

Overloading and Type Classes

(Adhoc Polymorphism)

Yu Zhang

<http://staff.ustc.edu.cn/~yuzhang/pldpa>

References

- [D. Rémy](#)([Cambium](#) project-team): [Type systems for PLs](#)
 - Chapter 7 Overloading
- [Concepts in PLs] [Revised Chapter 7 Type Classes](#)
- [PFPL](#)
 - Chapter 44 Type Abstractions and Type Classes
- Papers
 - [[ESOP 1988](#)] Parametric Overloading in Polymorphic PLs
 - [[POPL 2007](#)] Modular Type Classes
- Implementation
 - [Implementing, and Understanding Type Classes](#)
 - [Implementing type classes as OCaml modules](#)
- Types and Propositions:
 - [[TPHOLs 1997](#)] Type classes and overloading in higher-order logic

Outline

- Parametric Polymorphism vs. Overloading
- Why Overloading
- Overloading Mechanisms
 - Static / dynamic resolution of overloading
- Parametric Overloading and Type Classes
 - also known as bounded polymorphism, or type classes
 - Dictionary passing
 - Macro
 - Intentionally type analysis

Parametric Polymorphism vs. Overloading

- Parametric polymorphism
 - Single algorithm for **any** type
 - If $f:t \rightarrow t$, then $f:\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$, $f:\text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}$, ...
- Overloading
 - Single symbol may refer to different algorithms/operations.
 - Each algorithm may have different unrelated type.
 - Choice of algorithm determined by type context.
- Parametric overloading
 - The types being instances of a single type expression over some extended set of type variables
 - + has types $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$, $\text{float} \rightarrow \text{float} \rightarrow \text{float}$,
 - but not $X \rightarrow X \rightarrow X$ for any X .

Why Overloading ?

- Many useful functions are not parametric
- Can list membership work for any type?

member : $\forall X . X \text{ list} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{bool}$

- Can list sorting work for any type?

sort : $\forall X . X \text{ list} \rightarrow X \text{ list}$

Why Overloading ?

- Many useful functions are not parametric
- Can list membership work for any type?

member : $\forall X . X \text{ list} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{bool}$

- **No!** Only for types X that support **equality**.

- Can list sorting work for any type?

sort : $\forall X . X \text{ list} \rightarrow X \text{ list}$

- **No!** Only for types X that support **ordering**.

Variants of Overloading

- **Static overloading:** *static* resolution strategy
 - Simple semantics: meaning determined statically
 - Does not increase expressiveness
 - Reduce verbosity, increase modularity and abstraction
- **Dynamic overloading**
 - meaning determined dynamically
 - Increase expressiveness
 - Extra mechanism to support the dynamic resolution
 - Require full or partial type info., or some type-related info.

Overloading Mechanisms

Static Overloading

- **Approach 1:** A function containing overloaded symbols
=> multiple functions
 - e.g. `double x = x + x`
defines two versions: `Int -> Int` and `Float -> Float`

But, how to resolve

`doubles (x, y, z) = (double x, double y, double z)`

- 8 possible versions!

=> *Exponential growth in number of versions*

Static Overloading

- **Approach 2** (used in SML-[MLton](#)): **restrict** the definition, i.e., specify one of the possible versions as the meaning

- e.g. **double** $x = x + x \Rightarrow$ **double: Int -> Int**

double 3

double 3.2

If you want **double: Float -> Float**, you need define the function explicitly specifying type.

- In Java

- Overloading a method in a class \Rightarrow static resolution
- But if an argument has a runtime type that is subtype of the compile-time type \Rightarrow dynamic resolution

Dynamic Overloading

- Resolution with a **type passing** semantics

Runtime type dispatch using a general *typecase* construct

- **High runtime cost** of *typecase* unless type patterns are significantly restricted

- Resolution with a **type erasing** semantics

To avoid the expensive cost of *typecase*,

restrict the overloaded functions by using tags.

let $f = \lambda x . x + x$ **in** []

e.g. Dictionary passing

can be elaborated into **let** $f = \lambda (+) . \lambda x . x + x$ **in** []

f 1.0 is then elaborated to **$f (+.)$ 1.0**

Parametric Overloading

- Overloading **Equality**

1. Equality was overloaded as an operator.

But *member* using `'=='` does not work in general

`member [] y = False`

`member (x : xs) y = (x == y) || member xs y`

`member [1, 2, 3] 32`

`member "Haskell" 'k'` 

Parametric Overloading

- Overloading Equality

1. Equality was overloaded as an operator.

But *member* using '==' does not work in general

2. Make type of equality fully polymorphic (Miranda)

$(==) :: t \rightarrow t \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

thus *member* is polymorphic, $\text{member} :: [t] \rightarrow t \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

If *t* does not provide a definition of equality, then there is a **runtime error** when equality applied to a value of type *t*.

