), hence all liftings of $X_{0}$ over $Y$ are isomorphic.

Sketch of proof of (a): First we claim that for a point $x \in X_{0}$, there exists an open neighborhood $U_{0}$ of $x$, such that there exists a lifting $U$ of $U_{0}$ over $Y$. We may assume that $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A$ is affine. Then $X_{0}$ is also affine with $\operatorname{ring} A_{0}=A / I$. By the Jacobian criterion, there is an open neighborhood $V_{0}$ of $x$, such that one has a commutative diagram

where $i_{0}$ is a closed immersion with ideal $J=\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{r}\right)$, such that $d g_{1}(x), \cdots$, $d g_{r}(x)$ are linearly independent. Hence there exists an open neighborhood $U_{0}$ of $x$ in $V_{0}$, such that $d g_{1}(y), \cdots, d g_{r}(y)$ are linearly independent for any $y \in U_{0}$. Now choose $\tilde{g}_{1}, \cdots, \tilde{g}_{r}$ to be the lifting of $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{r}$ in $A\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n+r}\right]$. Let $V=V\left(\tilde{g}_{1}, \cdots, \tilde{g}_{r}\right)$ be the closed subscheme of $A_{Y}^{n+r}$, and $U$ be the open subscheme of $V$ corresponding to $U_{0}$. Then $d \tilde{g}_{1}(y), \cdots, d \tilde{g}_{r}(y)$ are linearly independent for any $y \in U$. Again by the Jacobian criterion, $U$ is smooth over $Y$, hence a lifting of $U_{0}$ over $Y$.
Secondly we prove (a) under the assumption that $X_{0}$ is separated. Choose an affine open covering $\mathcal{U}=\left(\left(U_{i}\right)_{0}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $X_{0}$, such that for each $i \in I$, we have a lifting $U_{i}$ of $\left(U_{i}\right)_{0}$ over $Y$. Since $X_{0}$ is assumed to be separated, each $\left(U_{i j}\right)_{0}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{0} \cap\left(U_{j}\right)_{0}$ is affine. Consequently by (b), there exists an isomorphism $g_{j i}:\left.\left.U_{j}\right|_{\left(U_{i j}\right)_{0}} \tilde{\rightarrow} U_{i}\right|_{\left(U_{i j}\right)_{0}}$, which is completely determined by the induced map

$$
f_{j i}=g_{j i}^{*}:\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}\right|_{\left(U_{i j}\right)_{0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{j}}\right|_{\left(U_{i j}\right)_{0}}
$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-algebras. On the triple intersection $\left(U_{i j k}\right)_{0}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{0} \cap\left(U_{j}\right)_{0} \cap\left(U_{k}\right)_{0}$, the automorphism $f_{i j k}=f_{i k} f_{k j} f_{j i}$ differs from the identity by a Cech 2-cochain $c_{i j k}=f_{i j k}-I d_{\mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}}: \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} \rightarrow f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}$ of the sheaf $\mathcal{H o m}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$. One verifies that $c=\left(c_{i j k}\right)$ is actually a Čech 2 -cocycle for the covering $\mathcal{U}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j k l}-c_{i k l}+c_{i j l}-c_{i j k}=0 \tag{4.10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $i, j, k, l \in I$. Note that from $c_{j k l}=f_{j l} f_{l k} f_{k j}-I d_{\mathcal{O}_{U_{j}}}$, we get

$$
f_{l k}=f_{l j}\left(I d_{\mathcal{O}_{U_{j}}}+c_{j k l}\right) f_{j k}=f_{l j} f_{j k}+c_{j k l}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i k l} & =f_{i l} f_{l k} f_{k i}-I d_{U_{i}}=f_{i l} f_{l j} f_{j k} f_{k i}+c_{j k l}-I d_{U_{i}} \\
c_{j k l}-c_{i k l} & =-f_{i l} f_{l j} f_{j k} f_{k i}+I d_{U_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we also have

$$
c_{i j l}-c_{i j k}=f_{i l} f_{l j} f_{j k} f_{k i}-I d_{U_{i}}
$$

Combined the above two formulas, (4.10.2) follows. Hence $\left(c_{i j k}\right)$ is a Čech 2-cocycle. It determines a cohomology class in $\check{H}^{2}(\mathcal{U}, G) \underset{\rightarrow}{\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}} H^{2}\left(X_{0}, G\right)$, since $X$ is assumed to be affine. This class vanishes if and only if there exists a 1-cochain $h=\left(h_{i j}\right)$ such that $c_{i j k}=h_{j k}-h_{i k}+h_{i j}$. Then one defines

$$
f_{i j}^{\prime}=f_{i j}-h_{i j}:\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{U_{j}}\right|_{\left(U_{i j}\right)_{0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}\right|_{\left(U_{i j}\right)_{0}}
$$

and one can verify that $f_{i k}^{\prime}=f_{i j}^{\prime} f_{j k}^{\prime}$. Hence the corresponding maps $g_{i j}^{\prime}$ : $\left.\left.U_{i}\right|_{\left(U_{i j}\right)_{0}} \underset{\rightarrow}{\sim} U_{j}\right|_{\left(U_{i j}\right)_{0}}$ glue on the triple intersections. Therefore one gets a global lifting $X$ of $X_{0}$ over $Y$.

Corollary 4.11. Let $f_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ be an étale morphism, and $i: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y$ a first-order thickening with ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$. Then there exists a unique lifting $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of $X_{0}$ over $Y$, and $f$ is necessarily étale.

Proof. Since $f_{0}$ étale, $\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}=0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{2}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{* \mathcal{I}}\right)=0$, thus liftings exist. Moreover $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}, f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)=0$ there all liftings are isomorphic. For the lifting, say $f: X \rightarrow Y$, from

$$
\Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}=\Omega_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}^{1}=0
$$

it follows that $\Omega_{X / Y}^{1}=0$ since $\mathcal{I}^{2}=0$. Hence $f$ is necessarily étale.
Corollary 4.12. Let $f_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ be a smooth morphism, and $i: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y a$ first-order thickening with ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$. If $X_{0}$ is affine, there exists a unique lifting of $X_{0}$ over $Y$.

Indeed, this follows from $H^{2}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \otimes f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)=0$ and $H^{1}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \otimes\right.$ $\left.f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)=0$ since $T_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \otimes f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf.

Corollary 4.13. Let $f_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ be a smooth proper morphism with relative dimension 1, and $i: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y$ a first-order thickening with ideal I. If moreover $Y$ is affine, then there always exists a lifting of $X_{0}$ over $Y$.

Proof. First we note that

$$
H^{q}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \otimes f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)=\Gamma\left(Y_{0}, R^{q} f_{0 *}\left(T_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \otimes f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)\right)
$$

By Zariski's main theorem, for any $q>1$,

$$
R^{q} f_{0 *}\left(T_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \otimes f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)=0
$$

Hence the obstruction $o\left(f_{0}, i\right) \in H^{2}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \otimes f_{0}^{*} \mathcal{I}\right)$ vanishes.

## 5 Serre-Grothendieck Global Duality Theorem

For simplicity, we just discuss the locally neotherian case. Thus all schemes are presumed to be locally noetherian unless otherwise stated.
5.1. The $f^{!}$Functor (a) Let $i: Y \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion. Given a complex $F$ in $D^{+}(X)$, define

$$
i^{!} F:=\left.R \mathcal{H} o m_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)\right|_{Y}
$$

i.e. $i_{*} i^{!} F=R \mathcal{H}$ om $_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)$. It gives a functor from $D^{+}(X)$ to $D^{+}(Y)$. If

$$
Z \xrightarrow{j} Y \xrightarrow{i} X
$$

is a composition of closed immersions, then $j^{!} i^{!}=(i j)^{!}$, i.e. for any $F \in$ $D^{+}(X)$

$$
\left.R \mathcal{H} o m_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z},\left.R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)\right|_{Y}\right)\right|_{Z}=\left.R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}, F\right)\right|_{Z}
$$

To prove it, we may assume that each $F^{i}(i \in \mathbb{Z})$ is an injective $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module. Then $R \mathcal{H}$ om $\left._{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)\right|_{Y}=\left.\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)\right|_{Y}$ and each $\left.\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F^{i}\right)\right|_{Y}$ is an injective $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
j^{!} i!F & =\left.\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z},\left.\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)\right|_{Y}\right)\right|_{Z}=\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}, \mathcal{H o m _ { \mathcal { O } _ { X } } ( \mathcal { O } _ { Y } , F ) ) | _ { Z }}\right. \\
& =\left.\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)\right|_{Z}=\left.R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}, F\right)\right|_{Z}=(i j)^{!} F
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism with relative dimension $d$, then $\omega_{X / Y}=\Omega_{X / Y}^{d}$ is a line bundle. Define a functor $f^{!}: D^{+}(Y) \rightarrow D^{+}(X)$ by

$$
f^{!} F:=f^{*} F \otimes^{L} \omega_{X / Y}[d]
$$

for an element $F \in D^{+}(X)$.
(c) Let

be a commutative diagram with $i$ a closed immersion and $g$ smooth. One can define a functor $i^{!} g^{!}$from $D^{+}(X)$ to $D^{+}(Y)$. The following theorem ensures that it is independent on the choice of $i$ and $g$.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose we have a commutative diagram

where $i^{\prime}$ and $i^{\prime \prime}$ are closed immersions and $g^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime \prime}$ smooth. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$
a\left(i^{\prime}, i^{\prime \prime}\right): i^{\prime!} g^{\prime!} \simeq i^{\prime \prime!} g^{\prime \prime!}
$$

satisfying the transitive formula:

$$
a\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right) \circ a\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)=a\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)
$$

for any triple $\left(i_{1}, g_{1}\right),\left(i_{2}, g_{2}\right),\left(i_{3}, g_{3}\right)$.
We say that these $a\left(i^{\prime}, i^{\prime \prime}\right)$ form a transitive system. In order to prove this theorem we need some preparation.

Proposition 5.3. Let $i: Y \rightarrow X$ be a regular immersion of codimension $r$ with $X$ noetherian. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal sheaf of $i$, and $N_{Y / X}=\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}$.
(1) $N_{Y / X}$ is locally free of rank $r$.
(2) $\wedge^{q} N_{Y / X} \simeq \mathcal{T}$ or $r_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}$ or $r_{r}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \simeq$ $\wedge^{r} N_{Y / X}$ is a line bundle on $Y$.
(3) (a) $R \mathcal{H}$ om $_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \simeq \omega_{Y / X}[-r]$, where $\omega_{Y / X}$ is a line bundle on $Y$.
(b) $\omega_{Y / X} \simeq\left(\wedge^{r} N_{Y / X}\right)^{\vee}$.
(4) For $F \in D^{+}(X)$, there exists a functorial isomorphism

$$
i^{!} F \simeq L i^{*} F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}^{L} \omega_{Y / X}[-r]
$$

i.e. $i_{*} i^{!} F=R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right) \simeq i_{*}\left(L i^{*} F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}^{L} \omega_{Y / X}[-r]\right)$.

In particular

$$
\mathcal{E}^{x} t_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{q}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right) \simeq \mathcal{T} o r_{r-q}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right) \otimes \omega_{Y / X} .
$$

Proof. From the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow 0
$$

one gets

$$
N_{Y / X}=\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2} \simeq \mathcal{T} o r_{1}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)
$$

But since $i$ is regular, locally one has a Koszul complex $\mathcal{K}_{\bullet}\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{r}\right)=$ $\left(0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, which is a resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$. Hence locally

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\operatorname{or}_{1}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=\mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\bullet}(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{Y}^{r}
$$

This proves (1).
In the Appendix, we see that $\mathcal{T}$ or ${ }_{*}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ carries a graded anti-commutative $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra structure. When $i$ is regular, locally one can take the Koszul complex $\mathcal{K}_{\bullet}(f)$ to calculate $\mathcal{T}$ or $_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ explicitly. One obtains

$$
\mathcal{T} o r_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=\wedge^{q}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}^{r}\right)
$$

and that the canonical anti-commutative $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\wedge^{*} \mathcal{T} r_{1}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} o r_{*}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. But $\mathcal{T} \operatorname{or}_{1}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \simeq N_{Y / X}$, hence the assertion (2) of our theorem follows.
For the assertion (3)(a), $\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{q}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ can be computed locally using Koszul complexes

$$
{\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{q}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\mathcal{H}^{q}\left(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}(f)\right)=\mathcal{H}^{q}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\bullet}(f)[-r]\right)
$$

which is 0 when $q \neq r$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ when $q=r$. Hence $R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)[r]$ is a line bundle.
Before proceeding to the proof of assertion (4) and (3)(a), we state the following two lemmas, which are useful in the sequel.

Lemma 5.4. Let $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a regular closed immersion. Then one has

$$
F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{L} i_{*} G \simeq i_{*}\left(L i^{*} F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}^{L} G\right)
$$

for $F \in D^{+}(X)$ and $G \in D^{b}(Y)$.
Proof. Note that $L i^{*}=\mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{L}$, hence (by the existence of a Koszul resolution) $i^{*}$ is of finite cohomological dimension when $i$ is regular. $L i^{*}$ make sense on $D^{+}(X)$.
First we have to define a map $F \otimes^{L} i_{*} G \rightarrow i_{*}\left(L i^{*} F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}^{L} G\right)$. But there is a natural map between $L i^{*}\left(F \otimes^{L} i_{*} G\right)$ and $L i^{*} F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}^{L} G$ defined by

$$
L i^{*}\left(F \otimes^{L} i_{*} G\right) \rightarrow L i^{*} F \otimes^{L} L i^{*}\left(i_{*} G\right) \rightarrow L i^{*} F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}^{L} G
$$

where the last map is given by the natural map $L i^{*} i_{*} G \rightarrow G$. This gives the desired map $F \otimes^{L} i_{*} G \rightarrow i_{*}\left(L i^{*} F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}^{L} G\right)$ by the adjointness of $L i^{*}$ and $i_{*}$. To show this is an isomorphism, by canonical truncations (using the fact that $i^{*}$ is of finite cohomology dimension), we may assume that $F \in D^{b}(X)$. Replacing $F$ by $F^{\prime}$, where $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ is quasi-isomorphism with $F^{\prime i}$ flat, we may assume $F^{i}$ flat. Then

$$
F \otimes^{L} i_{*} G=F \otimes i_{*} G \simeq i_{*}\left(i^{*} F \otimes G\right)=i_{*}\left(L i^{*} F \otimes^{L} G\right)
$$

Lemma 5.5. Let $F \in D^{+}(X)$ and $L, M \in D^{b}(X)_{\text {perf }}$, where $D^{b}(X)_{\text {perf }}$ means the subcategory of $D^{b}(X)$ consisting of perfect complexes on $X$. Then one has a natural isomorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{L} R \mathcal{H} o m(L, M) \simeq R \mathcal{H} o m\left(L, F \otimes^{L} M\right) \tag{5.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that $R \mathcal{H}$ om $(L, M) \in D^{b}(X)_{\text {perf }}$, and $F \otimes^{L} M \in D^{+}(X)$, hence both sides of 5.5.1 make sense. Defining the map

$$
K:=F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{L} R \mathcal{H} o m(L, M) \longrightarrow R \mathcal{H} o m\left(L, F \otimes^{L} M\right)
$$

is equivalent to giving a map $L \otimes^{L} K \rightarrow F \otimes^{L} M$. Since the problem is in $D(X)$, we may assume, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}, L^{i}, F^{i}$ are flat and that $M^{i}$ are injective. Then one has the natural map

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \otimes^{L} K= & F \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{H o m}(L, M) & \longrightarrow F \otimes M=F \otimes^{L} M \\
f \otimes x \otimes u & & \longmapsto f \otimes u(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This defines the map (5.5.1). To show that it is an isomorphism, we may assume that $L, M$ are both strictly perfect since the problem is local. Then (5.5.1) becomes

$$
F \otimes \mathcal{H o m}(L, M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}(L, F \otimes M)
$$

By canonical truncations we assume furthermore that $L$ is concentrated in degree 0 , and finally $L=\mathcal{O}_{X}$. Then the conclusion becomes obvious.

Now we can return to the proof of 5.3 (4). We need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{*} i^{!} F=R \mathcal{H} o m_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right) \simeq i_{*}\left(L i^{*} F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}^{L} \omega_{Y / X}[-r]\right) \tag{5.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $F \in D^{+}(X)$. By Lemma 5.4, the right hand side of 5.5.2 is just

$$
F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{L} i_{*} \omega_{Y / X}[-r]=F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{L} R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

Applying Lemma 5.5 one obtains $R \mathcal{H}$ om $_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)$, which is exactly the left hand side of (5.5.2).
Finally for assertion $5.3(3)(\mathrm{b})$, one sets $F=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ in (5.5.2) and applies $\mathcal{H}^{0}$. Then one gets

But clearly $\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)\right)=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$, and by 5.3(2), $\mathcal{T}$ or $r_{r}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \simeq$ $\wedge^{r} N_{Y / X}$, thus $\omega_{Y / X} \simeq\left(\wedge^{r} N_{Y / X}\right)^{\vee}$.

Lemma 5.6. Consider a cartesian diagram

where $i$ is closed immersion and $f$ is flat. Then one has $g^{*} i^{!} \simeq i^{\prime!} f^{*}$.
Proof. We have to show that for any $F \in D^{+}(X)$, there is a natural isomorphism $i_{*}^{\prime} g^{*} i^{!} F \simeq i_{*}^{\prime} i^{!} f^{*} F$. But the left hand side is

$$
i_{*}^{\prime} g^{*} i^{!} F=i_{*}^{\prime}\left(g^{*} i^{*} R \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)\right)=f^{*} R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, F\right)
$$

where the right hand side is

$$
i_{*}^{\prime}!^{!} f^{*} F=R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}}, f^{*} F\right)=R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}, f^{*} F\right) .
$$

Then our conclusion follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.7. Let $X, Y$ be locally noetherian, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat morphism. Then

$$
f^{*} R \mathcal{H o m}(L, M) \underset{\rightarrow}{\sim} R \mathcal{H o m}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*} M\right)
$$

for $M \in D^{+}(Y)$ and $L \in D^{b}(Y)_{\text {coh }}$, where $D^{b}(Y)_{\text {coh }}$ is the subcategory of $D^{b}(Y)$ consisting of complexes with coherent cohomology.

Proof. Replacing $M$ by an injective resolution, we may assume that $M^{i}(i \in$ $\mathbb{Z})$ is injective. Then one gets
$f^{*} R \mathcal{H o m}(L, M)=f^{*} \mathcal{H o m}(L, M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*} M\right) \rightarrow R \mathcal{H o m}\left(f^{*} L, f^{*} M\right)$.
This defines the map. To show it is an isomorphism, we may assume that $Y$ is noetherian and affine, since the problem is local. Then there is a quasiisomorphism $L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$, where each $L^{\prime i}(i \in \mathbb{Z})$ is free of finite type and $L^{\prime i}=0$ when $i$ is sufficiently large. Hence finally one reduces to prove it for $L=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$. This is trivial.

Having these preparations, we can return to the proof of Theorem 5.2.
Proof of Theorem 5.2. Consider diagram 5.2.1. Let $Z^{\prime \prime \prime}=Z^{\prime} \times_{Y} Z^{\prime \prime}$, then one can complete the diagram 5.2.1 as follows:

where $i$ is the map determined by $\left(i^{\prime}, i^{\prime \prime}\right)$. In general, $i$ is not a closed immersion, but only an immersion, i.e. a composition of a closed immersion with an open immersion:

$$
X \xrightarrow{\text { closed }} Z \xrightarrow{\text { open }} Z^{\prime \prime \prime} .
$$

Thus one can replace $Z^{\prime \prime \prime}$ by $Z$, and consider the diagram

where $i$ and $i^{\prime}$ are both closed immersions, and $h^{\prime}$ is smooth. If one can show that $i^{!} \simeq i^{!} h^{\prime!}$, then one gets

$$
i^{\prime!} g^{\prime!} \simeq i^{!} h^{\prime!} g^{\prime!}=i^{!} h^{\prime \prime!} g^{\prime \prime!} \simeq i^{\prime \prime!} g^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

This gives the desired functor isomorphism.
Let $X^{\prime}=X \times{ }_{Z^{\prime}} Z$, then one get the following cartesian diagram:

where $s$ is the section of $X$ determined by $\left(I d_{X}, i\right)\left(\right.$ hence $\left.p s=I d_{X}\right)$. Notice that $j$ is a closed immersion as the base change of $i^{\prime}$, hence so is $s$. But $p$ is smooth(because $h^{\prime}$ is smooth), thus it follows that $s$ is actually a regular closed immersion by 3.18 .
Now suppose the relative dimension of $h^{\prime}$ is d , then for an arbitrary $F \in$ $D^{+}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$, one has

$$
i^{\prime} h^{\prime!} F=s^{!} j^{!} h^{\prime!} F=s^{!} j^{!}\left(h^{\prime *} F \otimes \omega_{Z / Z^{\prime}}[d]\right)
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
j^{!}\left(h^{\prime *} F \otimes \omega_{Z / Z^{\prime}}[d]\right) & =\left.R \mathcal{H o m} m_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\prime}, h^{\prime *} F \otimes \omega_{Z / Z^{\prime}}[d]\right)\right|_{X^{\prime}} \\
& =\left.\left(R \mathcal{H} o m_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}, h^{\prime *} F\right) \otimes \omega_{Z / Z^{\prime}}[d]\right)\right|_{X^{\prime}} \\
& =\left.R \mathcal{H} m_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}, h^{\prime *} F\right)\right|_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \omega_{X^{\prime} / X}[d] \\
& =j^{!} h^{\prime *} F \otimes \omega_{X^{\prime} / X}[d] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
i^{!} h^{\prime!} F & =s^{!} j^{!} h^{\prime!} F=s^{!}\left(j^{!} h^{\prime *} F \otimes \omega_{X^{\prime} / X}[d]\right) \\
& =s^{!}\left(p^{*} i^{\prime!} F \otimes \omega_{X^{\prime} / X}[d]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the third equality is according to Lemma 5.6. Hence it only remains to show: $s^{\prime}\left(p^{*} M \otimes \omega_{X^{\prime} / X}[d]\right)=M$ for any $M \in D^{+}(X)$. By 5.3 (4), the left hand side of the above formula is just

$$
L s^{*}\left(p^{*} M\right) \otimes^{L} \omega_{X / X^{\prime}}[-d] \otimes s^{*} \omega_{X^{\prime} / X}[d]=M \otimes \omega_{X / X^{\prime}} \otimes s^{*} \omega_{X^{\prime} / X}
$$

Since $p s=I d_{X}$, we have $L s^{*} p^{*}=I d$. But the conormal sheaf $N_{X / X^{\prime}} \simeq$ $s^{*} \Omega_{X^{\prime} / X}^{1}$, and by 5.3 (3)(b) it follows that

$$
\omega_{X / X^{\prime}} \simeq\left(\wedge^{d} N_{X / X^{\prime}}\right)^{\vee}=\left(s^{*} \omega_{X^{\prime} / X}\right)^{\vee}
$$

and hence

$$
M \otimes \omega_{X / X^{\prime}} \otimes s^{*} \omega_{X^{\prime} / X}=M
$$

This completes the proof.
Appendix 5.8 (the Algebra Structure on $\mathcal{T}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ ). Let $i: Y \hookrightarrow$ $X$ be a closed immersion. Then $\mathcal{T} o r_{*}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=\bigoplus_{q=0}^{r} \mathcal{T} o r_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ carries a natural structure of graded anti-commutative $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra.
Consider the morphism $\varphi$ given by:

where $\sigma_{23}$ is the permutation between the second and the third tensor component, and

$$
\pi: \mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{L} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}
$$

is the morphism induced by the multiplication $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}$. Now denote $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{L} \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ by $E$, the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra structure on $\mathcal{T}$ or $r_{*}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ derives easily from the composition of the two natural map

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{*}(E) \otimes H^{*}(E) \longrightarrow H^{*}\left(E \otimes^{L} E\right) \longrightarrow H^{*}(E) \\
\mathcal{T}_{o r_{i}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \otimes \mathcal{T} o r_{j}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} o r_{i+j}^{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Locally this can be illustrated as follows: The map $\mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ corresponds to a surjection of rings $A \rightarrow B$. Choose a quasi-isomorphism $P^{\bullet} \rightarrow B$ with $P^{i}$ a projective $A$-module for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then consider the following diagram


There exists a map $\varphi: P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet} \rightarrow P^{\bullet}$ making the diagram commute, since $P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet}$ is a projective resolution of $B \otimes B$. By classical homological algebra any two such maps are homotopic. Hence the multiplication map $\pi: B \otimes_{A}^{L}$ $B \rightarrow B$ can be calculated as $\varphi: P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet} \rightarrow P^{\bullet}$, which is uniquely determined in the derived category.
Then the morphism

$$
B \otimes_{A}^{L} B \otimes_{A}^{L} B \otimes_{A}^{L} B \rightarrow B \otimes_{A}^{L} B
$$

is given by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet} & \rightarrow P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet} \\
x & \otimes y \otimes z \otimes w \tag{5.8.2}
\end{array} \longmapsto(-1)^{p q} y z \otimes x w\right)
$$

where $y \in P^{p}$ and $z \in P^{q}$. Taking cohomology, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(B, B) \otimes \operatorname{Tor}_{j}^{A}(B, B) \rightarrow H^{i+j}\left(P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet} \otimes P^{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{i+j}^{A}(B, B) \tag{5.8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we check that this map endows $\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{A}(B, B)$ an anti-commutative $A$ algebra structure, i.e.
(1) $(\alpha \beta) \gamma=\alpha(\beta \gamma)$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{A}(B, B)$.
(2) $\alpha \beta=(-1)^{i j} \beta \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(B, B)$ and $\beta \in \operatorname{Tor}_{j}^{A}(B, B)$.
(1) follows from a direct computation using 5.8.2. In order to prove (2), we introduce a kind of "permutation" morphisms. Denote by $P^{\bullet \otimes n}$ the $n$ copies tensor product of $P^{\bullet}$. Let $\eta$ be a permutation of the set $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. We say that $(i, j)$ with $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ is a permutated pair, if $\eta^{-1}(i)>\eta^{-1}(j)$. Define a morphism of complexes $\sigma_{\eta}: P^{\bullet \otimes n} \rightarrow P^{\bullet \otimes n}$ by

$$
x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n} \mapsto(-1)^{s(x, \eta)} x_{\eta(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\eta(n)},
$$

where

$$
s(x, \eta)=\sum_{\text {all permutated pairs }(i, j)} \operatorname{deg} x_{i} \cdot \operatorname{deg} x_{j} .
$$

Example 5.8.1. When $n=4$ and $\eta=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 1\end{array}\right)$, the map $\sigma_{\eta}$ is given by

$$
x_{1} \otimes x_{2} \otimes x_{3} \otimes x_{4} \mapsto(-1)^{p_{1}\left(p_{2}+p_{3}+p_{4}\right)+p_{3} p_{4}} x_{2} \otimes x_{4} \otimes x_{3} \otimes x_{1},
$$

where $p_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4)$ is the degree of $x_{i}$.

