

Type and Propositions

Yu Zhang

Course web site: <http://staff.ustc.edu.cn/~yuzhang/tpl>

Outline

- Curry-Howard Isomorphism
 - Constructive Logic
 - Classical Logic
- Logic Programming
 - Datalog
- Hoare Logic

References

- [PFPL draft](#)
 - Chapter 34 Constructive Logic (第2版的 Ch12)
 - Chapter 35 Classic Logic (第2版的 Ch13)
- [Concepts in Programming Languages](#)
 - Chapter 15 The Logic Programming Paradigm and Prolog
- Datalog
 - [15-819K: Logic Programming Lecture 26](#)

Types and Propositions

- Types and programs
 - **Type**: specify a behavior; **Program**: implement a behavior
 - **Statics**: relate a program to the *type* it implements
 - **Dynamics**: relate a program to its *simplification* by an execution step
- Propositions and proofs
 - **Proposition**: pose a problem; **Proof**: solve a problem
 - **Formal logical system**: relate a proof to the *proposition* it proves
 - **Proof reduction**: relate *equivalent* proofs

Curry-Howard Isomorphism

Propositions as types principle:

Identify **propositions** with **type** and **proofs** with **programs**

- A proposition is the type of its proofs, and a proof is a program of that type.
- Every theorem has **computational content**, its proof viewed as a program.
- Every program has **mathematical content**, i.e., the proof that the program represents.

Concepts in PLs \leftrightarrow Concepts in Logics

Logics

- Constructive logic 构造逻辑
 - **Judgements:** ϕ **prop** means ϕ is a proposition;
 ϕ **true** means the proposition ϕ is true
 - ϕ **true exactly when ϕ has a proof.**
 - $\neg\phi$ **true exactly when ϕ has a refutation.**
 - $\phi \vee \neg\phi$ **true** is not universally valid.
- Classical logic 经典逻辑
 - **Every proposition is either true or false**
 - **The law of the excluded middle (排中律)**
 - $\phi \vee \neg\phi$ **true** is valid for all propositions ϕ .

Constructive Logic

- Structural properties of the hypothetical judgement
 - Γ is a set of hypotheses.

$$\frac{\overline{\Gamma, \phi \text{ true} \vdash \phi \text{ true}}}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ true} \quad \Gamma, \phi \text{ true} \vdash \psi \text{ true}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi \text{ true}}{\Gamma, \phi \text{ true} \vdash \psi \text{ true}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi \text{ true}, \phi \text{ true} \vdash \theta \text{ true}}{\Gamma, \phi \text{ true} \vdash \theta \text{ true}} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \psi \text{ true}, \phi \text{ true}, \Gamma' \vdash \theta \text{ true}}{\Gamma, \phi \text{ true}, \psi \text{ true}, \Gamma' \vdash \theta \text{ true}}$$

- Propositional logic

- **Syntax** $\phi ::= \top \mid \perp \mid \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \mid \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \mid \phi_1 \Rightarrow \phi_2$

- \top true, \perp false, \wedge conjunction, \vee disjunction, \Rightarrow implication

Constructive Logic: Rules

- Rules
 - **Introduction rules:** a “*direct*” *proof* of a proposition formed from a given *connective*
 - **Elimination rules:** exploit the existence of such a proof in an “indirect” proof of another proposition
- The principle of conservation of proof 证明守恒原理
 - **These rules are inverse to one another.**
 - 消去规则只能抽取引入规则所引入的信息（证明形式）
 - 可以使用引入规则构造证明，供消去形式使用。

Constructive Logic: Rules

• Truth (no elimination) $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \top \text{ true}}$

• Conjunction

- **Intro.** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ true} \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \psi \text{ true}}$

- **Elim.** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \psi \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ true}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \psi \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash \psi \text{ true}}$

• Implication

- **Intro.** $\frac{\Gamma, \phi \text{ true} \vdash \psi \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \Rightarrow \psi \text{ true}}$

- **Elim.** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \Rightarrow \psi \text{ true} \quad \Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash \psi \text{ true}}$

Constructive Logic: Rules

• Falsehood(no intro.) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \perp \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ true}}$

• Disjunction

- **Intro.** $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \vee \psi \text{ true}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \vee \psi \text{ true}}$

- **Elim.**

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \vee \psi \text{ true} \quad \Gamma, \phi \text{ true} \vdash \theta \text{ true} \quad \Gamma, \psi \text{ true} \vdash \theta \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash \theta \text{ true}}$$

Rules of Proof

- Key to the ***propositions-as-types*** principle

Make the forms of proof explicit

- The basic judgement ϕ true, which states that ϕ has a proof, is replaced by the judgement $p : \phi$, stating that p is a proof of ϕ . (Sometimes p is called a “proof term”, but we will simply call p a “proof.”)
- **Hypothetical judgement:** $x_1 : \phi_1, \dots, x_n : \phi_n \vdash p : \phi$
- The rules of constructive propositional logic may be restated using **proof terms**.

