

Coq Introduction



张昱

Department of Computer Science and Technology
University of Science and Technology of China

September, 2008



Coq Introduction

- ❖ A proof assistant for a logical framework known as the **Calculus of Inductive Constructions**.
- ❖ **Allows:**
 - to define functions or predicates,
 - to state mathematical theorems and software specifications,
 - to develop interactively formal proofs of these theorems,
 - to check these proofs by a relatively small certification "kernel".
- ❖ **Version**
 - The current stable version of Coq is the **8.1**. It is available for Unix and Windows 95/98/NT/XP systems.



Useful Links

➤ Coq Home page

<http://coq.inria.fr/>

- The Coq Proof Assistant - A Tutorial v8.1
- The Coq Proof Assistant - Reference Manual v8.1

➤ Coq Art Home page

<http://www.labri.fr/perso/casteran/CoqArt/index.html>

➤ Coq Tutorial in POPL08

<http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~plclub/popl08-tutorial/>



Coq Tools-1

❖ Coq IDE

<http://coq.inria.fr/distrib-eng.html>

❖ Proof General (PG)

<http://proofgeneral.inf.ed.ac.uk/>

- a generic front-end for *proof assistants* (also known as *interactive theorem provers*), based on the customizable text editor Emacs



Coq Tools-2

To get started ... (e.g. using PG and for Windows)

- Install **Coq**
 - Notice: don't select installing **coqide** and **GDK**
- Install **Emacs** & **PG**
- Modify the environment variables
 - Add
 - **COQBIN** = "(coq install dir)\coq\bin"
 - **COQLIB** = "(coq install dir)\coq\lib"
 - **HOME** = "(where PG is installed)"
 - Append the bin dir of coq and emacs to the value of **Path**
- Type **coqtop.opt** in command line to check ...
- Run **Emacs/bin/runemacs.exe**



Coq Tools-3

```
(** Let's start with a very simple example. The following
definition tells Coq that we are defining a new set of
data values. The set is called [day] and its members
are [monday], [tuesday], etc. The lines of the
definition can be read "[monday] is a [day], [tuesday]
is a [day], etc." *)
Inductive day : Set :=
| monday : day
| tuesday : day
| wednesday : day
| thursday : day
| friday : day
| saturday : day
| sunday : day
```



What does Coq system provide?

- A specification language named **Gallina**
 - consists in a sequence of *declarations* and *definitions*.
 - Its terms can represent *programs* as well as *properties* of these programs and *proofs* of these properties.
 - Using **Curry-Howard isomorphism**, *programs*, *properties* and *proofs* are formalized in the same language called **CiC**, that is a λ -calculus with a rich type system.
 - All **logical judgments** in COQ are **typing judgments**.
- **Type-checker**
 - checks the **correctness of proofs**, in other words that checks that a program complies to its specification.
- **The proof engine**
 - provides an interactive proof assistant to **build proofs** using specific programs called **tactics**.



Gallina - Declarations

❖ Declarations

- A declaration associates a **name** with a **specification**.
 - Declared objects play the role of **axioms** or **parameters** in mathematics.

Axiom *ident* : *term*

Parameter *ident*₁ ··· *ident*_{*n*} : *term*

➤ Specifications

- logical propositions: **Prop**
- mathematical collections: **Set**
- abstract types: **Type**

- Every valid expression **e** in Gallina is associated with a specification, itself a valid expression, called its type $\tau(E)$. $e : \tau(E)$



Gallina - Inductive Definitions

❖ Inductive Definitions

➤ Simple inductive types

Inductive *ident* : *sort* :=

| *ident*₁ : *type*₁

| ...

| *ident*_n : *type*_n.

ident: the name of the inductively defined type

sort : the universes where it lives

*ident*₁, ..., *ident*_n : the names of its constructors

*type*₁, ..., *type*_n : the types of its constructors



Gallina - Inductive Definitions

➤ Simple inductive types

```
Inductive yesno : Set :=  
  | yes : yesno  
  | no  : yesno.
```

defines the following four objects at once:

```
yesno is defined  
yesno_rect is defined  
yesno_ind is defined  
yesno_rec is defined
```

```
Check yesno.
```

```
yesno  
  : Set
```

A new Set is declared, with name *yesno*.