One can checks that this $\sigma_{\eta}$ is indeed a morphism of complexes, and $\sigma_{\eta_{1} \eta_{2}}=\sigma_{\eta_{1}} \sigma_{\eta_{2}}$ for any permutations $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$.
In particular when $n=2$, we denote by $\sigma$ the nontrivial permutation $\sigma_{(12)}$. Then we note that the diagram

is commutative up to homotopy. When $n=4$, the map defined in (5.8.2) can be represented as $(\varphi \otimes \varphi) \sigma_{(23)}$.
We claim that, in order to prove (2), it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi \otimes \varphi) \sigma_{(23)} \sigma_{(13)(24)} \simeq(\varphi \otimes \varphi) \sigma_{(23)}, \tag{5.8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where " $\simeq$ " means the homotopy equivalence. Because when passing to cohomology, the right hand side of (5.8.5) is the multiplication $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha \beta$ defined in (5.8.3); and the left hand side is the map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} \alpha \cdot \operatorname{deg} \beta} \beta \alpha$. But (5.8.5) is equivalent to

$$
(\varphi \otimes \varphi) \sigma_{(23)} \sigma_{(13)(24)} \sigma_{(23)}^{-1} \simeq \varphi \otimes \varphi .
$$

And one has $\sigma_{(23)} \sigma_{(13)(24)} \sigma_{(23)}^{-1}=\sigma_{(12)(34)}$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\varphi \otimes \varphi) \sigma_{(23)} \sigma_{(13)(24)} \sigma_{(23)}^{-1} & =(\varphi \otimes \varphi) \sigma_{(12)(34)} \\
& =(\varphi \sigma) \otimes(\varphi \sigma) \simeq \varphi \otimes \varphi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equivalence is according to (5.8.4). This completes the proof.
Definition 5.9. A morphism of schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is smoothable if it can be decomposed as $f=g i$

where $i$ is a closed immersion and $g$ is a smooth morphism.
In this case, $i^{!} g^{!}: D^{+}(Y) \rightarrow D^{+}(X)$ depends only on $f$, and we denote it by $f^{!}$.

Definition 5.10. A morphism of $S$-schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ if $S$-smoothable if there exists a commutative diagram

with $i$ a closed immersion and $g$ a smooth morphism such that the parallelogram is Cartesian.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be $S$-smoothable morphisms. Then there exists a commutative diagram

with $f_{1}, g_{1}$ smooth, $X \rightarrow X_{1}, i: Y \rightarrow Y_{1}$ closed immersions such that all the parallelograms are Cartesian (and thus $f_{2}, g_{2}, h$ are smooth, $i^{\prime}$ is a closed immersion.) It follows that $X \rightarrow X_{1} \xrightarrow{i^{\prime}} W$ is a closed immersion, and the morphism $W \xrightarrow{h} Y_{1} \xrightarrow{g_{2}} Z$ is the base change of the smooth morphism $T \rightarrow Y_{2} \xrightarrow{g_{1}} S$. Hence $g f$ is $S$-smoothable. By Lemma 5.6, $f_{2}^{!} i^{!} \simeq i^{\prime!} h^{!}$(cf. the proof of 5.2), and thus $(g f)^{!} \simeq f^{!} g^{!}$.
5.11. Trace map. We proceed to define a natural transformation of functors $\operatorname{Tr}_{f}: R f_{*} f^{!} \rightarrow$ Id in certain cases.
(a) Let $i: Y \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion. For $E \in D^{+}(X)$, define $\operatorname{Tr}_{i}$ to be the morphism

$$
i_{*} i!E \simeq R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}, E\right) \rightarrow R \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, E\right) \simeq E
$$

induced by $\mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}$.
(b) Let $X=\mathbb{P}_{Y}^{r}, f: X \rightarrow Y$ be the projection. We have a canonical exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{1} \xrightarrow{v} \mathcal{O}_{X}^{r+1}(-1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0,
$$

which is locally the exact sequence constructed in 1.24 . The Koszul complex of $u$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{r+1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{r+1}\right)(-r-1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{r+1}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from what we have mentioned there (without proof) that each sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{i} \xrightarrow{\wedge^{i} v} \wedge^{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{r+1}\right)(-i) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{r+1}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0, i \geq 0
$$

is exact. In particular, both (5.11.1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X / Y}^{r} \rightarrow \wedge^{r}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{r+1}\right)(-r) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{r+1}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are exact, and we have a canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{X / Y}^{r} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(-r-1)$. These facts follow from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.12. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{v} E \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an exact sequence of locally free sheaves of finite ranks. Then the Koszul complex of $u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\bullet}(u)=\left(0 \rightarrow \wedge^{n} E \xrightarrow{d_{n}} \wedge^{n-1} E \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{d_{1}=u} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0\right) \tag{5.12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $n=\operatorname{rank} E$ ) is acyclic and each sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{i} F \xrightarrow{\wedge^{i v}} \wedge^{i} E \xrightarrow{d} \wedge^{i-1} E \cdots \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.12.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is exact. Hence $\wedge^{i} v$ induces an isomorphism $\wedge^{i} F \rightarrow B^{-i-1} K_{\bullet}(u), i \geq 0$. In particular, taking $i=n-1$, we get an isomorphism $\wedge^{n-1} F \rightarrow \wedge^{n} E$ such that

$$
\stackrel{\wedge^{n-1} F}{\wedge^{n} E \xrightarrow[d_{n}]{\wedge^{n-1} v}} \wedge^{n-1} E
$$

commutes, which coincides with the isomorphism $\wedge^{n-1} F \rightarrow \wedge^{n} E$ given by taking the highest exterior power of (5.12.1) and locally defined by $u(b) a \mapsto$ $b \wedge\left(\wedge^{n-1} v\right)(a), a \in \wedge^{n-1} F(U), b \in E(U)$.

Proof. We may assume $E=\mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus F$ and $u$ is the projection. Then

$$
d_{i}: \wedge^{i} F \oplus\left(\mathcal{O}_{X} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} F\right)=\wedge^{i} E \rightarrow \wedge^{i-1} E=\wedge^{i-1} F \oplus\left(\mathcal{O}_{X} \otimes \wedge^{i-2} F\right)
$$

is induced by

$$
a \oplus(1 \otimes b) \mapsto b \oplus 0 .
$$

It can be checked directly that $(5.12 .3)$ is exact. Take $i=n$, we get the acyclicality of (5.12.2). The remainder of the lemma is then obvious.

We define $\operatorname{Tr}_{f}: R f_{*} \omega[r] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}$, where $\omega=\omega_{X / Y}=\Omega_{X / Y}^{r}$, as follows. The class of (5.11.1) corresponds to a morphism $c: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \omega[r]$ in $D(X)$. In fact, the morphism from the first row to the second row of the diagram

is a quasi-isomorphism, and $c$ is just the inverse of it in $D(X)$ composed with the morphism from the third row to the second. Since $R f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{q}(-i)\right)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and for all $q, R f_{*}\left[0 \rightarrow \wedge^{r}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{r+1}\right)(-r) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{r+1}(-1) \rightarrow 0\right]=$ 0 . Hence $R f_{*} c$ is an isomorphism. We define $\operatorname{Tr}_{f}$ to be the inverse of the composition of isomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{O}_{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{R f_{*} c} R f_{*} \omega[r],
$$

where the first morphism is the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$, which is an isomorphism by Section II.3.

When $Y$ is affine, the image of $c$ under the morphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{D(X)}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega[r]\right) \simeq H^{r}(X, \omega) \simeq H^{0}\left(Y, R f_{*} \omega[r]\right) \xrightarrow{H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{Tr}_{f}\right)} H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)
$$

is 1 .
For $E \in D^{+}(Y)$, define $\operatorname{Tr}_{f}$ by

$$
R f_{*} f^{!} E=R f_{*}\left(f^{*} E \otimes \omega[r]\right) \simeq E \otimes^{L} R f_{*} \omega[r] \xrightarrow{E \otimes^{L} \operatorname{Tr}_{f}} E,
$$

where the isomorphism in the middle is the projection isomorphism ([I], 3.2).
(c) The general case. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism which can be factorized as

where $i$ is a closed immersion and $g$ is the projection. This is the case when, e.g., $f$ is projective and $Y$ has an ample line bundle. (Then, $X \simeq \operatorname{Proj} B$ with $B$ a quasi-coherent sheaf of graded $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-algebras generated by $B_{1}, B_{1}$ of finite type. Up to replacing $\oplus B_{n}$ by $\oplus\left(B_{n} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is an ample line bundle on $Y$, we may assume that we have an epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_{Y}^{r+1} \rightarrow$ $B_{1}$. Thus we get an epimorphism $S\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}^{r+1}\right) \rightarrow B$, and a closed immersion $\operatorname{Proj} B \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Proj} S\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}^{r+1}\right)=\mathbb{P}_{Y}^{r}$.)

Define $\operatorname{Tr}_{f}=\operatorname{Tr}_{g}\left(R g_{*} \operatorname{Tr}_{i} g^{\prime}\right)$. More specifically, for $E \in D^{+}(Y)$, define $\operatorname{Tr}_{f}$ by the composition

$$
R f_{*} f^{!} E \simeq R g_{*} i_{*} i^{!} g^{!} E \xrightarrow{R g_{*} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}\left(g^{\prime} E\right)} R g_{*} g^{!} E \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{g}} E .
$$

This does not depend on the embedding, and is compatible with composition and flat base change. (Proof omitted.)

### 5.13. The duality theorem.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism with $Y$ noetherian, $\operatorname{dim} Y<\infty$, $Y$ having ample line bundle. Then the condition (c) above holds and so $\operatorname{dim} X<\infty$. Hence, by Ex. I.30, $f_{*}$ has finite cohomological dimension. It follows that $R f_{*}$ extends to a functor $D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$ (sending $D^{-}(X) \rightarrow$ $D^{-}(X)$ and $\left.D^{b}(X) \rightarrow D^{b}(Y)\right)$ (Ex. I.20).

For $E, F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$, define a canonical morphism

$$
f_{*} \mathcal{H o m}(E, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}\left(f_{*} E, f_{*} F\right)
$$

as follows. For $U \subset Y$ open, an element in $\Gamma\left(U, f_{*} \mathcal{H} o m(E, F)\right)$ is a morphism $E\left|f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow F\right| f^{-1}(U)$. It induces homomorphisms $\Gamma\left(f^{-1}(V), E \mid f^{-1}(U)\right) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(f^{-1}(V), F \mid f^{-1}(U)\right)$ for all $V \subset U$ open, which determine a morphism $f_{*} E\left|U \rightarrow f_{*} F\right| U$, that is, an element in $\Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{H o m}\left(f_{*} E, f_{*} F\right)\right)$.

For $E, F \in C(X)$, we get a morphism of complexes

$$
f_{*} \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}(E, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(f_{*} E, f_{*} F\right) .
$$

For $E \in D(X), F \in D^{+}(X)$, take quasi-isomorphisms $F \rightarrow F^{\prime}, E \rightarrow$ $E^{\prime}$ with $F^{\prime} \in C^{+}(X), F^{\prime i}$ injective, $E^{\prime i} f_{*}$-acyclic (I.5.7), for all $i$. Then $R \mathcal{H o m}(E, F) \simeq \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$. Observe that $\mathcal{H o m}^{i}\left(E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$ is flasque for all i. In fact, for any $L, M \in \operatorname{Mod}(X), M$ injective, we have $\mathcal{H o m}(L, M)$ is flasque. For an open embedding $j: U \hookrightarrow X$, any morphism $L|U \rightarrow M| U$ can be extended to $L$ as $M$ is injective:


We define a morphism

$$
R f_{*} R \mathcal{H o m}(E, F) \rightarrow R \mathcal{H o m}\left(R f_{*} E, R f_{*} F\right)
$$

by composition of canonical morphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
R f_{*} R \mathcal{H o m}(E, F) & \simeq \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right) \\
& \rightarrow R \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(f_{*} E^{\prime}, f_{*} F^{\prime}\right) \simeq R \mathcal{H o m}\left(R f_{*} E, R f_{*} F\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $L \in D(X), M \in D^{+}(Y)$, define $\theta_{f}(L, M)$ (sometimes abbreviated $\theta_{f}$ ) to be the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R f_{*} R \mathcal{H o m}\left(L, f^{!} M\right) \rightarrow R \mathcal{H o m}\left(R f_{*} L,\right.\left.R f_{*} f^{!} M\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{R \mathcal{H o m}\left(R f_{*} L, \operatorname{Tr}_{f}\right)}
\end{aligned} R \mathcal{H o m}\left(R f_{*} L, M\right),
$$

where the first map is the canonical map defined above.
Theorem 5.14 (Grothendieck). For $L \in D^{-}(X)_{c o h}, M \in D^{+}(Y)_{c o h}$, the morphism $\theta_{f}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. $f: X \rightarrow Y$ can be factorized as

where $i$ is a closed immersion and $g$ is the projection. Then it is easily seen that $\theta_{f}(L, M)=\theta_{g}\left(R i_{*} L, M\right)\left(R g_{*} \theta_{i}\left(L, g^{\prime} M\right)\right)$, with $R i_{*} L \in D^{-}(P)_{c o h}$ and $g^{\prime} M \in D^{+}(P)_{\text {coh }}$, so it is enough to check that $\theta_{i}, \theta_{g}$ are isomorphisms.

Let $L \in D^{-}(X)_{c o h}, M \in D^{+}(P)_{c o h}$. To show $\theta_{i}$ is an isomorphism, we may assume, by $\tau_{\leq}$, induction and "way out functor", that $L \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. We may assume $P$ affine. Then we can write

$$
L \simeq\left(\cdots \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow L^{0}\right)
$$

with $L^{i}$ free of finite type. Using $\sigma_{\geq}$, we may assume $L=\mathcal{O}_{X}$. Then $\theta_{i}$ is nothing but the canonical isomorphism

$$
i_{*} R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, i^{!} M\right)=i_{*} i^{!} M \rightarrow R \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{P}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, M\right)
$$

Therefore, we may assume that $f: X=\mathbb{P}_{Y}^{r} \rightarrow Y$ is the projection. Using $\tau_{\leq}$, we may assume $L$ is concentrated in degree 0 , that is, $L \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-n_{1}\right)^{m_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-n_{0}\right)^{m_{0}} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0
$$

with all $n_{i}>r+1$. Using $\sigma_{\geq}$, we may assume $L=\omega(-d)$ with $d \geq 0$ (where $\left.\omega=\Omega_{X / Y}^{r} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(-r-1)\right)$.

Then, we have isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
R f_{*} R \mathcal{H o m}\left(L, f^{!} M\right)=R f_{*} R \mathcal{H o m}\left(\omega(-d), f^{*} M \otimes \omega\right)[r] & \simeq R f_{*}\left(f^{*} M\right)(d)[r] \\
& \simeq M \otimes^{L} R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(d)[r] \simeq M \otimes^{L} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(d)[r]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last but second isomorphism is the projection formula (Ex. I.30), and isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R \mathcal{H o m}\left(R f_{*} L, M\right)=R \mathcal{H o m}\left(R f_{*} \omega(-d)\right., M) \\
& \simeq \mathcal{H o m}^{\bullet}\left(R^{r} f_{*} \omega(-d)[-r], M\right) \\
& \simeq M \otimes \mathcal{H o m}\left(R^{r} f_{*} \omega(-d), \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)[r]
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $R^{r} f_{*} \omega(-d)$ is a locally free sheaf of finite type. We have to check

$$
\theta_{f}: f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(d) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}\left(R^{r} f_{*} \omega(-d), \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism, that is, the pairing

$$
f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(d) \otimes R^{r} f_{*} \omega(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}
$$

is perfect. For $V=\operatorname{Spec} A \subset Y$, the pairing

$$
\Gamma\left(V, f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(d)\right) \times \Gamma\left(V, R^{r} f_{*} \omega(-d)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(V, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)
$$

is given by

$$
\left(t^{a}, \frac{1}{t^{b} t_{0} \cdots t_{r}}\right) \mapsto\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \text { if } a \neq b, \\
1, \text { if } a=b,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\sum a_{i}=\sum b_{i}=d$, and thus is a perfect pairing.
Applying $R \Gamma$ to $\theta_{f}$, we get an isomorphism

$$
R \operatorname{Hom}\left(L, f^{!} M\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} R \operatorname{Hom}\left(R f_{*} L, M\right)
$$

in $D(A b)$. Applying $H^{i}$, we get $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}\left(L, f^{!} M\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ext}^{i}\left(R f_{*} L, M\right)$.
In the remainder of this section, we suppose $Y=\operatorname{Spec} k, f: X \rightarrow Y$ projective. Then $K_{X}=f^{!} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \in D^{+}(X)$ is called a dualizing complex on $X$. By the remark above, $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}\left(L, K_{X}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{i}(R \Gamma(X, L), k)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{-i}(X, L), k\right)$, hence the following corollary.

Corollary 5.15. Let $X / k$ be projective, $L \in D^{-}(X)_{\text {coh }}$. Then there is a perfect pairing of finite dimensional $k$-vector spaces between $H^{j}(X, L)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{-j}\left(L, K_{X}\right)$.

We first consider the case when $X / k$ is smooth.
Corollary 5.16 (Serre). Let $X / k$ be projective, smooth, purely of dimension d. Then $K_{X}=\omega_{X}[d]$. Hence there is a perfect pairing between $H^{j}(X, L)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{d-j}\left(L, \omega_{X}\right)$. In particular, for $L$ locally free of finite type, $H^{j}(X, L)$ is dual to $H^{d-j}\left(X, \check{L} \otimes \omega_{X}\right)$, where $\check{L}=\mathcal{H o m}\left(L, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$.

Proof. We only need to prove the last assertion. For that, $R \mathcal{H}$ om $\left(L, \omega_{X}\right)=$ $\check{L} \otimes \omega_{X}$, so $\operatorname{Ext}^{n}\left(L, \omega_{X}\right)=H^{n} R \Gamma\left(X, R \mathcal{H} o m\left(L, \omega_{X}\right)\right)=H^{n}\left(X, \check{L} \otimes \omega_{X}\right)$.

In fact, the pairing is given by the natural pairing followed by Tr :

$$
H^{j}(X, L) \otimes H^{d-j}(X, \check{L} \otimes \omega) \rightarrow H^{d}(X, \omega) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}} k .
$$

When $d=1$, we get "Roch's half" of the Riemann-Roch theorem, which claims that for $L$ a line bundle, $H^{1}(X, L)$ is dual to $H^{0}\left(X, \check{L} \otimes \omega_{X}\right)$.

Corollary 5.17. Let $X / k$ be projective, smooth, purely of dimension $d$. Then $H^{j}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{i}\right)$ is dual to $H^{d-j}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{d-i}\right)$.

Let $h^{i j}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{k}\left(X, \Omega^{i}\right)$. These numbers $h^{i j}$ are called the Hodge numbers of $X$. Then $h^{i j}=h^{d-i, d-j}$. Let $h^{n}=\operatorname{dim} H^{n}\left(X, \Omega_{X / k}^{\bullet}\right)$. When $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$, the Hodge degeneration theorem implies $h^{n}=\sum_{i+j=n} h^{i j}$. When $\operatorname{char}(k)=p>0$, we might have $h^{n}<\sum_{i+j=n} h^{i j}$. See e.g. L. Illusie, Frobenius and Hodge Degeneration, in [B-D-I-P].

Corollary 5.18. Let $X$ be projective over an algebraically closed field $k$, smooth, connected, of dimension $d>2$, and let $Y \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_{X}(Y)=I^{\otimes-1}$ is ample, where $I$ is the ideal of $Y$. Then $Y$ is connected. In particular, $Y$ is irreducible if it is smooth.

Proof. Let $Y_{n}=V\left(I^{n+1}\right)$. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-(n+1) Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_{n}} \rightarrow 0
$$

and hence a long exact sequence
$H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-(n+1) Y)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y_{n}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-(n+1) Y)\right)$.
The first term is 0 , the second term is $k$, and the fourth term is dual to $H^{d-1}\left(X, \omega_{X}(-(n+1) Y)\right.$ ), which is 0 for $n \gg 0$ by Serre's vanishing theorem (II.4.7) since $d-1>1$. Then the third term must be $k$, and so $|Y|=\left|Y_{n}\right|$ is connected.

Next, we discuss $K_{X}$ in general.
Proposition 5.19. Let $X / k$ be projective with $\operatorname{dim} X=n$. Then $K_{X} \in$ $D^{[-n, 0]}(X)_{c o h}$.

Proof. We have

with $i$ a closed immersion. $i_{*} K_{X}=R \mathcal{H} \operatorname{mom}_{\mathcal{O}_{P}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{P}\right)[N]$, so it is enough to show $\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{P}}^{i+N}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{P}\right)=0$ for $i \notin[-n, 0]$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{E}^{j}=\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{P}}^{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{P}\right)=0
$$

for $j<N-n$ or $j>N$. This holds for $j>N$ since for all $x \in X$, $\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{P}}^{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{P}\right)_{x}=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{P, x}}^{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}, \omega_{P, x}\right)$, where $\omega_{P, x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P, x}$ is regular of dimension $\leq N$. Note that for $q \gg 0, \mathcal{E}^{j}(q)$ is generated by global sections. It then suffices to show for a fixed $j<N-n, \Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{E}^{j}(q)\right)=0$ for $q \gg 0$. By the following lemma, $\Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{E} x t^{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{P}\right)(q)\right)=\operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{P}(q)\right)$, which is dual to $H^{N-j}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-q)\right)=H^{N-j}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-q)\right)=0$ since $N-j>n=\operatorname{dim} X$.

Lemma 5.20. For fixed $E, F \in \operatorname{Coh}(P)$ and fixed $l$, $H^{0}\left(P, \mathcal{E} x t^{l}(E, F)(q)\right)=$ $\operatorname{Ext}^{l}(E, F(q))$ for $q \gg 0$.

Proof. We have biregular spectral sequences

$$
E_{2}^{i j}(q)=H^{i}\left(P, \mathcal{E} x t^{j}(E, F)(q)\right) \Rightarrow E x t^{i+j}(E, F(q))
$$

which concentrate in the first quadrant. By Serre's vanishing theorem (II.4.7), there exists $q_{0}$ such that for all $q \geq q_{0}, j \leq l, i>0, E_{2}^{i j}(q)=0$. Hence $d_{r}^{0 l}=0$, for all $r \geq 2$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{l}(E, F(q)) \simeq E_{\infty}^{0 l}(q)=E_{2}^{0 l}(q)=H^{0}\left(P, \mathcal{E} x t^{l}(E, F)(q)\right)
$$

Let $A$ be a local ring with residue field $k, M$ be an $A$-module. The depth of $M$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{depth}_{A} M & =\sup \left\{n \mid \text { there exists } M \text {-regular sequence }\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right), t_{i} \in A\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{m \mid \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{m}(k, M) \neq 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The depth of $A$ is its depth as an $A$-module. $A$ is called Cohen-Macaulay if its depth is equal to $\operatorname{dim} A$. A scheme $X$ is called Cohen-Macaulay if all its local rings are Cohen-Macaulay.

Proposition 5.21. Let $X / k$ be projective. Suppose $X$ is Cohen-Macaulay and all irriducible components have dimension $n$. Then $K_{X} \in D^{[-n,-n]}(X)$, and so $K_{X} \simeq \omega_{X}^{\circ}[n]$ with $\omega_{X}^{\circ}=H^{-n}\left(K_{X}\right)[n]$.

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 5.19, we only need to show for all $j>$ $N-n, x \in X$,

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{P, x}}^{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}, \omega_{P, x}\right)=0
$$

which follows from the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{proj} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{O}_{P, x}} & =\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{P, x}-\operatorname{depth}_{\mathcal{O}_{P, x}} \mathcal{O}_{X, x} \quad \text { by [EGAIV], } 0,17.3 .4 \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{P, x}-\operatorname{depth} \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{P, x}-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{X, x}=N-n
\end{aligned}
$$

The sheaf $\omega_{X}^{\circ}$ in the proposition is called the dualizing sheaf for $X$ in $[\mathrm{H}]$. $X$ is Cohen-Macaulay if, e.g., there is a regular $k$-immersion $i$ of $X$ into a projective space over $k$.


In this case, we even have $\omega_{X}^{\circ}$ is a line bundle. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{!} \mathcal{O}_{Y} & \simeq i^{!} g^{!} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=i^{!} \omega_{P}[N] \\
& \simeq i^{*} \omega_{P} \otimes \omega_{X / P}[-(N-n)][N] \quad \text { by Proposition } 5.3 \\
& =i^{*} \omega_{P} \otimes \omega_{X / P}[n],
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\omega_{X}^{\circ}=i^{*} \omega_{P} \otimes \omega_{X / P}$.