Rules of Proof

• Truth $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{trueI} : \top}$

• Conjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash q : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \text{andI}(p, q) : \phi \wedge \psi}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{andI}(p, q) : \phi \wedge \psi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi \wedge \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \text{andE}[l](p) : \phi}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{andE}[l](p) : \phi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi \wedge \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \text{andE}[r](p) : \psi}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{andE}[r](p) : \psi$$

• Implication

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \phi \vdash p : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \text{impI}[\phi](x.p) : \phi \Rightarrow \psi}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{impI}[\phi](x.p) : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi \Rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash q : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \text{impE}(p, q) : \psi}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{impE}(p, q) : \psi$$

• Falsehood

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \text{falseE}[\phi](p) : \phi}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{falseE}[\phi](p) : \phi$$

• Disjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \text{orI}[l][\psi](p) : \phi \vee \psi}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{orI}[l][\psi](p) : \phi \vee \psi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \text{orI}[r][\phi](p) : \phi \vee \psi}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{orI}[r][\phi](p) : \phi \vee \psi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi \vee \psi \quad \Gamma, x : \phi \vdash q : \theta \quad \Gamma, y : \psi \vdash r : \theta}{\Gamma \vdash \text{orE}[\phi, \psi](p, x.q, y.r) : \theta}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{orE}[\phi, \psi](p, x.q, y.r) : \theta$$

Propositions as Types

- Proposition ϕ and its type ϕ^*

Prop.	Type	
\top	<i>unit</i>	空积类型
\perp	<i>void</i>	空和类型
$\phi \wedge \psi$	$\phi^* \times \psi^*$	二元积类型
$\phi \vee \psi$	$\phi^* + \psi^*$	二元和类型
$\phi \Rightarrow \psi$	$\phi^* \rightarrow \psi^*$	函数类型

Proofs as Programs

证明

程序

trueI

*

空积的引入

falseE[ϕ](p)

$Zero^{\phi^*}(p^*)$

空和的消去

andI(p, q)

$\langle p^*, q^* \rangle$

二元积的引入

andE[l](p)

$Proj_1(p^*)$

二元积的消去

andE[r](p)

$Proj_2(p^*)$

二元积的消去

impI[ϕ]($x.p$)

$\lambda x:\phi^*.p^*$

函数类型的引入

impE[ϕ](p, q)

$p^* q^*$

函数类型的消去

orI[l][ψ](p)

$Inleft(p^*)$

二元和的引入

orI[r][ϕ](p)

$Inright(p^*)$

二元和的引入

orE[$\phi; \psi$]($p, x.q, y.t$) $Case\ p^*(\lambda x:\phi^*.q^*)(\lambda y:\psi^*.r^*)$ 二元和的消去

Curry-Howard Isomorphism

- Theorem

1. If ϕ prop , then ϕ^* type ;

2. If $\Gamma \vdash p : \phi$, then $\Gamma^* \vdash p^* : \phi^*$ 。

- 反映出命题和类型,以及证明和程序之间的**静态**对应关系
- 进一步扩展得到**动态**对应关系 : 消去形式是引入形式的后逆

$$\text{andE}[l](\text{andI}(p, q)) \equiv p$$

$$\text{andE}[r](\text{andI}(p, q)) \equiv q$$

$$\text{impE}[\phi](\text{impI}[\phi](x.p), q) \equiv [q/x]p$$

$$\text{orE}[\phi; \psi](\text{orI}[l][\psi](p), x.q, y.r) \equiv [p/x]q$$

$$\text{orE}[\phi; \psi](\text{orI}[r][\phi](p), x.q, y.r) \equiv [p/y]r$$

Classical Logic

- Judgements

- ϕ true : 表示 ϕ 是一个真命题
- ϕ false : 表示 ϕ 是一个假命题
- # : 表示一个已经推导出的矛盾

- Hypothetical judgement

ϕ_1 false, \dots , ϕ_m false; ψ_1 true, \dots , ψ_n true $\vdash J$

- J 是上述三种断言之一，用 Γ 表示为真的假设集， Δ 表示为假的假设集

$$\frac{\Delta\Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ false} \quad \Delta\Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ true}}{\Delta\Gamma \vdash \#} \qquad \frac{\Delta, \phi \text{ false} \Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ false}}{\Delta \Gamma, \phi \text{ true} \vdash \phi \text{ true}}$$

Classical Logic

- Semantics (omitted in this class)
 - Learn by yourself if you are interested

Logic Programming

Logic Programming

- Logic program

- Facts
- Rules for deducing further facts

likes(john, mary).

likes(mary, bethany).

likes(X, Y) :- likes(Y, X).

likes(X, Z) :- likes(X, Y), likes(Y, Z).

- Datalog engine:

<http://abcdatalog.seas.harvard.edu/>

Unification

- Query
 - likes(john, X)?
- Query engine
 - Check the query against every deducible fact to see if they match
- Unification
 - A procedure that attempts to produce a substitution that makes two terms equal.
[X → mary] likes(john, X) = likes(john, mary)

Applications

- Family trees

parent(john, mary).

parent(bethany, john).

grandparent(X, Z) :- parent(X, Y), parent(Y, Z).

married(bethany, luke).

parent(X, Z) :- married(X, Y), parent(Y, Z)

Implementation

- Saturation
- Unification

Logic Programming

- About the [assignment 4](#): Logic Engine
 1. Represent and implement *List* in both [ML](#) and [Lua](#)
 2. Do Part 1 of the assignment 4
 3. Learn and Practice [Datalog](#)
 4. Do Part 2 of the assignment 4