Gallina - Inductive Definitions

➤ Simple inductive types

```
Inductive yesno : Set :=  
  | yes : yesno  
  | no  : yesno.
```

defines the following four objects at once:

```
yesno is defined  
yesno_rect is defined  
yesno_ind is defined  
yesno_rec is defined
```

```
Check yesno_rect.
```

```
yesno_rect  
  : forall P : yesno -> Type, P yes -> P no -> forall y : yesno, P y
```

Destructor (Elimination principle on Type): expresses structural induction/recursion principle over objects of **yesno**.



Gallina - Inductive Definitions

➤ Simple inductive types

```
Inductive yesno : Set :=  
  | yes : yesno  
  | no  : yesno.
```

defines the following four objects at once:

```
yesno is defined  
yesno_rect is defined  
yesno_ind is defined  
yesno_rec is defined
```

```
Check yesno_ind.
```

```
yesno_ind
```

```
: forall P : yesno -> Prop, P yes -> P no -> forall y : yesno, P y
```

Destructor (Elimination principle on Prop): expresses structural induction/recursion principle over objects of **yesno**.



Gallina - Inductive Definitions

➤ Simple inductive types

```
Inductive yesno : Set :=  
  | yes : yesno  
  | no  : yesno.
```

defines the following four objects at once:

```
yesno is defined  
yesno_rect is defined  
yesno_ind is defined  
yesno_rec is defined  
Check yesno_rec.
```

```
yesno_rec  
  : forall P : yesno -> Set, P yes -> P no -> forall y : yesno, P y
```

Destructor (Elimination principle on Set): expresses structural induction/recursion principle over objects of **yesno**.



Gallina - Inductive Definitions

➤ Simple inductive types

```
Inductive nat : Set :=  
  | O : nat  
  | S : nat -> nat.
```

defines the following four objects at once:

```
nat is defined  
nat_rect is defined  
nat_ind is defined  
nat_rec is defined
```

```
Check nat_ind.
```

```
nat_ind  
  : forall P : nat -> Prop,  
    P O -> (forall n : nat, P n -> P (S n)) -> forall n : nat, P n
```

Destructor (Elimination principle on Prop): expresses structural induction/recursion principle over objects of **nat**.



Gallina - Inductive Definitions

➤ Simple annotated inductive types

```
Inductive evenP : nat -> Prop :=  
  | even_0 : evenP 0  
  | even_SS : forall n:nat, evenP n -> evenP (S (S n)).
```

defines the following two objects at once:

evenP is defined

evenP_ind is defined

```
Check evenP_ind.
```

```
evenP_ind  
: forall P : nat -> Prop,  
  P 0 ->  
  (forall n : nat, evenP n -> P n -> P (S (S n))) ->  
  forall n : nat, evenP n -> P n 
```



Gallina - Inductive Definitions

➤ Simple annotated inductive types

```
Inductive eventT : nat -> Type :=  
  | eventT_O : eventT 0  
  | eventT_SS : forall n:nat, eventT n -> eventT (S (S n)).
```

defines the following four objects at once:

```
eventT is defined  
eventT_rect is defined  
eventT_ind is defined  
eventT_rec is defined
```

```
Check eventT ind.
```

```
eventT_ind  
  : forall P : forall n : nat, eventT n -> Prop,  
    P 0 eventT_O ->  
    (forall (n : nat) (e : eventT n), P n e -> P (S (S n)) (eventT  
_SS n e)) ->  
    forall (n : nat) (e : eventT n), P n e
```




Gallina - Inductive Definitions

➤ Mutually defined inductive types

```
Inductive evenM : nat -> Prop :=
  | evenM_O : evenM O
  | evenM_S : forall n, oddM n -> evenM (S n)
with oddM : nat -> Prop :=
  | oddM_S : forall n, evenM n -> oddM (S n).
```

defines the following four objects at once:

```
evenM, oddM are defined
evenM_ind is defined
oddM_ind is defined
```

```
Check evenM ind.
```

```
evenM_ind
  : forall P : nat -> Prop,
    P O ->
    (forall n : nat, oddM n -> P (S n)) -> forall n : nat, evenM n -> P n
```



Gallina - Inductive Definitions

➤ Mutually defined inductive types

```
Inductive evenM : nat -> Prop :=
  | evenM_O : evenM 0
  | evenM_S : forall n, oddM n -> evenM (S n)
with oddM : nat -> Prop :=
  | oddM_S : forall n, evenM n -> oddM (S n).
```

defines the following four objects at once:

```
evenM, oddM are defined
evenM_ind is defined
oddM_ind is defined
```

```
Check oddM_ind.
```

```
oddM_ind
  : forall P : nat -> Prop,
    (forall n : nat, evenM n -> P (S n)) -> forall n : nat, oddM n -> P n
```



Gallina - Definitions

❖ Definitions

- A definition gives a name to a term (definition).