## 6 Spectral Sequences

6.1. The spectral sequences of a filtered complex. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. A filtered complex $\left(K, F^{p}\right)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is a complex $K$ of $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with a decreasing filtration by subcomplexes

$$
K \cdots \supset F^{p} K \supset F^{p+1} K \supset \cdots
$$

Denote by $\operatorname{gr}_{F}^{p}(K)$ the quotient complex $F^{p} K / F^{p+1} K$.
Problem. We want to relate $H^{n}(K)$ to $H^{m}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{F}^{p}(K)\right)$. For the inclusion $F^{p} K \rightarrow K$ we denote $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{n}\left(F^{p} K\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(K)\right)$ by $F^{p}\left(H^{n}(K)\right)$. In particular, we want to understand the relationship between $\operatorname{gr}_{F}^{p}\left(H^{n}(K)\right)$ and $H^{n}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{F}^{p}(K)\right)$.

Example 6.1.1. Let $K$ be a complex of $\mathcal{A}, K^{\prime}$ a subcomplex of $K$. Define a filtered complex $\left(K, F^{p}\right)$ as follow: $F^{0} K=K \supset F^{1} K=K^{\prime} \supset F^{2} K=0$ We have the short exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow K^{\prime} \rightarrow K \rightarrow K^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

and $\operatorname{gr}_{F}^{1}(K)=K^{\prime}, \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{0}(K)=K^{\prime \prime}$.
From the long exact sequence:

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H^{n-1}\left(K^{\prime \prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H^{n}(K) \longrightarrow H^{n}\left(K^{\prime \prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n+1}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

we get a short exact sequence:
$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}\left(H^{n-1} \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{0}(K) \rightarrow H^{n} \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{1}(K)\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{n} \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{0}(K) \rightarrow H^{n} \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{1}(K)\right) \rightarrow 0$
i.e. we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
F^{1} H^{n}(K)=\operatorname{Coker}\left(H^{n-1} \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{0}(K) \rightarrow H^{n} \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{1}(K)\right) \\
\operatorname{gr}_{F}^{0} H^{n}(K)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{n} \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{0}(K) \rightarrow H^{n} \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{1}(K)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

6.2. Now we consider the general case. We follow the approach of [C-E]. Let $\left(K, F^{p}\right)$ be a filtered complex. For $\infty \geq q \geq p \geq-\infty$, let $K(p, q)=$ $F^{p} K / F^{q} K, F^{-\infty} K=K, F^{\infty} K=0$. With these notations, we $\operatorname{get}^{\operatorname{gr}}{ }_{F}^{p}(K)=$ $K(p, p+1), K / F^{q} K=K(-\infty, q)$ and $F^{p} K=K(\infty, p)$.
For integers $p \leq q \leq r$, we have a short exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow K(q, r) \not \longrightarrow \frac{K(p, r) \longrightarrow K(p, q) \longrightarrow 0}{\delta} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote this sequence ( $*$ ) by $K(p, q, r)$. It defines a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}$, with $\delta: K(p, q) \rightarrow K(q, r)[1]$. Let $n=p+q$ and $r \geq 1$, denote $H^{n}(p, p+r)=H^{n}\left(F^{p} K / F^{p+r} K\right)$ and $E_{1}^{p, q}=H^{n}(p, p+1)=H^{n}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{F}^{p}(K)\right)$.
For a fixed $r$, consider the triangles defined by $(p, p+1, p+r)$, and $(p+r+$ $1, p, p+1$ ), and define
$Z_{r}^{p, q}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{n}(p, p+1) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n+1}(p+1, p+r)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{n}(p, p+r) \rightarrow H^{n}(p, p+1)\right) \subset E_{1}^{p, q}$
$B_{r}^{p, q}=\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{n-1}(p-r+1, p+1) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n}(p, p+1)\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{n}(p, p+1) \rightarrow H^{n}(p-r+1, p)\right) \subset E_{1}^{p, q}$
We have $Z_{1}^{p, q}=E_{1}^{p, q}$ and $B_{1}^{p, q}=0$

Theorem 6.3. (1) We have a chain of inclusions $0=B_{1} \subset \cdots \subset B_{r} \subset$ $\cdots \subset B_{\infty} \subset Z_{\infty} \subset \cdots \subset Z_{s} \subset \cdots \subset Z_{1}=E_{1}$
(2) In the diagram:

we have $\operatorname{Im}(\delta) \subset \operatorname{Im}\left(B_{r+1} \rightarrow H^{n+1}(p+1, p+r+1)\right)$, and $\delta$ induces an isomorphism

$$
Z_{r}^{p, q} / Z_{r+1}^{p, q} \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\underset{\sim}{\longrightarrow}} B_{r+1}^{p+r, q-r+1} / B_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1}
$$

(3) Let $E_{r}^{p, q}=Z_{r}^{p, q} / B_{r}^{p, q}$ and denote by $d_{r}$ the composition:

$$
E_{r}^{p, q}=Z_{r} / B_{r} \Longrightarrow Z_{r} / Z_{r+1} \stackrel{\delta}{\approx} B_{r+1} / B_{r} \Longleftrightarrow Z_{r} / B_{r}=E_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1}
$$

we have $d_{r} \cdot d_{r}=0$ and $H^{p, q}\left(E_{r}\right)=E_{r+1}^{p, q}$ i.e. $H\left(E_{r}^{p-r, q+r-1} \xrightarrow{d_{r}} E_{r}^{p, q} \xrightarrow{d_{r}}\right.$ $\left.E_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1}\right)=Z / B=E_{r+1}^{p, q}$
(4) Let $F^{p} H^{n}(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{n}\left(F^{p} K\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(K)\right)$, in the diagram:

we have $\operatorname{can}\left(Z_{\infty}\right) \subset \operatorname{Im}\left(F^{p} H^{n}(K) \rightarrow H^{n}(K) \rightarrow H^{n}(-\infty, p+1)\right)$, and an isomorphism

$$
Z_{\infty}^{p, q} / B_{\infty}^{p, q} \xrightarrow{\sim} g r_{F}^{p}\left(H^{n}(K)\right)
$$

Proof. (1) To show $Z_{r+1} \subset Z_{r}$, we consider the morphism of short exact sequences $K(p, p+1, p+r+1) \rightarrow K(p, p+1, p+r)$ which gives the following commutative diagram:


Thus we get $Z_{r+1} \subset Z_{r}$. Similarly we have $B_{r} \subset B_{r+1}$.
To show $B_{r} \subset Z_{s}$ for all $r, s$, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 6.4. For integers $p \leq q \leq r \leq s, K(p, q) \xrightarrow{\delta} K(q, r)[1] \xrightarrow{\delta} K(r, s)[2]$ we have $\delta \cdot \delta=0$.

Proof. We have the diagram:


In which (1) is commutative and (2) is distinguished. We get $\delta_{2} \cdot \delta_{1}=$ $\delta_{2} \cdot u \cdot \delta_{3}=0$

By the above lemma we get the composition:

$$
H^{n-1}(p-r+1, p) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n}(p, p+1) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n+1}(p+1, p+s)
$$

to be 0 . This gives the injection of $B_{r} \hookrightarrow Z_{s}$.
(2) We need a very useful lemma the proof of which is left as an exercise.

Lemma 6.5 (C-E,XV1.1). Suppose we have a commutative diagram with the bottom row exact:


Then $b$ induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Im} c / \operatorname{Im} a \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Im} d$
The morphism of short exact sequences $K(p, p+r, p+r+1) \rightarrow K(p, p+$ $1, p+r+1)$ gives a commutative diagram:

; Secondly, we have a commutative diagram with exact row

; we have $\operatorname{Im} u_{1}=Z_{r}^{p, q}$ and $\operatorname{Im} v=Z_{r+1}^{p, q}$, and the lemma shows $Z_{r}^{p, q} / Z_{r+1}^{p, q} \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\operatorname{Im} \varphi$; Finally we have a commutative diagram with exact row

we have $\operatorname{Im} \epsilon=B_{r+1}^{p+r, q-r+1}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \epsilon^{\prime}=B_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1}$, and the lemma shows $B_{r+1}^{p+r, q-r+1} / B_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Im} \varphi$. Composing the two isomorphisms we get $Z_{r} / Z_{r+1} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\boldsymbol{\delta}} B_{r+1} / B_{r}$.
(3) Obviously we have $\operatorname{Ker} d_{r}=Z_{r+1}^{p, q} / B_{r}^{p, q}$ and $\operatorname{Im} d_{r}=B_{r+1}^{p+r, q-r+1} / B_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1}$. This implies that $d_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1} \circ d_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1}=0$ and $H\left(E_{r}\right)=E_{r+1}$.
(4) The morphism of exact sequences $K(-\infty, p+1, \infty) \rightarrow K(-\infty, p, \infty)$ gives a commutative diagram:


Secondly, we have a commutative diagram with exact row:

where $\operatorname{Im} u=Z_{\infty}$ and again by the CE lemma we get $Z_{\infty} / B_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Im} a$. Similarly from the diagram:

we get $F^{p} H^{n}(K) / F^{p+1} H^{n}(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Im} a$. By composing the two isomorphisms together we get $\left.\operatorname{gr}^{p} H^{( } K\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\infty} / B_{\infty}$

Definition 6.6. A spectral sequence in $\mathcal{A}$, denoted as $E_{a}^{p, q} \Rightarrow\left(H^{n}, F\right)$ for $0 \leq a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and usually $a=1$ or 2 , consists of the following data:
(1) A family of objects $H^{n}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a decreasing filtration:

$$
H^{n}=F^{0} H^{n} \supset F^{1} H^{n} \supset \cdots \supset F^{p} H^{n} \supset F^{p+1} H^{n} \supset \cdots
$$

(2) A family of objects $E_{r}^{p, q}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ for all $p, q$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ and for $r \geq a$; and a family of morphisms $d_{r}: E_{r}^{p, q} \rightarrow E_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1}$ such that $d_{r} \cdot d_{r}=0$.
(3) A family of isomorphisms $\alpha_{r}^{p, q}: H^{p, q}\left(E_{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{r+1}^{p, q}$
(4) For $s \geq a$ and $r \geq a$, define

$$
0=B_{a} \subset B_{a+1} \subset \cdots \subset B_{r} \subset \cdots \subset Z_{s} \subset \cdots \subset Z_{a}=E_{a}
$$

inductively as follows:

$$
B_{a+1}^{p, q}=\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{a}\right) \subset E_{r}^{p, q}, \quad Z_{a+1}^{p, q}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{a}\right) \subset E_{r}^{p, q}
$$

We have $Z_{a+1}^{p, q} / B_{a+1}^{p, q}=E_{a+1}^{p, q}$, define $Z_{a+2}^{p, q} / B_{a+1}^{p, q}$ by $\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{a+1}\right)$ and $B_{a+2}^{p, q} / B_{a+1}^{p, q}$ by $\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{a+1}\right)$, by pulling-back we get $B_{a+1} \subset B_{a+2} \subset Z_{a+2} \subset Z_{a+1}$. Inductively we define $B_{r+1} / B_{r}$ to be $\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{r}\right)$, and $Z_{r+1} / Z_{r}$ to be $\operatorname{Ker} d_{r}$, and then by pulling-back we have $B_{r} \subset Z_{r}$.
(5) Two objects in $\mathcal{A}, B_{\infty}^{p, q} \subset Z_{\infty}^{p, q} \subset E_{a}^{p, q}$ such that $B_{r}^{p, q} \subset B_{\infty}^{p, q} \subset Z_{\infty}^{p, q} \subset$ $Z_{s}^{p, q}$, for all $s, r$, and an isomorphism

$$
\beta: E_{\infty}^{p, q}=Z_{\infty}^{p, q} / B_{\infty}^{p, q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr}_{F}^{p}\left(H^{n}\right)
$$

The spectral sequence associated to a filtered complex constructed in 6.3 is a example, with $a=1$.

The term $E_{a}^{\cdot \cdots}$ is called the initial term, the filtered term $H^{n}, F$ the abutment of the spectral sequence. We usually give a picture of a spectral sequence by plotting the term $E_{r}^{p, q}$ at the point of coordinates $(p, q)$ in the plane. The differential $d_{r}$ corresponds to a generalized "knight's jump"

6.7. Let $E_{a}^{p, q} \Rightarrow\left(H^{n}, F\right)$ and $E_{a}^{\prime p, q} \Rightarrow\left(H^{\prime n}, F\right)$ be two spectral sequences. A morphism of spectral sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{a}^{p, q} \Rightarrow & \left(H^{n}, F\right) \\
& { }^{u} \\
E_{a}^{p, q} \Rightarrow & \left(H^{n}, F\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

consists of morphisms $u_{r}^{p, q}: E_{r}^{p, q} \rightarrow E_{r}^{\prime p, q}$ and $u^{n}: H^{n} \rightarrow H^{\prime n}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) The diagram:

commutes, so $u^{n}$ induces a morphism $\gamma: \mathrm{gr}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{gr} H^{\prime n}$.
(ii) The diagram:

commutes.
((iii) Morphisms $Z_{\infty} \rightarrow Z_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and $B_{\infty} \rightarrow B_{\infty}^{\prime}$ which induces a morphism epsilon : $E_{\infty} \rightarrow E_{\infty}^{\prime}$ so that the following diagram commutes:

(iv) The following diagram commutes.


In this way spectral sequences of $\mathcal{A}$ form an Additive Category.
Definition 6.8. A spectral sequence $E_{a}^{p, q} \Rightarrow\left(H^{n}, F\right)$ is called biregular if it satisfies the following properties:
(i) For any pair $(p, q)$, there exists an integer $r_{0}$ such that $B_{r}^{p, q}=B_{r_{0}}^{p, q}$ and $Z_{r}^{p, q}=Z_{r_{0}}^{p, q}$ for all $r \geq r_{0}$
(ii) For all $n,\left(F^{p} H^{n}\right)_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a finite filtration, i.e. $F^{p} H^{n}=H^{n}$ for $p$ sufficiently small and $F^{q} H^{n}=0$ for $q$ sufficiently large.

Example 6.8.1. A filtered complex $\left(K, F^{p}\right)$ is said to be regular if for all $n$, the filtration $\left(F^{p} K^{n}\right)$ is finite. The spectral sequence $E_{1}^{p, q}=H^{p, q}\left(\mathrm{gr}^{p} K\right) \Rightarrow$ $\left(H^{n}, K\right)$ is then biregular.

Proposition 6.9. Let $u:\left(E_{a}^{p, q} \Rightarrow\left(H^{n}, F\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(E_{a}^{p, q} \Rightarrow\left(H^{\prime n}, F\right)\right)$ be a morphism of biregular spectral sequences. If for some $r \geq a, u_{r}^{p, q}: E_{r}^{p, q} \rightarrow$ $E_{r}^{\prime p, q}$ is an isomorphism for all $p, q$, then $u^{n}: H^{n} \rightarrow H^{\prime n}$ is an isomorphism for all $n$.

Proof. We have the following diagram:


As the filtrations of $H^{n}$ and $H^{\prime n}$ are finite ,so we get the conclusion.
Definition 6.10. A spectral sequence $E_{a}^{p, q} \Rightarrow\left(H^{n}, F\right)$ is said to degenerate at $E_{r_{0}}$, if it is biregular and $d_{r}=0$, for all $r \geq r_{0}$.

Proposition 6.11. Let $E$ be a biregular spectral sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of modules of finite length over some ring $R$. Then $\left(E_{a}^{p, q} \Rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\left(H^{n}, F\right)\right)$ degenerates at $E_{r_{0}}$ if and only if $\sum_{p+q=n} l g E_{r_{0}}^{p, q}=\lg H^{n}$, for all $n$.
Proof. We have $\cdots \leq \lg E_{r+1}^{p, q} \leq \lg E_{r}^{p, q} \leq \cdots$ and $\lg E_{\infty}^{p, q} \leq \lg E_{r}^{p, q}$. For $N$ sufficiently large, we have $E_{N}^{p, q}=E_{\infty}^{p, q}$, so

$$
\lg H^{n}=\sum_{p+q=n} \lg \left(\operatorname{gr}^{p}\left(H^{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{p+q=n} \lg E_{\infty}^{p, q}=\sum_{p+q=n} \lg E_{N}^{p, q} \leq \sum_{p+q=n} \lg E_{r_{0}}^{p, q}
$$

$E$ degenerates at $E_{r_{0}}$ if and only if $Z_{r}=E_{r}=E_{r+1}$, for all $r \geq r_{0}$, which holds if and only if $\lg E_{\infty}^{p, q}=\lg E_{r}^{p, q}=\lg E_{r_{0}}^{p, q}$, for all $r \geq r_{0}$. Thus the conclusion follows.
6.12. Spectral sequences of a bicomplex. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. Let $K=K^{\bullet \bullet}=\left(K^{p, q} ; d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a bicomplex of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $d^{\prime 2}=d^{\prime \prime 2}=$ $\left(d^{\prime}+d^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=0$. We call the complex $\left(K^{\bullet, q}, d^{\prime}\right)$ the $q$-th row complex and the
complex ( $K^{p, \bullet}, d^{\prime \prime}$ ) the $p$-th column complex. Recall that $K$ is biregular if for all $n$, the set $\left\{(p, q) \mid p+q=n, K^{p, q} \neq 0\right\}$ is finite. For $K$ biregular, then the simple complex $s K \in C(\mathcal{A})$ associated to $K$ is

$$
s K^{n}=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} K^{p, q}, d=d^{\prime}+d^{\prime \prime}
$$

For any double complex $K$, there are two filtrations on $K^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\prime p} K^{i, j} & = \begin{cases}K^{i, j}, & i \geq p ; \\
0, & i<p\end{cases} \\
F^{\prime \prime p} K^{i, j} & = \begin{cases}K^{i, j}, & j \geq p ; \\
0, & j<p .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now assume $K$ is regular.
(1). The first spectral sequence. We have

$$
\left(F^{\prime p}(s K)=s\left(F^{\prime p} K\right), \operatorname{gr}_{F^{\prime}}^{p}(s K)=\left(K^{p, \bullet}\right)[-p] .\right.
$$

The spectral sequence of $\left(s K, F^{\prime}\right)$ is

$$
E_{1}^{p, q}=H^{p+q}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{F^{\prime}}^{p} K\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(s K) .
$$

Since $E_{1}^{p . q}=H^{q+p}\left(K^{p, \bullet}[-p]\right)=" H^{q}\left(K^{p, \bullet}\right)$ and $d_{1}: " H^{q}\left(K^{p, \bullet}\right) \rightarrow " H^{q}\left(K^{p+1, \bullet}\right)$ is induced by $d^{\prime}$, then

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}={ }^{\prime} H^{p, \prime} H^{q}\left(K^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}\right)
$$

and

$$
' F H^{n}(s K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{n}\left(s F^{\prime p} K\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(s K)\right) .
$$

(2). The second spectral sequence. Similar to the first case, we have

$$
E_{1}^{p, q}={ }^{\prime} H^{q}\left(K^{\bullet, p}\right), E_{2}^{p, q}=" H^{p \prime} H^{q}\left(K^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(s K)
$$

and

$$
" F H^{n}(s K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{n}\left(s F^{" p} K\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(s K)\right) .
$$

Remark. If $F^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is biregular, then these spectral sequences are biregular.

Proposition 6.13. Let $u: K^{\bullet \bullet \bullet} \rightarrow L^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ be a morphism of biregular complexes which hence induces $s u: s K \rightarrow s L$. Then
(a). If $u$ induces a quasi-isomorphism on each row(resp. column), then su is a quasi-isomorphism.
(b). If u induces a quasi-isomorphism on each cohomology row(resp. column), then su is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. Exercise.
6.14. Spectral sequences of hypercohomology. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two abelian categories. Let $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor. Assume $c A$ has enough injectives. Then $R T: D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^{+}(\mathcal{B})$ is well defined. For $K \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, then $R T(K)=T\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ where $K{ }_{r}^{q u i s} K^{\prime}, K^{\prime} \in K(\mathcal{A})$ with $K^{\prime p}$ injective. We write $R^{n} T(K)=H^{n} R T(K)$.
Theorem 6.15 (Cartan-Eilenberg). One can construct spectral sequences:
(1) ' $E_{1}^{p, q}=R^{q} T\left(K^{p}\right) \Rightarrow R^{n} T(K)$, where $d_{1}$ is induced by $d: K^{p} \rightarrow K^{p+1}$. This is called the first spectral sequence of hypercohomology of $K$ for $T$.
(2) " $E_{2}^{p, q}=R^{p} T\left(H^{q} K\right) \Rightarrow R^{n} T(K)$, which is called the second spectral sequence of hypercohomology.

Moreover, (1) and (2) are biregular. (1) is functorial in $K \in C(\mathcal{A})$, and in $K \in K^{+}(\mathcal{A})$ from $E_{2}$ on; (2) is functorial in $K \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$. The abutment filtration of (1) is

$$
F^{p} R^{n} T(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(R^{n} T\left(K^{\geq p}\right) \rightarrow R^{n} T(K)\right), \quad K^{\geq p}=\sigma_{\geq p} K
$$

The abutment filtration of (2) is

$$
F^{p} T^{n}(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(R^{n} T\left(\tau_{\leq n-p} K\right) \rightarrow R^{n} K\right)
$$

where $\tau_{\leq m} K=\left(\cdots \rightarrow K^{m-1} \rightarrow Z^{m} \rightarrow 0\right)$.
We need to construct the injective Cartan-Eilenberg resolution to prove the theorem.

Lemma 6.16. Consider a diagram

with exact rows and columns and $I^{\prime}, I "$ injective, then we can complete the diagram.

Proof. This is an easy exercise.
From the above Lemma, one has
Lemma 6.17. Let $0 \rightarrow L^{\prime} \rightarrow L \rightarrow L^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence. Let $L^{\prime} \rightarrow I^{\prime} \bullet$ and $L^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow I^{\prime \prime}$ be injective resolutions. Then there exists an injective resolution $L \rightarrow I^{\bullet}$ to complete the diagram


Lemma 6.18. let $K \in C(\mathcal{A})$. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow\left[M^{\bullet, 0} \rightarrow M^{\bullet, 1} \rightarrow \cdots\right]
$$

where $M^{\bullet \bullet}$, such that

$$
0 \rightarrow K^{p} \rightarrow M^{p, 0} \rightarrow M^{p, 1} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

is an injective resolution of $K^{p}$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow Z^{p} K \rightarrow Z^{p} M^{\bullet, 0} \rightarrow Z^{p} M^{\bullet, 1} \rightarrow \cdots \\
0 \rightarrow B^{p} K \rightarrow B^{p} M^{\bullet, 0} \rightarrow B^{p} M^{\bullet, 1} \rightarrow \cdots \\
0 \rightarrow H^{p} K \rightarrow H^{p} M^{\bullet, 0} \rightarrow H^{p} M^{\bullet, 1} \rightarrow \cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

are all injective resolutions. This resolution is called Cartan-Eilenberg resolution.

Proof. We first apply Lemma 6.17 to the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow B^{p} K \rightarrow Z^{p} K \rightarrow H^{p} K \rightarrow 0
$$

and then apply it to the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow Z^{p} K \rightarrow K^{p} \rightarrow B^{p+1} K \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Remark. (1). Suppose that

$$
K \rightarrow K^{\prime \bullet \bullet \bullet}, \quad L \rightarrow L^{\prime \bullet \bullet \bullet}
$$

are Cartan-Eilenberg resolutions. Then any map $f: K \rightarrow L$ can be lifted to

$$
f^{\prime}: K^{\prime} \bullet \bullet \rightarrow L^{\prime \bullet \bullet \bullet} .
$$

Any two liftings $f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}$ are related by vertical homotopy

$$
s: K^{\prime p, q} \longrightarrow L^{\prime p, q-1} f^{\prime \prime}-f^{\prime}=d s+s d, \quad s d^{\prime}+d^{\prime} s=0
$$

(2). Suppose $f \sim g: K \rightarrow L$, and $k: K \rightarrow L$ is a homotopy of $f$ and $g$ : $d k+k d=g-f$. If $f^{\prime}: K^{\prime} \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}: K^{\prime} \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ lift $f$ and $g$ respectively. Then there exists a homotopy $s=s^{\prime}+s^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
g^{\prime}-f^{\prime}=d s+s d, s^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}+d^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime}=0, s^{\prime \prime} d^{\prime}+d^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}=0 .
$$

Proof of Theorem 6.15. Suppose $K \in C^{+}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $K \rightarrow M^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ be an injective Cartan-Eilenberg resolution of $K$. Note that $K \rightarrow s M^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ is a quasiisomorphism, and

$$
(s M)^{n}=\prod_{p+q=n} M^{p, q} \text { injective }
$$

Then

$$
R T(K)=T\left(s M^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}\right)=s\left(T M^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}\right)
$$

(1). The 1st spectral sequence of hypercohomology is just the 1st spectral sequence of $T M^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$, with

$$
{ }^{\prime} E_{1}^{p, q}=^{\prime} H^{q} T\left(M^{p, \bullet}\right)=R^{q} T\left(K^{p}\right),
$$

and $d_{1}$ induced by $d: K^{p} \rightarrow K^{p+1}$. Since

$$
K^{\geq p}=\sigma_{\geq p} K^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{q u i s}{ }^{\prime} F^{p}{ }_{s} M^{\bullet \bullet},
$$

then

$$
R T\left(K^{\geq p}\right)=T^{\prime} F^{p}\left(s M^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}\right)=\mathbb{F}^{p}\left(s T M^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}\right)
$$

and $F^{p} R^{n} T(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(R^{n} T\left(K^{\geq p}\right) \rightarrow R^{n} T K\right)$.
(2). The second spectral sequence of hypercohomology is the second spectral sequence of $T M^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$, with

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=" H^{p \prime} H^{q}\left(T M^{\bullet \bullet \bullet}\right) .
$$

Since ${ }^{\prime} H^{q}\left(T M^{\bullet, j}\right)=T^{\prime} H^{q}\left(M^{\bullet, j}\right)$, and all the components, boundaries and cycles of $M^{\bullet, j}$ are injectives,

$$
" H^{p \prime} H^{q}\left(T M^{\bullet \bullet}\right)=R^{p} T\left(H^{q} K\right) .
$$

The abutment filtration of the 2 nd spectral sequence needs to use "décalage" of Deligne. We leave it as an exercise.