=> Violate principle of abstraction

Parametric Overloading

- Overloading Equality

1. Equality was overloaded as an operator.

But *member* using '==' does not work in general

2. Make type of equality fully polymorphic (Miranda)

3. Make equality polymorphic in **a limited way**
(used in current SML)

`(==) :: 't -> 't-> Bool` "'t indicate t is an eqtype variable

`member` has **precise type**, i.e. `['t] -> 't -> Bool`

if t does not support equality, there will be a **static error**

Parametric Overloading

- Overloading Equality

1. Equality was overloaded as an operator.

But *member* using '==' does not work in general

2. Make type of equality fully polymorphic (Miranda)

3. Make equality polymorphic in **a limited way**
(used in current SML)

$(==) :: 't \rightarrow 't \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ $'t$ indicate t is an eqtype variable

member has precise type, i.e. $['t] \rightarrow 't \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

if t does not support equality, there will be a **static** error

Equality is a special case,
how can we generalize overloading?

Type Classes

- Type classes are a mechanism in Haskell
 - Generalize `eqtype` to user-defined collections of types (called *type classes*)
member:: `(a-> a-> Bool) -> [a] -> a-> Bool`
member `cmp [] y = False`
member `cmp (x : xs) y = (cmp x y) || member cmp xs y`
- **Dictionary-passing** style implementation [[ESOP1988](#)]
 - Type-class declaration – **dictionary**
 - Name of a type class method – **label in the dictionary**
 - Parametric overloading
 - **pass the dictionary to the function**

<https://okmij.org/ftp/Computation/typeclass.html>

Examples: Dictionary Passing

- Haskell

```
class Show a where  
  show :: a -> String
```

```
instance Show Bool where  
  show True = "True "  
  show False = "False "
```

```
instance Show Int where  
  show x = Prelude.show x -- internal
```

In Haskell

- **Show a** is type class
- **Show Bool** and **Show Int** are instances of **Show**.

- OCaml

```
type 'a show = {show: 'a -> string}
```

```
let show_bool : bool show =  
  {show = function  
    | true -> "True "  
    | false -> "False"}
```

```
let show_int : int show =  
  {show = string_of_int}
```

In OCaml

- **'a show** is dictionary
- **show_bool** and **show_int** are labels in the dictionary.



Examples: Dictionary Passing

- Haskell

```
class Show a where  
  show :: a -> String
```

```
instance Show Bool where  
  show True = "True "  
  show False = "False "
```

```
instance Show Int where  
  show x = Prelude.show x -- internal
```

Define an overloaded function `print`:

```
print :: Show a => a -> IO ()  
print x = putStrLn $ show x
```

```
test_print :: IO ()  
test_print = print True
```

- OCaml

```
type 'a show = {show: 'a -> string}
```

```
let show_bool : bool show =  
  {show = function  
    | true -> "True "  
    | false -> "False"}
```

```
let show_int : int show =  
  {show = string_of_int}
```

```
let print : 'a show -> 'a -> unit =  
  fun {show=show} x -> print_endline (show x)
```

```
let test_print : unit =  
  print show_bool true
```

- `print` is a **restricted** polymorphic function, and it applies to values *whose types are showable*
- In Haskell: `Show Bool` and `Show Int` are members of `Show` class.
- In OCaml: the evidence of being showable, the dictionary, is the explicit argument.

More Examples

- Type class whose methods have a different of overloading: e.g. [Num](#)
- An instance with a constraint:
e.g. a Show instance for all list types `[a]` where the element type `a` is also restricted to be a member of Show.
`show_list: 'a show -> 'a list show (OCaml)`
- A class of comparable types
e.g. class `Eq a` (Haskell) or type `'a eq` (OCaml)
- Polymorphic recursion

See <http://okmij.org/ftp/Computation/typeclass.html#dict>

Other Implementations

- Type classes as **macros**

- Static monomorphization (compile-time)

- Take the **type-checked** code with type classes

- Generate code with no type classes and no bounded polymorphism

vs. C++ templates ? Template instantiation may produce ill-typed code

- Intentional type analysis (run-time)

Choose the appropriate overloading operation at run-time

See <http://okmij.org/ftp/Computation/typeclass.html#dict>

THANKS

- [Rust](#)支持[trait](#)，这是具有一致性的有限形式的类型类
- 在[Scala](#)中，类型类是[编程惯例](#)，可以用现存语言特征比如隐式参数来实现，本身不是独立的语言特征