Definition $ident[(ident_1 : term_1) \cdots (ident_n : term_n)] : term_0 := term$

```
Definition swap_yesno (b:yesno) : yesno :=  
  match b with  
  | yes => no  
  | no => yes  
  end.
```

```
Check swap_yesno.
```

```
swap_yesno  
  : yesno -> yesno
```



Gallina - Definitions

❖ Definitions

```
Definition both_yes (b1:yesno) (b2:yesno) : yesno :=  
  match b1 with  
  | yes => b2  
  | no => no  
  end.
```

```
Check both_yes.
```

```
both_yes  
  : yesno -> yesno -> yesno
```



Gallina - Definition of recursive functions

❖ Definition of recursive functions

Fixpoint *ident params*{**struct** *ident*₀} : *type*₀ := *term*₀

```
Fixpoint plus (m : nat) (n : nat) {struct m} : nat :=  
  match m with  
  | 0 => n  
  | S m' => S (plus m' n)  
end.
```

|plus is recursively defined|

Check plus.

```
|plus  
| : nat -> nat -> nat|
```



Gallina - Definition of recursive functions

❖ Definition of recursive functions

Fixpoint *ident params*{struct *ident*₀} : *type*₀ := *term*₀

```
Fixpoint even (n:nat) {struct n} : yesno :=
  match n with
  | 0           => yes
  | S 0         => no
  | S (S n')   => even n'
  end.
```

```
Check even. ▀
```

```
even
  : nat -> yesno ▯
```

See [bnat_1.v](#) and make exercises.



Gallina - Statement and proofs

❖ Statement

- A statement claims a goal of which the proof is then interactively done using tactics.

Theorem *ident : type.*

Lemma *ident : type.*

Proposition *ident : type.*

Fact *ident : type.*

Definition *ident : type.*

- After a statement, Coq needs a proof.

Proof. ... **Qed.**

A proof starts by the keyword **Proof**. Then Coq enters the proof editing mode until the proof is completed.

Proof. ... **Admitted.**

Turns the current conjecture into an axiom and exits editing of current proof.



Proof engine - Common Commands

❖ Displaying [Coq RM 6]

- *Print `qualid`.* e.g. *Print `even`.*
- *Print All.*

❖ Requests to the environment [Coq RM 6]

- *Check `term`.* displays the type of term.

❖ Compiled files [Coq RM 6]

- *Require `dirpath`.* , *Require Export `qualid`.*
-



Proof engine - Atomic Tactics - 1

See Chapter 8 in Coq Reference Manual for detail.

❖ Explicit proof as a term [Coq RM 8.2]

➤ *exact term.* gives directly the exact proof term of the goal.

❖ Basics [Coq RM 8.3]

➤ *intro [ident].* introduce the premise of the goal.

intros [ident₁ ... ident_n]. introduce all premises of the goal.

➤ *apply [term].* tries to match the current goal against the conclusion of the type of term.

– E.g. goal: Q *--(apply P→Q.)---* goal: P

apply term in term_p.

– E.g. goal: Q $x: P$ *--(apply P→Q in x.)---* goal: Q $x: Q$

➤ *assumption.* It looks in the local context for an hypothesis which type is equal to the goal.



Proof engine - Atomic Tactics - 2

❖ Basics [Coq RM 8.3]

- **split.** splits conjunction $A \wedge B$ into A and B .
- **left.right.** applies upon the left/right of disjunction $A \vee B$, and then they are respectively equivalent to A and B .

See [prop.v](#) for detail and make excises.

❖ Conversion tactics [Coq RM 8.5]

- **simpl.** applies $\beta\eta$ -reduction rule.