Corollary 6.19 (Spectral sequence of a composite functor). Suppose

$$
\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{C},
$$

where $F, G$ are additive functors of abelian categories. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ have enough injectives, and $F($ injective $)=G$-acyclic. Thus

$$
D^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow[R(G F)]{\stackrel{R F}{\longrightarrow} D^{+} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{R G}} D^{+}(\mathcal{C}) .
$$

Then there exists, for $K \in D^{+}(\mathcal{A})$, a functorial, biregular spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=R^{p} G R^{q} F(K) \Rightarrow(G F)(K) .
$$

Proof. Take the second spectral sequence of hypercohomology of $R F(K)$ for $G$, then $E_{2}^{p, q}=R^{p} G\left(H^{q}(R F(K))\right)=R^{p} G R^{q} F(K)$ and $R^{n} G(R F K)=$ $H^{n}(R G(R F(K)))=H^{n}(R(G F)(K))=R^{n}(G F)(K)$, thus

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=R^{p} G R^{q} F(K) \Rightarrow(G F)(K) .
$$

The abutment is

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{p} R^{n}(G F)(K) & =\operatorname{Im}\left(R^{n}(G F)\left(\tau_{\leq n-p} K\right) \rightarrow R^{n}(G F)(K)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(R^{G}\left(\tau_{\leq n-p} R F K\right) \rightarrow R^{n}(G F) K\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We give three applications for Cartan-Eilenberg's Theorem and the corollary.
6.20. Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence. Let $k$ be a field and $X / k$ be a proper smooth scheme. Then $\Omega_{X / k}^{\bullet} \in C(X, k)$. The first spectral sequence of hypercohomology of $\Omega_{X / k}^{\bullet}$ for

$$
T=\Gamma(X,-): \operatorname{Mod}(X, k) \rightarrow V(k)=\{\text { finite dimensional } k \text {-vector spaces }\}
$$

is

$$
E_{1}^{p, q}=H^{q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{p}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{\bullet}\right)=H_{d R}^{p+q}(X / k),
$$

$d_{1}$ is induced by $d: \Omega^{p} \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}$. This spectral sequence is biregular and concentrated on the first quadrant. For every $r$ and for every $p, q, E_{r}^{p, q}$ is finite dimensional over $k$, therefore $H_{d R}^{n}(X / k)$ is finite dimensional over $k$. This spectral sequence is called the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence. Let

$$
h^{n}=h^{n}(X / k)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} H_{d R}^{n}(X / k), h^{p, q}=h^{q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{p}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{p}\right) .
$$

From the Hodge to De Rham spectral sequence, we always have

$$
h^{n} \leq \sum_{p+q=n} h^{p, q} .
$$

If $k=\bar{k}, X$ is connected and $\operatorname{dim} X=d$, then one can show that $H^{d}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{d}\right)=$ $H_{d R}^{2 d}(X / k)$ and $h^{p, q}=h^{d-p, d-q}$.

One would like to show the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at $E_{1}$, which means that $d_{r}=0$ for all $r \geq 1$, in particular, $E_{1}=E_{\infty}$. This is equivalent to show that for all $n$,

$$
h^{n}=\sum_{p+q=n} h^{p, q} .
$$

Theorem 6.21 (Hodge+Deligne). If char $k=0$, then the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at $E_{1}$

Theorem 6.22 (Deligne-Illusie). If $\operatorname{char} k=p>0, k$ perfect and $\operatorname{dim} X \leq$ $p$ and $X$ is liftable to the second Witt ring $W_{2}(k)$, then the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at $E$.
6.23. Leray spectral sequence. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of ringed spaces. Then $\Gamma\left(Y, f_{*}(-)\right)=\Gamma(X,-)$. We have a spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(Y, R^{q} f_{*} K\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, K)
$$

6.24. Local to global spectral sequence of Ext. Let $X$ be a ringed space, $L \in D^{-}(X), M \in D^{+}(X)$. Then

$$
\mathrm{R} \mathcal{H o m}(L, M) \in D^{+}(X), \mathcal{E} x t^{n}(L, M)=H^{n}(\mathrm{R} \mathcal{H} o m(L, M)) .
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{RHom}(L, M)=R \Gamma(X, \mathrm{R} \mathcal{H o m}(L, M)) \in D^{+}(A b), \\
\operatorname{Ext}^{n}(L, M)=H^{n}(\operatorname{RHom}(L, M))=H^{n}(X, \mathrm{R} \mathcal{H o m}(L, M)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The second spectral sequence of hypercohomology for $\Gamma(X,-)$ then gives

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(X, \mathcal{E} x t^{q}(L, M)\right) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{p+q}(L, M)
$$

## Chapter 4

## Grothendieck's Comparison and Existence Theorems in Formal Geometry

## 1 Locally Noetherian Formal Schemes

Definition 1.1. An adic noetherian ring is a noetherian ring which is separated and complete in the $I$-adic topology for some ideal $I \subset A$, i.e. $A \simeq \lim _{\leftrightharpoons} A / I^{n+1}$.

Set $A_{n}=A / I^{n+1}$ and $X_{n}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{n}$. It is clear that the $X_{n}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ have the same underlying topological space and one obtains an increasing sequence of thickenings of $\operatorname{Spec} A / I$ in $\operatorname{Spec} A$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} A / I \hookrightarrow X_{1} \hookrightarrow X_{2} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A \\
\mathcal{O}_{X_{o}} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{n+1}} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A}
\end{gathered}
$$

Associated to this sequence is the formal spectrum of $A$, denoted by $\operatorname{Spf}(A)=$ $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{X}=\left|X_{0}\right|$ as topological spaces and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}=\lim _{\rightleftarrows} \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}$ is a sheaf of topological rings.

For any open subset $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$, define the section $\Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ to be the topological ring $\lim \Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}\right)$ where $\Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}\right)$ is endowed with the discrete topology. For instance, given $f \in A$, denote by $f_{0}$ the image of $f$ modulo $I$ in $A_{0}$, then the section over the open set $\mathfrak{D}(f)=\mathfrak{X}_{f}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{0}\right)_{f_{0}}$, where $f_{0}$ is invertible, is the completed fraction ring $A_{\{f\}}=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} \Gamma\left(\mathfrak{X}_{f}, O_{X_{n}}\right)=\varliminf_{\longleftarrow} S_{f}^{-1} A \otimes_{A}\left(A / I^{n}\right)$.

Remark 1.2. (1) As a topological space, $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A$ depends only on $A$ as a topological ring. It doesn't change if one replaces $I$ by some ideal of definition, namely, an ideal $J$ such that $J \supset I^{p} \supset J^{q}$ for some positive integer $p$ and $q$. The space $\mathfrak{X}$ is the subspace of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ consisting of open prime ideals, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}=\underset{\leftrightarrows}{\lim } \widetilde{(A / J)}$ where $J$ runs through the ideals of definition of $A$.
(2) $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{0} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$ being a closed subspace, $\mathfrak{X}$ contains all the closed points of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ and thus every open subset of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ containing $\mathfrak{X}$ coincides with $\operatorname{Spec} A$ itself, resulting from $I \subset \operatorname{Rad} A$.

Definition 1.3. An affine noetherian formal scheme is a topologically ringed space isomorphic to $\left(\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ for some adic notherian ring $A$. A local noetherian formal scheme is a topologically ringed space covered by affine noetherian formal schemes, namely, every point lies in a neighborhood which is an affine noetherian formal scheme. Morphisms between local noetherian formal schemes $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}\right)$ are those morphisms $\left(f, f^{\#}\right)$ between ringed spaces that are local and continuous, i.e. for every point $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}, f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, x}$ is local and for any affine open subscheme $V \subset \mathfrak{Y}$, the homomorphism between topological rings $\Gamma\left(V, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(f^{-1}(V), \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ is continuous.

As in the case of usual schemes, for any local noetherian formal scheme $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ and affine formal scheme $\left(\mathfrak{Y}=\operatorname{Spf} A, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})=\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {cont }}\left(A, \Gamma\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {cont }}$ stands for the set of continuous ring homomorphisms.
Let $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A$ be an affine noetherian formal scheme and $I$ an ideal of definition of $A$. With any $A$-module of finite type $M$ is associated an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module $M^{\Delta}=\lim \tilde{M}_{n}$ where $\tilde{M}_{n}$ is the coherent module on $\operatorname{Spec} A_{n}$ associated to the $A_{n}$-module $M_{n}$. Immediately derived from the definition is the following

Proposition 1.4. $\Gamma\left(\mathfrak{X}, M^{\Delta}\right)=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} M_{n}=M$. For $f \in A$, $\mathfrak{X}_{f} \subset \mathfrak{X}$, $\Gamma\left(\mathfrak{X}_{f}, M^{\Delta}\right)=\left(M_{f}\right)^{\wedge}$ is the I-adic completion of the fractional module $M_{f}$. The functor $M \rightarrow M^{\Delta}$ is exact where $M$ ranges over the category of finitely generated $A$-modules. The map $\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N)\right)^{\wedge} \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}\left(M^{\Delta}, N^{\Delta}\right)$ induced by $v \mapsto v^{\Delta}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, any homomorphism $u: M^{\Delta} \rightarrow$ $N^{\Delta}$ is uniquely determined by its global section $v$ via $u=v^{\Delta}$.

Recall that given a ringed space $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $E$ is locally of finite type if for every $x \in X$ there exists a neighborhood $U \supset x$ and an epimorphism $\left.\mathcal{O}_{U}^{r} \rightarrow E\right|_{U} \rightarrow 0$; it is locally of finite presentation if the exact sequence above can be extended to $\left.\mathcal{O}_{U}^{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U}^{n} \rightarrow E\right|_{U} \rightarrow 0$. If $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ is coherent, an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $E$ is coherent if and only if it is finitely generated and locally of finite presentation.

Proposition 1.5. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a locally noetherian formal scheme, then
(1) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is coherent;
(2) given $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}), E$ is coherent if and only if for every affine open piece $U=\operatorname{Spf} A \subset \mathfrak{X}$, there exists $M$ an $A$-module of finite type such that $\left.E\right|_{U}=M^{\Delta}$.

The set of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-modules will be denoted by $\operatorname{Coh}(\mathfrak{X})$ in later sections.

Proof. (1) The question may be reduced to local case, and one may assume that $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A$ is affine. Actually for any epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, the kernel of the corresponded map $v=\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, u): A^{r} \rightarrow A$ is of finite type because $A$ is noetherian itself, namely there exists a exact sequence of the form $A^{s} \xrightarrow{w} A^{r} \xrightarrow{v} A \rightarrow 0$. The exactness of the functor ${ }^{\Delta}$ implies an exact sequence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{s} \xrightarrow{w^{\Delta}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{r} \xrightarrow{v^{\Delta}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow 0$.
(2) The part of $\Leftarrow$ is clear. For the $\Rightarrow$ part, it suffices to show that $E=M^{\Delta}$ for some $A$-module $M$ of finite type. Put $E_{n}=E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}} \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(X_{n}\right)$, $M_{n}=\Gamma\left(X_{n}, E_{n}\right)$, and let $M=\underset{\rightleftarrows}{\lim } \Gamma\left(X_{n}, E_{n}\right)$. Thus

$$
E=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} E_{n}=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} \tilde{M}_{n}=M^{\Delta}
$$

is coherent.

### 1.6. Ideals of Definition and Inductive Limits

Definition 1.7. For a local noetherian formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$, an ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{X}$ is a coherent ideal sheaf $\mathfrak{I} \in \operatorname{Coh}(\mathfrak{X})$ such that the formal scheme ( $\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} / \mathfrak{I}$ ) has the same underlying topological space as $\mathfrak{X}$.

Proposition 1.8. Let $\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ be a local noetherian scheme.
(1) An ideal sheaf $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is an ideal of definition of $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ if and only if for any affine open subscheme $U=\operatorname{Spf} A \subset \mathfrak{X},\left.\mathfrak{I}\right|_{U}=I^{\Delta}$ where $I \subset A$ is
an ideal of definition for the topological ring $A=\underset{\rightleftarrows}{\lim } A / J^{n}$, with $I \supset J^{p} \supset I^{q}$ for some positive integer $p$ and $q$.
(2) (Similar to the case of schemes) Ideals of definition exist and there exist a largest one $\mathfrak{T}$ such that $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} / \mathfrak{T}\right)$ is reduced. On any affine open subscheme $U=\operatorname{Spf} A, \mathfrak{T}=N^{\Delta}$, where $N=\left\{a \in A: A^{n} \rightarrow 0\right.$ as $\left.n \rightarrow \infty\right\}$ is the ideal of topological nilpotent elements which coincides with the inverse image of the nilpotent radical of $A$ via $A=\underset{\leftrightarrows}{\lim } A / I^{n}$. Any ideal of definition is contained in $\mathfrak{T}$.

Remark 1.9. Given a noetherian formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$, two ideals of definition of $X$, say $\mathfrak{I}$ and $\mathfrak{J}$, gives a chain $\mathfrak{I} \supset \mathfrak{J}^{p} \supset \mathfrak{I}^{q}$ for some positive integer $p$ and $q$.

Fix an ideal of definition $\mathfrak{I}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ringed space $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} / \mathfrak{I}^{n+1}\right)$ is a locally noetherian scheme, denoted $X_{n}$. One obtains an increasing chain of thickenings

$$
\begin{equation*}
X .=\left(X_{0} \hookrightarrow X_{1} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow X_{n} \hookrightarrow \cdots\right) \tag{1.9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose inductive limit, in the category of locally noetherian formal schemes, is $\mathfrak{X}$ : the thickenings induce the identity map on the underlying topological spaces, which are all equal to $|\mathfrak{X}|$, and it is clear that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}=\lim \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}$ as sheaves of topological rings, where $\Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}\right)$ is endowed with the discrete topology for any open subset $U \subset X_{n}$. Let $J_{n}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)$ be the ideal of $X_{0}$ in $X_{n}$. Then for integers $m \leq n$, the ideal of $X_{m}$ in $X_{n}$ is $J_{n}^{m+1}$, and in particular $J_{n}^{n+1}=0$. $J_{1}$ is a coherent module on $X_{0}$ and $J_{n}=\mathfrak{I} / \mathfrak{I}^{n+1}$.

Converse to the argument above is the following
Proposition 1.10. Consider a sequence of ringed spaces 1.9.1 satisfying
(1) $X_{0}$ is a locally noetherian scheme;
(2) the underlying maps of topological spaces are homeomorphisms and, using them to identify the underlying spaces, the maps of sheaves of rings $\mathcal{O}_{X_{n+1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}$ are surjective;
(3) setting $J_{n}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)$, then for $m \leq n, \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{m}}\right)=$ $J_{n}^{m+1}$;
(4) $J_{1}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}$-module.

Then the topologically ringed space $\mathfrak{X}=\left(X_{0}, \lim _{\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}}\right)$ is a locally noetherian formal scheme, and $\mathfrak{I}:=\lim J_{n}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\overleftarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)$ is an ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{I}^{n+1}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}\right)$.

Proof. The verification is straightforward as reduced to the affine case. Assume $X_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$ is affine, one checks easily that each $X_{n}$ is affine noetherian of ring $A_{n}=\Gamma\left(X_{n}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}\right)$. Then $A=\Gamma\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} A_{n}$ is separated and complete. $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A$ is an affine noetherian formal scheme.

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a locally noetherian formal scheme, $\mathfrak{I}$ an ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{X}$. Consider the corresponding chain of thickenings as above. For $m \leq n$, denote by $u_{m n}: X_{m} \rightarrow X_{n}$ and $u_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{0}$ the canonical morphisms. Given a coherent module $E$ on $\mathfrak{X}$, then $E_{n}:=u_{n}^{*} E$ is a coherent module on $X_{n}$ and these modules form an inverse system, with $\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}$-linear transition maps $E_{n} \rightarrow E_{m}$ inducing isomorphisms $u_{m n}^{*} E_{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{m}$ and $E=\underset{\mathcal{O}_{2}}{\leftrightarrows} E_{n}$.

Conversely, let $F=\left(F_{n}, f_{m n}\right)$ be an inverse system of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}$-modules, with $\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}$-linear transition maps $f_{m n}: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{m}$ for $m \leq n$. $F$. is said to be coherent if each $F_{n}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}$-coherent and the transition maps $f_{m n}$ induce isomorphisms $u_{m n}^{*} F_{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{m}$. If $F$. is coherent and $F:=\lim F_{n}$ is the corresponding $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module, then $F$ is coherent and $F$. is canonically isomorphic to the inverse system $\left(u_{n}^{*} F\right)$. The functor $\operatorname{Coh}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Coh}(X$.$) , sending$ $E$ to the system $\left(u_{n}^{*} E\right)$ from the category of coherent sheaves on $\mathfrak{X}$ to the category $\operatorname{Coh}(X$.$) of coherent inverse systems \left(F_{n}\right)$ is an equivalence. For $E=\lim E_{n} \in \operatorname{Coh}(\mathfrak{X})$ as above, the support of $E$ is, as $E$ is coherent, closed and coincides with that of $E_{0}$. By a special case of flatness criterion, $E$ is flat, or equivalently locally free of finite type, if and only if $E_{n}$ is locally free of finite type for all $n$.

Let $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be a morphism of locally noetherian formal schemes, $\mathfrak{J}$ an ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{Y}$. Since $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$, the continuity of $f$ implies that the ideal $f^{*}(\mathfrak{J}) \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Fix an ideal of definition $\mathfrak{I}$ such that $f^{*}(\mathfrak{J}) \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \subset \mathfrak{I}$ and consider the inductive systems $X$., Y. defined by $\mathfrak{I}$ and $\mathfrak{J}$ respectively. Then, since $f^{*}\left(\mathfrak{J}^{n+1}\right) \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \subset \mathfrak{I}^{n+1}, f$ induces a morphism of inductive systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{L}}: X . \rightarrow Y . \tag{1.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. morphisms of schemes $f_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow Y_{n}$ such that the squares

are commutative and $f=\underline{\longrightarrow} f_{n}$, characterized by making the squares

commutative. It is easily checked [EGA I 10.6.8] that $f \rightarrow f$. defines a bijection from the set of morphisms $\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}): f^{*}(\mathfrak{J}) \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \subset \mathfrak{I}\right\}$ to the set of morphisms of the type $f .: X . \rightarrow Y$..

The above results is summarized as follows:
Proposition 1.11. Let $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ be a locally noetherian formal scheme.
(1) the functor $\operatorname{Coh}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Coh}(X$.$) , sending E$ to the inverse system $\left(u_{n}^{*} F\right)$, is an equivalence.
(2) given morphism of locally noetherian formal schemes $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$, and assume that $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ is an ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{Y}$ and $f^{*}(\mathfrak{J}) \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \subset \mathfrak{I}$ where $\mathfrak{I}$ is an ideal of definition for $\mathfrak{X}$. Then $f=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} f_{n}$ where the $f_{n}^{\prime} s$ are characterized by the diagram

and the $X_{n}^{\prime} s$ (resp. the $Y_{n}$ 's) are thickenings defined by the ideal $\mathfrak{I}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{J}$ ). The map sending $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ to $f .: X . \rightarrow Y$. is bijective.

In general, $\left.f^{*}(\mathfrak{J}) \mathcal{O}_{( } \mathfrak{X}\right)$ is not an ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{X}$. When this is the case, $f$ is called an adic morphism and $\mathfrak{X}$ an $\mathfrak{Y}$-adic formal scheme. One can then take $\mathfrak{I}=f^{*}(\mathfrak{J}) \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and the squares 1 are cartesian. Conversely any morphism of inductive systems 1.10 .1 such that the squares 1 are cartesian define an adic morphism from $\mathfrak{X}$ to $\mathfrak{Y}$.

Let $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be an adic morphism and $E$ a coherent sheaf on $\mathfrak{X}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $E$ is flat over $\mathfrak{Y}$ (or $\mathfrak{Y}$-flat), i.e. for every point $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, the stalk $E_{x}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}, f(x)}$;
(2) with the notations of 1.10.3, $E_{n}=u_{n}^{*} E$ is $Y_{n}$-flat for all $n \leq 0$;
(3) $E_{0}$ is $Y_{0}$-flat and the natural epimorphism

$$
\operatorname{gr}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}^{\operatorname{gr}^{0} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}} \underset{\otimes}{\otimes r^{0}} E \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}^{n} E
$$

is an isomorphism for all $n$, where the associated graded module is taken with respect to the $\mathfrak{J}$-adic filtration.

This is a consequence of the flatness criterion, and when the equivalent conditions are satisfied for $E=\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}, f$ is said to be flat.
1.12. Formal Completion Let $X$ be a locally noetherian scheme, and $X^{\prime}$ a closed subset of the underlying topological space of $X$. Choose a coherent ideal $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$ such that the closed subscheme of $X$ defined by $I$ has $X^{\prime}$ as its underlying space. Such ideals do exist, and there is, in fact, a largest one, consisting of the local sections of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ vanishing on $X^{\prime}$ for which $X^{\prime}$ has the reduced scheme structure. Consider the inductive system of locally noetherian schemes, all having $X^{\prime}$ as the underlying space,

$$
X_{0} \hookrightarrow X_{1} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow X_{n} \hookrightarrow \cdots
$$

where $X_{n}$ is the closed subscheme of $X$ defined by $I^{n+1}$. It satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1.10 and therefore the inductive limit $X_{/ X^{\prime}}:=$ $\xrightarrow{\lim } X_{n}$ is a locally noetherian formal scheme, having $X^{\prime}$ as the underlying space, called the formal completion of $X$ along $X^{\prime}$, sometimes denoted by $\hat{X}$.

It is easily checked that $X_{/ X^{\prime}}$ does not depend on the choice of the ideal I. Actually $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}=\lim _{\rightleftarrows} \mathcal{O}_{X} / J$ where $J$ runs through all the coherent ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ such that the support of $\mathcal{O}_{X} / J$ is $X^{\prime}$ and on any noetherian open subset of $X$, the powers of $I$ form a cofinal system. If $X$ is affine, $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ and $I=\tilde{J}$, then $\hat{X}=\operatorname{Spf} \hat{A}$, with $\hat{A}=\lim A / J^{n}$

The canonical immersion $i_{n}: \widetilde{X}_{n} \hookrightarrow X$ defines a morphism of ringed spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=i_{X}: \hat{X} \rightarrow X \tag{1.12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is flat and for any coherent sheaf $F$ on $X$, the natural map

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{*} F \rightarrow F_{/ X^{\prime}}:={\underset{\longleftarrow}{\leftrightarrows}}^{\lim _{n}^{*}} i_{n}^{*} F \tag{1.12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism. When $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ and $F=\tilde{M}, M$ being an $A$-module of finite type, then $F_{/ X^{\prime}}=M^{\Delta}$.

The assertion above follows from Krull's theorem: if $A$ is noetherian and $J$ an ideal of $A$, then the $J$-adic completion $\hat{A}$ is (faithfully) flat over $A$, and for any $A$-module of finite type, $\hat{M}=M \otimes_{A} \hat{A}$. One writes then $\hat{F}$ for $F_{/ X^{\prime}}$ when no confusion arises. Note that if $F$ is not coherent, 1.12.2 is not in general an isomorphism. One checks easily that the kernel of the adjunction map

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \rightarrow i_{*} i^{*} F \tag{1.12.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

consists of sections of $F$ that vanish in a neighborhood of $X^{\prime}$.
Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of locally noetherian schemes, $X^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.Y^{\prime}\right)$ a closed subset of $X($ resp. $Y)$ such that $f\left(X^{\prime}\right) \subset Y^{\prime}$. Choose coherent ideals $J \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}, I \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$, defining closed subschemes with underlying spaces $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ resppectively and such that $f^{*}(I) \mathcal{O}_{X} \subset J$. Then $f$ induces a morphism of inductive systems $f .: X . \rightarrow Y$., and thus a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}: X_{/ X^{\prime}} \rightarrow Y_{/ Y^{\prime}} \tag{1.12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not depend on the choice of $J$ and $I$, called the extension of $f$ to the completions $X_{/ X^{\prime}}$ and $Y_{/ Y^{\prime}}$. This morphism sits in a commutative square


When $X^{\prime}=f^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$, one may take $J=f^{*}(I) \mathcal{O}_{X}$, all the squares

are cartesian, hence the same holds for the square and therefore $\hat{f}$ is an adic morphism.

