

Abstract Interpretation

Yu Zhang

Most content comes from <http://cs.au.dk/~amoeller/spa/>

1

Agenda

- Collecting semantics
- Abstraction and concretization
- Soundness
- Optimality

2

Program Semantics as Constraint Systems

- Concrete state: program variables to integers
 $ConcreteStates = Vars \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
- Constraint variable for each CFG node v
 $\llbracket v \rrbracket \subseteq ConcreteStates$
 - Denote the state at the program point immediately after v

```

var x;
x = 0;
while (input) {
  x = x + 2;
}
    
```

x是0

状态不唯一
x是正偶数

3

The Semantics of Expressions

- Concrete execution \rightarrow Abstract execution
 $ceval : ConcreteStates \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
- A concrete state ρ results in a set of possible integer values
 $ceval(\rho, X) = \{\rho(X)\}$
 $ceval(\rho, I) = \{I\}$
 $ceval(\rho, input) = \mathbb{Z}$
 $ceval(\rho, E_1 \text{ op } E_2) = \{v_1 \text{ op } v_2 \mid v_1 \in ceval(\rho, E_1) \wedge v_2 \in ceval(\rho, E_2)\}$
- Overload $ceval$ to work on sets of concrete states
 $ceval(R, E) = \bigcup_{\rho \in R} ceval(\rho, E) \quad ceval : 2^{ConcreteStates} \times E \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$

4

Successors and Joins

- Possible successors of a CFG node relative to a concrete state
 $csucc : ConcreteStates \times Nodes \rightarrow 2^{Nodes}$
- \rightarrow work on a set of concrete states
 $csucc : 2^{ConcreteStates} \times Nodes \rightarrow 2^{Nodes}$
 $csucc(R, v) = \bigcup_{\rho \in R} csucc(\rho, v)$

$CJOIN(v) = \{\rho \in ConcreteStates \mid \exists w \in Nodes : \rho \in \llbracket w \rrbracket \wedge v \in csucc(\rho, w)\}$

5

Semantics of Statements

A flow-insensitive analysis that tracks function values:

$\llbracket X=E \rrbracket = \{\rho[X \mapsto z] \mid \rho \in CJOIN(v) \wedge z \in ceval(\rho, E)\}$

$\llbracket \text{var } X_1, \dots, X_n \rrbracket = \{\rho[X_1 \mapsto z_1, \dots, X_n \mapsto z_n] \mid \rho \in CJOIN(v) \wedge z_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge \dots \wedge z_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

$\llbracket entry \rrbracket = \{\emptyset\}$

$\llbracket v \rrbracket = CJOIN(v)$

6

The Resulting Constraint System

- A program with n CFG nodes, v_1, \dots, v_n

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket v_1 \rrbracket &= cf_1(\llbracket v_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket v_n \rrbracket) \\ \llbracket v_2 \rrbracket &= cf_2(\llbracket v_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket v_n \rrbracket) \\ &\vdots \\ \llbracket v_n \rrbracket &= cf_n(\llbracket v_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket v_n \rrbracket) \end{aligned}$$

- Combine n functions into one

$$cf(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (cf_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, cf_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

$$cf: (2^{\text{ConcreteStates}})^n \rightarrow (2^{\text{ConcreteStates}})^n$$

$$x = cf(x)$$

7

Example

```
var x;
x = 0;
while (input) {
  x = x + 2;
}
```

	solution 1	solution 2
$\llbracket \text{entry} \rrbracket$	$\{\emptyset\}$	$\{\emptyset\}$
$\llbracket \text{var } x \rrbracket$	$\{[x \mapsto z] \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$	$\{[x \mapsto z] \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
$\llbracket x = 0 \rrbracket$	$\{[x \mapsto 0]\}$	$\{[x \mapsto 0]\}$
$\llbracket \text{input} \rrbracket$	$\{[x \mapsto z] \mid z \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}\}$	$\{[x \mapsto z] \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
$\llbracket x = x + 2 \rrbracket$	$\{[x \mapsto z] \mid z \in \{2, 4, \dots\}\}$	$\{[x \mapsto z] \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
$\llbracket \text{exit} \rrbracket$	$\{[x \mapsto z] \mid z \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}\}$	$\{[x \mapsto z] \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

the least solution

8

A Fixed Point Theorem for Continuous Functions

- $f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is continuous if

$$f(\bigsqcup A) = \bigsqcup_{a \in A} f(a) \quad \text{for every } A \subseteq L$$

- If f is continuous

$$fix(f) = \bigsqcup_{i \geq 0} f^i(\perp)$$

(even when L has infinite height!)