RULE 5 (BETA REDUCTION)

The following transformation is called β -reduction:

$$((\lambda x.M)N) \xrightarrow{\beta} M[x \rightarrow N]$$

RULE 8 (ETA REDUCTION)

The following transformation of a lambda expression is called η -reduction.

$$(\lambda x.Mx) \xrightarrow{\eta} M,$$

where x may not be a free variable in M .



Proof engine - Atomic Tactics - 3

❖ Conversion tactics [Coq RM 8.5]

- **unfold** *qualid*. **qualid** must denote a defined transparent constant or local definition. 展开目标中出现的**qualid**，在替换时执行 $\beta\eta$ 归约
- **red**. applies to a goal which has the form
forall $(x:T1)\dots(xk:Tk), c\ t1 \dots tn$
where c is a constant. If c is transparent then it replaces c with its definition (say t) and then reduces $(t\ t1 \dots tn)$ according to $\beta\eta$ -reduction rules.
- **fold** *term*. **term** is reduced using the **red** tactic.



Proof engine - Atomic Tactics - 4

❖ Introductions [Coq RM 8.6]

- `constructor num.` applies to a goal such that the head of its conclusion is an inductive constant (say **I**). The argument `num` must be less or equal to the numbers of constructor(s) of **I**.

Let `ci` be the *i*-th constructor of **I**, then

`constructor i`

is equivalent to

`intros; apply ci.`



Proof engine - Atomic Tactics - 5

❖ Equality [Coq RM 8.8]

- **reflexivity.** applies to a goal which has the form $t=u$. It checks that t and u are convertible and then solve the goal.
- **symmetry.** applies to a goal which has the form $t=u$ and changes it into $u=t$.
- **rewrite *term*.** is equivalent to **rewrite $- >$ *term*.**
the type of **term** must have the form $term1 = term2$.
the tactic replaces every **term1** by **term2** in the goal.
rewrite $< -$ *term*. uses $term1=term2$ from right to left.



Proof engine - Atomic Tactics - 6

❖ Elimination [Coq RM 8.7]

- **induction** *term*. the type of **term** must be an inductive constant. Then, the tactic generates subgoals, one for each possible form of **term**.
- **destruct** *term*. its behavior is similar to induction except that no induction hypothesis is generated.
不产生归纳项
- **decompose** [*qualid₁ ... qualid_n*] *term*.
recursively decompose a complex proposition in order to obtain atomic ones.
e.g. **decompose** [and or] H.



Proof engine - Atomic Tactics - 7

❖ Elimination [Coq RM 8.7]

- *elim term.* more basic *induction* tactic. 根据目标的类型选择合适的destructor并应用之；它不影响目标的假设，也不会引入归纳假设。



Proof engine - Atomic Tactics - 8

❖ Inversion [Coq RM 8.10]

- *inversion ident!* let the type *ident* in the local context be $(I \vdash)$, where I is a inductive predicate. The tactic derives for each possible constructor ci of $(I \vdash)$, all the necessary conditions that should hold for the instance $(I \vdash)$ to be proved by ci .

❖ Contradictory

- *intros contra.* introduce contradictory assumptions
introduce $true = false$



Proof engine -Tactic macros

❖ Ltac

➤ Ltac Solve := simpl; intros; auto.

➤ (util.v)

```
Ltac move_to_top x' :=
```

```
  match reverse goal with
```

```
  | H : _ |- _ => try move x' after H
```

```
end.
```

```
Ltac Case s' := let c' := fresh "case" in set (c' := s');  
  move_to_top c'.
```



User extensions - Syntax extensions

❖ Notations[Coq RM 11.1]

- Notation " $A \wedge B$ " := (and A B).
- Notation " $A \wedge B$ " := (and A B) (at level 80, right associativity).
- Notation " (x, y) " := (@pair __ x y) (at level 0).
- Notation " $A \wedge B$ " := (and A B) : type_scope.



Tools - Coq commands

❖ Coq commands [Coq RM 12]

- Interactive use: `coqtop.opt`
`coqtop.opt -help` show the help of usage
If we have an `util.v` to be compiled, we can execute
`coqtop.opt -compile util`
then `util.vo` is generated.
- Batch compilation: `coqc.opt`
`coqc.opt util.v`



Thanks!