## 2 The Comparison Theorem

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of locally noetherian schemes, $Y^{\prime}$ a closed subscheme of locally noetherian schemes, let $Y^{\prime}$ be a closed subset of $Y$, $X^{\prime}=f^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$. Write $\hat{X}=X_{/ X^{\prime}}$ and $\hat{Y}=Y_{/ Y^{\prime}}$. For $F \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ the square

defines base change maps

$$
i^{*} R^{q} f_{*} F \longrightarrow R^{q} \hat{f}_{*}\left(i^{*} F\right)
$$

for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, which are maps of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules. When $F$ is coherent, then $i^{*} F$ can be identified with $\hat{F}=F_{/ X^{\prime}}$ and similarly $i^{*} R^{q} f_{*} F$ with $\left(R^{q} f_{*} F\right)_{/ Y^{\prime}}$ if $R^{q} f_{*} F$ is coherent, which is the case when $F$ is coherent and $f$ is proper (or $f$ is of finite type and the support of $F$ is proper over $Y$, i.e. (see [EGA II 5.4.10]) the there is a closed subscheme of $X$, proper over $Y$ and with Supp $F$ as the underlying space, by the finiteness theorem for proper morphisms [EGA III 3.2.1, 3.2.4]. In this case 2 can be rewritten as

$$
\left(R^{q} f_{*} F\right)^{\wedge} \longrightarrow R^{q} \hat{f}_{*} \hat{F}
$$

On the other hand the squares 1.10 .3 , with $\mathfrak{X}=\hat{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}=\hat{Y}$, define $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{n}}$-linear base change maps

$$
u_{n}^{*} R^{q} \hat{\hat{f}_{*}} \hat{F} \longrightarrow R^{q}\left(f_{n}\right)_{*} F_{n}
$$

where $F_{n}=u_{n}^{*} \hat{F}=i_{n}^{*} F$, following the notation of 1.12.1. By adjunction, these maps can be viewed as $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}}$-linear maps

$$
R^{q} \hat{f}_{*} \hat{F} \longrightarrow R^{q}\left(f_{n}\right)_{*} F_{n}
$$

hence define $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}}$-linear maps

$$
R^{q} \hat{f} \hat{F} \longrightarrow \lim _{\leftrightarrows} R^{q}\left(f_{n}\right)_{*} F_{n}
$$

Note that the base change map 2 is defined more generally for $F \in D^{+}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, as induced on the sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{q}$ from the base change map in $D^{+}\left(\hat{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}}\right)$

$$
i^{*} R f_{*} F \longrightarrow R \hat{f} i^{*} F
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes, $Y^{\prime}$ a closed subset of $Y, X^{\prime}=f^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right), \hat{f}: \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ the extension of $f$ to the formal completions of $X$ and $Y$ along $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ respectively. Let $F$ be a coherent sheaf on $X$ whose support is proper over $Y$. Then the canonical maps $\left(R^{q} f_{*} F\right)^{\wedge} \rightarrow R^{q} \hat{f}_{*} \hat{F}$ and $R^{q} \hat{f} \hat{F} \longrightarrow \lim R^{q}\left(f_{n}\right)_{*} F_{n}$ are topological isomorphisms for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 2.2. (a) It follows from the assumption of 2.1 on $f$ that for any $F \in D^{+}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ such that for any $i, \mathcal{H}^{i} F$ is coherent and properly supported over $Y$, the base change map $i^{*} R f_{*} F \longrightarrow R \hat{f} i^{*} F$ is an isomorphism. Noting that the natural functor from the bounded derived category $D^{b}(\operatorname{Coh}(X))$ of coherent sheaves on $X$ to the full subcategory $D^{b}(X)_{c o h}$ of $D^{b}(X):=$ $D^{b}(\operatorname{Mod}(X))$ is an equivalence [SGA 6-II 2.2.2.1], where $D^{b}(X)$ consists of the complexes with coherent cohomology, one can extend the isomorphism 2 of 2.1 to the case $F \in D^{b}(X)_{\text {coh }}$
(b) Grothendieck's original approach, though not published, is guessed to consists of two steps: (1) proof in the case where $f$ is projective, using descending induction on $q$; (2) proof in the general case by reducing to the projective case via Chow's Lemma and noetherian induction. The proof given in [EGA III 4.1.7 4.1.8] follows an argument due to Serre.

By considering a closed subscheme $Z$ of $X$ whose underlying space is the support of $F, 2.1$ is reduced to the case where $f$ is proper. And it is easily seen that the theorem is reduced to the following special case:

Corollary 2.3. Under the assumption of 2.1, suppose that $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A$, with A a noetherian ring, I an ideal of $A$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} / \mathcal{I}\right)=Y^{\prime}$, where $\mathcal{I}=\tilde{I}$. Set $Y_{n}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A / I^{n+1}, X_{n}=Y_{n} \underset{Y}{\times} X, F_{n}=i_{n}^{*} F=F / \mathcal{I}^{n+1} F\right.$. Then for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ the natural maps

$$
\varphi_{q}: H^{q}(X, F)^{\wedge} \longrightarrow \lim _{\leftrightarrows} H^{q} X, F_{n}
$$

defined by the composition of 2 and 2, and

$$
\psi_{q}: H^{q}(\hat{X}, \hat{F}) \longrightarrow \lim _{\rightleftarrows} H^{q}\left(X, F_{n}\right)
$$

defined by 2, are topological isomorphisms.
The proof of the corollary, which also appears in [EGA III 4.1.7], uses two ingredients: (a) the Artin-Rees Lemma and the Mittag-Leffler Conditions, mainly elementary commutative and homological algebra; (b) the finiteness theorem for proper morphisms ([EGA III 3.2]), especially a graded variant [EGA III 3.3.2]. A brief revision of (a) and (b) will be given before the proof of the theorem is presented.
2.4. Artin-Rees and Mittag-Leffler Let $A$ be an noetherian ring, $I$ an ideal of $A$ and $M$ a finitely generated $A$-module endowed with a descending
filtration by submodules $\left(M_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The filtration $\left(M_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called $I$-good if it is exhaustive, i.e. $M_{n_{0}}=M$ for some $n_{0}$, and it satisfies the following two conditions:
(i) $I M_{n} \subset M_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, namely, $M$ is a filtered module over the ring $A$ filtered by the $I$-adic filtration;
(ii) $M_{n+1}=I M_{n}$ when $n$ is large enough.

All $I$-good filtrations define on $M$ the same topology, namely the $I$-adic topology, filtering $M$ by $M_{n}=I^{n+1} M$ for $n \geq 0$.

Assume the condition (i) holds. Consider the associated graded ring $A^{\prime}:=\operatorname{gr} A=\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^{n}$, sometimes written $\oplus I^{n} t^{n}$ where $t$ is an indeterminate, to make clear that $I^{n}=I^{n} t^{n}$ is the $n$-th component of $A^{\prime}$, and the graded module associated to $M$ is $M^{\prime}=\operatorname{gr} M=\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_{n}=\oplus M_{n} t^{n}$. A basic observation $[\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{III}, \S 3$, th.1] is that the condition (ii) is equivalent to
(ii) $M^{\prime}$ is finitely generated over $A^{\prime}$.

Since $A^{\prime}$ is noetherian, this immediately implies the classical Artin-Rees Theorem: for any submodule $N \subset M$, the filtration on $N$ induced by the $I$-adic filtration of $M$ is $I$-good, namely there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(I^{n+n_{0}} M\right) \cap N=I^{n}\left(I^{n_{0}} M \cap N\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $A$ be a ring, $M .=\left(M_{n}, u_{m n}\right)$ be a projective system of $A$-modules, indexed by $\mathbb{N}$. The terminology below will be useful:
(1) $M$. is strict if the transition maps $u_{m n}: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{m}$ are all surjective;
(2) $M$. is essentially zero if for each $m$ there exists $n \geq m$ such that $u_{m n}=0$, i.e. the pro-object defined by $M$. is zero.
(3) M. satisfies the Mittag-Leffler Condition (ML for short) if for each $m$ there is an $n \geq m$ such that $\operatorname{Im} u_{m n^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Im} u_{m n}$ in $M_{m}$ for all $n^{\prime} \geq n$.

It is sometimes useful to consider the following stronger conditions:
(2) ${ }^{\prime} M$. is Artin-Rees zero (AR zero for short) if there exists an integer $r \geq 0$ such that $u_{n, n+r}=0$ for all $n$;
(3)' M. satisfies the Artin-Rees-Mittag-Leffler condition (ARML for short) if there exists an integer $r \geq 0$ such that $\operatorname{Im} u_{m n}=\operatorname{Im} u_{m, m+r}$ for all $m$ and all $n \geq m+r$.

The following facts about the Mittag-Leffler conditions are found in [EGA $\left.0_{\text {IIII }} 13\right]$
(a) If $M$. is essentially zero, then $\lim M_{n}=0$;
(b) The functor $M . \mapsto \lim M_{n}$ is left exact; and for any exact sequence of inverse system of $A$-modules, say

$$
0 \longrightarrow L . \longrightarrow M . \longrightarrow N . \longrightarrow 0
$$

the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \lim _{\leftrightarrows} L_{n} \longrightarrow \lim _{\leftrightarrows} M_{n} \lim _{\leftrightarrows} N_{n} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact whenever $L$. satisfies the ML condition.
(2)Mittag-Leffler condition and projective limits.

Let $A$ be a ring. Let $\operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$ denote the category of projective systems of $A$-modules indexed by $\mathbb{N}, E_{\bullet}=\left(E_{0} \leftarrow E_{1} \leftarrow \cdots\right)$, with $E_{n} \in \operatorname{Mod}(A)$, $u_{m n}: E_{n} \rightarrow E_{m}(m \leq n)$. For $E_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$, the projective limit of $E_{\bullet}$ is the $A$-module $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } E_{\bullet}=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } E_{n}=\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) \mid u_{m n}\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{m}\right\}$.
Definition 2.5. Let $E_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$, then
(1) $E$ is strict if $u_{m n}$ is surjective for any $m \leq n$.
(2) $E$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition ( $M L$ for short) if for any $m$, there exists $n \geq m$, such that for any $p \geq n, u_{m n}\left(E_{n}\right)=u_{m p}\left(E_{p}\right)$.
Remark. (1) If $E_{\bullet}$ is strict, then it satisfies ML.
(2) Let $E_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$, then for any fixed $n, u_{n p}\left(E_{p}\right) \subset E_{n}$ decreases with $p$. Define $E_{n}^{\prime}=\bigcap_{p \geq n} u_{n p}\left(E_{p}\right) \subset E_{n}\left(E_{n}^{\prime}\right.$ is called a universal image). Let $E=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } E_{n}$, define $u_{n}: E \rightarrow E_{n}^{\prime}$ in the obvious way, then $u_{n}(E) \subset E_{n}^{\prime}$, $u_{m n}\left(E_{n}^{\prime}\right) \subset E_{m}^{\prime}$, and $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } E_{n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } E_{n}$.

If $E$ satisfies ML, it means that for any fixed $n,\left\{u_{n p}\left(E_{p}\right)\right\}_{p}$ is stationary. In particular $E^{\prime}$ is strict.

We have similar definitions of "ML" and "strict" in the category of sets.
Proposition 2.6. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow L_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{f} M_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{g} N_{\bullet} \rightarrow 0
$$

be an exact sequence of $A_{\bullet}$-modules. Then the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \underline{\lim } L_{n} \rightarrow \xrightarrow{\lim } M_{n} \rightarrow \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } N_{n}
$$

is exact, and if $L_{\bullet}$ satisfies $M L$, then $\xrightarrow{\lim } M_{n} \rightarrow \underline{\longrightarrow} N_{n}$ is surjective.
Proof. The first assertion is immediate. Assume $L_{\bullet}$ satisfies ML. Let

$$
z=\left(z_{n}\right) \in \underline{\longrightarrow} N_{n}, \quad E_{n}=g_{n}^{-1}\left(z_{n}\right)
$$

Let $E_{\bullet}=\left(E_{0} \leftarrow E_{1} \leftarrow \cdots\right)$ be the projective system of sets induced by $M_{\bullet}$, and denote by $v_{m n}: E_{n} \rightarrow E_{m}$ the transition map for $n \geq m$. As $L_{\bullet}$ satisfies ML, and $E_{n}$ is an affine space under $L_{n}, E_{\bullet}$ satisfies ML, and hence $E^{\prime}$ is strict. As $\left\{v_{n p}\left(E_{p}\right)\right\}_{p}$ is stationary for any $n, E_{n}^{\prime}=\bigcap_{p \geq n} u_{n p}\left(E_{p}\right) \neq \varnothing$, hence $\underset{\left(z_{n}\right) .}{\lim } E_{n} \cong \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } E_{n}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$. So there exist $\left(y_{n}\right) \in \underset{\square}{\lim } E_{n}$, such that $g$ maps $\left(y_{n}\right)$ to

Definition 2.7. Let $L_{\bullet}=\left(\left(L_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, u_{m n}\right) \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$, then $L_{\bullet}$ satisfies uniform $M L$ (or $A R M L$ ) if there exists $r \geq 0$, such that for any $n \geq 0$, and any $p \geq n+r, \operatorname{Im} u_{n, n+r}=\operatorname{Im} u_{n, p}$.

See [SGA5, V] for a detailed discussion of this notion.
Definition 2.8. Let $L_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right), L_{\bullet}$ is called essentially zero if for any $m \geq 0$, there exists $n \geq m$, such that $u_{m n}: L_{n} \rightarrow L_{m}$ is the zero map.

Remark. $L_{0}$ is essentially zero implies $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } L_{n}=0$.
Definition 2.9. Let $L_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right), L_{\bullet}$ is called uniformly essentially zero (or $A R$ zero) if there exist $r \geq 0$, such that $u_{n, n+r}=0$, for any $n$.

Lemma 2.10. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$
\varepsilon_{n}^{*}: \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(A) \quad E_{\bullet} \mapsto E_{n},
$$

then $\varepsilon_{n}^{*}$ has a right adjoint functor $\varepsilon_{n *}: \operatorname{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$ which is defined as follows:

$$
\varepsilon_{n *}(F)=(0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow 0 \leftarrow F \stackrel{\text { Id }}{\leftarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\text { Id }}{\leftarrow} F \leftarrow \cdots)
$$

Proof. We need to verify $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{*}\left(E_{\bullet}, F\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{\bullet}, \varepsilon_{n *}(F)\right)\right.$. Define

$$
\varphi: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{*}\left(E_{\bullet}\right), F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{\bullet}, \varepsilon_{n *}(F)\right)
$$

in the following way. Given $f: E_{n} \rightarrow F$, then $\varphi(f)$ is defined by the diagram


Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi: \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{\bullet}, \varepsilon_{n *}(F)\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{*}\left(E_{\bullet}\right), F\right) \\
\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0} & \mapsto f_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Easy to verify $\varphi \circ \psi=\mathrm{Id}, \psi \circ \varphi=\mathrm{Id}$.
Remark. As $\varepsilon_{n}^{*}$ is exact, this implies $\varepsilon_{n *}$ maps injectives to injectives.

Lemma 2.11. There exist enough injectives in $\operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$, which are injective in each degree and strict.

Proof. Let $E_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$, then we can choose for each $n$ an injective

$$
\varepsilon_{n}^{*} E=E_{n} \hookrightarrow I_{n},
$$

where $I_{n}$ is injective. And hence we have injectives

$$
E \hookrightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_{n *}\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{*} E_{\bullet}\right) \hookrightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_{n *} I_{n}
$$

Let $F_{\bullet}=\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_{n *} I_{n}$, then $F_{n}=\prod_{p \leq n} I_{p}$, is injective. And $F_{\bullet}$ is strict.
Remark. (1) $\lim F_{n}=\prod I_{n}$, in particular, is injective.
(2)Thanks to 2.11 , we can define the derived functor

$$
R \underline{\longrightarrow} \lim _{\longrightarrow}: D^{+}\left(A_{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow D^{+}(A) .
$$

For any $E_{\bullet} \in D^{+}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$, define $R^{q} \xrightarrow[\longrightarrow]{\lim } E_{\bullet}=H^{q} R \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } E_{\bullet}$. Then ${\underset{\bullet}{\bullet}}_{\lim } E^{\prime}=$ $R^{0} \xrightarrow{\lim } E_{\text {• }}$.
Proposition 2.12. (a)For any $E_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$, any $q>1, R^{q} \xrightarrow{\lim } E=0$.
(b)If $E$ satisfies $M L$, then $R^{q} \xrightarrow{\lim } E_{\bullet}=0$, for any $q>0$.

Proof. We first show(b). We have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{\bullet} \rightarrow F_{\bullet} \rightarrow G_{\bullet} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $F_{\bullet}$ is injective and strict, and hence $G_{\bullet}$ is strict. Consider the long exact sequence of cohomology

$$
0 \rightarrow \underline{\lim } E_{\bullet} \rightarrow \xrightarrow{\lim } F_{\bullet} \rightarrow \underline{\longrightarrow} G_{\bullet} \rightarrow R^{1} \xrightarrow{\lim } E_{\bullet} \rightarrow R^{1} \underline{\longrightarrow} F_{\bullet} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

As $E$ satisfies ML, by 2.6, $R^{1} \xrightarrow{\lim } E_{\bullet} \rightarrow R^{1} \xrightarrow{\lim } F_{\bullet}$ is injective. Since $R^{1} \xrightarrow{\lim _{\bullet}} F_{\bullet}=$ $0, R^{1} \xrightarrow{\lim } E_{\bullet}=0$. By induction on $q \geq 1$, we get $R^{q} \xrightarrow{\lim } E=0$, for all $q \geq 1$.

Then we show (a). For any $E_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$ we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{\bullet} \rightarrow F_{\bullet} \rightarrow G_{\bullet} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $F_{\bullet}$ injective and strict, and hence $G_{\bullet}$ strict. Apply (b) to $G_{\bullet}$, we have $G \bullet \xrightarrow{\text { lim-acyclic, which implies the conclusion. }}$

We have the following generalization. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space. Let

$$
\operatorname{Mod}\left(X_{\bullet}\right)=\left\{E_{0} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow E_{m} \stackrel{u_{m n}}{\leftrightarrows} E_{n} \leftarrow \cdots\right\}
$$

denote the category of inverse systems of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules. For $E_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(X_{\bullet}\right)$, $E_{\bullet}$ is called strict if $u_{m n}$ is surjective for any $m \leq n$. $E_{\bullet}$ is said to satisfy the Mittag-Leffler condition ( $M L$ for short) if for any $m$, there exists $n \geq m$, such that for any $p \geq n, u_{m n}\left(E_{n}\right)=u_{m p}\left(E_{p}\right)$. Define $\varepsilon_{n}^{*}\left(E_{\bullet}\right)=E_{n}$,

$$
\varepsilon_{n *}(F)=(0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow 0 \leftarrow F \stackrel{\text { Id }}{\leftarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\text { Id }}{\leftarrow} F \leftarrow \cdots) .
$$

Using the adjoint functors $\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{*}, \varepsilon_{n *}\right)$ we see again that there exist enough injectives in $\operatorname{Mod}\left(X_{\bullet}\right)$ whose components are injective and which are strict. We can define a derived functor:

$$
R \underline{\lim _{\longrightarrow}}: D^{+}\left(X_{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow D^{+}(X) .
$$

For any $L_{\bullet} \in D^{+}\left(X_{\bullet}\right)$, define $R^{q} \xrightarrow{\lim } L_{\bullet}=H^{q} R \xrightarrow{\lim } L_{\bullet} . \quad$ Then $\xrightarrow{\lim } L_{\bullet}=$ $R^{0}{ }_{\underline{\text { lim}}} L_{\bullet}$.

Proposition 2.13. Let $T: \operatorname{Mod}\left(X_{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the functor defined by $T\left(E_{\bullet}\right)=\Gamma\left(X, \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } E_{n}\right)=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } \Gamma\left(X, E_{n}\right)$. Then we have a commutative diagram:


Proof. For any $E_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(X_{\bullet}\right)$,

$$
\Gamma\left(E_{\bullet}\right)=\left(\cdots \leftarrow \Gamma\left(X, E_{n}\right) \leftarrow \cdots\right) \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\bullet}\right)
$$

If $E_{\bullet}=\prod \varepsilon_{n *}\left(I_{n}\right)$, where $I_{n}$ is injective for any $n$, then $\Gamma\left(E_{\bullet}\right)=\prod \varepsilon_{n *} \Gamma\left(X, I_{n}\right)$ is acyclic for $\xrightarrow{\lim }$, So we get $R \xrightarrow{\lim } R \Gamma=R T$. On the other hand, $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } E_{\bullet}=\prod I_{n}$ is injective, so we get $R \Gamma R \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow \longrightarrow}=R T$. Hence

$$
R \xrightarrow[\longrightarrow]{\lim } \circ R \Gamma=R(T)=R \Gamma \circ R \underline{\longrightarrow} .
$$

For further discussion of $R \xrightarrow{\lim }$, see $[\mathrm{N}],[\mathrm{J}]$.

Theorem 2.14. Let $X$ be a scheme, $F_{\bullet} \in Q \operatorname{coh}\left(X_{\bullet}\right)$. Assume $F_{\bullet}$ is strict, and for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}, H^{i}\left(X, F_{\bullet}\right)=\left(\cdots \leftarrow H^{i}\left(X, F_{n}\right) \leftarrow \cdots\right)$ satisfies $M L$, then for any $q$, the natural map

$$
H^{q}\left(X, \underset{n}{\lim } F_{n}\right) \rightarrow \underset{n}{\lim _{\longrightarrow}} H^{q}\left(X, F_{n}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. By 2.13, $R \Gamma\left(X, R \xrightarrow{\lim } F_{\bullet}\right)=R \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } R \Gamma\left(X, F_{\bullet}\right)$, where $R^{q} \xrightarrow{\lim } F_{\bullet}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $\left(U \mapsto R^{q} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \Gamma\left(U, F_{n}\right)\right.$ ). If $U$ is affine, by Serre, $\Gamma\left(U, F_{n}\right)$ is strict. If $U$ is affine, then $R^{q} \xrightarrow{l} \Gamma\left(U, F_{n}\right)=0$, for any $q>0$. Hence $R^{q} \xrightarrow[\longrightarrow]{\lim } F_{n}=0$, for any $q>0$, which implies $\xrightarrow[\longrightarrow]{\lim } F_{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} R \xrightarrow{\lim } F_{\bullet}$. Let $F=\underline{\longrightarrow} F_{\bullet}$. Consider the spectral sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}^{p q}=R^{p} \underline{\longrightarrow} H^{q}\left(X, F_{n}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, F) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $H^{q}\left(X, F_{\bullet}\right)$ satisfies ML, by $2.12, E_{2}^{p q}=0$ for any $p>0$. Then $(*)$ degenerates at $E_{2}$, and $H^{q}(X, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{2}^{0, q}=\xrightarrow[\longrightarrow]{\lim } H^{q}\left(X, F_{\bullet}\right)$.

Proof of ??. Consider the long exact sequence of cohomology associated with the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{n+1} F \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

namely

$$
H^{q}\left(\mathcal{I}^{n+1}\right) \rightarrow H^{q}(F) \rightarrow H^{q}\left(F^{n}\right) \rightarrow H^{q+1}\left(\mathcal{I}^{n+1} F\right)
$$

where $H^{q}(-)=H^{q}(X,-)$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{n}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{q}(F) \rightarrow H^{q}\left(F_{n}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{q}\left(I^{n+1} F\right) \rightarrow H^{q}(F)\right) \\
Q_{n}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{q+1}\left(\mathcal{I}^{n+1} F\right) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(F)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{q}\left(F_{n}\right) \rightarrow H^{q+1}\left(\mathcal{I}^{n+1} F\right)\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

The main points are the following:
(1) For all $q$, the descending filtration $R_{n}$ of $H^{q}(F)$ is $I$-good.
(2) $Q_{\bullet}$ is AR zero.
(3) For all $q, H^{q}\left(F_{\bullet}\right)$ satisfies ML.

Let's first show that (1),(2),(3) imply the conclusion. Consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{q}(F) / R_{n} \rightarrow H^{q}\left(F_{n}\right) \rightarrow Q_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

By (2) we have $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } Q_{\bullet}=0$, using the left exactness of the functor $\xrightarrow{\lim }$, we get an isomorphism:

$$
\underline{\lim } H^{q}(F) / R_{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } H^{q}\left(F_{n}\right)
$$

By (1) the map

$$
H^{q}(F)^{\alpha}=\underset{n}{\lim } H^{q}(F) / \mathcal{I}^{n+1} H^{q}(F) \rightarrow H^{q}(F) / R_{n}
$$

is an isomorphism, so we get

$$
H^{q}\left(F^{n}\right)^{\sim} \xrightarrow{\lim } H^{q}\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Thanks to (3), the assumptions of 2.14 are satisfied, therefore

$$
H^{q}(\hat{X}, \hat{F})=H^{q}\left(\hat{X}, \underline{\longrightarrow} F_{n}\right)=H^{q}\left(X, \xrightarrow[\longrightarrow]{\lim } F_{n}\right)=\underline{\lim } H^{q}\left(X, F_{n}\right)
$$

Proof of (1) Consider the graded module $\bigoplus H^{q}\left(\mathcal{I}^{n+1} F\right)$ over the graded ring $\bigoplus I^{n}$, it is finitely generated (by the graded variant of the finiteness theorem, applied to $\mathcal{I} F)$.The exact sequence

$$
\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{q}\left(\mathcal{I}^{n+1} F\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

implies $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_{n}$ is finitely generated over $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^{n}$, hence $\left(R_{n}\right)$ is $I$-good.
Proof of (2) Let $B=\bigoplus I^{n}$. By the finiteness theorem again, $\bigoplus_{n} H^{q+1}\left(\mathcal{I}^{n+1} F\right)$ is finitely generated over $B$. Since $B$ is noetherian, $\bigoplus Q_{n}$ as a sub- $B$ module of $\bigoplus_{n} H^{q+1}\left(\mathcal{I}^{n+1} F\right)$ is also finitely generated, and therefore there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $Q_{n+1}=I Q_{n}$ for all $n \geq r$. Since $Q_{r}$, as a quotient of $H^{q}\left(F_{k}\right)$ is killed by $I^{k+1}$ (as an $A$-module), each $Q_{n}$ is therefore killed by $I^{r+1}$ (as an $A$-module). For any $a \in I^{p}$, the composition of the multiplication by $a$ from $H^{q+1}\left(I^{n+1} F\right)$ to $H^{q+1}\left(I^{p+n+1} F\right)$ with the transition map from $H^{q+1}\left(I^{p+n+1} F\right)$ to $H^{q+1}\left(I^{n+1} F\right)$ is the multiplication by $a$ in $H^{q+1}\left(I^{n+1} F\right)$. Since $Q_{n+r+1}=I^{r+1} Q_{n}$ for any $n \geq r$, it follows that, for any $n \geq r$, the transition map $Q_{n+r+1} \rightarrow Q_{n}$ is zero, and hence, if $s=2 r+1$, for all $n$, the transition map $Q_{n+s} \rightarrow Q_{s}$ is zero.