- cf is continuous

9

Semantics vs. Analysis

```
var a, b, c;
a = 42;
b = 87;
if (input) {
  c = a + b;
} else {
  c = a - b;
}
```

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket b = 87 \rrbracket &= \{[a \mapsto 42, b \mapsto 87, c \mapsto z] \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ \llbracket c = a - b \rrbracket &= \{[a \mapsto 42, b \mapsto 87, c \mapsto -45]\} \\ \llbracket \text{exit} \rrbracket &= \{[a \mapsto 42, b \mapsto 87, c \mapsto 129], [a \mapsto 42, b \mapsto 87, c \mapsto -45]\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket b = 87 \rrbracket &= [a \mapsto +, b \mapsto +, c \mapsto \top] \\ \llbracket c = a - b \rrbracket &= [a \mapsto +, b \mapsto +, c \mapsto \top] \\ \llbracket \text{exit} \rrbracket &= [a \mapsto +, b \mapsto +, c \mapsto \top] \end{aligned}$$

10

Agenda

- Collecting semantics
- Abstraction and concretization**
- Soundness
- Optimality

11

Abstract Functions for Sign Analysis

- Abstract functions

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_a: 2^{\mathbb{Z}} &\rightarrow \text{Sign} \\ \alpha_b: 2^{\text{ConcreteStates}} &\rightarrow \text{States} & \text{ConcreteStates} = \text{Vars} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha_c: (2^{\text{ConcreteStates}})^n &\rightarrow \text{States}^n & \text{State} = \text{Vars} \rightarrow \text{Sign} \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_a(D) = \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } D \text{ is empty} \\ + & \text{if } D \text{ is nonempty and contains only positive integers} \\ - & \text{if } D \text{ is nonempty and contains only negative integers} \\ \emptyset & \text{if } D \text{ is nonempty and contains only the integer 0} \\ \top & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $D \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$

$$\alpha_b(R) = \sigma \text{ where } \sigma(X) = \alpha_a(\{\rho(X) \mid \rho \in R\})$$

for any $R \subseteq \text{ConcreteStates}$ and $X \in \text{Vars}$

$$\alpha_c(R_1, \dots, R_n) = (\alpha_b(R_1), \dots, \alpha_b(R_n))$$

for any $R_1, \dots, R_n \subseteq \text{ConcreteStates}$

12

Concretization Functions for Sign Analysis

- Concretization functions
 - $\gamma_a: Sign \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$
 - $\gamma_b: States \rightarrow 2^{ConcreteStates}$
 - $\gamma_c: States^n \rightarrow (2^{ConcreteStates})^n$

$$\gamma_a(s) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } s = \perp \\ \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} & \text{if } s = + \\ \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\} & \text{if } s = - \\ \{0\} & \text{if } s = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } s = \top \end{cases}$$

for any $s \in Sign$

$\gamma_b(\sigma) = \{\rho \in ConcreteStates \mid \rho(X) \in \gamma_a(\sigma(X)) \text{ for all } X \in Vars\}$
for any $\sigma \in States$

$\gamma_c(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = (\gamma_b(\sigma_1), \dots, \gamma_b(\sigma_n))$
for any $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in States^n$

13

Galois Connections

- Galois Theory 伽罗瓦理论
 - 建立域论和群论之间的联系

The pair of monotone functions, α and γ , is called a *Galois connection* if

$\gamma \circ \alpha$ is extensive $x \sqsubseteq \gamma(\alpha(x))$ for all $x \in L_1$

$\alpha \circ \gamma$ is reductive $\alpha(\gamma(y)) \sqsubseteq y$ for all $y \in L_2$.

14

Galois Connections

- The concretization function uniquely determines the abstraction function

$$\gamma(y) = \bigsqcup_{x \in L_1 \text{ where } \alpha(x) \sqsubseteq y} x$$

$$\alpha(x) = \bigsqcap_{y \in L_2 \text{ where } x \sqsubseteq \gamma(y)} y$$

15

Galois Connections

- For each of these two lattices, given the "obvious" concretization function, is there an abstraction function such that the concretization function and the abstraction function form a Galois connection?

16

Agenda

- Collecting semantics
- Abstraction and concretization
- Soundness
- Optimality**

17

Optimal Approximations

af is an *optimal* approximation of cf if

$$af = \alpha \circ cf \circ \gamma$$

18

Optimal Approximations in Sign Analysis?

$\hat{\sigma}$ is optimal:

$$s_1 \hat{\sigma} s_2 = \alpha_a(\gamma_a(s_1) \cdot \gamma_a(s_2))$$

eval is *not* optimal:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \top$$

$$\text{eval}(\sigma, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}) = \top$$

$$\alpha_b(\text{eval}(\gamma_b(\sigma), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{0}$$

Even if we could make *eval* optimal, the analysis result is not always optimal:

```
x = input;
```

```
y = x;
```

```
z = x - y;
```

19