Proof of (3) Consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{q}(F) / R_{n} \rightarrow H^{q}\left(F_{n}\right) \rightarrow Q_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

As $H^{q}(F) / R_{n}$ is strict and $Q_{n}$ is AR zero, they both satisfies ARML, then the middle term satisfies ARML using the following lemma, whose proof is elementary.

Lemma 2.15. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow L_{\bullet}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{\bullet} \rightarrow L_{\bullet}^{\prime \prime}
$$

be an exact sequence in $\operatorname{Mod}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)$. If $L_{\bullet}$ satisfies $M L$ (resp. ARML), so does $L^{\prime \prime}$, and if $L_{\bullet}^{\prime}$ and $L_{\bullet}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies ML (resp. ARML), then $L_{\bullet}$ satisfies ML(resp. ARML).

Corollary 2.16 (theorem on formal functions). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $a$ proper morphism of noetherian schemes. Let $y$ be a point of $Y, \mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{Y, y}$, $X_{y}=X \times_{Y} \operatorname{Spec} k(y)$ be the fiber of $f$ at $y$. Let $F$ be a coherent sheaf of $X$, then the natural map

$$
\left(R^{q} f_{*}(F)_{y}\right)^{n}=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } R^{q} f_{*}(F)_{y} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} R^{q} f_{*}(F)_{y} \rightarrow \xrightarrow{\lim } H^{q}\left(X_{y}, F / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} F\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. If $y$ is closed, this is a special case of the comparison theorem. The general case can be reduced to this one by base change. In fact, consider the following commutative diagram


As $g$ is flat, we have the base change isomorphism $g^{*} R^{q} f_{*} F \xrightarrow{\sim} R f_{*}^{\prime}\left(h_{*} F\right)$, hence

$$
\left.R^{q} f_{*}(F)_{Y}\right)^{\Upsilon}=\left(g^{*} R^{q} f_{*}(F)\right)_{\hat{y}} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(R f_{*}^{\prime}\left(h^{*} F\right)_{y}\right)^{\chi}
$$

The following special cases in which the assumptions are those of 2.16
Corollary 2.17. $f_{*}(F)_{\hat{y}}^{\hat{\imath}} \rightarrow \underline{\lim ^{0}} H^{0}\left(X_{y}, F / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} F\right)$ is an isomorphism.
Corollary 2.18. Assume $\operatorname{dim} X_{y}=r$, then for any $q>r$, there exists an open set $U$ contains $y$, such that $\left.R^{q} f_{*}(F)\right|_{U}=0$.

Proof. Since

$$
R^{q} f_{*}(F)_{y} \hookrightarrow R^{q} f_{*}(F)_{\hat{y}}^{\hat{y}}=\underline{\longrightarrow} H^{q}\left(X_{y}, F / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} F\right)=0,
$$

$R^{q} f_{*}(F)_{y}=0$. As $R^{q} f_{*}(F)$ is coherent, there exists an open set $U$ contains $y$, such that $\left.R^{q} f_{*}(F)\right|_{U}=0$.

### 2.19. Stein factorization and Zariski's main theorem

Let $Y$ be a scheme and let $B$ be a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Y \text {-algebra. Let } Z=}=$ $\operatorname{Spec} B$. Recall that for any commutative diagram of schemes

the natural morphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{Y}(X, Z) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Z}, f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

is a bijection. In particular, let $Y$ be a locally noetherian scheme, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a proper morphism. Then $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-algebra, the identity map Id : $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ corresponds by ( $*$ ) to a morphism $f^{\prime}: X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ making the following diagram commutes:


We have $g_{*}\left(f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$. It follows that the adjoint map $\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}} \rightarrow$ $f_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is an isomorphism. (**) is called Stein factorization of $f$.
Corollary $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ (Zariski's connectedness theorem). Under the assumptions above, $f^{\prime}$ has connected, nonempty fibers, (i.e. for any $y^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, $f^{\prime-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \neq \varnothing$, and connected.)
Proof. We may assume $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$. As

$$
\mathcal{O}_{Y, \hat{y}}=f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)_{y} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}\left(X_{y}, \xrightarrow{\lim } \mathcal{O}_{X} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

is a local ring, $X_{y}$ is connected and nonempty. (If $X_{y}$ had $n \geq 2$ components, then $H^{0}\left(X_{y}, \lim _{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{X} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ would be a product of $n \geq 2$ non zero rings, which is impossible since $\mathcal{O}_{Y, y}$ is local.)

Lemma 2.21. Let $A$ be a local noetherian ring with the residue field $k=$ $A / \mathfrak{m}, k^{\prime} / k$ be a field extension. Then there exists a local noetherian ring $A^{\prime}$, flat over $A$, with the residue field $A^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=k^{\prime}$.
Proof. In the case $\left[k^{\prime}: k\right]<\infty$, we reduce to the case $k^{\prime}=k(y)=k[T] /(f)$, where $f$ is the minimal polynomial of $y$. Lift $f$ to a monic polynomial $F \in A[T]$, then $A^{\prime}=A[T] /(F)$ is just the required local ring.

For general case, see [EGA0] III 10.3.1.
Remark. In the situation of 2.20 , the fibers of $f^{\prime}$ are geometrically connected. This means that for any $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$ and any $y^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left(y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow y^{\prime}$ (or equivalently, any $y^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime}$ with $\left.\left[k\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right): k\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]<\infty\right)$, the fiber $X_{y^{\prime}} \times{ }_{y^{\prime}} y^{\prime \prime}$ is connected.

Proof of the remark. We may assume $Y^{\prime}=Y$, i.e. $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$. By base change, using $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{Y, y} \rightarrow Y$ we may assume $Y$ local, let $k^{\prime}$ be a finite extension of $k$. By 2.21, choose $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ flat with $Y^{\prime}$ local, with the residue field $k^{\prime}$. Base changing by $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$, we get the result.
Corollary 2.22. In the situation of 2.20, $\pi_{0}\left(X_{y}\right)=\left|g^{-1}(y)\right|$, where $\pi_{0}\left(X_{y}\right)$ is the set of connected components of $X_{y}$, and $\left|g^{-1}(y)\right|$ denotes the underlying finite set of $g^{-1}(y)$.
Corollary 2.23. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper and surjective morphism of integral schemes, with $Y$ normal. Let $\zeta$ be the generic point of $X, \eta=f(\zeta)$ be the generic point of $Y$. Assume that the generic fiber $X_{\eta}$ of $f$ is geometrically connected. Then all fibers of $f$ are geometrically connected.
Proof. The hypothesis on generic fiber means that the algebraic closure $K^{\prime}$ of $K=k(\eta)$ in $k(\zeta)$ is a finite radiciel extension of $K$. Let $y$ be a point of $Y$, we want to show $X_{y}$ is geometrically connected. Since $\mathcal{O}_{Y, y}$ is normal and $K^{\prime}$ is radiciel over $K$, the normalization $A$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Y, y}$ in $K^{\prime}$ is a local ring and the residue field extension is radiciel. Since $A$ contains $\left(f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)_{y},\left(f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)_{y}$ is a local ring and $\left(f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)_{y} / \mathfrak{m}_{y}$ is radiciel over $k(y)$. Therefore $X_{y}$ is geometrically connected.

Remark. We can give a simpler argument in the case $f$ is birational. Then $k(\zeta)=k(\eta)$. We have the commutative diagram:

where $\mathcal{O}_{Y, y} \rightarrow f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)_{y}$ is finite and $\mathcal{O}_{Y, y}$ normal, which implies $f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)_{y}=$ $\mathcal{O}_{Y, y}$.

Corollary 2.24. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of locally noetherian schemes. Consider the Stein factorization of $f$

(a) Let $x$ be a point of $X, y=f(x), y^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(x)$, then $x$ is isolated (i.e. both open and closed) in its fiber $f^{-1}(y)$ if and only if $f^{\prime-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\{x\}$.
(b) Let $U=\left\{x \in X \mid x\right.$ isolated in $\left.f^{-1}(f(x))\right\}$, then $U$ is open in $X$, $U^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(U)$ is open in $Y^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ induces an isomorphism $f^{\prime}: U \xrightarrow{\sim} U^{\prime}$ and $U=f^{\prime-1}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. (a) As $g^{-1}(y)$ is finite and discrete, $x$ is isolated in $f^{-1}(y)$ if and only if $x$ is isolated in $f^{\prime-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$. So we may assume $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$. By Zariski's connectedness theorem, $f^{-1}(y)$ is connected and nonempty. So $f^{-1}(y)=\{x\}$ if and only if $x$ is isolated in its fiber.
(b) For any $x \in U, f^{\prime-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\{x\}$. So $f^{\prime}: U \rightarrow U^{\prime}$ is bijective as a map of sets and $f^{\prime-1}\left(U^{\prime}\right)=U$. We may assume $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ (replace $Y$ by $Y^{\prime}$ ). It is enough to show $U$ is open and $f$ is a local isomorphism. It is enough to show that for any $x \in U$, there exists an open neighborhood $T$ of $x$ such that $T \subset X$ and $f: T \xrightarrow{\sim} f(T)$. Let $V=$ Spec $B$ be an affine neighborhood of $x$, such that $f(V) \subset W$, where $W=\operatorname{Spec} A$ is an affine neighborhood of $y=f(x)$. We know that $f^{-1}(y)=\{x\}$. On the other hand, $f$ is closed implies $f(X-V)$ is closed. As $f^{-1}(y)=\{x\}, y \notin f(X-V)$, we can find $s \in A$, such that $W_{s} \cap f(X-V)=\varnothing$, where $W_{s}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{s}$, i.e. $f^{-1}\left(W_{s}\right) \subset V$. Then $f^{-1}\left(W_{s}\right) \subset V_{s}$, in fact, $f^{-1}\left(W_{s}\right)=V_{s}$. As $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}, f_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{V_{s}}=\mathcal{O}_{W_{s}}$, which implies $A_{s}=B_{s}$. Therefore $V_{s} \subset U$ and $f: V_{s} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{s}$.

Corollary 2.25. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of locally noetherian schemes. Suppose $f$ is quasi-finite (i.e. $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite for any $y$ ), then $f$ is finite.

Proof. In this case $U=X$, and in the Stein factorization of $f$

$f^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism.
Corollary 2.26 (Zariski's main theorem). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasifinite morphism, with $Y$ locally noetherian. Suppose $f$ can be compactified into

where $j$ is an open immersion and $P \rightarrow Y$ is proper (e.g. $f$ is quasiprojective). Then $f$ can be factored as

with $g$ finite and $i$ an open immersion.
Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

where $U$ is the set of points of $P$ isolated in their fibers, $P \rightarrow Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ is the Stein factorization of $P \rightarrow Y$. We have $U \xrightarrow{\sim} h(U)$, and $U \rightarrow P$ and
$h(U) \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ are open immersions. It remains to show $j(X) \subset U$, i.e. for any $x \in X, x$ is isolated in $(g h)^{-1}(y)$, where $y=f(x)$. As $f$ is quasi-finite, $x$ is isolated in $f^{-1}(y)$. Since $X \hookrightarrow P$ is open, $x$ is open in $(g h)^{-1}(y)$. As $[k(x): k(y)]<\infty, x$ is closed in $(g h)^{-1}(y)$.

Exercise. Let $A$ be a henselian noetherian local ring, $S=\operatorname{Spec} A, s$ be a closed point, $X$ be a proper scheme over $S$. Then the natural map

$$
\pi_{0}\left(X_{s}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{0}(X)
$$

is a bijection.

## 3 Grothendieck's existence theorem

Let $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A$ be an affine scheme, where $A$ is a noetherian ring, $I$ is an ideal of $A$, and $A=\underline{\lim } A / I^{n+1}$. The problem which is addressed in this section is the following: given a proper adic noetherian $\hat{Y}$-formal scheme $\mathcal{Z}$, when can we assert the existence of a proper scheme $Z$ over $Y$, whose $I$-adic completion $\hat{Z}=\lim Z_{n}$, where $Z_{n}=Z \times_{Y} Y_{n}$, is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}$ ?

The strategy is try to embed $\mathcal{Z}$ in the completion $\hat{P}$ of some projective space $P$ over $Y$, then try to algebraize the ideal of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\hat{P}$. We first consider this second problem.

Theorem 3.1. Consider a commutative diagram

where $A=\hat{A}=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } A / I^{n+1}, X$ is separated and of finite type over $Y$. Then the functor

$$
E \in \operatorname{Coh}(X) \mapsto \hat{E}=i^{*}(E) \in \operatorname{Coh}(\hat{X})
$$

defines an equivalence of categories:
$\{E \in \operatorname{Coh}(X) \mid \operatorname{Supp}(E)$ proper over $Y\} \xrightarrow{\approx}\{F \in \operatorname{Coh}(\hat{X}) \mid \operatorname{Supp}(F)$ proper over $\hat{Y}\}$
(here $\left.\operatorname{Supp}(F)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(F_{0}\right) \subset X_{0}\right)$.

We will give the proof in the case $f$ is proper. In this case, the statement $E \mapsto \hat{E}$ gives an equivalence ("GAGA style") $\operatorname{Coh}(X) \xrightarrow{\approx} \operatorname{Coh}(\hat{X})$. For the general case see [EGAIII] or [T].

Proposition 3.2. Let $A$ be a noetherian ring, $I$ be an ideal of $A$. Consider the commutative diagram

where $f$ is proper. Let $F, G$ be coherent sheaves on $X$, then for any $q$, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{q}(F, G)$ is finitely generated over $A$, and the natural map $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{q}(F, G) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}}^{q}(\hat{F}, \hat{G})$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}^{q}(F, G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}}^{q}(\hat{F}, \hat{G})
$$

$\left(\hat{F}=i^{*} F, \hat{G}=i^{*} G\right)$.
Proof. We have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{q}(F, G)=H^{q} \operatorname{RHom}(F, G)=H^{q}(X, \operatorname{RHom}(F, G))
$$

As R $\mathcal{H o m}(F, G) \in D^{+}(X)_{\text {coh }}$ (i.e. $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(F, G) \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, for any $i$ ), by the finiteness theorem, $H^{q}(X, \mathrm{R} \mathcal{H} o m(F, G))$ is finitely generated over $A$. We have a spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{i j}=H^{i}\left(X, \operatorname{Ext}^{j}(F, G)\right) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{i+j}(F, G) .
$$

Consider the map

$$
R \Gamma\left(X, \mathrm{R} \mathcal{H o m}(F, G) \rightarrow R \Gamma\left(\hat{X}, i^{*} \mathrm{R} \mathcal{H o m}(F, G)\right)\right.
$$

defined by $i: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$. As $i$ is flat, and $F, G \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$,

$$
i^{*} \mathrm{R} \mathcal{H o m}(F, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R} \mathcal{H o m}\left(i^{*} F, i^{*} G\right)=\mathrm{R} \mathcal{H o m}(\hat{F}, \hat{G}),
$$

in particular,

$$
i^{*} E x t^{q}(F, G)=E x t^{q}(F, G)^{\sim} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ext}^{q}(\hat{F}, \hat{G}) .
$$

Therefore we have a map of spectral sequences


As $\operatorname{Ext}^{q}(\hat{F}, \hat{G})=\operatorname{Ext}^{q}(F, G)^{\prime},(* *)$ is an isomorphism by the comparison theorem.So ( $*$ ) is an isomorphism.

Remark. The conclusion holds for $X$ separated and of finite type over $Y$, and $\operatorname{Supp}(F) \cap \operatorname{Supp}(G)$ proper over $Y$ (see [EGAIII]).

Proof of 3.1. (In the case $X$ proper over $Y$ )
(1) Proof of full faithfulness. Let $F, G$ be coherent sheaves on $X$ Since $\operatorname{Hom}(F, G)$ is finitely generated over $A$ and $A=\hat{A}, \operatorname{Hom}(F, G)=\operatorname{Hom}(F, G)$. By 3.2 for $q=0$, the natural map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(F, G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{F}, \hat{G})
$$

is an isomorphism. This proves that the $(-)^{\wedge}$ functor is fully faithful.
(2) Proof of essential surjectivity. (a) Consider the projective case. Assume $X$ is projective over $Y$. Let $L$ be an ample line bundle on $X, \hat{L}$ is an ample line bundle on $\hat{X}$. For $M$ on $X$ (resp. $\hat{X}$ ), $M(n)=M \otimes L^{\otimes n}$ (resp. $\left.M \otimes \hat{L}^{\otimes n}\right)$. Let $E \in \operatorname{Coh}(\hat{X})$. By the following lemma, we have a presentation

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}\left(-m_{1}\right)^{r_{1}} \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}\left(-m_{0}\right)^{r_{0}} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By the full faithfulness, there exists a unique $v: \mathcal{O}_{X}(-m)^{r_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-m_{0}\right)^{r_{0}}$, such that $\hat{v}=u$. Let $F=\operatorname{Coker}(v)$, then by the exactness of $(-), E=\hat{F}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $E$ be a coherent sheaf on $\hat{X}$, then there exists $m \geq 0, r \geq 0$, and a epimorphism

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(-m)^{r} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}=I^{\triangle} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}} / \mathcal{I}=\mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}, E=\left(E_{n}\right), E_{n}=E / \mathcal{I}^{n+1} E$. We have $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}^{k} E=\mathcal{I}^{k} E / \mathcal{I}^{k+1} E \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(X_{0}\right)$. Let $M=\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}} E=\bigoplus_{n>0} \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}^{n} E$. This is a graded module over $f_{0}^{*}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$, where $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=\bigoplus \mathcal{I}^{n} / \mathcal{I}^{n+1}$. Since

$$
\operatorname{grI}^{0} E \otimes_{\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}^{0} \mathcal{O}_{Y}} \operatorname{grO}_{Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}} E, \quad E / \mathcal{I} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}} \mathcal{I}^{n} / \mathcal{I}^{n+1} \mapsto \mathcal{I}^{n} E / \mathcal{I}^{n+1} E
$$

is surjective, $M$ is of finite type over $f_{0}^{*}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$, hence corresponds to a coherent module $M^{\prime}$ on $X^{\prime}$, where $X^{\prime}$ is defined by the following cartesian diagram

(with $f_{0}$ and $f^{\prime}$ proper). Since $L$ is ample, $L^{\prime}=L_{0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$ is ample, where $L_{0}=L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}$. Apply Serre's vanishing theorem to $f^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for any $n \geq n_{0}$ and any $q>0, R_{*}^{q} f_{*}^{\prime} M^{\prime}(n)=0$. Since $R^{q} f_{*}^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}(n)\right)=\left(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} R^{q} f_{0 *} \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}^{k} E(n)\right)$, it follows that for any $k \geq 0$, any $n \geq n_{0}$, any $q>0, R^{q} f_{0 * \mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}^{k}} E(n)=0$. Apply the $\Gamma\left(X_{0},-\right)$ to the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}^{k} E(n) \rightarrow E_{k+1}(n) \rightarrow E_{k}(n) \rightarrow 0
$$

we get an exact sequence

$$
\Gamma\left(X_{0}, E_{k+1}(n)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X_{0}, E_{k}(n)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{0}, \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}^{k} E(n)\right)
$$

For any $n \geq n_{0}, H^{1}\left(X_{0}, \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}^{k} E(n)\right)=0$, and hence

$$
\Gamma(\hat{X}, \hat{E}(n))=\underset{k}{\lim } \Gamma\left(X_{0}, E_{k}(n)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X_{0}, E_{0}(n)\right) .
$$

Choose $m \geq n$, such that $E_{0}(m)$ is generated by a finite number $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{r}$ of global sections. Lift these sections to $t_{i} \in \Gamma(\hat{X}, \hat{E}(m))$, we get $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}^{r} \rightarrow \hat{E}(m)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}^{r}(-m) \rightarrow \hat{E}$, such that $u_{0}=u \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}: \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}^{r}(-m) \rightarrow E_{0}$ given by the $s_{i}$ 's is surjective. Recall $I \subset \operatorname{Rad}(A)$, so by Nakayama's lemma, $u$ is surjective.

Let $A$ be a noetherian ring, $I$ be an ideal of $A$, and $A=\underset{\check{Y}}{\lim } A / I^{n+1}$. Suppose $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A$, and $X / Y$ is a proper morphism. $\hat{Y}=\operatorname{Spf}(A)$. Then we have

Theorem 3.4. The functor $F \mapsto \hat{F}$ from the category of coherent sheaves on $X$ whose support is proper over $Y$ to the category of coherent sheaves on $\hat{X}$ whose support over $\hat{Y}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. Essentially surjectivity (have been proved)
Case (i): Projective case (have been proved);
Case(ii): General case: We use noetherian induction on $X$. Assume that for all closed subschemes $T$ of $X$ distinct of $X$, and all $E \in \operatorname{Coh}(\hat{T})$, whose support is proper over $\hat{Y}$ is algebraizable, i.e., there exists $F \in \operatorname{Coh}(T)$ with proper support over $Y$, such that $E=\hat{F}$, and we want to show that every $E \in \operatorname{Coh}(\hat{X})$ whose support is proper is algebraizable.

By Chow's lemma, there exists a projective and surjective morphism $g$ : $Z \rightarrow X$ such that $f g$ is projective, and there exists an open dense subset $U$ of $X$ such that $g$ induces an isomorphism from $g^{-1}(U)$ to $U$, that is, we have the following commutative diagram:


For $E \in \operatorname{Coh}(\hat{X})$, we have the following canonical exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow \hat{g}_{*} \hat{g}^{*} E \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0
$$

We will show the following points:
(1) $\hat{g}_{*} \hat{g}^{*} E$ is algebraizable ;
(2) Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ defining $T=X-U$, with $T=T_{\text {red }}$, then there exits a $N \geq 0$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{N} C=\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{N} K=0$;
(3)Let $T^{\prime}$ be the closed subscheme of $X$ defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}^{N}$, then $C, K \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(\hat{T}^{\prime}\right)$, and $C, K$ are algebraizable ;
(4) The category of algebraizable coherent sheaves on $X$ is stable under kernel, cokernel and extension.
We claim that (1) - (4) imply the theorem. In fact, we can write $(*)$ to two short exact sequences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0 \\
0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \hat{g}_{*} \hat{g}^{*} E \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

then by (1), (3), (4), $H$ is algebraizable, and by (3), (4) we get that $E$ is algebraizable.
Now we only need to prove conditions (1) - (4).

For (1), Since $\hat{g}^{*} E \in \operatorname{Coh}(\hat{Z})$ and $g$ is projective, by case (i), we know $\hat{g}^{*} E=\hat{F}$ for some $F \in \operatorname{Coh}(Z)$. By the comparison theorem, $\hat{g}_{*} \hat{F}=\left(\hat{g_{*}} F\right)$, and by the finiteness theorem, $g_{*} F \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, thus we get (1).

To Prove (2), we may work locally on $\hat{X}$, assume $\hat{X}=\operatorname{Spf}(B)$, where $B$ is an $I B$-adic noetherian ring. Then $E=\hat{F}$ for a coherent sheaf $F$ on Spec $B$, and we have an exact sequence corresponding to $(*)$

$$
0 \rightarrow K^{\prime} \rightarrow F \rightarrow g_{*} g^{*} F \rightarrow C^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\hat{K}^{\prime}=K, \hat{C}^{\prime}=C$. Since $\left.g\right|_{U}$ is an isomorphism, $\left.C^{\prime}\right|_{U}=\left.K^{\prime}\right|_{U}=0$, then $C^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime}$ are killed by a positive power of $J$, and we get (2).

In view of (2), (3) follows from the noetherian induction assumption.
In (4), for $u: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ with $E_{1}, E_{2} \in \operatorname{Coh}(\hat{X})$ and $E_{1}=\hat{F}_{1}, E_{2}=\hat{F}_{2}$. By full faithfulness, there exists $u: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{2}$ such that $u=\hat{v}$. So

$$
\hat{\operatorname{Ker}} v=\operatorname{Ker} u, \operatorname{Coker} v=\operatorname{Coker} u .
$$

And the stability under extension follows from the isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(F, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\hat{F}, \hat{G}) .
$$

### 3.5. Algebraizable of closed formal scheme

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a locally noetherian formal scheme. A closed formal scheme of $\mathfrak{X}$ is a formal subscheme $\left(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}}\right)$ such that $\mathfrak{Z}=\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} / \mathcal{J}$ with $\mathcal{J}$ being a coherent ideal of $\mathfrak{X}$. If $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spf}(A)$, then $\mathfrak{Z}=\operatorname{Spf}(A / \mathcal{J})$ for some ideal of $A$. If $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{X}$, and $X_{n}=\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} / \mathcal{I}^{n+1}\right)$, so that $\mathfrak{X}=\underline{\lim } X_{n}$. Let $Z_{n}$ be the closed subscheme of $X_{n}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{n}}=$ $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathcal{O} \overrightarrow{(X}_{n}\right)$, then we have

and $\mathfrak{Z}=\underline{\longrightarrow} Z_{n}$. Conversely, given a morphism of inductive systems as follows,

such that $i_{n}^{\prime} s$ are closed immersions, and each square is cartesian, then $\mathfrak{Z}=$ $\xrightarrow{\lim } Z_{n} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is a closed formal scheme of $\mathfrak{X}$.

Corollary 3.6. Suppose $A=\hat{A}, Y=S p e c A$, and $X / Y$ is proper. Then the map $Z \mapsto \hat{Z}$ is a bijection from the set of closed subschemes of $X$ to the set of closed subschemes of $\hat{X}$.

Proof. We only need prove surjectivity. Let $\mathfrak{Z}$ be a closed formal subscheme of $\hat{X}$. It corresponds to a coherent quotient

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{Z} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By3.4, there exists a unique coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $F$ such that $\hat{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$, and a unique $v: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow F$ such that $\hat{v}=u$. Since $u_{0}$ is surjective, so is $v$, hence $F=\mathcal{O}_{Z}$ for a closed subscheme $Z$ of $X$ such that $\hat{Z}=\mathfrak{Z}$.
3.7. Algebraizable of finite morphism Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a locally noetherian formal scheme, and $\mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a morphism of locally noetherian formal schemes. $f$ is called finite if $f$ is an adic map and $f_{0}: Z_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$ is finite. We have


Obviously $f$ is finite if and only if $f$ is adic and for all $n, f_{n}$ is finite. This is also equivalent to saying that for every open subset $U=\operatorname{Spf}(A) \subset \mathfrak{X}$, $\left.\mathfrak{Z}\right|_{U}=f^{-1}(U)=\operatorname{Spf}(B)$, where $B$ is a finite $A$-algebra and $I B$-adic, $I$ being a n ideal of definition of $A$. To see the second equivalence, one reduce to affine case, see $[B] I I I, \S 2$, no.11. We have that if $\mathfrak{X}=\hat{X}$, and $Z$ is a finite scheme over $X$, then $\hat{Z}$ is finite over $\hat{X}$.

Corollary 3.8. Suppose $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A, A=\hat{A}$, and $X / Y$ is proper. Then the functor $Z \mapsto \hat{Z}$ is an equivalence from the category of finite $X$-schemes to the category of finite $\hat{X}$-formal schemes.

Proof. Full faithfulness: let $B, C$ be finite $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebras, and $u: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{C}$ is a map of $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$-algebras. By full faithfulness for modules, one can find
$v \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}-\bmod }(B, C)$ such that $u=\hat{v} . v$ is automatically a map of $\mathcal{O}_{X^{-}}$ algebras. In fact, we need check that the following two diagrams

and

are commutative, where $\eta, \varepsilon$ are canonical morphisms as $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebras. We have that $(*)^{\wedge}$ and $(* *)^{\wedge}$ are commutative, by full faithfulness, so are $(*)$ and (**).

Essential surjectivity: let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a finite $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$-algebra, then by 3.4 , there exists a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $B$ such that $\hat{B}=\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{B}=\hat{B}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$-module. The maps $\mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ giving the algebra structure on $\mathfrak{B}$ is uniquely algebraized to maps $B \otimes B \rightarrow B$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow B$. So we get a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra $B$, such that $\hat{B}=\mathfrak{B}$.
Corollary 3.9. Let $Y$ be as above. Then the functor $X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ from the category of all proper $Y$-schemes to the category of all proper $\hat{Y}$-formal schemes is fully faithful.

Proof. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ be proper schemes over $Y$, we want to show that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{Y}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{Y}}\left(\hat{X}_{1}, \hat{X}_{2}\right)
$$

is bijective. If $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ is a $Y$-morphism, we have the following diagram

where $\Gamma_{f}: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{1} \times_{Y} X_{2}$ is the graph morphism of $f$. It is a closed immersion and $p r_{1}$ induces an isomorphism $\Gamma_{f}\left(X_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{1}$. Conversely, for
any closed subscheme $\Gamma \subset X_{1} \times_{Y} X_{2}$ such that $p r_{1}: \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{1}, \Gamma=\Gamma_{f}$ where $f=p r_{2} \circ p r_{1}^{-1} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{Y}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$.

On the other hand, apply Corollary 3.8 to $X_{1} \times_{Y} X_{2}$, we have that the set of all closed subschemes of $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ is bijective to the set of all closed formal subschemes of $\left(X_{1} \times_{Y} X_{2}\right)^{\wedge}=\hat{X}_{1} \times \hat{X}_{2}$, and $p r_{1}: \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{1}$ if and only if $\widehat{p r_{1}}: \hat{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}$. So we get the correspondence
$\left\{\right.$ "graph like" closed formal subschemes of $\left.X_{1} \times X_{2}\right\}$
$\uparrow$
$\left\{"\right.$ graph like" closed formal subschemes of $\left.\hat{X}_{1} \times \hat{X}_{2}\right\}$,
hence the conclusion.
Theorem 3.10 (Grothendieck, 1959). Let $A=\hat{A}$ be a complete noetherian ring, $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A$. Let $f x=\lim X_{n}$ be a proper, adic formal $\hat{Y}$-scheme, where $X_{n}=\mathfrak{X} \times_{\hat{Y}} Y_{n}$. Let $L$ be a line bundle on $\mathfrak{X}$ such that $L_{0}=L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}$ is ample. Then $\mathfrak{X}$ is algebraizable, and if $X$ is a proper $Y$-scheme such that $\mathfrak{X}=\hat{X}$, then there exists an unique line bundle $M$ on $X$ such that $L=\hat{M}$. Moreover, $X$ is projective over $Y$, and $M$ is ample.

Proof. (??)The proof is not clear.
$S=\operatorname{gr}_{I} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^{n} / I^{n+1}$ can be viewed as an $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}$-algebra.

$\mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}(1)=L_{0}$ is ample, $\tilde{L}=\phi^{*} L_{0}$ is ample.
By Serre-Grothendieck vanishing theorem, there exists $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$ and $q>0$,

$$
R^{q} \hat{f}_{*}(\tilde{E}(n))=0
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{q} \hat{f}_{*}(\tilde{E}(n)) & =\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R^{q} f_{0 *} \operatorname{gr}_{I}^{k} E(n) \\
& =\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{q}\left(X_{0}, \operatorname{gr}_{I}^{k} E(n)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{I}^{k} E(n) \rightarrow E_{k+1}(n) \rightarrow E_{k}(n) \rightarrow 0
$$

so in particular, for $n \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\Gamma\left(X_{0}, E_{k+1}(n)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X_{0}, E_{k}(n)\right)
$$

is surjective, hence
is surjective for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Apply this to $E=\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, then there exists $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq 0, \Gamma\left(\hat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(n)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}(n)\right)$ is surjective. Choose $n \geq n_{0}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}(n)$ is very ample corresponding to

where $i_{0}$ is a close immersion. $i_{0}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}(1)=\mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}(n) . E_{0}\left(n_{0}\right)$ is generated by a finite number of global sections, lifting these sections to $H^{0}\left(X, E\left(n_{0}\right)\right)$, we find a map

$$
u: \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(n)
$$

such that $\left.u_{0}=u \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}: \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}^{r} \rightarrow E_{( } n\right)$ is surjective. By Nakayama's lemma, $u_{k}=u \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}$ is surjective for all $k$.


Since $i_{0}$ ia a closed immersion, $i_{k}$ is a closed immersion for all $k$, thus $\mathfrak{X}$ is a closed formal subscheme of $\hat{P}$. By $3.6, \mathfrak{X}$ is algebraizable, i.e., $\mathfrak{X}=\hat{X}$ for some closed subscheme of $P$. Then by 3.4, there exists a unique line bundle $M$ on $X$ such that $L=\hat{M}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M^{\otimes n}\right)^{\wedge} & =\hat{M}^{\otimes n} \\
& =\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(n) \\
& =\hat{j}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{P}}(1) \\
& =\left(j^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P}(1)\right)^{\wedge},
\end{aligned}
$$

by 3.4 again, $M^{\otimes n} \simeq j^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P}(1)$, then $M^{\otimes n}$ is very ample, hence $M$ is ample.

## 4 Application to lifting problems

Let $A$ be a local noetherian ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k=A / \mathfrak{m}$. Let $T=\operatorname{Spec} A, S_{n}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{n}, S=\operatorname{Spf}(\hat{A})$ where $A_{n}=A / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. Given a scheme $X_{0}$ proper over $S_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} k$, we have the following diagram


There are three problems:
Pb 1 : Find a proper flat lifting of $X_{0}$ to $T$;
Pb 2 : Find a proper flat lifting of $X_{0}$ to $S$, for $X / S$ proper, flat, such that $X \times_{S} S_{0}=X_{0} ;$

Pb3: Find $\mathfrak{X}$ proper, flat over $\hat{S}$ lifting $X_{0}$. Try to lift $X_{0}$ to an inductive system of (proper and flat) schemes $X_{n}$ such that $X_{n+1} \times_{S_{n+1}} S_{n}=X_{n}$. For flatness, $X_{n} / S_{n}$ is flat for all $n$, if and if only in the diagram

$X_{n} / S_{n}$ flat for all $n$.
Suppose $X_{n}$ has been constructed, $X_{n}$ is flat, proper over $S_{n}$ lifting $X_{0}$. We want to find $X_{n+1}$ lifting $X_{0}$ to $S_{n+1}$. Encounters an obstruction o $\left(X_{n}, i_{n}\right)$, where $i_{n}: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n+1}$, in some global cohomology group of $X_{0}$. For example, if $X_{n}$ is smooth,

$$
\begin{aligned}
o\left(X_{n}, i_{n}\right) & \in H^{2}\left(X_{n}, \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_{S_{0}}} T_{X_{0} / S_{0}}\right) \\
& =H^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_{0}} T_{X_{0} / S_{0}}\right) \\
& =H^{2}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / S_{0}}\right) \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Pb : Assume $X_{0}$ has been lifted to $\mathfrak{X}$ proper, flat over $\hat{S}$, algebraize this $\mathfrak{X}$, find $X$ proper, flat over $S$ such that $\hat{X}=\mathfrak{X}$ (Note that if $\hat{X}=\mathfrak{X}, X$ is proper over $S$, then $X$ will be flat over $S$ ).

Pb4: Lift $L_{0}$ to $L$ on $\mathfrak{X}$, where $L_{0}$ is a line bundle on $X_{0}$. Suppose $L_{0}$ has been lifted to $L_{n}$, we want to lift $L_{n}$ to $L_{n+1}$ on $X_{n+1}$. Encounters an obstruction

$$
o\left(L_{n}, i_{n}\right) \in H^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right) \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2}
$$

4.1. Deformation of vector bundles Let $i: X_{0} \rightarrow X$ be a thickening of order one, defined by an ideal $I$ of square zero. Let $E_{0}$ be a vector bundle on $X_{0}$. We want to find a vector bundle $E$ on $X$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X_{0}} \otimes E=E / I E=$ $E_{0}$. More precisely, by a lifting of $E_{0}$ to $X$, we mean a pair of a vector bundle $E$ on $X$ and an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ linear map $E \rightarrow i_{*} E_{0}$ such that $i^{*} E \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{0}$.

Suppose $E \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ is a lifting of $E_{0}$ to $X$, we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I E \rightarrow E \rightarrow i_{*} E_{0} \rightarrow 0
$$

since $E$ is flat over $X, I \otimes E_{0} \xrightarrow{\sim} I E$, then we get

$$
0 \rightarrow I \otimes E_{0} \rightarrow E \rightarrow i_{*} E_{0} \rightarrow 0
$$

Proposition 4.2. Let $i: X_{0} \rightarrow X$ be as above.
(a) Let $E, F$ be vector bundles on $X, E_{0}=i^{*} E, F_{0}=i^{*} F$, and $u_{0}: E_{0} \rightarrow$ $F_{0}$ be a $\mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}$-linear map. There exists an obstruction

$$
o\left(u_{0}, i\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}}^{1}\left(E_{0}, I \otimes F_{0}\right)
$$

such that o $\left(u_{0}, i\right)=0$ if and only if there exists $u: E \rightarrow F$ such that $u \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}=$ $u_{0}$. When $o\left(u_{0}, i\right)=0$, the set of extensions $u$ is an affine space under $E x t^{0}\left(E_{0}, I \otimes F_{0}\right)$.

Note: $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}}^{i}\left(E_{0}, I \otimes F_{0}\right)=H^{i}\left(X_{0}, I \otimes \mathcal{H}\right.$ om $\left.\left(E_{0}, F_{0}\right)\right)$.
(b) Let $E_{0}$ be a vector bundle on $X_{0}$. There is an obstruction

$$
o\left(E_{0}, i\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}^{2}\left(E_{0}, I \otimes F_{0}\right)=H^{2}\left(X_{0}, I \otimes \mathcal{E} n d\left(E_{0}\right)\right.
$$

such that $o\left(E_{0}, i\right)=0$ if and only if there exists a vector bundle $E$ lifting $E_{0}$. When $o\left(E_{0}, i\right)=0$, the set of isomorphisms of $E$ is an affine space under $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(X, I \otimes \mathcal{E} n d\left(E_{0}\right)\right)$, and the group of automorphisms of $E$ is identified by $a \mapsto a-I d$ with $E x t^{0}\left(E_{0}, I \otimes \mathcal{E} n d\left(E_{0}\right)\right)$.
Proof. (a) We want to find $u$ such that the diagram commutes:


Denote $M$ by the pushout of $E$ and $I \otimes F_{0}$ over $I \otimes E_{0}$, similarly let $N$ be the pullback of $F$ and $E_{0}$ over $F_{0}$, we get

and

where $\alpha=(f, 0), \beta=(0, g)$. One can easily check that existence of $u$ is equivalent to that

$$
\left[0 \rightarrow E \otimes F_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_{0} \rightarrow 0\right]-\left[0 \rightarrow I \otimes F_{0} \rightarrow N \rightarrow F_{0} \rightarrow 0\right]=0
$$

in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(E_{0}, I \otimes F_{0}\right)$, denote by $o\left(u_{0}, i\right)$, then it is the desired one.
When $o\left(u_{0}, i\right)=0$, fix one extension $v$, we have that the composite morphism

$$
E \xrightarrow{u-v} F \longrightarrow F_{0}
$$

is zero, so can be factored as


Thus we get a group action

$$
u \longmapsto u-v
$$

under which the set of extensions is an affine space.
(b) For simplicity, we assume that $X_{0}$ (or $X$, this is equivalent) is separated. Choose $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in S},\left(E_{i}\right)_{i \in S}$ such that $\mathcal{U}$ is an affine open cover of $X_{0}$ and $E_{i}$ is a vector bundle on $\left.X\right|_{U_{i}}$ extending $\left.E\right|_{U_{i}}$. Since $X_{0}$ is separated, $U_{i j}=U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ is affine, so by (a) one can find an isomorphism $g_{i j}:\left.\left.E_{i}\right|_{U_{i j}} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{j}\right|_{U_{i j}}$ inducing the identity on $\left.E_{0}\right|_{U_{i j}}$. On $U_{i j k}=U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap U_{k}$, $c_{i j k}=g_{i j} g_{i k}^{-1} g_{j k} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{j} \mid U_{i j k}\right) . \quad c_{i j k}-I d \in H^{0}\left(U_{i j k}, I \otimes \mathcal{E} n d\left(E_{0}\right)\right)$, and
$\left(c_{i j k}\right)=d\left(\left(h_{i} j\right)\right)$ for some $h_{i j} \in H^{0}\left(U_{i j}, I \otimes \mathcal{E} n d\left(E_{0}\right)\right)$ if and only if the $\left(h_{i j}\right)$ can be modified into a gluing data for the $\left(E_{i}\right)$, i.e., $\left(h_{i j}\right)$ can be replaced by $g_{i j}^{\prime}=g_{i j}+h_{i j}$ such that $g_{i j}^{\prime} g_{i k}^{\prime-1} g_{j k}^{\prime}=I d$. Then gluing $\left(E_{i}\right)$, we get global $E$ extending $E_{0}$. Thus $d\left(c_{i j k}\right)=o\left(E_{0}, i\right) \in H^{2}\left(X, I \otimes \mathcal{E} n d\left(E_{0}\right)\right)$ is the desired obstruction, and it does not depend on the choices. If $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are two extendings of $E_{0}$ over $X$, then by (a) the isomorphisms from $E_{1}$ to $E_{2}$ form a torsor under $I \otimes \mathcal{E} n d\left(E_{0}\right)$.

Remark 4.3. (1) If $L$ is a line bundle, then $\mathcal{O}_{X_{0}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} n d\left(L_{0}\right)$, so $o\left(L_{0}, i\right) \in$ $H^{2}\left(X_{0}, I\right)$.
(2) Let $L_{0}, M_{0}$ be line bundles, then

$$
o\left(L_{0} \otimes M_{i}, i\right)=o\left(L_{0}, i\right)+o\left(M_{i}, i\right)
$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $A$ be a complete local noetherian ring, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k$. Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} A, \hat{S}=\operatorname{Spf}(A)=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim S_{n} \text {, where }}$ $S_{n}=\operatorname{Spec} A / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}, S_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} k=s$. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a $\mathfrak{m}$-adic formal $\hat{S}$-scheme, and proper, flat over $\hat{S}$.
(a) If $X / S$ is proper and $\hat{X}=\mathfrak{X}$, then $X$ is flat over $S$.
(b) If $H^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)=0$, then any line bundle $L_{0}$ on $X_{0}$ can be lifted to a line bundle $L$ on $X$, and $L$ is unique up to (non unique) isomorphism if $H^{1}\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)=0$. Moreover, if $L_{0}$ is ample, then any lifting $L$ is ample and $X$ is projective.

Proof. (a) Each $X_{n}=S_{n} \times_{S} X$ is flat over $S_{n}\left(=S_{n} \times_{\hat{S}} \mathfrak{X}\right)$, so by flatness criterion, for all $x \in X_{0}=X_{s}, \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is flat over $A$. By openness of flatness introduced later, $X$ is flat over $S$ on an open neighborhood $U$ of $X_{s}$, since $X / S$ is proper, we get $U=X$.

Theorem 4.5 (openness of flatness). Let $Y$ be a locally noetherian scheme, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be locally of finite type, $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. Then the set of $x \in X$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{x}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{Y, f(x)}$ is open in $X$.

Proof. Uses flatness criterion and the following theorem.
Theorem 4.6 (Generic flatness). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be of finite type, where $Y$ is a locally noetherian integral scheme, $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. Then there exists an open nonempty subset $V$ of $Y$ such that $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{f^{-1}(V)}$ is flat over $V$.

Proof. See [EGA], IV, 6.9.1.
(b)


We want to lift $L_{0}$ to $\mathfrak{X}$. Assume $L_{0}$ has been lifted into $L_{n}$ on $X_{n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
o\left(L_{n}, i_{n}\right) & \in H^{2}\left(X_{n}, \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}\right) \\
& =H^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right) \otimes_{k} \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

so we get $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathfrak{X}$ lifting $L_{0}, \mathfrak{X}=\hat{X}$. And by the existence theorem, $\mathcal{L}=\hat{L}$ for some $L \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$. When $L_{0}$ is ample, $L$ is ample.

Uniqueness of lifting in case $H^{1}\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)=0$ : Suppose $L, M$ be two liftings of $L_{0}$, we will find an isomorphism $L \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ inducing identity on $L_{0}$. Suppose we have got $u_{n}: L_{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{n}$, since $H^{1}\left(X_{0}, \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)=0$, we get an isomorphism $u_{n+1}: L_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{n+1}$ lifting $u_{n}$.

Corollary 4.7. Let $S$ be as in 4.4, $X_{0} / S_{0}$ be proper and smooth. Then
(a) If $H^{2}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / S_{0}}\right)=0$, then there exists a proper and flat formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ lifting $X_{0}$.
(b) If moreover $H^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)=0$, and $X_{0}$ is projective, then there exists a proper and smooth scheme $X / S$ lifting $X_{0}$.

Proof. (a) We have the diagram


Suppose $X_{n} / S_{n}$ is a smooth lifting $X_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
o\left(X_{n}, i_{n}\right) & \in H^{2}\left(X_{n}, T_{X_{n} / S_{n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{n}}} \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2}\right) \\
& =H^{2}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / S_{0}} \otimes_{k} \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2}\right) \\
& =H^{2}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / S_{0}}\right) \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

so we have $\mathfrak{X}=\xrightarrow{\lim } X_{n}$ is a lifting of $X_{0}$.

Note: If $H^{1}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / S_{0}}\right)=0$, then the lifting $\mathfrak{X}$ is unique up to isomorphism (unique isomorphism if $H^{0}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / S_{0}}\right)=0$ ).
(b) Take $L_{0}$ being ample on $X$, lifting it to $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathfrak{X}$, then algebraizing it by 3.10, we get $\mathfrak{X}=\hat{X}, \mathcal{L}=\hat{L}$, and $L$ is ample over $X$.

where $X / S$ is projective and flat. Since $X / S$ is flat, $X_{0} / S_{0}$ is smooth, $X$ is smooth at every point $x \in X_{0}=X_{s}$, then $X$ is smooth over $S$ in an open neighborhood $U$ of $X_{s}$, hence $U=X$ since $X / S$ is proper.
4.8. Curves Let $Y$ be a locally noetherian scheme. By a curve over $Y$ we mean a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ which is flat, separated and of finite type, with relative dimension 1 .

Corollary 4.9. Suppose $X_{0} / S_{0}=$ Spec $k$ is a proper, smooth, geometrically connected curve of genus $g$. Then there exists a proper smooth curve $X / S$ with geometrically connected fibers of genus $g$ lifting $X_{0}$.

Proof. From

$$
H^{2}\left(X_{0}, T_{X_{0} / S_{0}}\right)=0, \quad H^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)=0
$$

we get a projective smooth lifting $X / S$, with fibers smooth of dim 1. Have to show $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{S}$, where $F: X \rightarrow S$ is the structure morphism.

Lemma 4.10. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is proper an flat, and we have the following diagram

where $X^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow Y$ is the stein factorization of $X \rightarrow Y$. Assume the fibres of $f$ are geometrically reduced. Then $g$ is finite étale and $g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$ commutes with any base change, i.e., $h^{*} g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}=g_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime \prime}}$. In particular, $f$ is cohomologically flat in degree zero, and the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$;
(ii) the fibers of $f$ are geometrically connected.

Proof. See [SGA 1], X, 1.2.
Now we want to prove the fibers are of genus $g$. In fact, since $R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is perfect, of tor-amplitude in $[0,1]$, by lemma 4.10 , and that $S$ is locally noetherian, one can prove that $R^{1} f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is locally of finite rank $g$ and commutes with base change. In general, let $E \in D(S)$ be a perfect complex of tor-amplitude in $[0,1]$, and assume for some $s \in S$, the canonical map

$$
\alpha^{0}(s): k(s) \otimes H^{0}(E) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(k(s) \otimes^{L} E\right)
$$

is surjective. Then $\alpha^{0}(s)$ is an isomorphism, and $H^{0}(E), H^{1}(E)$ are locally free of finite type around $s$ (and commute with base change).

Note: $f_{*} \Omega_{X / S}^{1}$ is locally free of rank $g$, since by Grothendieck's duality theorem, we have


Proper smooth curves in positive characteristic can be lifted to characteristic zero: if $k$ is of characteristic $p>0$, there exists a complete discrete ring $A$ which is flat over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with residue field $k=A / p A$ (Cohen ring of $k$ ). Denote by $K=\operatorname{Frac}(A)$. When $k$ is perfect, we can take $A=W(k)=\left\{\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots\right) \mid a_{i} \in k\right\}$, the Witt vectors on $k$.

4.11. Surfaces Let $X$ be a locally noetherian scheme. By a étale cover of $X$ we mean a finite and étale morphism $Y \rightarrow X$. A morphism $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ of étale covers is defined as an $X$-morphism from $Y^{\prime}$ to $Y$. Denote by $\operatorname{Et}(X)$ the category of finite étale covers of $X$. Suppose $X$ is connected and fix a geometric point $\bar{x}$ of $X$, i.e., a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} k(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k(x)$, with $k(\bar{x})$ a separably closed field. The functor

$$
F_{x}: Y \longmapsto Y(\bar{x})=Y_{\bar{x}}
$$

associating to an étale cover $Y$ of $X$ the finite set of its points over $\mathcal{O}$, is called fiber functor. We define the fundamental group of $X$ at $\mathcal{O}$ to be the group of automorphisms of $F_{x}, \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{x}\right)$.
Corollary 4.12. Let $A$ be a complete local noetherian ring, with residue field k. Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} A, \hat{S}=\operatorname{Spf}(A)=\underline{\longrightarrow} S_{n}$, where $S_{n}=\operatorname{Spec} A / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. Let $X$ be a proper scheme over $S$. Then the inverse image functor

$$
\operatorname{Et}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Et}\left(X_{s}\right)
$$

where $s=S_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} k$, is an equivalence. So if $X_{0}$ is connected (so that $X$ is connected), and $\bar{x}$ is a generic point of $X_{0}$ (hence of $X$ ), then the natural homomorphism

$$
\pi_{1}\left(X_{0}, \bar{x}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(X, \bar{x})
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. Let $\hat{X}$ be the formal completion along $X_{s}$, so that $\hat{X}=\underline{\longrightarrow}{ }_{l} X_{n}$, where $X_{n}=S_{n} \times{ }_{S} X$, then we have


Consider the natural morphisms

$$
E t(X) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} \operatorname{Et}(\hat{X}) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} \operatorname{Et}\left(X_{s}\right),
$$

where $j^{*}: Y \mapsto \hat{Y}$ and $i^{*}: y \mapsto y_{s}$. We claim that $i^{*}$ is an equivalence. In fact, let $Y_{0} / X_{0}$ be finite é tale. Suppose that $Y_{n}$ lifting $Y_{0}$ and étale over $X_{n}$ exists and is unique. Since $Y_{0} / X_{0}$ is étale, $T_{Y_{0} / X_{0}}=0$, then

$$
H^{2}\left(Y_{0}, T_{Y_{0} / X_{0}}\right) \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2}=H^{1}\left(Y_{0}, T_{Y_{0} / X_{0}}\right) \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+2}=0
$$

we can find $Y_{n+1}$ lifting $Y_{0}$, étale over $X_{n+1}$, and is unique. Thus get a unique $\mathfrak{Y}$ lifting $\mathfrak{X}$. To prove the full faithfulness, consider a $Y$-morphism $i_{0}: Z_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$, by a similar argument, o $\left(u_{n}, i_{n}\right)=0$, where $u_{n}: Z_{n} \rightarrow Y_{n}$, so $u_{0}$ can be lifted.

For $j^{*}$, it is fully faithful. It remains to prove $j^{*}$ is essentially surjective. Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a étale cover of $\hat{X}$, by 3.8 , there exists a unique scheme $Y$ finite over $X$ such that $\hat{Y}=\mathfrak{Y}$. Since $Y_{n} / X_{n}$ is étale for all $n \geq 0$, then $Y / X$ is étale at all points $x \in Y_{s}$, therefore $Y / X$ is étale since $X / S$ is proper.
4.13. Abelian varieties In 1961, Serre constructed an example. Let $X_{0} / k$ be a proper smooth scheme, where $k$ is an algebraically closed of characteristic $p>0$. For any local integral domain $A$ with residue field $k$, then there is no proper, smooth lifting of $X_{0}$ to $A$.

In 1962, Lang, Raynaud and Szpiro constructed examples of proper smooth surfaces $X_{0} / k$ such that the spectral sequence

$$
E_{1}^{i j}=H^{j}\left(X_{0}, \Omega_{X_{0} / k}\right) \Rightarrow H_{d R}^{i+j}\left(X_{0} / k\right)
$$

does not generate at $E_{1}$. Then by a theorem of Deligne-Illusie, $X_{0}$ can't be lifted to $W_{2}(k)=W(k) / p^{2} W(k)$.
4.14. K3 surfaces Here are some results in the positive direction. For example K3 surfaces. For a K3 surface over an algebraically closed field $k$, we mean a proper, smooth, connected surface $X_{0}$ such that

$$
\Omega_{X_{0} / k}^{2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}, \quad \text { and } \quad H^{1}\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)=0
$$

More precisely, we have the following result, due to Rudakov-Shafarevich and Deligne:
Theorem 4.15. Let $A$ be a complete local noetherian ring, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k$ which is algebraically closed. Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$, $\hat{S}=\operatorname{Spf}(A)=\underline{\longrightarrow} S_{n}$, where $S_{n}=\operatorname{Spec} A / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. Let $X_{0}$ be a K3 surface over $k$. Then there exists a proper and smooth formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over $\hat{S}$ lifting $X_{0}$.

## 5 Serre's Example

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field with characteristic $p>0$. Let $P_{0}=\mathbb{P}_{k}^{n}$ be the projective space. In this section, we will construct a smooth complete intersection $Y_{0}=V\left(h_{1}, \cdots, h_{n-r}\right) \subset P_{0}$ of dimension $r$, together with a free action of a finite group $G$ such that $X_{0}=Y_{0} / G$ has the following property: Let $A$ be a complete local integral noetherian ring with residue field $k$, and whose fraction field is of characteristic 0 . Then there exists no formal flat scheme $\mathcal{Y}$ over $\operatorname{Spf}(A)$ lifting $Y_{0}$.

First, recall that we have a natural identification(see [H] II.7.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aut }_{k} P_{0} \tilde{\rightarrow} P G L_{n+1}(k)=G L_{n+1}(k) / k^{*} \\
& g \mapsto\left(x=\left(x_{0}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto g x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $G$ be a finite group. A homomorphism $\rho_{0}: G \rightarrow P G L_{n+1}(k)$ gives an action of $G$ on $P_{0}$. For each $g \in G$, we have a morphism $T_{g}: P_{0} \rightarrow P_{0}$ given by $x \mapsto g x$ on the point $x \in P_{0}(T)$, where $T$ is a $k$-scheme. Define a closed subscheme of $P_{0}$

$$
\operatorname{Fix}(g)=\Gamma_{g} \times_{P_{0} \times P_{0}} \Delta \subset P_{0},
$$

where $\Gamma_{g}$ is the graph of $T_{g}$ and $\Delta$ is the diagonal of $P_{0} \times P_{0}$. Then we see that for any $k$-scheme $T, x \in P_{0}(T)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Fix}(g)(T)$ if and only if $g x=x$. Let $Q_{0}=\bigcup_{g \in G, g \neq e} F i x(g)$. This is a closed subset of $P_{0}$.

Proposition 5.1. Let $r \geqslant 1$ be an integer. Assume $\operatorname{dim} Q_{0}<n-r$. Then there exists an integer $d_{0} \geqslant 1$, such that for any $d=m d_{0}(m \geqslant 1)$ there exists a smooth complete intersection $Y_{0} \subset P_{0}$ of dimension $r$ defined by $V\left(h_{1}, \cdots, h_{n-r}\right)\left(h_{i} \in \Gamma\left(P_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}(d)\right)\right)$, such that $Y_{0}$ is stable under the action of $G$ on $P_{0}$ and $G$ acts freely on $Y_{0}$.

Proof. Since $G$ acts admissibly on $P_{0}$, i.e. $P_{0}$ is the union of affine open subsets stable under $G, Z_{0}=P_{0} / G$ exists and one has $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0}}=\left(f_{0 *} \mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}\right)^{G}$, where $f_{0}$ is the natural projection $P_{0} \rightarrow Z_{0}$ (see [SGA1 5.1.8]). Moreover since $Z_{0}$ is normal, and by [EGA II 6.6.4], $Z_{0}$ is projective. Hence we get a closed immersion $i: Z_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k}^{s}$. Composed with the $m$-uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{s}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{N}$, where $N=\binom{s+m}{s}-1$, we obtain $i_{m}: Z_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k}^{N}$ and the following diagram:


We have $f_{0}^{*}\left(i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{s}}(1)\right)=\mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}\left(d_{0}\right)$ for some $d_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}\left(\right.$ as $\left.\operatorname{Pic}\left(P_{0}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\right)$, then $f_{0}^{*} i_{m}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(1)=\mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}^{k}\left(m d_{0}\right)$. Since $f_{0}$ is finite, hence $f^{*}\left(i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{s}}(1)\right)$ is ample and we have $d_{0}>0$. Since $\operatorname{dim} f_{0}\left(Q_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dim} Q_{0}<n-r$, by Bertini's theorem (see [J 6.11]) there exists a linear surface $L_{0} \subset P^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}_{k}^{N}$ of codimension $n-r$ defined by $V\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n-r}\right)\left(g_{i} \in \Gamma\left(P^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{P^{\prime}}(1)\right)\right)$, such that $L_{0} \cap f_{0}\left(Q_{0}\right)=\emptyset$ and $L_{0}$ intersects $U_{0}=Z_{0}-f_{0}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ transversally. In particular, $X_{0}:=$ $L_{0} \cap Z_{0}=L_{0} \cap U_{0}$ is smooth. Let $h_{i}=\left(i_{m} f_{0}\right)^{*} g_{i} \in \Gamma\left(P_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}\left(m d_{0}\right)\right)$ and $Y_{0}=V\left(h_{1}, \cdots, h_{n-r}\right)$. We claim that this $Y_{0}$ satisfies our requirement. Since $f_{0}: f_{0}^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right) \rightarrow U_{0}$ is an étale cover with group $G$ (see [SGA1 5.2.3]) and $Y_{0}$ is a complete intersection in $f_{0}^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right), f_{0}: Y_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$ is also an étale cover with group $G$. In particular, $G$ acts freely on $Y_{0}$.

Proposition 5.2. Assume $r \geqslant 3$ and $d \geqslant 2\left(d=m d_{0}\right.$ as in Proposition 5.1) or $r=2$ and $p \mid d, p \nmid n+1$. Let $f_{0}: Y_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}=Y_{0} / G$ as in the proof of Proposition 5.1. Let $A$ be a complete local noetherian ring with reside field $k$. Let $\mathcal{X} \operatorname{Spp}(A)$ be a flat formal lifting of $X_{0} / k$. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is algebraizable, i.e. there exists a unique proper and smooth scheme $X / \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, such that $\widehat{X}=\mathcal{X}$. Moreover $X$ is projective and the representation $\rho_{0}$ of $G$ lifts to $A$, i.e. there exists a homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow P G L_{n+1}(A)$ such that the following diagram commutes.


In order to prove this proposition we need some preliminaries.
Lemma 5.3. Let $P=\mathbb{P}_{k}^{n}$ and $Y=V\left(h_{1}, \cdots, h_{n-r}\right)\left(\right.$ where $\left.h_{i} \in \Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\right)$ be a complete intersection of dimension $r \geqslant 1$. Here "complete intersection" means that

$$
h: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-r} \mathcal{O}_{P}\left(d_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(h_{1}, \cdots, h_{n-r}\right)} \mathcal{O}_{P}
$$

is a regular morphism, i.e. the Koszul complex

$$
K^{\bullet}=\left(0 \rightarrow K^{-(n-r)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^{-1} \xrightarrow{h} K^{0} \rightarrow 0\right),
$$

where $K^{0}=\mathcal{O}_{P}, K^{-1}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-r} \mathcal{O}_{P}\left(d_{i}\right)$ and $K^{-i}=\wedge^{i} K^{-1}$, is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ by the natural augmentation. Then $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=k$ and $H^{i}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=0$ for $0<i<r$.

Proof. Note that $H^{*}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=H^{*}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=H^{*}\left(P, K^{\bullet}\right)$. Hence we have a natural spectral sequence $E_{1}^{p, q}=H^{q}\left(P, K^{p}\right)$ converging to $H^{p+q}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$, where $K^{-p}=\bigoplus_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{P}\left(-d_{i_{1}}-\cdots-d_{i_{p}}\right)$. From the fact that $E_{1}^{p, q}=0$ for $0<q<n$, it follows that $H^{i}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=0$ for all $i \in(0, r)$. And from

$$
E_{1}^{p, 0}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
k & p=0 \\
0 & p<0
\end{array},\right.
$$

we obtain that $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=k$.

Remark 5.4. (a) For $H^{r}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{r}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \rightarrow E_{1}^{-(n-r), n} \rightarrow E_{1}^{-(n-r)+1, n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_{1}^{0, n} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $E^{-p, n}=H^{n}\left(P, K^{-p}\right)=\bigoplus_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}} H^{n}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}\left(-d_{i_{1}}-\cdots-d_{i_{p}}\right)\right)$. Through the analysis of the dimension of $E_{1}^{\bullet, n}$, we obtain that $H^{r}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=0$ if and only if $\sum d_{i} \leqslant n$.
(b) Similarly, we can obtain $H^{i}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)\right)=0$ for $0<i<r$. And if $d_{i} \geqslant 2$ for any $i$, then $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)\right)=k^{n+1}$.

Now we recall some base change formula. Suppose that in the cartesian diagram

$X$ and $Y$ are noetherian separated schemes, and that $f$ is proper. Let $F$ be a coherent sheaf on $X$, flat over $Y$. Then there is a base change isomorphism:

$$
L g^{*} R f_{*} F \xrightarrow{\sim} R f_{*}^{\prime}\left(h^{*} F\right) .
$$

We can thus obtain a natural map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g^{*} R^{q} f_{*} F \rightarrow H^{q}\left(L g^{*} R f_{*} F\right)=R^{q} f_{*}^{\prime}\left(h^{*} F\right)\right) . \tag{5.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ is called cohomologically flat in degree $q$ if (5.4.1) is an isomorphism for any base change $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$.
Now let $y$ be a point in $Y$, denote the map

$$
k(y) \otimes R^{q} f_{*} F \rightarrow H^{q}\left(X_{y}, k(y) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} F\right) .
$$

by $\alpha^{q}$. We have the following convenient criterion of cohomological flatness.
Lemma 5.5. Assume $H^{q+1}\left(X_{y}, F_{y}\right)=0$, then in a neighborhood of $y, \alpha^{q}$ is an isomorphism and $F$ is cohomologically flat in degree $q$. Moreover, if $\alpha^{q-1}$ is surjective, then in a neighborhood of $y, R^{q} f_{*} F$ is locally free of finite type and of formation compatible with base change.
Proof. Exercise (or see Trieste notes).
Having these lemmas in hand, we can come to the proof of Proposition 5.2.

Proof. (1) Since $Y_{0} / X_{0}$ is étale, $Y_{0}$ lifts uniquely (up to a unique isomorphism) to $Y_{m} / X_{m}$ étale for all $m \geqslant 1$. Hence we get a formal étale cover $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{X}$. Similarly suppose that the action of $G$ on $Y_{0}$ has been lifted to an action on $Y_{m}: G \times Y_{m} \rightarrow Y_{m}$. Then it extends uniquely $G \times Y_{m+1} \rightarrow Y_{m+1}$, since $Y_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}$ is étale. This is a group action automatically by uniqueness. Hence finally we get a free action of $G$ on $\mathcal{Y} / \mathcal{X}$, which makes $\mathcal{Y} / \mathcal{X}$ an étale Galois cover.
Remark. For each $n \geqslant 0$, the diagram

where $A_{n}=A / \mathfrak{m}^{n}$, is cartesian. Since $\operatorname{dim} Y_{0}=r>1$, by Lemma 5.3 we have $H^{0}\left(Y_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}\right)=k$ and $H^{1}\left(Y_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}\right)=0$. And by Lemma 5.5, it follows that $p_{n *} \mathcal{O}_{Y_{n}}=A_{n}$, hence $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\right)=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} H^{0}\left(Y_{n}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{n}}\right)=A$.
(2) Consider the natural ample line bundle $L_{0}=\mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}(1)$ on $Y_{0}$ induced by $\mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}(1)$. We want to lift it to a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$.
(a) Case $r \geqslant 3$. We have a chain of thickenings:

$$
Y_{0} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow Y_{m} \hookrightarrow Y_{m+1} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}
$$

As $H^{2}\left(Y_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}\right)=0$ and $H^{1}\left(Y_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}\right)=0$ by Lemma 5.3 , the obstruction to lifting $L_{0}$ to $Y_{m}$ vanishes. We get a unique (up to non-unique isomorphisms) line bundle $L_{m}$ on $Y_{m}$, hence finally a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ (unique up to isomorphisms), which lifts $L_{0}$.
(b) When $r=2$, it no longer holds that $H^{2}\left(Y_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}\right)=0$ (see 5.4 (a)). But we have the following argument due to Mumford. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of the closed immersion $i: Y_{0} \rightarrow P_{0}$. Then we have a natural exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{P_{0}}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{Y_{0}}^{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then $\Omega_{Y_{0}}^{2}=\Omega_{P_{0}}^{n} \otimes\left(\wedge^{n-2} \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}\right)^{\vee}$. But via the Koszul complex, it follows that

$$
\wedge^{n-r} \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}=\mathcal{T} o r_{n-r}^{\mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}(-(n-r) d)
$$

Hence we get $\varepsilon_{0}: \Omega_{Y_{0}}^{2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}(N) \simeq L_{0}^{\otimes N}$, where $N=(n-1) d-n-1$. By the assumption that $p \mid d$ and $p \nmid n+1$, it follows that $p \nmid N$.

Now consider the immersion $i_{m}: Y_{m} \rightarrow Y_{m+1}$ and assume that $L_{0}$ has been lifted to $L_{m}$ on $Y_{m}$ and the isomorphism $\varepsilon_{0}$ lifted to $\varepsilon_{m}: \Omega_{Y_{m}}^{2} \underset{\rightarrow}{\sim} L_{m}^{\otimes N}$. Let's show that this isomorphism extends to $m+1$. Since $\Omega_{Y_{m+1}}^{2}$ lifts $\Omega_{Y_{m}}^{2}$, we have

$$
0=o\left(\Omega_{Y_{m}}^{2}, i_{m}\right)=o\left(L_{m}^{\otimes N}, i_{m}\right)=N \cdot o\left(L_{m}, i_{m}\right)
$$

Since $p \nmid N$, it follows that $o\left(L_{m}, i_{m}\right)=0$, i.e. $L_{m}$ can be lifted to $L_{m+1}$ over $Y_{m+1}$. But since $H^{1}\left(Y_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}\right)=0$ by $5.3, \varepsilon_{0}$ can be lifted to an isomorphism $\varepsilon_{0}: \Omega_{Y_{m+1}}^{2} \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} L_{m+1}^{\otimes N}$.
Combining (a) and (b), we get (in all cases) an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ lifting $L_{0}$. By Grothendieck's Existence Theorem, $\mathcal{Y}$ is algebraizable, i.e. there exists a projective and flat (hence smooth) scheme $Y / \operatorname{Spec} A$ with an ample line bundle $L$, such that $\widehat{Y}=\mathcal{Y}$ and $\widehat{L}=\mathcal{L}$.
(3) Algebraization of $\mathcal{Y} / \mathcal{X}$. Denote by $M_{0}$ the line bundle $\wedge^{|G|} f_{0 *} L_{0}$ on $X_{0}$, where $|G|$ denotes the cardinality of $G$. Since $L_{0}$ is ample, by [EGA II 6.5.1] it follows that $M_{0}$ is ample too. Clearly, for each $m \geqslant 1$, the line bundle $M_{m}=\wedge^{|G|} f_{m *} L_{m}$ lifts $M_{0}$, and the line bundle $\mathcal{M}=\lim M_{m}$ lifts $L_{0}$ to $\mathcal{X}$. By Grothendieck's Existence Theorem, there is a projective, flat (hence smooth) scheme $X / \operatorname{Spec} A$ with an ample line bundle $M$, such that $\widehat{X}=\mathcal{X}$ and $\widehat{M}=\mathcal{M}$. And by full faithfulness of the functor $Z \rightarrow \widehat{Z}$, there is a morphism $f$ making the following diagram cartesian:


For the same reason the action of $G$ on $\widehat{Y}$ comes from an action of $G$ on $Y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
G \times Y & \sim \\
(g, y) & \longmapsto(y, g y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And moreover, $M=\wedge^{|G|} f_{*} L$. By the comparison theorem, we have $\widehat{M}=$ $\wedge^{|G|} \widehat{f}_{*}(\widehat{L})=\wedge^{|G|}\left(f_{*} L\right)^{\wedge}$.
(4) Lifting of $\rho_{0}$. Since we have assumed $d \geqslant 2$, it follows from Remark 5.4 that $H^{0}\left(Y_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}(1)\right)=k^{n+1}$ and $H^{1}\left(Y_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}\right)=0$. By Lemma 5.5, we get $H^{0}\left(Y_{m}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{m}}(1)\right)=A_{m}^{n+1}$, hence $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)\right)=A^{n+1}$.
For any $g \in G$ there is a natural isomorphism $a(g)_{0}: g^{*} L_{0} \sim L_{0}$ on $Y_{0}$ induced
by the isomorphism $g^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}(1) \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}(1)$ given by the action of $g$ on $P_{0}$. Now suppose that $a(g)_{0}$ has been lifted to $a(g)_{m}: g^{*} L_{m} \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} L_{m}$, then the obstruction

$$
o\left(a(g)_{m}, i_{m}\right) \in H^{1}\left(Y_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{0}}\right) \otimes_{k} \mathfrak{m}^{m+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{m+2}=0
$$

Therefore $a(g)_{m}$ can be lifted, uniquely up to automorphisms of $L_{m}$, to an isomorphism $a(g)_{m+1}: g^{*} L_{m+1} \rightarrow L_{m+1}$. Hence finally it can be lifted to an isomorphism $\widehat{a(g)}: g^{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Algebraizing $\widehat{a(g)}$, we get $a(g): g^{*} L \rightarrow L$, unique up to automorphisms of $L$. For $g, h \in G, a(g h)=a(g) a(h)$ and $a(e)=I d_{L}$ up to automorphism of $L$. But

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(L)=(\operatorname{End}(L))^{*}=H^{0}(Y, \mathcal{E} n d(L))^{*}=H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)^{*}=A^{*}
$$

(since $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\right)=A$ ). From the isomorphism $a(g): g^{*} L \rightarrow L$, we get

where $\delta$ is given by the functoriality of $H^{0}$. Note $H^{0}(Y, L)=A^{n+1}$ and that $\check{\rho}(g)$ lifts $\check{( } \rho)_{0}(g)$ up to an element of $A^{*}$, where $\check{\rho}_{0}(g): H^{0}\left(Y, L_{0}\right)=$ $k^{n+1} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(Y, L_{0}\right)=k^{n+1}$ is obtained similarly via the action of $g$ on $P_{0}$. Hence finally we get a representation $\rho: G \longrightarrow P G L_{n+1}(A)$, which lifts $\rho_{0}: G \longrightarrow P G L_{n+1}(k)$.

Now we give explicit constructions of $G$ and its representation $\rho_{0}$. Let $n$ and $r$ be integers with $1 \leqslant r<n$, and let $G$ be a group of type $(p, \cdots, p)$ of order $p^{s}$, i.e. $G \simeq \mathbb{F}_{p}^{s}$. Moreover we suppose that $p \geqslant n+1$ and $s \geqslant n$. We choose an injective homomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-vectors spaces $h: G \rightarrow k$ (since $k$ is infinite dimensional over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ ). Let $N \in M_{n+1 \times n+1}(k)$ be the nilpotent matrix given by

$$
N=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & \ldots & \cdots & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $g \in G$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\rho}_{0}(g) & =\exp (h(g) N) \\
& =1+h(g) N+\cdots+\frac{h(g)^{n} N^{n}}{n!},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\widetilde{\rho}(g) \in G L_{n+1}(k)$. Let $\rho_{0}(g)$ be the image of $\widetilde{\rho}(g)$ in $P G L_{n+1}(k)$, then we get a representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}: G \longrightarrow P G L_{n+1}(k) . \tag{5.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that $\rho_{0} g=I d$ if and only if $h(g)=0$. Hence $\rho_{0}$ is faithful, since $h$ is injective. For $g \neq e$ in $G$, Fix $(g)$ consists of only one point $(1,0, \cdots, 0)$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} Q_{0}=0(<n-r)$, so that the condition of 5.1 is satisfied.

Proposition 5.6. Assume that $p>n+1$ and $s \geqslant n+1$. Let $A$ be an integral local ring with residue field $k$ and field of fractions $K$ of characteristic zero. If $\rho_{0}$ is the representation defined in 5.5.1, then there is no homomorphism $\rho: G \longrightarrow P G L_{n+1}(A)$ lifting $\rho_{0}$.

Proof. Assume that such a lifting $\rho$ exists. Then $\rho$ is necessarily faithful (since $\rho_{0}$ is faithful), and so is the composition, still denoted by $\rho, G \longrightarrow$ $P G L_{n+1}(K)$. Note that we have a central extension of groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \mu_{n+1}(K) \rightarrow S L_{n+1}(K) \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1, \tag{5.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ the canonical image of $S L_{n+1}(K)$ in $P G L_{n+1}(K)$. Since $G$ is finite, after an extension of scalars, we may assume that the image of $\rho$ lies in $H$. Pulling back the extension 5.6 .1 by $G$, we obtain an extension of $G$ by $\mu_{n+1}(K)$ denoted by $E$. $E$ corresponds to an element in $H^{2}\left(G, \mu_{n+1}(K)\right)$. Since $p \nmid\left|\mu_{n+1}(K)\right|$, we have $H^{2}\left(G, \mu_{n+1}(K)\right)=0$ and in particular the extension $E$ splits. Hence the representation $\rho$ lifts to a (faithful) representation $\rho^{\prime}: G \longrightarrow S L(V)$, where $V=K^{n+1}$. After some extension of scalars again, we may assume that $K$ contains $\mu_{p}$, the group of $p$ th roots of unit. As $G$ is commutative and $K$ is of characteristic zero, $V$ decomposes into a sum

$$
V=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} V_{i}
$$

of sub-representations of dimension 1 . Let $\chi_{i}: G \rightarrow \mu_{p} \subset K^{*}$ be the corresponding character of $V_{i}$. Then one has $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \chi_{i}=1$. Each kernel $H_{i}$ of $\chi_{i}$ is a hyperplane in $G$. Since by assumption $s=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}} G \geqslant n+1$, the kernel of $\rho^{\prime}$

$$
Z=\operatorname{Ker} \rho^{\prime}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} H_{i}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}
$$

will be at least of dimension 1 over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, in particular not zero. This contradicts the faithfulness of $\rho^{\prime}$.

Combining all the results above, we have obtained the following theorem.
Theorem 5.7. Let $r$ and $n$ be integers with $2 \leqslant r<n$ and $n+1<p$. Let $G=\mathbb{F}_{p}^{s}$ with $s \geqslant n+1$. Then there exists a smooth, projective complete intersection $Y_{0}=V\left(h_{1}, \cdots, h_{n-r}\right) \subset P_{0}=\mathbb{P}_{k}^{n}$ of dimension $r$ (and of multi-degree $(d, \cdots, d))$, endowed with a free action of $G$, and such that the projective smooth scheme $X_{0}=Y_{0} / G$ has the following property. Let $A$ be a complete, integral, noetherian local ring with residue field $k$ and field fractions of characteristic zero. Then there exists no formal scheme $\mathcal{X}$ flat over Spf A, lifting $X_{0}$. A fortiori, there exists no proper smooth lifting of $X_{0}$ over $\operatorname{Spec} A$.

Proof. Let $\rho_{0}$ be the representation defined in (5.5.1), through which $G$ acts on $P_{0}$. The existence of such a complete intersection $Y_{0}$ is guaranteed by Proposition 5.1. Assume that there exists a lifting $\mathcal{X}$ of $X_{0}$ over $\operatorname{Spf}(A)$. Then by Proposition 5.2, we get a representation $\rho: G \longrightarrow P G L_{n+1}(A)$ lifting $\rho_{0}$. But Proposition 5.6 claims that such a $\rho$ cannot exist. Hence we get a contradiction.

Remark. Serre has improved the above results as follows.
(a) One can take $s=2$, i.e. $G=\mathbb{F}_{p} \times \mathbb{F}_{p}$, in the theorem.
(b) Suppose $A$ is a complete noetherian local ring with residue field $k$. If there exists a flat formal lifting $\mathcal{X}$ of $X_{0}$ over $\operatorname{Spf}(A)$, then it is necessary that $p A=0$.

For details of this remark see Trieste notes.
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